Caladesi Island State Park Approved Management Plan





FLORIDA DEPARTMENT OF Environmental Protection

Marjory Stoneman Douglas Building 3900 Commonwealth Boulevard Tallahassee, FL 32399 Ron DeSantis Governor

Jeanette Nuñez Lt. Governor

Shawn Hamilton Secretary

February 16, 2022

Mr. Brian Fugate Division of Recreation and Parks Department of Environmental Protection 3900 Commonwealth Boulevard, MS 525 Tallahassee, Florida 32399-3000

RE: Caladesi Island State Park – Lease No. 2385

Dear Mr. Fugate,

On **February 11, 2022**, the Acquisition and Restoration Council (ARC) recommended approval of the **Caladesi Island State Park** management plan. Therefore, Division of State Lands, Office of Environmental Services (OES), acting as agent for the Board of Trustees of the Internal Improvement Trust Fund, hereby approves the **Caladesi Island State Park** management plan. The next management plan update is due February 11, 2032.

Pursuant to s. 253.034(5)(a), F.S., each management plan is required to "describe both short-term and long-term management goals and include measurable objectives to achieve those goals. Short-term goals shall be achievable within a 2-year planning period, and long-term goals shall be achievable within a 10-year planning period." Upon completion of short-term goals, please submit a signed letter identifying categories, goals, and results with attached methodology to the Division of State Lands, Office of Environmental Services.

Pursuant to s. 259.032(8)(g), F.S., by July 1 of each year, each governmental agency and each private entity designated to manage lands shall report to the Secretary of Environmental Protection, via the Division of State Lands, on the progress of funding, staffing, and resource management of every project for which the agency or entity is responsible.

Pursuant to s. 259.032, F.S., and Chapter 18-2.021, F.A.C., management plans for areas less than 160 acres may be handled in accordance with the negative response process. This process requires small management plans and management plan amendments be submitted to the Division of State Lands for review, and the Acquisition and Restoration Council (ARC) for public notification. The Division of State Lands will approve these plans or plan amendments submitted for review through delegated authority unless three

Mr. Brian Fugate Page 2 February 16, 2022

or more ARC members request the division place the item on a future council meeting agenda for review. To create better efficiency, improve customer service, and assist members of the ARC, the Division of State Lands will notice negative response items on Thursdays except for weeks that have State or Federal holidays that fall on Thursday or Friday. The Division of State Lands will contact you on the appropriate Friday to inform you if the item is approved via delegated authority or if it will be placed on a future ARC agenda by request of the ARC members.

Pursuant to s. 259.036(2), F.S., management areas that exceed 1,000 acres in size, shall be scheduled for a land management review at least every 5 years.

Conditional approval of this land management plan does not waive the authority or jurisdiction of any governmental entity that may have an interest in this project. Implementation of any upland activities proposed by this management plan may require a permit or other authorization from federal and state agencies having regulatory jurisdiction over those particular activities. Pursuant to the conditions of your lease, please forward copies of all permits to this office upon issuance.

Sincerely,

Deborah Burr Office of Environmental Services Division of State Lands

TABLE OF CONTENTS

INTRODUCTION

INTRODUCTION	1
PURPOSE AND SIGNIFICANCE OF THE PARK	1
UNIT CLASSIFICATION	1
PARK INTERPRETATION	2
PURPOSE AND SCOPE OF THE PLAN	5
SECONDARY AND INCOMPATIABLE USES	5
CONTRACT SERVICES	6
MANAGEMENT AUTHORITY AND RESPONSIBILITY	6
GENERAL PARK MANAGEMENT GOALS	7
MANAGEMENT COORDINATION	7
PUBLIC PARTICIPATION	7
OTHER DESIGNATIONS	8

RESOURCE MANAGEMENT COMPONENT

INTRODUCTION	9
TOPOGRAPHY	9
GEOLOGY	
SOIL TYPES	
MINERALS	
HYRDOLOGY	
HYDROLOGICAL MANAGEMENT.	
NATURAL COMMUNITIES	
NATURAL COMMUNITIES MANAGEMENT	
IMPERILED SPECIES	
IMPERILED SPECIES MANAGEMENT	
EXOTIC SPECIES	
EXOTIC SPECIES MANAGEMENT	
CULTURAL RESOURCES	
CULTURAL RESOURCES MANAGEMENT	43
TIMBER MANAGEMENT ANAYLSIS	44
COASTAL/BEACH MANAGEMENT	45
ANTHROPOD CONTROL PLAN	
SEA LEVEL RISE	46
LAND MANAGEMENT REVIEW	

LAND USE COMPONENT

INTRODUCTION	.47
EXISTING USE OF ADJACENT LANDS	47
PLANNED USE OF ADJACENT LANDS	47
ASSESSMENT OF USE	47
PROTECTED ZONES	48
EXISTING FACILITIES	50
CONCEPTUAL LAND USE PLAN	50
PUBLIC ACCESS AND RECREATIONAL OPPORTUNITIES	55
VISITOR USE MANGEMENT	55
CAPITAL FACILITIES AND INFRASTRUCTURE	56
OPTIMUM BOUNDARY	59

IMPLEMENTATION COMPONENT

MANAGEMENT PROGRESS	63
MANAGEMENT OBJECTIVES	64

TABLES

TABLE 1 – Natural Communities and Altered Landcovers	14
TABLE 2 – Prescribed Fire Management	25
TABLE 3 – Imperiled Species Inventory	29
TABLE 4 – Inventory of FISC Category I and II Exotic Plant Species	37
TABLE 5 – Cultural Sites Listed in the Florida Master Site File	42

MAPS

Vicinity Map	3
Management Zones Map	11
Natural Communities Map	
Base Map Page 1 & 2	
Conceptual Land Use Plan	57
Optimum Boundary Map	61

ADDENDA

Addandum 1 Acquisition History	٨	1
	А	
Addendum 2 – Advisory Group Summary Report	А	2
Addendum 3 – References Cited	А	3
Addendum 4 – Soils Descriptions	А	4
Addendum 5 – Plant and Animal Species List	А	5
Addendum 6 – Imperiled Species Guidelines	А	6
Addendum 7 – Cultural Resources Information	А	7
Addendum 8 – Land Management Review	А	8
Addendum 9 – Comprehensive Plan Compliance	А	9
Addendum 10 – Arthropod Control Plan	۹1	0



Park Significance

The extensive 2.5 miles of pristine sandy beach at the park is consistently named as one of the best beaches in America by widely distributed publications.

Cultural resource sites protected by the park include remnants of the islands' first inhabitants from the Safety Harbor culture. The island was also the site of the late 1800's homestead of Myrtle Scharrer Betz, who wrote the book Yesteryear I Lived in Paradise.

The park is located on a pristine barrier island off the coast of a densely populated region of the state and protects a remarkable community of mesic flatwoods and maritime hammock.

The park protects a globally significant nesting shorebird site and a critical winter residence site for many birds.

Central Park Theme

Sheltered from development, the shallow sand bars and award-winning beaches at the park exemplify the beauty of untouched barrier islands.

Primary Interpretive Themes

Barrier Islands

Rising sand bars give visitors a glimpse into the natural processes that continually shape Florida's beautiful barrier islands.

Development

Although kept pristine at this park, barrier islands that are essential to Florida's coastal health have often been altered by development.

Water Quality

Natural offshore ecosystems such as seagrass beds and oyster reefs provide invaluable services by naturally filtering coastal waters.

<u>Homestead</u>

The Scharrer homestead and the written accounts of Myrtle Scharrer Betz remind us of all those who forged a livelihood on Caladesi Island and left their unique marks on this isolated place.



Natural Communities

The marine seagrass bed natural community is the largest in the park. Dominant species are turtle grass, shoal grass, and manatee grass. Ecologically, these grass beds are important components of the estuary as they stabilize sediments and provide nurseries, food, and shelter to many estuarine organisms. An exclusion zone for motorized watercraft has been established along the east side of the island.

The dunes of Caladesi Island remain as an excellent example of this Gulf Coast barrier island habitat type. The mesic flatwoods community is one of the few remaining on Gulf Coast barrier islands of southwestern Florida. It is best developed along a single ridge that occurs on the southwestern part of the main island, between the coastal strand and the maritime hammock.

Natural Communities Management

Goal: Restore and maintain the natural communities/habitats of the park.

There are 204 acres of fire dependent communities to maintain on Caladesi Island, which are comprised of 76 acres of mesic flatwoods and 128 acres of coastal strand. The pyric acreage is divided into 12 management zones ranging in size from 5 to 33 acres.

Objective: Maintain 204 acres within the optimum fire return interval.

Action 1 Update annual burn planAction 2 Annually burn between 53-132 acres.

Natural Communities and Altered Landcovers Table		
Natural Community	Acreage	Percentage
Marine Seagrass Bed	1,104.62	45.7%
Marine Unconsolidated Substrate	606.13	25.1%
Mangrove Swamp	372.67	15.4%
Coastal Strand	146.25	6.0%
Mesic Flatwoods	75.53	3.1%
Beach Dune	57.09	2.4%
Marine Mollusk Reef	19.11	0.8%
Coastal Interdunal Swale	16.67	0.7%
Maritime Hammock	8.66	0.4%
Shell Mound	0.96	0.0%
Altered Landcover	Acreage	Percentage
Developed	12.35	0.5%
Total	2,420	



Imperiled Species

The park has long been an important location for nesting shorebirds and seabirds, ranking among the top sites in the state and giving it global significance as a result. Listed species that have nested on Caladesi include black skimmer, least tern, and American oystercatcher. Snowy and Wilson's plover nests have been recorded on the island. A moderate number of piping plovers and red knot, which are both state and federally listed threatened species, forage and rest at the park.

The mudflats and shorelines of the island's north tip are important wintering sites and are annually used by piping plover and red knot. Federal recovery plans for threatened piping plovers and red knots designate unaltered sandy beaches adjacent to inlets as critical habitats along the wintering range. Almost 90 percent of observations of roosting piping plovers at ten coastal sites in southwest Florida were on inlet shorelines. At inlets, foraging plovers are associated with moist substrate features such as intertidal flats, algal flats, and ephemeral pools.

Imperiled loggerhead sea turtles nest at Caladesi Island between May and September each year. Kemp's ridley sea turtles have also been observed within park boundaries nesting during the daytime. In accordance with FWC protocol, park staff and volunteers survey the beach daily between April 15th and September 30th identifying new nests and erecting boundary markers with signage.

Florida manatees are commonly seen off the Gulf beaches in the warm summer months. Mating groups have wandered into swim areas on several occasions, giving visitors a closer look than expected. The seagrass beds on the bay side of the park are a prime source of food for foraging manatees.

In addition to the seven listed shorebird and seabird species mentioned above, more than 24 other designated bird species have been documented in the park. Seven designated reptile species, seven designated plants, and one designated mammal species have also been documented at the park.

In addition to the Florida-listed inkberry and shell-mound pricklypear, three Florida endemic plants are found in the park, all of which have limited populations in the State. The most threatened is the West Coast dune sunflower, found only on the west coast and only in six counties. Management includes verifying that any landscaping will exclude the use of the East Coast dune sunflower. The other two endemics, although not listed, are the Florida amaranth and vente conmigo.



Imperiled Species Management

Goal: Maintain, improve, or restore imperiled species populations.

Ongoing inventory and monitoring of imperiled species in the state park system is necessary to meet the DRP's mission. Long-term monitoring is essential to ensure the effectiveness of resource management programs. Monitoring efforts must be prioritized so that the data collected provides information that can be used to improve or confirm the effectiveness of management actions on conservation priorities.

Objective: Monitor and document 13 selected imperiled species.

Action 1	Implement monitoring protocols for loggerhead sea turtles, green sea turtles, Kemp's ridley sea turtles, piping plovers, red knots, American oystercatchers, least terns, snowy plovers, Wilson's plovers, black skimmers, and Eastern indigo snake.
Action 2	Complete all required FWC survey protocols for imperiled sea
	turtles and nesting shorebirds/seabirds.
Action 3	Monitor and document gopher tortoise population.

Action 4 Implement monitoring protocol for giant airplants.

Objective: Provide protection, where appropriate, to imperiled species.

- Action 1 Demarcate shorebird habitat by enclosing the perimeter of the habitat and buffer area with fencing and signage.
- Action 2 Monitor habitat during the nesting season to identify and protect new breeding sites.
- Action 3 Provide interpretive and educational outreach to the public prior to and during the nesting season to encourage visitor use that protects shorebirds and their habitat.
- Action 4 Coordinate with FWC and local law enforcement agencies to ensure compliance with park rules and shorebird protection.
 - Action 5 When implementing any landscaping or planting projects, verify that all source plants are correctly identified and are species found naturally occurring at the park.
- Action 6 Remain aware of distribution and protections of American crocodile.

Objective: Monitor impacts on shorebird and sea turtle nesting by terrestrial nuisance species in the park.

Action 1Monitor sea turtle nesting and gopher tortoise mortality for
impacts from coyote, raccoon, and nine banded armadillos.Action 2Develop and implement a predator control strategy.



Exotic Species

Early park planners, visiting Caladesi Island for the first time, described trails winding through dark tunnels of Brazilian pepper to reach a dune line shaded by 50-foot Australian pine stands. These historic infestations have been erased by decades of effort by park staff, contractors, and volunteers. Current infestation levels are low, but require constant retreatment to maintain the natural areas in this state. The seed bed, contaminated by these past invaders, will remain a source of active regrowth of exotics for many years to come. Constant attention to controlling infestations is now the focus of park staff and volunteers. Staff also monitor the islands for new invaders. Several invasive plants such as cogongrass and rosary pea are established on Caladesi Island and will also require constant effort.

The other exotics found on Caladesi Island are thinly dispersed. Plants such as carrotwood, lantana, and balsampear are occasionally located and treated. Of greater concern to park and district staff is the arrival of new exotic plant species to the islands. While birds, mammals, and the wind can bring seeds of exotics to the islands, humans can also act as vessels for plant dispersal. To avoid the dispersal of exotics, park personnel does not allow for firewood, or any potted plants to be brought to the island. Also, trash collected from visiting boats is not allowed in park trash cans, as raccoons frequently raid these cans, and could potentially spread exotic plant seeds all over the island. This also limits potential pests and pathogens that could be introduced to the islands from the mainland and other outside areas.

Exotic Species Management

Goal: Remove invasive species and conduct needed maintenance control.

The DRP actively removes invasive exotic species with priority being given to those causing the ecological damage. Removal techniques may include mechanical treatment, herbicides or biocontrol agents.

Objective: Annually treat 8 acres of exotic plant species in the park.

Action 1	Annually update exotic plant management work plan.
Action 2	Implement work plan by treating eight acres in park annually.

Objective: Implement control measures on 1 exotic animal species.

Action 1	Continue to trap exotic animals and report quarterly.
Action 3	Continue to contract outside trappers to remove exotic/
	nuisance animals, including nine-banded armadillos.



Cultural Resources

There are four archaeological sites on Caladesi Island recorded in the FMSF. Three of these sites represent prehistoric use of the island by local people. The island's first inhabitants are believed to have been the local Safety Harbor culture, the Tocobago, a society sustained in large part by the abundant fish and shellfish of the estuarine environment. A burial mound is situated in the tidal swamp and was excavated in 1903 by C.B. Moore. It has been identified by the DHR Florida Master Site File as Hog Island Mound.

In 1897, Henry Scharrer received a homestead certificate for land on the island, and by 1899 built a cottage to began his life there. After his wife died, he lived on the homestead with his daughter Myrtle. This was the only permanent homestead on the island. After his death in 1934, the dwelling and outbuildings declined. Today only foundations and the cottage fireplace, made of tabby, remain. The site is identified by the FMSF as Scharrer Homestead Foundation.

Cultural Resource Management

Goal: Protect, preserve and maintain the cultural resources of the park.

Cultural resources are individually unique and collectively challenging for the public land managers. The management of cultural resources is often complicated because these resources are irreplaceable and vulnerable to disturbances. The advice of cultural resource experts is required in this effort.

Objective: Annually evaluate three recorded cultural resources.

Action 1 Complete 3 assessments/evaluations of archaeological sites.

Objective: Compile reliable documentation for all recorded resources.

Action 1 Ensure all known sites are recorded or updated in the FMSF.
Action 2 Complete a predictive model for high, medium, and low probability of locating archaeological sites within the park.
Action 3 Develop and adopt a Scope of Collections Statement.

Objective: Maintain three recorded cultural resources in good condition.

- Action 1 Design and implement regular monitoring programs for three cultural sites.
- Action 2 Create and implement a cyclical maintenance program for each cultural resource.



Coastal Management

Caladesi Island State Park has 2.5 miles of stunning, sandy Gulf beach and was named America's Best Beach in 2008 by Dr. Stephen Leatherman. Qualities considered for the distinction include sand and water quality, abundance of wildlife, and attractive vistas. Images of the island beach are commonly used on local tourism publications. Increased positive publicity resulted in several years of significantly increased visitation to this once quiet location. 420,000 people visited the island in 2008, a 24 percent increase over the year prior. One of the primary challenges for management here is balancing the availability of prime shorebird habitat with recreational use.

Seven of the nine federally listed species known to occur on the island are specialists that depend exclusively on the sandy beach for forage or reproductive success. Three additional state listed species (snowy plover, least tern, and black skimmer) also require sandy beach habitat for survival. For beach nesting and resting birds, the most generally applicable protection method is establishment of setback distances that have been determined from studies of effects of human disturbance on breeding bird colonies in Florida. The recommended setback distance is 590 feet. This is often more space than is available above the mean high tide line.

Within this competitive system, there are currently two zones of high recreational use. Beach access boardwalks provide low impact corridors between the marina complex and the sandy beach. This concentration of human activity on the shoreline has resulted in a zone of reduced habitat value for wildlife. A second location, at the northern tip of the island, is popular with boaters, kayakers, kite surfers, and jet skiers. This location offers access from the nearby Dunedin causeway. Volume of visitation here is variable throughout the year, reaching peaks during pleasant weekends and holidays. Nesting or resting species may get weeks of low disturbance, only to be sporadically inundated on various busy weekends.

Objective: Continue to assist federal, state, and local agencies with monitoring and assessment of natural community responses following coastal projects.

Action 1 Continue to monitor spatial distribution of sea turtle nesting.Action 2 Continue to monitor occurrence of imperiled shorebird nesting.Action 3 Report data to state and federal partners as appropriate.

Natural community response and shoreline species use can be strong indicators of habitat recovery following coastal projects. Monitoring to document the use of habitat by threatened species should continue.



Capital Facilities and Infrastructure

Goal: Develop and maintain use areas and support infrastructure.

Potential development will mainly consist of improving or replacing existing structures. Improvements at the marina use area are geared toward enhancing the visitor experience, while new development at the support areas will allow for increased park management capabilities.

The existing facilities are appropriate to the natural and cultural resources contained in the park and should be maintained. New construction is recommended to improve the quality and safety of the recreational opportunities, to improve the protection of park resources, and to streamline the efficiency of park operations.

Objective: Improve 3 use areas.

Major repair projects for park facilities may be accomplished within the tenyear term of this management plan, if funding is available.

Marina Use Area

The northern bathhouse of the two on the island should be replaced, and an additional bathhouse should be constructed near the western corner of the marina use area. Electric hookups and the boat docks should be repaired and upgraded. The existing kayak dock should be expanded to include an ADA accessible launch. An observation tower will be built.

Maintenance Area

For resource management capabilities, a 3-bay shop and 3-bay pole barn should be constructed in the existing maintenance support area.

Residence Area

One new residence is recommended for the staff residence area. An additional residence should be constructed to host park volunteers.

Optimum Boundary

Additional lands adjacent to the southern boundary of the park have been identified as optimum boundary. The identified land became connected to Caladesi Island when the Dunedin Pass was closed by accreting sand. Acquisition of this property would help buffer the park from development along Clearwater Beach.

INTRODUCTION

Caladesi Island State Park is located in Pinellas County, and the park can only be accessed by boat or the ferry that launches from Honeymoon Island State Park. Caladesi Island State Park is designated single-use to provide public outdoor recreation and conservation. There are no legislative or executive directives that constrain the use of this property (see Addendum 1). A legal description of the park property can be made available upon request to the Florida Department of Environmental Protection.

Caladesi Island State Park was initially acquired on April 18, 1966 and currently comprises 2,420.04 acres. The Board of Trustees of the Internal Improvement Trust Fund (Trustees) hold fee simple title to the park. The Trustees leased the property to DRP under Lease Number 2385 on September 15, 1969 for a 50-year term. The current lease will expire on January 21, 2035.

Purpose of the Park

The purpose of Caladesi Island State Park is to ensure the protection of an undeveloped barrier island and provide opportunities for public access along almost 2.5 miles of pristine sandy beach, considered the best in the United States, in a fast-growing region. while preserving mesic flatwoods and maritime hammock, considered unique for Southwestern Florida.

Park Significance

- The extensive 2.5 miles of pristine sandy beach at the park is consistently named as one of the best beaches in America by widely distributed publications.
- Cultural resource sites protected by the park include remnants of settlements of the islands' first inhabitants from the Safety Harbor culture, 900CE – 1700CE, as well as the late 1800's homestead of Myrtle Scharrer Betz who wrote the book, *Yesteryear I Lived in Paradise.*
- The park is located on a pristine barrier island off the coast of a densely populated region of the state and protects a remarkable community of mesic flatwoods and maritime hammock, among many other natural communities.
- The park protects a globally significant nesting shorebird site and a critical winter residence site for many birds.

Unit Classification

Caladesi Island State Park is classified as a state park in the DRP's unit classification system. In the management of a state park, a balance is sought between the goals of maintaining and enhancing natural conditions and providing various recreational opportunities. Natural resource management activities are aimed at management of natural systems. Development in the park is directed toward providing public access to and within the park, and to providing recreational facilities, in a reasonable balance, that are both convenient and safe. Program emphasis is on interpretation on the park's natural, aesthetic and educational attributes.

Park Interpretation

Interpretation is a mission-based communication process that forges emotional and intellectual connections between the interests of the audience and meanings inherent in the resource. Interpretive themes are the key concepts for communicating the meanings inherent in a Florida State Park. A central park theme is a short, dynamic interpretive statement that reflects the significance of a park by highlighting distinctive features and essential visitor experiences. In addition to a central park theme, each park has primary interpretive themes. These themes serve as a starting point for park staff to plan interpretive and educational content by outlining the main stories of the park's natural and cultural resources. Further interpretive planning can branch off from these themes but should ultimately help reinforce the main interpretive messages of the park.

Central Park Theme

Sheltered from development, the shallow sand bars and award-winning beaches at Caladesi Island State Park exemplify the beauty of untouched barrier islands.

Primary Interpretive Themes

Barrier Islands

Rising sand bars give visitors a glimpse into the natural processes that continually shape Florida's beautiful barrier islands.

Development

Although kept pristine at Caladesi Island State Park, barrier islands that are essential to Florida's coastal health have often been altered by development.

Water Quality

Natural offshore ecosystems such as seagrass beds and oyster reefs provide invaluable services by naturally filtering coastal waters.

Homestead

The Scharrer homestead and the written accounts of Myrtle Scharrer Betz remind us of all those who forged a livelihood on Caladesi Island before and left their unique marks on this isolated place.

Interpretive Application

Interpretation is a DRP priority for the inherent value of visitor engagement and as a tool for promoting stewardship and conservation. Interpretation also plays an important role in achieving many other park management objectives.

<u>Non-Personal Interpretation</u>: Interpretive elements which do not require a person to deliver a message (signs, exhibits, brochures, kiosks, etc.).

<u>Personal Interpretation</u>: One person or persons providing interpretation to another person or persons. It can be planned or impromptu.



Caladesi Island State Park Vicinity Map - Pinellas County





Purpose & Scope of the Plan

This plan serves as the basic statement of policy and direction for the management of Caladesi Island State Park as a unit of Florida's state park system. It identifies the goals, objectives, actions and criteria or standards that guide each aspect of park administration and sets forth the specific measures that will be implemented to meet management objectives and provide balanced public utilization. The plan is intended to meet the requirements of Sections 253.034 and 259.032, Florida Statutes, Chapter 18-2, Florida Administrative Code, and is intended to be consistent with the State Lands Management Plan. This management plan will replace the 2007 approved plan.

The plan consists of three interrelated components: Resource Management, Land Use, and Implementation. The Resource Management Component provides a detailed inventory and assessment of the natural and cultural resources of the park. Resource management needs and issues are identified, and measurable management objectives are established for each of the park's management goals and resource types. This component provides guidance on the application of such measures as prescribed burning, exotic species removal, imperiled species management, cultural resource management and restoration of natural conditions.

The Land Use Component is the recreational resource allocation plan for the park. Based on considerations such as access, population, adjacent land uses, the natural and cultural resources of the park, and current public uses and existing development, measurable objectives are set to achieve the desired allocation of the physical space of the park. These objectives identify use areas and propose the types of facilities and programs as well as the volume of public use to be provided.

The Implementation Component consolidates the measurable objectives and actions for each of the park's management goals. An implementation schedule and cost estimates are included for each objective. Included in this table are (1) measures that will be used to evaluate the DRP's implementation progress, (2) timeframes for completing actions and objectives and (3) estimated costs to complete each action and objective.

All development and resource alteration proposed in this plan is subject to the granting of appropriate permits, easements, licenses, and other required legal instruments. Approval of the management plan does not constitute an exemption from complying with the appropriate local, state or federal agencies. This plan is also intended to meet the requirements for beach and shore preservation, as defined in Chapter 161, Florida Statutes, and Chapters 62B-33, 62B-36 and 62R-49, Florida Administrative Code.

Secondary & Incompatible Uses

In accordance with 253.034(5) F.S., the potential of the park to accommodate secondary management purposes was analyzed. These secondary purposes were considered within the context of DRP's statutory responsibilities and the resource needs and values of the park. This analysis considered the park's natural and cultural resources, management needs, aesthetic values, visitation and visitor experiences. For this park, it was determined that no secondary purposes could be accommodated in a manner that would not interfere with the primary purpose of resource-based outdoor recreation and conservation.

DRP has determined that uses such as, water resource development projects, water supply projects, stormwater management projects, linear facilities and sustainable agriculture and forestry (other than those forest management activities specifically identified in this plan) would not be consistent with this plan or the management purposes of the park and should be discouraged.

In accordance with 253.034(5) F.S. The potential for generating revenue to enhance management was also analyzed. Visitor fees and charges are the principal source of revenue generated by the park. It was determined that multiple-use management activities would not be appropriate as a means of generating revenues for land management. Instead, techniques such as entrance fees, concessions and similar measures will be employed on a case-by-case basis as a means of supplementing park management funding.

Contract Services

DRP may provide the services and facilities outlined in this plan either with its own funds and staff or through an outsourcing contract. Private contractors may provide assistance with natural resource management and restoration activities or a concessionaire may provide services to park visitors in order to enhance the visitor experience. For example, a concessionaire could be authorized to sell merchandise and food and to rent recreational equipment for use in the park. A concessionaire may also be authorized to provide specialized services, such as interpretive tours, or overnight accommodations when the required capital investment exceeds that which DRP can elect to incur. Decisions regarding outsourcing, contracting with the private sector, the use of concessionaires, etc. are made on a case-by-case basis in accordance with the policies set forth in DRP's Operations Manual (OM).

Management Authority & Responsibility

In accordance with Chapter 258, Florida Statutes and Chapter 62D-2, Florida Administrative Code, the Division of Recreation and Parks (DRP) is charged with the responsibility of developing and operating Florida's recreation and parks system. These are administered in accordance with the following policy:

It shall be the policy of the Division of Recreation and Parks to promote the state park system for the use, enjoyment, and benefit of the people of Florida and visitors; to acquire typical portions of the original domain of the state which will be accessible to all of the people, and of such character as to emblemize the state's natural values; conserve these natural values for all time; administer the development, use and maintenance of these lands and render such public service in so doing, in such a manner as to enable the people of Florida and visitors to enjoy these values without depleting them; to contribute materially to the development of a strong mental, moral, and physical fiber in the people; to provide for perpetual preservation of historic sites and memorials of statewide significance and interpretation of their history to the people; to contribute to the tourist appeal of Florida.

The Board of Trustees of the Internal Improvement Trust Fund (Trustees) has granted management authority of certain sovereign submerged lands to the DRP under Management Agreement MA 68-086 (as amended January 19, 1988). The management

7

area includes a 400-foot zone from the edge of mean high water where a park boundary borders sovereign submerged lands fronting beaches, bays, estuarine areas, rivers or streams. Where emergent wetland vegetation exists, the zone extends waterward 400 feet beyond the vegetation. The agreement is intended to provide additional protection to resources of the park and nearshore areas and to provide authority to manage activities that could adversely affect public recreational uses.

Many operating procedures are standardized system-wide and are set by internal direction. These procedures are outlined in the OM that covers such areas as personnel management, uniforms and personal appearance, training, signs, communications, fiscal procedures, interpretation, concessions, public use regulations, resource management, law enforcement, protection, safety and maintenance.

General Park Management Goals

The following park goals express DRP's long-term management intent:

- Provide administrative support for all park functions;
- Protect water quality and quantity;
- Restore hydrology to the extent feasible and maintain the restored condition;
- Restore and maintain the natural communities and habitats;
- Maintain, improve, or restore imperiled species populations and habitats;
- Remove exotic and invasive species and conduct maintenance-control;
- Protect, preserve, and maintain the cultural resources of the park;
- Provide public access and recreational opportunities in the park;
- Develop and maintain the necessary capital facilities and infrastructure.

Management Coordination

The park is managed in accordance with all applicable laws and administrative rules. Agencies having a major or direct role in the management of the park are discussed in this plan.

The Florida Department of Agriculture and Consumer Services (FDACS), Florida Forest Service (FFS), assists DRP staff in the development of wildfire emergency plans and provides the authorization required for prescribed burning. The Florida Fish and Wildlife Conservation Commission (FWC) assists staff in the enforcement of state laws pertaining to wildlife, freshwater fish and other aquatic life existing within the park. In addition, the FWC aids DRP with wildlife management programs, including imperiled species management. The Florida Department of State (FDOS), Division of Historical Resources (DHR) assists staff to ensure protection of archaeological and historical sites. The Florida Department of Environmental Protection (DEP), Florida Coastal Office (FCO) aids staff in aquatic preserves management programs. The DEP, Bureau of Beaches and Coastal Systems aids staff in planning and construction activities seaward of the Coastal Construction Control Line (CCCL). In addition, the Bureau of Beaches and Coastal Systems aid the staff in the development of erosion control projects.

Public Participation

DRP provided an opportunity for public input by conducting a public workshop and an Advisory Group meeting to present the draft management plan to the public. These meetings were held on October 4 and October 18, 2021. Meeting notices were published

in the Florida Administrative Register (October 8 Vol. 47/196) included on the Department Internet Calendar, posted in clear view at the park, and promoted locally. The purpose of the Advisory Group meeting is to provide the Advisory Group members an opportunity to discuss the draft management plan (see Addendum 2).

Other Designations

Caladesi Island State Park is not within an Area of Critical State Concern as defined in Section 380.05, Florida Statutes, and it is not presently under study for such designation. The park is a component of the Florida Greenways and Trails System, administered by the Department's Office of Greenways and Trails.

All waters within the park have been designated as Outstanding Florida Waters, pursuant to Chapter 62-302, Florida Administrative Code. Surface waters in this park are also classified as Class III waters by the Department. This park is within the Pinellas County Aquatic Preserve as designated under the Florida Aquatic Preserve Act of 1975 (Section 258.35, Florida Statutes).

RESOURCE MANAGEMENT COMPONENT

The DRP has implemented resource management programs for the perpetual preservation of representative examples of the state's significant natural and cultural resources. This component of the plan describes the natural and cultural resources of the park and identifies the methods that will be used to manage them. Management measures expressed in this plan are consistent with the DRP's overall mission in natural systems management.

The DRP's resource management philosophy is guided by the principles of natural systems management. Emphasis is placed on restoring and maintaining the natural processes that shaped the structure, function, and species composition of Florida's diverse natural communities as they occurred in the original domain. Single species management for imperiled species can be accommodated on a case-by-case basis and should be compatible with the maintenance and restoration of natural processes.

The DRP's management goal for cultural resources is to preserve sites and objects that represent Florida's cultural periods, significant historic events, or persons contributing to the history of Florida. This goal entails active measures to stabilize, reconstruct, restore, or rehabilitate cultural resources. Appropriate public use of cultural resources will be considered according to the park's unit classification and the sensitivity of the resources.

Park units are often components of larger ecosystems, and their proper management can be affected by conditions that occur beyond park boundaries. Ecosystem management is implemented through an evaluation program that assesses resource conditions, refines management activities, and reviews local and regional development permit applications for park impacts.

The entire park is divided into management zones that delineate areas on the ground that are used to coordinate management activities (see Management Zones Map). The shape and size of each zone may be based on natural community type, burn zone, and the location of existing roads and fire breaks.

Management Goals, Objectives, and Actions

Measurable objectives, and actions have been identified for each of the DRP's management goals for Caladesi Island State Park. The goals, objectives, and actions identified in this management plan will serve as the basis for developing annual work plans for the park. The ten-year management plan is based on conditions that exist at the time the plan is developed. The annual work plans provide the flexibility needed to adapt to future conditions as they change during the ten-year management planning cycle. As the park's annual work plans are implemented through the ten-year cycle, it may become necessary to adjust the management plan's priority schedules and cost estimates to reflect these changing conditions.

Topography

Caladesi Island State Park is in the Gulf Coastal Lagoons and Barrier Chain subzone of the Coastal Lowlands physiographic region. The barrier islands along the coast of westcentral Florida originated as sandbars, expanded laterally as a series of accreting, linear ridges of quartz sand, and pulverized shell. Wave action is subdued along this stretch of Florida's coast, a fact reflected in the modest height of its sand dunes and the relatively flat topography of its islands. The elevation of the park ranges from mean sea level to about 10 feet. The highest elevations tend to be on berms, which have been deposited parallel to the shoreline and mark the landward extent of the beach dune community. Spoil piles next to canals dug through the mangroves form sharply elevated features. Occasionally, escarpments of four to six feet form on the west-facing portion of the island (Elko 2001). Caladesi Island has not suffered significant overwash since at least 1921, when a washout caused by a hurricane split the island off Hog Island, to the north.

<u>Geology</u>

Underlying the island is the Hawthorne Formation, a geologic formation of the Lower Miocene Period. It consists of interbedded sand, clay, marl, limestone, lenses of Fuller's earth, and land-pebble phosphate.

The island evolved by upward aggregation of an offshore bar between 4,800 and 7,000 years ago when sea level rise slowed enough to allow sufficient sediment accumulation. Sand was supplied by longshore currents and sediment winnowing, perhaps aided by hurricane processes. It may have been part of a larger island. About 4,000 years ago, the rate of sea-level rise began to exceed sedimentation rates, and the island was reduced by erosion. A reversal of these conditions occurred about 3,000 years ago making sedimentation dominant once more. In the last century, it was part of Honeymoon Island to the north, both islands then being known as Hog Island. The two were separated by a Hurricane in 1921. Caladesi Island attained its modern configuration during this most recent period of slowly rising sea level (Brame 1976). Global climate change and expected sea level rise will likely continue to significantly affect the island (Florida Oceans and Coastal Council 2010).

Caladesi Island has been typified as being in a class of landform known as a drumstick barrier island, which is characterized by the short length, wide prograding beach ridge development on the updrift end, and a low, narrow configuration of the downdrift end that is occasionally overwashed and more vulnerable to erosion. The shape is the result of the combined force of waves and tidal currents at the ends of the island (Davis 1989). However, the combination of human modification to the back-barrier environment and the impact of major hurricanes caused several significant morphologic changes to Caladesi Island. Overall, Caladesi Island is transforming from a drumstick barrier island into a wave-dominated barrier island (Elko 2001). The current configuration of the island is evolving as part of natural and anthropogenic processes reshaping the coastprocesses not controllable by management measures.

<u>Soils</u>

The natural portions of the island consist of undifferentiated sand, shell, clay, marl and peat mostly less than 4,500 years old. There are four soil types on Caladesi Island as described in the Soil Survey of Pinellas County by the Soil Conservation Service (see Soils Map). The soil types are coastal beaches, made land, St. Lucie fine sand with shell substratum, tidal swamp, and tidal marsh. Addendum 4 contains soil descriptions.

Caladesi was once part of a larger island to the north, now reduced in mass and divided in two in 1921 by storm activity and sea level rise. In the 1970s and 1980s, the southern end of Caladesi experienced severe erosion as a spit extended northward from Clearwater Beach into a northwest-southeast orientation.



Caladesi Island State Park Management Zones Map





Erosion abated for a time in the 1990s, once the prograding spit sealed off the mouth of Dunedin Pass, joining Caladesi Island to Clearwater Beach. The slender, north end of Caladesi is also unstable due to the dynamics of Hurricane Pass and frequently shifts about, but this is normal for a barrier island and not regarded as an erosion problem. Management measures will continue to follow generally accepted best management practices to prevent soil erosion and conserve soil and water resources on site.

Minerals

There are no known mineral resources at Caladesi Island State Park.

<u>Hydrology</u>

The hydrology of Caladesi Island is characterized by a subterranean lens of fresh water sustained by rainfall. The lens is not affected by hydrological conditions on the mainland and it is undisturbed by human withdrawals on the island since the water used by visitors and staff is piped from municipal facilities. The groundwater is often manifested in surface depressions. One small basin is believed to be natural; nineteen others were excavated as part of a mosquito control project in the 1970s. Small fish were stocked in the basins to eat mosquito larvae. Surface water is also present seasonally in the swales between sediment ridges on the south end of the island. These features have been called Cat's-eye ponds because of their long, narrow configuration.

Hydrological Management

Goal: Protect water quality and quantity in the park, restore hydrology to the extent feasible and maintain the restored condition.

The natural hydrology of most state parks has been impaired prior to acquisition to one degree or another. Florida's native habitats are precisely adapted to natural drainage patterns and seasonal water level fluctuations, and variations in these factors frequently determine the types of natural communities that occur on a particular site. Even minor changes to natural hydrology can result in the loss of plant and animal species from a landscape. Restoring state park lands to original natural conditions often depends on returning natural hydrological processes and conditions to the park.

As explained in the hydrology section, there is no depletion of groundwater resources on the island. There is also no soil erosion problem on the island. The dynamic movement of sandbars and spits reflects the forces of natural phenomena and does not constitute adverse impacts implied by the concept of soil erosion.

Natural Communities

This section of the management plan describes and assesses each of the natural communities found in the state park. It also describes of the desired future condition (DFC) of each natural community and identifies the actions that will be required to bring the community to its desired future condition. Specific management objectives and actions for natural community management, exotic species management, imperiled species management, and population restoration are discussed in the Resource Management Program section of this component.

Table 1. Natural Communities and Altered Landcovers			
Natural Community	Acreage	Percentage	
Marine Seagrass Bed	1,104.62	45.7%	
Marine Unconsolidated Substrate	606.13	25.1%	
Mangrove Swamp	372.67	15.4%	
Coastal Strand	146.25	6.0%	
Mesic Flatwoods	75.53	3.1%	
Beach Dune	57.09	2.4%	
Marine Mollusk Reef	19.11	0.8%	
Coastal Interdunal Swale	16.67	0.7%	
Maritime Hammock	8.66	0.4%	
Shell Mound	0.96	0.0%	
Altered Landcover	Acreage	Percentage	
Developed	12.35	0.5%	
Total	2,420.04		

The system of classifying natural communities employed in this plan was developed by the Florida Natural Areas Inventory (FNAI). The premise of this system is that physical factors such as climate, geology, soil, hydrology, and fire frequency generally determine the species composition of an area, and that areas that are similar with respect to those factors will tend to have natural communities with similar species compositions. Obvious differences in species composition can occur despite similar physical conditions. In other instances, physical factors are substantially different, yet the species compositions are similar. Some physical influences may vary from FNAI's descriptions.

When a natural community reaches the desired future condition, it is considered to be in a maintenance condition. Required actions for sustaining a community's maintenance condition may include; maintaining optimal fire return intervals for fire dependent communities, ongoing control of non-native plant and animal species, maintaining natural hydrological functions, preserving a community's biodiversity and vegetative structure, protecting viable populations of plant and animal species, and preserving intact ecotones that link natural communities across the landscape.

BEACH DUNE – 57.09 acres

<u>Desired Future Condition</u>: Comprised of wind-deposited fore dune and wave-deposited upper beach, beach dune is one of the most dynamic communities recognized. A coastal mound or ridge of unconsolidated sediments is found along shorelines with high-energy waves. Vegetation will consist of herbaceous, dune-forming grass species such as sea oats (*Uniola paniculata*) and saltmeadow cordgrass (*Spartina patens*). Other typical species may include coastal searocket (*Cakile lanceolata*), railroad vine (*Ipomoea pescaprae*), seashore paspalum (*Paspalum vaginatum*), beach morning glory (*Ipomoea imperati*), and sand spur (*Cenchrus* spp.). Occasionally, shrubs such as seagrape (*Coccoloba uvifera*) may be scattered within the herbaceous vegetation.

<u>Description and Assessment</u>: Beach dune occupies the higher energy, western shoreline of Caladesi Island, and extends toward the mainland as a sand spit near the north tip along Hurricane Pass. The dunes are relatively low, reaching a maximum elevation of about five feet above mean sea level.



Caladesi Island State Park Natural Communities Map







Source: Esri, Maxar, GeoEye, Earthstar Geographics, CNES/Airbus DS, USDA, USGS, AeroGRID, IGN, and the GIS User Community

The prevalence of pioneer species characterizes this community such as sea oats, saltmeadow cordgrass, bitter panicgrass (*Panicum amarum*), seashore paspalum, beach elder (*Iva imbricata*), shoreline sea-purslane (*Sesuvium portalacastrum*), and inkberry (*Scaevola plumieri*). Human impact is kept to a minimum using dune boardwalks, signs indicating that an area is environmentally sensitive, and the selective use of a single-service road over the dune for park vehicle traffic. Historic monocultures of Australian pine (*Casuarina equisetifolia*) have been removed from this zone. The dunes of Caladesi Island remain as an excellent example of this Gulf Coast barrier island habitat type. Erosion along the southern third of the Gulf shoreline is part of a larger, systemic reconfiguration of the Clearwater Beach Island.

The beach dune community is important for several imperiled shorebird species such as snowy plover (*Charadrius nivosus*), Wilson's plover (*Charadrius wilsonia*), and American oystercatcher (*Haematopus palliates*), which use the dune habitat for nesting annually (February – August). Shorebird nests that are located in the dunes are posted with rope and signage to provide a buffer in accordance with Florida Fish and Wildlife Conservation Commission (FWC) guidelines and the DRP operations manual. Imperiled loggerhead sea turtles (*Caretta caretta*) also use the low dunes along the beach for nesting each summer (May – September). Each nest is located and fitted with a self-releasing screen or cage in accordance with FWC guidelines to prevent depredation by raccoons (*Procyon lotor*). Gopher tortoise (*Gopherus poyphemus*) burrows punctuate the dry swales and high ridges of this community. Additional species occasionally found in this community include the Eastern diamondback rattlesnake (*Crotalus adamanteus*) and dusky pigmy rattlesnake (*Sistrurus miliarius barbouri*). The dune habitat is in good condition.

<u>General Management Measures</u>: The dunes of Caladesi Island are in excellent condition and serve as good representative examples of this ecotype. This system will require consistent management effort to preserve this level of health. Maintenance control over exotic invasive vegetation should continue. Minimizing human disturbance is an important management activity as well. Shorebird nesting surveys, including winter shorebird surveys should continue to make management aware of changing use patterns. Typically, recreational use should be excluded where nesting and resting behaviors are observed by posting signs and erecting barriers. Channeled visitor access through the beach dune should continue parkwide to protect the fragile dune vegetation outside of the pathways from foot traffic. Educational signage about the beach dune and inhabitants should be installed to interpret this community to park visitors. Daily sea turtle nesting surveys should continue during season to locate turtle nesting sites, and protective measures should be installed where needed to prevent raccoon depredation. Predator control should continue as needed, prior to shorebird and sea turtle nesting season. Gopher tortoise burrow surveys should continue in the beach dune community.

COASTAL STRAND – 146.25 acres

<u>Desired Future Condition</u>: This natural community is characterized by stabilized, winddeposited coastal dunes that will be thickly vegetated with evergreen salt-tolerant shrubs. An ecotonal community generally lies between the beach dune and maritime hammock, scrub, or tidal swamp. Coastal strand dunes contain deep, well-drained sands that are generally quite stable but become susceptible to severe damage if the vegetation is significantly disturbed. Temperate plant species dominate the area including saw palmetto (*Serenoa repens*), cabbage palms (*Sabal palmetto*), coinvine (*Dahlbergia ecastophyllum*), red cedar (*Juniperus virginiana*), live oak (*Quercus virginiana*), seagrape, shell mound prickly-pear (*Opuntia stricta*), snowberry (*Chiococca*) *alba*), and numerous others. Smooth domed canopies develop as the taller vegetation is "pruned" by the windblown salt spray that kills the outer buds. This process is not as prevalent on the west coast of Florida or on the leeward side of islands due to prevailing easterly winds. Significant debate occurs about the relative frequency of natural fires on barrier islands compared to inland pyric communities. The Division of Recreation and Parks (DRP) Fire Management Standard estimates the appropriate fire return interval to be between four and 15 years. Variability outside this range may occur.

Description and Assessment: The coastal strand of Caladesi Island occurs as broad vegetated zones, landward of the dune system, graduating to tidal swamp on the northern half of the island, and mesic flatwoods on the southern. It is characterized by its placement along the highest ridge on the island, and by having some floristic components from the beach dune community, some from the mesic flatwood community, and some unique salt-tolerant shrubs. Typical species include cocoplum, cabbage palm, saw palmetto, sea grape, shell-mound pricklypear, saffron plum (*Sideroxylon celastrinum*), coinvine, yellow necklace pod (*Sophora tomentosa var. truncata*), and strangler fig (*Ficus aurea*). Major infestations of Brazilian pepper and Australian pine have been treated on the strands of Caladesi Island. Persistent re-treatments and an active prescribed fire program have resulted in very low current infestation levels. Gopher tortoise burrows cover this landscape with the highest density of burrows per acre recorded in the coastal strand on Caladesi Island. The island has a healthy population of Eastern diamondback rattlesnakes (*Crotalus adamanteus*), which are also commonly found in the coastal strand. The coastal strand is in excellent condition.

<u>General Management Measures</u>: A persistent invasive exotic treatment program and a frequent fire cycle should continue in order to maintain the high quality of this habitat. Prescribed fire is necessary for the reduction of dangerous wildfire fuel levels and to maintain an open understory for gopher tortoises. Surveys of gopher tortoise populations should continue following prescribed burns to assess population densities.

COASTAL INTERDUNAL SWALE – 16.67 acres

<u>Desired Future Condition</u>: Coastal interdunal swale is a variable community which manifests as marshes, moist grasslands, dense shrublands, or damp flats occurring in strips between successive dune ridges that develop as beach building occurs seaward. Dominant plant species may be variable and a function of local hydrology, saltwater occurrence, and the age of the swale. Shallower areas may have a diverse mixture of herbs and grasses, including marsh fimbry (*Fimbristylis spadicea*), broomsedges, and salt meadow cordgrass. Shrubby areas may contain wax myrtle (*Myrica cerifera*). Hurricanes and tropical storms can flood the swales with salt water, which causes the recolonization of salt-tolerant species like saltgrass (*Distichlis spicata*), seashore paspalum (*Paspalum vaginatum*) and seashore dropseed (*Sporobolus virginicus*).

<u>Description and Assessment</u>: Coastal interdunal swale exists on Caladesi Island as moist grasslands in linear strips between successive dune ridges along the west coast of the island. These areas are differentiated from the beach dune and coastal grassland communities by the lack of species such as sea oats and they tend to be wetter, holding water longer than surrounding areas. Dominant plant species include broomsedges, marsh fimbry, saltgrass, and saltmeadow cordgrass. Older coastal interdunal swales in the middle of the island have long since succeeded to coastal strand, and maritime hammock. The coastal interdunal swale communities at Caladesi Island is in excellent condition with very minimal exotic plant coverage.

<u>General Management Measures</u>: Management activities that routinely occur include exotic plant and animal control. Every effort should be made to continue to monitor and remove exotic vegetation before it becomes established.

MARITIME HAMMOCK – 8.66 acres

<u>Desired Future Condition</u>: A coastal evergreen hardwood forest will occur in narrow bands along coastal dunes. Canopy species will typically consist of live oak and cabbage palm. The canopy is typically dense and often pruned by salt-spray. Understory species may consist of saw palmetto and wax myrtle. Very sparse or absent herbaceous groundcover will exist. Typical animals include green treefrogs (*Hyla cinerea*), southern ring-necked snake (*Diadophis punctatus*), eastern (yellow) ratsnakes (*Pantherophis alleghaniensis*), and gray squirrels (*Sciurus carolinensis*). Migrating birds rely on these forests for food and shelter following trans-gulf migrations.

<u>Description and Assessment</u>: This community occurs on the higher, more centrally located part of the island. Canopy trees are live oak and cabbage palm with swamp bay (Persea palustris) and red cedar in the lower spots. The understory is composed of both tropical myrsine (*Myrsine cubana*) and temperate wax myrtle shrubs. In places, slash pine (*Pinus elliottii*) occurs singly or in groups of two to three and produces enough pine needle litter to suppress the characteristic maritime hammock elements and allow the growth of flatwoods species. These variations or intergrading patterns with mesic flatwoods, which occur mostly on the periphery of the maritime hammock, mean the boundary between them cannot be clearly delineated. The line of demarcation between maritime hammock and mesic flatwoods is therefore not as sharp as indicated on the natural communities map. Humus build-up contributes to moisture retention, and a nearly complete canopy of cabbage palms and live oaks minimizes temperature fluctuations by reducing soil warming during the day and heat loss at night.

This community is generally in good condition. The hammocks on Caladesi Island however, harbor ideal conditions for exotic plant infestations to proliferate. Cogongrass (*Imperata cylindrical*), rosary pea (*Abrus precatorius*), and Brazilian pepper are established here and will require persistent maintenance to control infestations of these invasive species.

<u>General Management Measures</u>: The maintenance program includes an active invasive exotic treatment plan to treat and control the regrowth of invasive exotics. Prescribed fire in the adjacent mesic flatwoods will help to define the boundaries of the maritime hammocks along naturally occurring ecotones.

MESIC FLATWOODS – 75.53 acres

<u>Desired Future Condition</u>: In this region of the state, inland pine flatwoods will be dominated by slash pine. Native herbaceous groundcover should occur over at least 50 percent of the area and be less than three feet in height. Saw palmetto will comprise no more than 50 percent of total shrub species cover, and are less than three feet in height. Shrubs are generally knee-high or less, and there are few if any large trunks of saw palmetto along the ground. Nearly all plants and animals inhabiting this community are adapted to periodic fires; several species depend on fire for their continued existence. The integrity of the mesic flatwoods community is contingent on administering periodic fires. The Optimal Fire Return Interval is 2 to 4 years. *Description and Assessment:* The mesic flatwoods community is one of the few remaining on Gulf Coast barrier islands of southwestern Florida. It is best developed along a single ridge that occurs on the southwestern part of the main island, between the coastal strand and the maritime hammock. From this location, the community extends in less developed form, as a band that almost encircles the more centrally located maritime hammock. The canopy of the pine flatwoods consists typically of slash pine, red cedar, and cabbage palm, with a definite understory. The presence of the understory itself makes this community different from the upland open-canopy forest with little or no understory. The understory includes wax myrtle, saw palmetto, Chapman's goldenrod (*Solidago odora* var. *chapmanii*), and grasses along with sea grapes, buttonwood (*Conocarpus erectus*), and white mangrove (*Laguncularia recemosa*). This community is in good condition, and portions of it are in excellent condition, although it was once dense with Brazilian pepper. The park staff have treated these infestations and re-entrants are taken out whenever they are found. Cogongrass and rosary pea are also found here. Gopher tortoises are found in this community.

<u>General Management Measures</u>: Frequent prescribed fire should continue to maintain the quality of the flatwoods. Exotic treatment efforts should take advantage of increased access to infestations following fires. Gopher tortoise burrow surveys should continue to be conducted following prescribed burns as well. Monitoring of osprey (*Pandion haliaetus*), and great horned owl (*Bubo virginianus*) use should continue during nesting seasons with seasonal closures and buffers installed as necessary.

SHELL MOUND – 0.96 acres

Desired Future Condition: Shell Mound is unusual among the biological communities in that it is largely a result of the activities of man instead of natural physical factors. Shell Mound is generally characterized as an elevated mound of mollusk shells and aboriginal garbage on which a hardwood, closed-canopy forest develops. In some cases, a sparse shrubby community, sometimes with cactus, may develop in lieu of hammock vegetation. Typical plants include cabbage palm, red cedar, live oak, coral bean (*Erythrina herbacea*), saffron plum, coontie (*Zamia integrifolia*), and others. Shell Mound soils are composed of shells and shell fragments with an organic component derived from forest litter. Because they are constructed of archaeological remains, shell mounds are vulnerable to damage by artifact-seekers and archaeological excavations. Sites where visitor use is not monitored should not be publicized.

Description and Assessment: There is a Pre-Columbian burial mound within the mangrove swamp. The natural vegetation that colonized this man-made feature fits the category of a shell mound community as described by FNAI. It is raised approximately four feet above the substrate of the surrounding swamp and is generally ellipsoid, with the longest axis running in a north-south direction. The mound has been disturbed twice: once by anthropologists and a second time by the digging of a mosquito control canal that bisected it. The most abundant plant in the overstory is Brazilian pepper, but a few cabbage palms, saffron plums, red cedar, and live oaks are present. The understory is rich and contains white stopper (*Eugenia axillaris*), Spanish bayonet (*Yucca aloifolia*), yellow necklace pod, snowberry, sea grape, and seasidejack bean (*Canavalia rosea*). Epiphytes present are typical for shell mound vegetation and include Spanish moss (*Tillandsia usenoides*) and ball moss (*T. recurvata*). There are several gopher tortoise burrows on the mound, and the habitat is suitable for small animals like rodents, reptiles, and amphibians. The shell mound natural community at Caladesi Island likely represents the northernmost example of its kind on the west coast of Florida.

<u>General Management Measures</u>: The shell mound is in a remote area and should be visited annually to assess the stability of the site, by trained staff only. Invasive exotic plants should be treated when possible to maintain the integrity of the site.

MARINE SEAGRASS BED - 1,104.62 acres

Desired Future Condition: Marine seagrass beds are floral based natural communities typically characterized as expansive stands of vascular plants. This community occurs in subtidal (rarely intertidal) zones, in clear, coastal waters where wave energy is moderate. The three most common species of seagrasses in Florida are turtle grass (*Thalassia testudinum*), manatee grass (*Syringodium filiforme*), and shoal grass (Halodule wrightii). Attached to the seagrass leaf blades are numerous species of epiphytic algae and invertebrates. Together, seagrasses and their epiphytes serve as important food sources for Florida manatees (Trichechus manatus latirostris), marine turtles, and many fish, including spotted seatrout (*Cynoscion nothus*), sheepshead (Archosargus probatocephalus), and redfish (Sciaenops ocellatus). The dense seagrasses also serve as shelter or nursery grounds for many invertebrates and fish, including marine mollusks, blue crab (Callinectes sapidus), sea stars, sea urchins, seahorses, mullet (*Mugil cephalus*), and needlefish (*Strongylura marina*). Seagrass beds require very specific conditions to establish and grow. Important factors include water temperature, salinity, wave energy, tidal activity, and available light. Meadows are vulnerable to a variety of human impacts, but specifically susceptible to long-term scarring cuts from boat propellers, anchors and trawls. Such gouges may require many years to become revegetated. When protected from disturbances, they can regenerate and recolonize.

Description and Assessment: This offshore natural community is the largest in the park. Dominant species are turtle grass, shoal grass, and manatee grass. Ecologically, these grass beds are important components of the estuary as they stabilize sediments and provide nurseries, food, and shelter to many estuarine organisms. A study in 1992 showed that seagrass coverage for the nearshore areas of Honeymoon and Caladesi Islands has decreased by 20 percent during the previous 20 years and that propeller scars had increased dramatically. These impacts were correlated with an increase in boat traffic as indicated by the rise in statewide boat registrations (Bard 1992). Moreover, a statewide assessment of propeller scar damage, made by the Florida Marine Research Institute (FMRI) with an aerial survey in 1992-93, identified most of the marine grass beds adjacent to Caladesi as suffering moderate (5-20 percent) to light damage (5 percent). In response to this information, an exclusion zone for motorized watercraft was established along the east side of the island. Fifty-one signs were placed around the perimeter of the seagrass beds. The park boundary, which at that time only included a portion of the seagrass beds, was extended to give complete protection. Since that time, the meadows have been recovering. The Florida Seagrass Integrated Mapping and Monitoring Program summary report for Western Pinellas County found that between 2006 and 2008 seagrass beds increased in size in Clearwater Sound by about eight percent (Yarbro, Carlson 2011). They are in good condition, but still impacted by turbidity and occasional prop scarring.

<u>General Management Measures</u>: The best management practice is to preserve and protect seagrass beds in their natural state. Existing motor exclusion zones should be maintained with perimeter signage replaced as necessary to be effective.

MARINE MOLLUSK REEF – 19.11 acres

Desired Future Condition: The most developed mollusk reefs are generally restricted to estuarine areas and are dominated by the eastern oyster (*Crassostrea virginica*). Numerous other sessile and benthic invertebrates live among the collage of mollusk shells. Most common are lightning whelk (*Busycon sinistrum*), blue crab (*Callinectes sapidus*), stone crab (*Menippe mercenaria*), and lined seastars (*Luidia clathrat*). Several fish also frequently occur near or feed among mollusk reefs including cownose ray (*Rhinoptera bonasus*), lizardfish (*Synodus foetens*), gafftopsail catfish (*Bagre marinus*), pinfish (*Lagodon rhomboides*), spotted seatrout (*Cynoscion nebulosus*), black drum (*Pogonias cromis*), and black mullet (*Mugil cephalus*). Mollusk reefs that are exposed during low tides (e.g., coon oysters) are frequented by shorebirds, wading birds, raccoons, and other vertebrates. Reef-building mollusks require a hard (consolidated) substrate on which the planktonic larvae (i.e., spat) settle and complete development. Hard substrates include rocks, limestone, and other mollusk shells. The spat dies if it settles on soft (unconsolidated) substrates, such as mud, sand or grass. Once

<u>Description and Assessment</u>: The marine mollusk reefs located near Caladesi Island were reported in previous editions of this plan to have declined in the mid-1980s. This was attributed to the two severe freezes of 1983 and 1984. Since that time, the reef acreage has remained stable. The reefs are composed of eastern and frond oysters (*Dendostrea frons*) and are exposed at low tide. Mollusk reefs typically harbor other sessile and benthic invertebrates, which attach to and live within the collage of oyster shells. This community provides an important feeding ground for several species of wading birds. Other factors, which may account for the general poor condition of the reefs, include causeway construction to Honeymoon Island and Clearwater Beach, destruction of many acres of mangroves and grass flats near Clearwater, and the gradual shoaling and shifting northward of Dunedin Pass. These factors greatly alter the flow patterns and water quality in the area.

<u>General Management Measures</u>: Water quality and available hard substrate are the two largest factors contributing to mollusk reef success. Appropriate substrate exists where reefs have historically occurred. Water quality conditions are highly variable and rely on factors beyond the control of management measures. Pinellas County, Tampa Bay Aquatic Preserve, and other organizations monitor the reefs and the water quality.

MANGROVE SWAMP – 372.67 acres

<u>Desired Future Condition</u>: Typically, a dense forest will occur along relatively flat, low wave energy, marine and estuarine shorelines. The dominant overstory includes red mangrove (*Rhizophora mangle*), black mangrove (*Avicennia germinans*), white mangrove, and buttonwood. These four species can occur either in mixed stands or often in differentiated, monospecific zones based on varying degrees of tidal influence, levels of salinity, and types of substrate. Red mangroves typically dominate the deepest water, followed by black mangrove in the intermediate zone, and white mangroves and buttonwood in the highest, least tidally-influenced zone. Mangroves typically occur in dense stands (with little to no understory) but may be sparse, particularly in the upper tidal reaches where salt marsh species predominate. When present, shrub species can include bushy seaside oxeye, and vines including gray nicker (*Caesalpinia bonduc*), coinvine, and herbaceous species such as saltwort (*Batis maritima*), perennial glasswort
(*Sarcocornia perennis*), and giant leather fern (*Acrostichum danaeifolium*). Soils are generally anaerobic and always saturated with brackish water, becoming inundated at high tides. Mangrove swamps occur on a wide variety of soils, ranging from sands and mud to solid limestone rock. Soils in South Florida are primarily calcareous marl muds or calcareous sands and along Central Florida coastlines, siliceous sands. In older mangrove swamps containing red mangroves, a layer of peat can build up over the soil from decaying plant material (primarily red and black mangrove roots).

<u>Description and Assessment</u>: This community dominates the eastern shoreline of Caladesi Island along all the low energy shorelines of the park where seawalls are absent. Black and red mangroves dominate the intertidal and tidal zones, and white mangroves and buttonwoods are located closer to the uplands in areas that are less frequently innundated. Glasswort, saltwort, and sea blite (Sueada linearis) are present in the ground layer. A low berm of decaying organic material develops along the water's edge that catches floating debris of every description.

This natural community, made up mainly of red mangrove trees, is the largest on the island. In the 1960s and early 1970s, mosquito control ditches were cut through a large segment of the swamp; these present a reticulated pattern when seen on a map. The spoil from the ditches was deposited in a series of piles rather than as parallel berms, so the detrital transport function of the swamp seems relatively unimpaired. These piles of spoil from old mosquito ditches provide suitable habitat for Brazilian pepper, which should be monitored and treated to prevent infestation. Additional species found in the mangrove swamp community includes mangrove salt marsh snake (*Nerodia clarkii compressicauda*) and mangrove cuckoo (*Coccyzus minor*). The mangrove swamp community at Caladesi Island is in excellent condition. Aside from the ditches, another noteworthy feature of the swamp is a small, Pre-Columbian burial mound secluded within it. This community is in good to excellent condition.

<u>General Management Measures</u>: The ecotone that occurs on the inland front of this community is susceptible to invasion by Brazilian pepper. Maintenance of exotic plant control is the most important management measure.

MARINE UNCONSOLIDATED SUBSTRATE – 606.13 acres

<u>Desired Future Condition</u>: The community will consist of expansive unvegetated, open areas of mineral-based substrate composed of shell, coral, marl, mud, or sand (sand beaches). Desired conditions include avoidance of soil compaction, absence of dredging activities, and absence of disturbances such as accumulated pollutants.

<u>Description and Assessment</u>: This term describes two different natural communities, one mainly along the Gulf Shore and the other on the bay side of the park. On the Gulf side, the community is commonly called a beach [which is the first synonym listed by FNAI (2010) for this natural community type]. It is made up of unconsolidated and unstable grains of sand, having a configuration that changes seasonally and from year to year. As a habitat, it can be classified as three zones with subtidal being the submerged zone, intertidal being the zone between low tide and high tide, and supratidal being the zone between high tide and the beach dune community. Each zone is associated with a characteristic suite of organisms. On the bay side of the islands, the community is commonly called a mudflat [another FNAI (2010) synonym]. These flats are above the surface of the water at low tide. They support numerous organisms that constitute a rich source of food for several species of birds.

The beach community is very important for several imperiled shorebird species such as snowy plover (*Charadrius nivosus*), Wilson's plover (*Charadrius wilsonia*), and American oystercatcher (*Haematopus palliates*), which use the habitat for foraging, resting, and nesting annually (March – August). Shorebird nests that are located along the beach are posted with rope and signage to provide a buffer in accordance with Florida Fish and Wildlife Conservation Commission (FWC) guidelines and the DRP operations manual. Imperiled loggerhead sea turtles (*Caretta caretta*) and the occasional Kemp's ridley sea turtle (*Lepidocheyls kempii*) also use the beach for nesting each summer (May – September). Each nest is located and fitted with a self-releasing screen or cage in accordance with FWC guidelines to prevent depredation by raccoons.

The mudflats of the eastern shoreline are in good condition. The sandy western shoreline however, while beautiful in appearance, is changing in several significant functions. A general reconfiguration of the shoreline is underway as part of a larger island shift. Over the last decades, storms and near shore currents carried much of the accumulated sand at Dunedin Pass north. A steady loss of sandy beach along southern Caladesi Island followed the loss of this contour. Beach access points have created zones of high recreational use that fragment the remaining habitat. Accreting shoals along Hurricane Pass also attract high recreational use during the warm nesting seasons. Beach nesting data for birds and sea turtles reflects this net loss of function.

<u>General Management Measures</u>: Maintaining a balance between the needs of the visiting public and the needs of imperiled species comprises much of the management effort. Areas of historic beach nesting bird use should be pre-posted to establish minimum setback distances between nesting birds and human disturbance. New bird nesting areas should be documented, monitored, and posted during nesting season. It may be necessary to close portions of this habitat seasonally where setback distances cannot be established. Winter shorebird use should continue to be monitored. Daily sea turtle nesting surveys should be completed annually during season (April 15th – October 31st) with all nesting sites fitted with self-releasing cages to protect from raccoon depredation. Nuisance predator removal efforts should also continue annually.

DEVELOPED – 12.35 acres

<u>Desired Future Condition</u>: The developed areas within the park will be managed to minimize the effect on adjacent natural areas. Priority invasive plant species (EPPC Category I and II species) will be removed from all developed areas. Other management measures include proper stormwater management and development guidelines that are compatible with prescribed fire management in adjacent natural areas.

<u>Description and Assessment</u>: A marina, concession, and support facilities comprise the majority of the developed areas of Caladesi Island. A visitor corridor connects the marina complex and beach access walks. Two staff residences and a maintenance area are located apart from the visitor areas. These areas are in excellent condition.

<u>General Management Measures</u>: Priority invasive plant species treatment should continue in the developed areas. Fire breaks should be maintained to provide asset protection when adjacent to pyric acreage. Interpretation should continue natural resource protection and imperiled species protection along the visitation corridors.

Natural Communities Management

Goal: Restore and maintain the natural communities/habitats of the park.

The DRP practices natural systems management. In most cases, this entails returning fire to its natural role in fire-dependent natural communities. Other methods to implement this goal include large-scale restoration projects as well as smaller scale natural communities' improvements. Following are the natural community management objectives and actions recommended for the state park.

Prescribed Fire Management

Prescribed fire is used to mimic natural lightning-set wildfires, which are one of the primary natural forces that shaped Florida's ecosystem. Prescribed burning increases the abundance and health of many wildlife species. A large number of Florida's imperiled species of plants and animals are dependent on periodic fire for their continued existence. Fire-dependent natural communities accumulate flammable vegetation, and prescribed fire reduces wildfire hazards by reducing these wild land fuels. All prescribed burns in the Florida state park system are conducted with authorization from the Florida Forest Service (FFS). Wildfire suppression activities are coordinated with the FFS.

In order to track fire management activities, the DRP maintains a statewide Natural Resource Tracking System database. The database allows staff to track various aspects of each park's fire management program including individual burn zone histories and fire return intervals, staff training and experience, backlog, etc. The database is also used for annual burn planning which allows the DRP to document fire management goals and objectives on an annual basis. Each quarter the database is updated, and reports are produced that track progress towards meeting annual burn objectives.

Objective A: Within 10 years, have 204 acres of the park maintained within the optimum fire return interval.

- Action 1 Update annual burn plan
- Action 2 Manage fire dependent communities by burning between 53-132 acres annually.

Prescribed fire is planned for each burn zone on the appropriate interval. The park's burn plan is updated annually because fire management is a dynamic process. To provide adaptive responses to changing conditions, fire management requires careful planning based on annual and very specific burn objectives. Each annual burn plan is developed to support and implement the broader objectives and actions outlined in this ten-year management plan.

Table 2. Prescribed Fire Management				
Natural Community	Acres	Optimal Fire Return Interval (Years)		
Coastal Strand	128	4-15		
Mesic Flatwoods	76	2-5		
Annual Target Acreage	53-132			

There are 204 acres of fire dependent communities to maintain on Caladesi Island, which are comprised of 76 acres of mesic flatwoods and 128 acres of coastal strand. Pine flatwoods were once a dominant coastal feature of Pinellas County. Early Spanish explorers on the Pinellas peninsula encountered primeval forests dominated by pine flatwoods, earning the name *punta pinal*, or point of pines (Smith et al. 2008). Core dating of mature slash pine date this forest at 150+ years old (Johnson 1993). Prescribed fire is used to maintain the health of the forest by reducing the herbaceous understory and protecting the canopy from lightning ignited wildfires. Gopher tortoises and eastern diamondback rattlesnakes have adapted to periodic fire. Prescribed fire is beneficial for the gopher tortoise population opening up the understory and allowing growth of grasses and forbs.

The pyric acreage is divided into 12 management zones ranging in size from 5 to 33 acres. Fire breaks are maintained around and within this acreage in the form of trails and service roads. The targeted burn acreage for the island is 53 to 132 acres annually to ensure an optimal fire return interval of 2 to 5 years for mesic flatwoods and 4 to 15 years for coastal strand. Staff can more easily access remote areas following fires to treat invasive exotic plant infestations. Gopher tortoise burrows are frequently found in the mesic flatwoods and coastal strand. Gopher tortoise burrow surveys are most easily accomplished following a prescribed fire.

Imperiled Species

Imperiled species are those that are tracked by FNAI as critically imperiled or imperiled, or listed by the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service (USFWS), Florida Fish and Wildlife Conservation Commission (FWC) or the Florida Department of Agriculture and Consumer Services (FDACS) as endangered, threatened, or of special concern.

The park has long been an important location for nesting shorebirds and seabirds, ranking among the top sites in the state, giving it global significance as a result (Douglass 2010). Listed species that have nested on Caladesi include black skimmer (*Rynchops niger*), least tern (*Sterna antillarum*), and American oystercatcher. Snowy and Wilson's plover nests have been recorded on the island. A moderate number of piping plovers (*Charadrius melodus*) and red knot (*Calidris canutus rufa*), which are both state and federally listed threatened species, forage and rest at the park

The mudflats and shorelines of the island's north tip are important wintering sites as well, annually used by piping plover (Charadrius melodus) and red knot (Calidris canutus rufa). Federal recovery plans for threatened piping plovers and red knots designate unaltered sandy beaches adjacent to inlets as critical habitats along the wintering range. Atlantic and Gulf Coast studies have highlighted the importance of inlets like Hurricane Pass for non-breeding piping plovers. Almost 90 percent of observations of roosting piping ployers at ten coastal sites in southwest Florida were on inlet shorelines. At inlets, foraging plovers are associated with moist substrate features such as intertidal flats, algal flats, and ephemeral pools. State parks, wildlife management areas, and other lands furnish important habitat and protection for migrating and wintering piping plovers (USFWS 2015). In 1994, FWC identified the northern mudflats of Caladesi Island as one of the state's important winter residence sites for a wide diversity of shorebird species. Seven to eight hundred individual birds were observed there during visits by agency biologists (Gore, 1994). The beach dune and marine unconsolidated substrate (beach and mudflats) natural communities were designated by the USFWS in 2001 as critical wintering habitat for the piping plover (USFWS 2001). This habitat designation begins at

Ш

mean lower low water (MLLW) and includes the north and west portions of Caladesi Island. This is significant since piping plover spend greater than 50 percent of their yearly cycle at wintering grounds (USFWS 1996). Species currently experiencing population declines such as the red knot and piping plovers will continue to be monitored within the state park. All parks, including Caladesi Island state park, will participate in FWC's winter shorebird survey to accurately capture how many birds are using Florida beaches for wintering and resting. All parks will also participate in the International Piping Plover census coordinated by the United States Geological Survey (USGS) every five years. The last census was conducted in 2016, and the 2021 census has been delayed a year due to CoVid-19 travel restrictions. When important resting and feeding areas are identified at these parks, proper signage and protection will be erected.

Nesting seabirds and shorebirds are monitored at Caladesi Island State Park in accordance with FWC and DRP Shorebird and Seabird Management standards. The island has historically supported several listed species of nesting shorebirds, including Wilson's plover, snowy plover, American oystercatcher, least tern, and black skimmer. Colonial nesting species have largely abandoned the island in recent years, leaving only the solitary nesters. Areas parkwide should be posted to protect nesting and resting shorebirds. Posting significant wildlife habitat in advance of seasonal occupation (preposting) can make the difference between occupied and unused nesting sites. Providing sufficient buffers to ensure that disturbances do not result in abandonment is critical. In areas of intense recreation activity, outreach and enforcement must accompany posting efforts. The DRP will continue to coordinate with FWC on enforcement and protection measures for critical shorebird and seabird nesting and resting areas.

The DRP will seek a balanced approach to minimize visitor impacts to shorebirds and the park's sensitive coastal habitats, while managing resource-based recreational activities. In collaboration with FWC, other government agencies, local non-governmental organizations, park staff will identify and delineate habitats and educate the public about shorebird protection. Management decisions will be informed by analysis of data on habitat use in the park during prior nesting seasons. This analysis will suggest areas of importance where focused management actions are needed. These actions will include:

- Demarcating potential shorebird habitat by enclosing the perimeter of the habitat and buffer area with appropriate fencing and signage.
- Encouraging and focusing visitor activities into areas less suitable for shorebird nesting habitat.
- Monitoring during the nesting season to identify and protect new breeding sites.
- Providing interpretive and educational outreach to the public prior to and during the nesting season to encourage visitor use that protects shorebirds and their habitat.
- When the same breeding sites are used year after year, posting the protected area will occur prior to the season (pre-posting).
- When new breeding sites are indicated, appropriate measures will be implemented, including demarcating new protected areas and expanding or initiating interpretive programs.
- Coordinating with FWC and local law enforcement agencies to ensure compliance with park rules and shorebird protection, as needed. This includes coordination with FWC law enforcement to enforce existing rules about dogs not being allowed on the beach.

As needed, park staff or volunteers will provide onsite interpretation to educate visitors about the management of imperiled shorebird habitat and identify suitable recreational areas. These outreach programs will commence prior to nesting seasons and prior to placing limits on access to recreational areas. Pre-posting the identified habitat areas combined with early public notification regarding the park's shorebird protection program will improve visitor compliance with park rules and promote broad-based public stewardship of shorebird nesting, resting, and foraging habitats in the park.

Imperiled loggerhead sea turtles nest at Caladesi Island between May and September each year. Kemp's ridley (*Lepidochelys kempii*) sea turtles have also been observed within park boundaries nesting during the daytime. In accordance with FWC protocol, park staff and volunteers survey the beach daily between April 15th and September 30th identifying new nests, and erecting boundary markers with signage. Nests are excavated three days after hatching is observed or 70 days from the date when eggs are first deposited. All nests are documented and recorded, including those lost to tidal inundation, erosion, or depredation. Depredation by nuisance animals such as raccoons is not currently a significant issue on the island. Morning surveyors locate the egg chamber and place a self-releasing cage over the eggs to deter depredation.

Park staff also coordinate with FWC-FWRI biologists from the Sea Turtle Stranding and Salvage Network (STSSN) to document stranding events of both live and dead sea turtles that occur at the park. Juvenile green sea turtles (*Chelonia mydas*), Atlantic hawksbill sea turtles (*Eretmochelys imbicata*), and Kemp's Ridley sea turtles have been found during cold stun events over the last several winters. Sea turtles are ectothermic and rely on the surrounding environment to regulate their body temperature. Cold stun events occur when the air and water temperature rapidly drops, which causes sea turtles trapped in the shallow coastal waters to become lethargic. They are unable to move very well, and can be forced onto the shore or in shallow areas by the currents. Without intervention, many of these turtles will not survive these cold weather events.

All exterior and interior lighting at the bathhouses and the concessionaire buildings along the beach is turned off after sunset during sea turtle nesting season to protect nesting and hatchling sea turtles. Disorientation events attributed to artificial light sources and area sky-glow near the park are reported to FWC and Pinellas County.

Florida manatees are commonly seen off the Gulf beaches in the warm summer months. Mating groups have wandered into swim areas on several occasions, giving visitors a closer look than expected. The seagrass beds on the bay side of the park are a prime source of food for foraging manatees.

The park supports a population of gopher tortoises, which are found in the beach dune, coastal strand, and mesic flatwoods communities. In December 2016, a gopher tortoise pilot survey funded by FWC was completed by FNAI scientists at Caladesi Island. Due to the low encounter rate of gopher tortoises in their burrows, a full survey was not completed at the park. Following prescribed fires at the park, staff document gopher tortoise burrows to better assess the gopher tortoise population densities.

In addition to the seven listed shorebird and seabird species mentioned above, more than 24 other designated avian species have been documented in the park. Seven designated reptile species, seven designated plants, and one designated mammal species have also been documented at the park. In addition to the Florida-listed inkberry and shell-mound pricklypear, three Florida endemic plants are found in the park, all of which have limited populations in the State and therefore in the world. The one most threatened is the West Coast dune sunflower (*Helianthus debilis* ssp. *vestitus*), found only on the west coast and only in six counties. This species is especially threatened by introductions of the East Coast dune sunflower for landscaping across the state; this species hybridizes with the West Coast one, causing loss of the latter's populations. Management includes verifying that any landscaping will exclude the use of the East Coast dune sunflower (*H. debilis* ssp. *debilis*). The other two endemics, although not listed, are the Florida amaranth (*Amaranth floridana*), also found in only six counties, and vente conmigo (*Croton glandulosus var. floridanus*).

Table 3 contains a list of all known imperiled species within the park and identifies their status as defined by various entities. It also identifies the types of management actions that are currently being taken by DRP staff or others, and identifies the current level of monitoring effort. The codes used under the column headings for management actions and monitoring level are defined following the table. Explanations for federal and state status as well as FNAI global and state rank are provided in Addendum 6.

Table 3. Imperiled Species Inventory						
Common and Scientific Name	Imperiled Species Status			inagement tions	nitoring Level	
	FWC	USFWS	FDACS	FNAI	Ma	Wo
PLANTS						
Golden leather fern Acrostichum aureum			FL-T	G5, S3	2,10	Tier 1
West coast dune sunflower Helianthus debilis ssp. vestitus				G5T2, S2	2,10	Tier 1
Shellmound pricklypear Opuntia stricta			FL-T		2,10	Tier 1
Leafless beaked ladiestresses Sacoila lanceolata var. lanceolata			FL-T		2,10	Tier 1
Inkberry Scaevola plumieri			FL-T		2,10	Tier 1
Giant airplant Tillandsia utriculata L.			FL-E		2,10	Tier 2
Florida Mayten Tricerma phyllanthoides			FL-T		2,10	Tier 1
REPTILES						
Loggerhead sea turtle Caretta caretta	FT	Т		G3, S3	2,5,8, 10,13	Tier 3
Green sea turtle Chelonia mydas	FE	E		G3, S2 S3	2,5,8, 10,13	Tier 3
Eastern indigo snake Drymarchon couperi	FT	Т		G3,\$3	1,2,13	Tier 1
Hawksbill sea turtle Eretmochelys imbricata	FE	E		G3, S1	10	Tier 1

Table 3. Imperiled Species Inventory						
Common and Scientific Name	Imperiled Species Status			anagement ctions	onitoring Level	
	FWC	USFWS	FDACS	FNAI	ŇĂ	Š
Kemp's ridley sea turtle Lepiodochelys kempii	FE	E		G1, S1	2,5,8, 10,13	Tier 3
Common king snake Lampropeltis getula				G5,\$2,\$3	1,2,13	Tier 1
Gopher tortoise Gopherus polyphemus	ST	С		G3, S3	1,2,8, 10,13	Tier 1
BIRDS						
Scott's seaside sparrow Ammodramus maritimus peninsulae	ST			G4T3Q S3	2,8, 10,13	Tier 1
Florida burrowing owl Athene cunicularia floridana	ST			G4T3, S3	1,2,8, 10,13	Tier 1
Red knot Calidris canutus rufa	FT	Т		G4T2, S2N	2,8, 10,13	Tier 2
Piping plover Charadrius melodus	FT	Т		G3, S2	2,8, 10,13	Tier 2
Snowy plover Charardus nivosus	ST			G3, S1	2,8, 10,13	Tier 3
Wilson's plover Charandrius wilsonia				G5, S2	2,8, 10,13	Tier 3
Little blue heron Earetta caerulea	ST			G5, S4	2,8, 10,13	Tier 1
Reddish egret Egretta rufescens	ST			G4, S2	2,8, 10,13	Tier 1
Snowy egret Earetta thula				G5, S3	2,8, 10,13	Tier 1
Tricolored heron Egretta tricolor	ST			G5, S4	2,8, 10,13	Tier 1
Swallow-tailed kite Elanoides forficatus				G5, S2	2,8, 10,13	Tier 1
White ibis Eudocimus albus				G5, S4	2,8, 10,13	Tier 1
Merlin Falco columbarius				G5, S2	2,8, 10,13	Tier 1
Peregrine falcon Falco peregrinus				G4, S2	2, 13	Tier 1
Southeastern American kestrel Falco sparverius paulus	ST			G5T4, S3	2, 13	Tier 1
Magnificent frigatebird Fregata magnificens				G5, S1	10, 13	Tier 1
American oystercatcher Haematopus palliates	ST			G5, S3	2,8, 10,13	Tier 3
Worm eating warbler Helmitheros vermivorum				G5, S1	2,8, 10,13	Tier 1

Table 3. Imperiled Species Inventory						
Common and Scientific Name	Imperiled Species Status			anagement tions	unitoring Level	
	FWC	USFWS	FDACS	FNAI	Ac	Ň
Caspian tern Hydroprogne caspia				G5, S2	2,8, 10,13	Tier 2
Wood stork Mycteria americana	FT	Т		G4, S2	2,8, 10,13	Tier 1
Yellow-crowned Night-heron Nyctanassa violacea				G5,\$3	8,10, 13	Tier 1
Osprey Pandion haliaetus				G5,S3, S4	1,2,8,1 0,13	Tier 3
Louisiana Waterthrush Parkesia motacilla				G5, S2	2,8, 10,13	Tier 1
Roseate spoonbill Platalea ajaja	ST			G5, S2, S3	2,8, 10,13	Tier 1
American avocet Recurvirostra americana				G5, S2	10, 13	Tier 1
Black skimmer Rynchops niger	ST			G5, S3	2,8, 10,13	Tier 3
American redstart Setophaga ruticilla				G5, S2	2,8, 10,13	Tier 1
Least tern Sternula antillarum	ST			G4, S3	2,8, 10,13	Tier 3
Roseate tern Sterna dougallii	FT	Т		G4, S1	2,8, 10,13	Tier 1
Royal tern Thalasseus maximus				G5,\$3	2,8, 10,13	Tier 2
Sandwich tern Thalasseus sandvicensis				G5, S2	2,8, 10,13	Tier 2
MAMMALS						
Florida manatee Trichechus manatus latirostris	FT	т		G2, S2	10, 13	Tier 1

Management Actions

- 1. Prescribed Fire
- 2. Exotic Plant Removal
- 3. Population Translocation/Augmentation/Restocking
- 4. Hydrological Maintenance/Restoration
- 5. Nest Boxes/Artificial Cavities
- 6. Hardwood Removal
- 7. Mechanical Treatment
- 8. Predator Control
- 9. Erosion Control
- 10. Protection from visitor impacts (establish buffers)/law enforcement
- 11. Decoys (shorebirds)
- 12. Vegetation planting
- 13. Outreach and Education

Monitoring Level

Tier 1.	Non-Targeted Observation/Documentation: includes documentation of species presence through casual/passive observation during routine park activities (i.e. not conducting species-specific
	searches). Documentation may be in the form of Wildlife Observation Forms, or other district specific methods used to communicate observations.
Tier 2.	Targeted Presence/Absence: includes monitoring methods/activities that are specifically intended to document presence/absence of a particular species or suite of species.
Tier 3.	Population Estimate/Index: an approximation of the true population size or population index based on a widely accepted method of sampling.
Tier 4.	Population Census: A complete count of an entire population with demographic analysis, including mortality, reproduction, emigration, and immigration.

Imperiled Species Management

Goal: Maintain, improve or restore imperiled species populations and habitats in the park.

The DRP strives to maintain and restore viable populations of imperiled plant and animal species primarily by implementing effective management of natural systems. Single species management is appropriate in state parks when the maintenance, recovery or restoration of a species or population is complicated due to constraints associated with long-term restoration efforts, unnaturally high mortality or insufficient habitat. Single species management should be compatible with the maintenance natural processes and should not imperil other native species or seriously compromise park values.

In the preparation of this management plan, DRP staff consulted with staff of the FWC's Imperiled Species Management, Regional Biologist, and other appropriate federal, state and local agencies for assistance in developing imperiled animal species management objectives and actions. Likewise, for imperiled plant species, DRP staff consulted with FDACS. Data collected by the USFWS, FWC, FDACS and FNAI as part of their ongoing research and monitoring programs will be reviewed by park staff periodically to inform management of decisions that may have an impact on imperiled species at the park.

Ongoing inventory and monitoring of imperiled species in the state park system is necessary to meet the DRP's mission. Long-term monitoring is also essential to ensure the effectiveness of resource management programs. Monitoring efforts must be prioritized so that the data collected provides information that can be used to improve or confirm the effectiveness of management actions on conservation priorities. Monitoring intensity must at least be at a level that provides the minimum data needed to make informed decisions to meet conservation goals. Priority must be given to those species that can provide valuable data to guide adaptive management practices.

Objective A: Update baseline imperiled species occurrence inventory lists for plants and animals.

- Action 1 Review baseline imperiled species lists every year
- Action 2 Review edits annually to reflect most recent field surveys
- Action 3 Retain updated inventory lists for future Unit Management Plan

There are currently seven imperiled plant species and 38 imperiled animal species known to occur within the park. Surveys for sea turtle nesting, shorebird nesting, osprey nesting, and invasive plants allow the opportunity for detailed observations in the field. Staff are trained to document imperiled species occurrence as well as record characteristics of unfamiliar species for identification. Collected data are communicated to the DRP District 4 Biology office, FDACS, FNAI, and FWC. Currently, all imperiled species are monitored either through recommended FWC survey protocols, or through species observations from qualified park staff, volunteers, and district biologists.

Objective B: Monitor and document 12 imperiled animal species in the park.

Action 1	Implement monitoring protocols for loggerhead sea turtles, green sea
	turtles, Kemp's ridley sea turtles, piping plovers, red knots, American
	oystercatchers, least terns, snowy plovers, Wilson's plovers, black
	skimmers, and Eastern indigo snake.

- Action 2 Complete all required FWC survey protocols for imperiled sea turtles and nesting shorebirds/seabirds.
- Action 3 Monitor and document gopher tortoise population.

DRP staff coordinates targeted surveys of twelve of the imperiled species known to regularly occur in the Park, in cooperation with Audubon Society of Florida, Florida Shorebird Alliance, and FWC. Monitoring and reporting protocols have been established for each of these species by FWC and USFWS. American oystercatcher, Wilson's plover, snowy plover, least tern, and black skimmer nesting occurrence and productivity data are collected during six statewide surveys coordinated by FWC from March to August. Piping plover and red knot population and migration information is collected during two statewide surveys in the winter.

Nesting occurrence and productivity data are collected from April 15th to October 31st, for green, loggerhead, and Kemp's ridley sea turtles. This information is provided annually to FWC for their Statewide Nesting Beach Success (SNBS) program. Sea turtle stress and mortality data are collected year-round for five imperiled sea turtle species, using standard FWC stranding reports. FWC staff are notified about stranding events that occur in the park immediately, and park staff work with FWC to provide access and coordinate sea turtle rescues as necessary. Stranding reports completed by park staff are submitted to FWC within one week of occurrence.

Though no longer imperiled, osprey nesting occurrence and productivity data are collected during spring nesting season in cooperation with Audubon of Florida and FWC.

Incidents involving gopher tortoises at the park, including vehicular impacts, are reported to the District. Gopher tortoise population surveys are conducted immediately following every prescribed fire. As conditions allow, staff conduct line transect distance sampling recommended in the FWC Gopher Tortoise Species Management Plan. Tortoise mortalities due to vehicular collisions are very rare on Caladesi (one in the last 15 years) and are reported as recommended by FWC guidelines. The mitigation efforts to maintain this low level includes to staff, volunteer, and contractor training on best management practices for vehicular use. This information is provided to District Biologists for their review and assessment of overall tortoise populations in the park.

Objective C: Monitor and document occurrence and distribution of one imperiled plant species in the park.

Action 1 Implement monitoring protocols for giant airplants.

Giant airplant populations are surveyed annually with documented locations provided to the District. Populations are also monitored annually for signs of weevil damage.

Objective D: Provide protection, where appropriate, to imperiled species within the park.

Action 1	Demarcate potential shorebird habitat by enclosing the perimeter of the babitat and buffer area with fencing and signage where
	appropriate.
Action 2	Monitor habitat during the nesting season to identify and protect new breeding sites.
Action 3	Provide interpretive and educational outreach to the public prior to and during the nesting season to encourage visitor use that protects shorebirds and their habitat.
Action 4	Coordinate with FWC and local law enforcement agencies to ensure compliance with park rules and shorebird protection, as needed.
Action 5	When implementing any landscaping or planting projects, verify that all source plants are correctly identified and are species found naturally occurring at the park.
Action 6	Remain aware of distribution and protections of American crocodile.

Of the seven designated plant species found on Caladesi, only the West Coast dune sunflower needs protection measures. The designated animal species, on the other hand, do require them. These include three species of turtles and fifteen species of birds. Sea turtle nests are protected with self-releasing cages to discourage terrestrial nest predation. Levels of terrestrial predator presence near nest sites should be monitored to assess if further protective action is required. Most of the remaining designated species are seabirds and shorebirds.

The DRP will seek a balanced approach to minimize visitor impacts to shorebirds and the park's sensitive coastal habitats, while managing resource based recreational activities. In collaboration with FWC, other government agencies, local non-governmental organizations, and volunteers, park staff will identify and delineate habitats and educate the public about shorebird protection. Management decisions will be informed by analysis of data on habitat use in the park during prior nesting seasons. This analysis will suggest areas of importance where focused management actions are needed. These actions will typically include:

- Demarcating potential shorebird habitat by enclosing the perimeter of the habitat and buffer area with appropriate fencing and signage.
- Monitoring during the nesting season to identify and protect new breeding sites.
- Providing interpretive and educational outreach to the public prior to and during the nesting season to encourage visitor use that protects shorebirds and their habitat.
- When the same breeding sites are used year after year, posting the protected area will occur prior to the season (pre-posting).
- When new breeding sites are indicated, appropriate measures will be implemented, including demarcating new protected areas and expanding or initiating interpretive programs.
- Coordinating with FWC and local law enforcement agencies to ensure compliance with park rules and shorebird protection, as needed.

When it is necessary to limit recreational activities or visitor access to protect nesting habitat, park staff or volunteers will provide onsite interpretation to educate visitors about the management of imperiled shorebird habitat. These outreach programs will commence prior to nesting seasons and prior to placing limits on access to recreational areas. Pre-posting the identified habitat areas, combined with early public notification regarding the park's shorebird protection program, will improve visitor compliance with park rules and promote broad-based public stewardship of shorebird nesting, resting, and foraging habitats in the park.

Shorebird nesting on Caladesi has seen a sharp decrease in recent years. Colonial nesters, including black skimmer and least tern, have nested here historically, yet not successfully in several years. Solitary nesters, including American oystercatchers and Wilson's plover, have sharply declined since 2010. In order to encourage the return of these designated species, and other shorebirds nesting with them, special protection measures are required. Shorebird management will comply with DRP's shorebird standards. Nesting is monitored to protect preferred nesting areas from disturbance, and to determine if nesting has been successful. The nesting habitat is posted and barriers are placed around nesting sites to discourage disturbance. These posts, signs, and barriers are maintained throughout the season. Nesting sites are patrolled as necessary. Recent studies of the effects of human activity on breeding bird colonies in Florida have quantified setback distances for different species. Human approach closer than these distances is ill advised and should be avoided because it forces birds to expend energy required for successful reproduction. A similar situation exists for wintering shorebirds. In this case, human disturbance causes expenditure of critical energy reserves required for migration or the next nesting season (Helmers 1992). Sites where wintering and migrating shorebirds congregate are seasonally posted to reduce such impacts.

Additional protective measures can be gained through education and interpretation. Informational leaflets have been prepared for distribution, an educational kiosk has been maintained, and the media have been involved to communicate the significance of the park and to explain how it is being managed. In addition, a group of dedicated volunteers has been organized to assist with monitoring and educating visitors. Portions of the dunes are posted throughout the year to provide resting sites during migration and wintering. Monitoring and protection measures have been implemented with assistance from the FWC and the Clearwater Audubon Society. Other designated species found at the park include piping plover, red knot and several species of wading birds.

American crocodiles have been documented in Pinellas County in the last year. Management should remain informed and active with FWC and USFWS to be able to provide public information and conservation methods if this species becomes established.

Objective E: Monitor impacts on shorebird and sea turtle nesting by terrestrial nuisance species in the park.

Action 1 Monitor sea turtle nesting and gopher tortoise mortality for impacts from coyote, raccoon, and nine banded armadillo activity.Action 2 Develop and implement a predator control strategy.

Predation critically threatens many rare species (Hecht and Nickerson 1999), with the deleterious impacts of predation losses compounded by habitat loss (Reynolds and Tapper, 1996). In Florida, nesting beaches have been substantially altered by urbanization and development, leaving few beaches isolated from development, thereby severely reducing the amount of habitat suitable for successful nesting by sea turtles and shorebirds (Rogers et al. 1995). At the same time, predators abound along many beaches where nesting could otherwise succeed. Nest predation can have severe impacts

Ш

on reproductive success for sea turtles and shorebirds (Ellis et al. 2007; Engeman et al. 2009; Engeman and Smith 2007; Kadlec 1971; Wilcox and Donlan 2007). Current protocols for nesting surveys include data collection on the presence of terrestrial predators. Staff and volunteers are trained to observe and document predator tracks near shorebird nesting habitat, shorebird nest sites, and sea turtle nest sites and false crawls. Self-releasing cages are installed over sea turtle nests by park staff on Caladesi Island to discourage predation by nuisance species and raccoon are removed prior to nesting season. Sea turtle nest depredation levels have been greatly reduced over the past ten years with the use of predator control and self-releasing cages on all nests. These efforts should continue on the island with all nests monitored daily for signs of depredation.

Beach nesting bird protection is more problematic. Bird nest predation by raccoon and fish crows has been documented repeatedly by staff. Targeting one predator species for reduction (raccoons) may be resulting in increased predation by competing species (fish crows). Further monitoring is prudent, and a predator control plan should be developed.

Exotic and Nuisance Species

Exotic species are plants or animals not native to Florida. Invasive exotic species can out-compete, displace or destroy native species and their habitats, often because they have been released from the natural controls of their native range, such as diseases, predatory insects, etc. If left unchecked, invasive exotic plants and animals alter the character, productivity, and conservation values of the natural areas they invade.

Exotic animal species include non-native wildlife species, free ranging domesticated pets or livestock, and feral animals. Because of the negative impacts to natural systems attributed to exotic animals, the DRP actively removes exotic animals from state parks, with priority being given to those species causing the greatest ecological damage.

In some cases, native wildlife may also pose management problems or nuisances within state parks. A nuisance animal is an individual native animal whose presence or activities create special management problems. Examples of animal species from which nuisance cases may arise include venomous snakes or raccoons and alligators that are in public areas. Nuisance animals are dealt with on a case-by-case basis in accordance with the DRP's Nuisance and Exotic Animal Removal Standard.

Detailed management goals, objectives and actions for management of invasive exotic plants and exotic and nuisance animals are discussed in the Resource Management Program section of this component.

Early park planners, visiting Caladesi Island for the first time, described trails winding through dark tunnels of Brazilian pepper to reach a dune line shaded by 50-foot Australian pine stands. These historic infestations have been erased by decades of effort by park staff, contractors, and volunteers. Current infestation levels are low, but require constant retreatment to maintain the natural areas in this state. The seed bed, contaminated by these past invaders, will remain a source of active regrowth of exotics for many years to come. Constant attention to controlling infestations is now the focus of park staff and volunteers. Staff also monitor the islands for new invaders. Several invasive plants such as cogongrass and rosary pea are established on Caladesi Island and will also require constant effort. The other exotics found on Caladesi Island are thinly dispersed. Plants such as carrotwood, lantana (*Lantana strigocamara*), and balsampear (*Momordica charantia*) are occasionally located and treated. Of greater concern to park and district staff is the arrival of new exotic plant species to the islands. While birds, mammals, and the wind can bring seeds of exotics to the islands, humans can also act as vessels for plant dispersal. To avoid the dispersal of exotics, park personnel does not allow for firewood, or any potted plants to be brought to the island. Also, trash collected from visiting boats is not allowed in park trash cans, as raccoons frequently raid these cans, and could potentially spread exotic plant seeds all over the island. This also limits potential pests and pathogens that could be introduced to the islands from the mainland and other outside areas.

Early Detection and Rapid Response (EDRR) programs are being developed on the federal and state levels. A Weed Risk Assessment is now available through the USDA Animal and Plant Health Inspection Service (APHIS) and the University of Florida's Institute of Food and Agricultural Sciences (IFAS); this tool is used to predict the invasiveness potential of an exotic species before it becomes the management problem that make it a Florida Invasive Species Council (FISC) Category I or II species. FNAI is now working with FWC and all of Florida's Cooperative Invasive Species Management Areas (CISMA) to determine the species that are local threats, and to provide identification information and treatment assistance. Good management practice would include staying current with the local CISMA's EDRR list, in this case the Suncoast CISMA. By working with this CISMA, park staff can obtain information and quick removal upon detection in the park (e.g. ground orchid (*Eulophia graminea*)).

Exotic plants are accompanied on these islands by exotic or nuisance animals, such as nine-banded armadillos (*Dasypus novencintus*), coyotes, and raccoons Staff cages sea turtle nests every summer to prevent egg and hatchling depredation by raccoons and armadillos. A nuisance animal removal program focuses on these predators. Coyote (*Canis latrans*) have also been observed on the island by staff and volunteers. A predator control plan is being drafted for Caladesi Island, to address the impacts on imperiled species such as American oystercatchers, loggerhead sea turtles, and gopher tortoises.

Table 4 contains a list of the FISC Category I and II invasive, exotic plant species found within the park (FISC 2019). The table also identifies relative distribution for each species and the management zones in which they are known to occur. An explanation of the codes is provided following the table. For an inventory of all exotic species found within the park, see Addendum 5.

Table 4. Inventory of FISC Category I and II Exotic Plant Species				
Common and Scientific Name	FISC Category	Distribution	Management Zone (s)	
PLANTS				
		0	CD-04A	
Rosary pea Abrus precatorius	I	1	CD-02	
		2	CD-06, CD-07A, CD-16	
		3	CD-06	
Australian pine		1	CD-13	
Casuarina equisetifolia		2	CD-32	

Table 4. Inventory of FISC Category I and II Exotic Plant Species				
Common and Scientific Name	FISC Category	Distribution	Management Zone (s)	
Carrotwood Cupaniopsis anacardioides	1	1	CD-13	
Indian Laurel Ficus microcarpa	1	1	CD-14, CD-33	
Cogongrass		0	CD-01, CD-02, CD-04B, CD-04C, CD-05, CD-07A, CD-07B	
Imperata cylindrica		1	CD-01, CD-07A, CD-11	
		2	CD-01, CD-07A	
		3	CD-05	
Lantana; shrubverbena Lantana strigocamara	1	1	CD-12, CD-33, CD-34	
Rose natalgrass Melinis repens	1	1	CD-06, CD-11, CD-33	
Water spangles Salvinia minima	1	2	CD-34	
Beach naupaka Scaevola taccada	1	1	CD-11, CD-13	
		0	CD-01, CD-02, CD-33	
		1	CD-03	
Brazilian pepper Schinus terebinthifolius	1	2	CD-03, CD- 05, CD-06, CD-7A, CD-11, CD-12, CD-13, CD-15, CD-16, CD-32, CD-34	
		3	CD-01. CD-05, CD06	
Balsampear Momordica charantia	Ш	1	CD-06, CD-12, CD-13	

Distribution Categories:

- 0 No current infestation: All known sites have been treated and no plants are currently evident.
- 1 Single plant or clump: One individual plant or one small clump of a single species.
- 2 Scattered plants or clumps: Multiple individual plants or small clumps of a single species scattered within the gross area infested.
- 3 Scattered dense patches: Dense patches of a single species scattered within the gross area infested.
- 4 Dominant cover: Multiple plants or clumps of a single species that occupy a majority of the gross area infested.
- 5 Dense monoculture: Generally, a dense stand of a single dominant species that not only occupies more than a majority of the gross area infested, but also covers/excludes other plants.
- 6 Linearly scattered: Plants or clumps of a single species generally scattered along a linear feature, such as a road, trail, property line, ditch, ridge, slough, etc. within the gross area infested.

Exotic Species Management

Goal: Remove exotic and invasive plants and animals from the park and conduct needed maintenance control.

The DRP actively removes invasive exotic species from state parks, with priority being given to those causing the ecological damage. Removal techniques may include mechanical treatment, herbicides or biocontrol agents.

Objective A: Annually reduce 8 acres of exotic plant species in the park.

- Action 1 Annually update exotic plant management work plan.
- Action 2 Implement work plan by treating 8 acres of infestation in park annually and continuing maintenance and follow-up treatments.

As with most coastal parks in southern Florida, invasive exotic plants have been a principal threat to natural communities on Caladesi Island. In the past 40 years, great strides have been made in reclaiming the island from exotic plants. Australian pines have been eliminated, and Brazilian pepper nearly so, particularly in the mesic flatwoods where they were once dense but are now rarely seen. The remaining concentrations of Brazilian pepper is around the wastewater treatment plant and in a low-lying zone between the beach dunes and the mesic flatwoods, and in the shell mound community. There are also scattered plants on spoil piles in the mangroves. Other plants such as rosary pea and cogongrass can be seen scattered in patches over the island. St. Augustine grass still grows thickly, and it has spread in the southern end of the park. Eight to 12 acres of exotic infestation should be reduced annually to maintain current low levels of infestation. Additional effort would serve to improve the quality of the habitats and should be expended when funding and staffing allows.

Objective B: Implement control measures on 1 exotic animal species in the park.

Action 1	Continue to trap exotic animals in house and report removal to the
	district office quarterly.
Action 3	Continue to contract outside trappers to remove exotic/nuisance
	animals

Nine-banded armadillos are established on the island and should be removed when possible. Coyotes have also been well documented in Pinellas County for many years. Dunedin Pass once protected Caladesi Island from transient terrestrial predators, but staff have seen coyotes here several times in the last few years. Evidence of predation by coyote should be documented to justify their inclusion in future predator removal.

Cultural Resources

The Florida Department of State (FDOS) maintains the master inventory of cultural resources through the Florida Master Site File (FMSF). State law requires that all state agencies locate, inventory, and evaluate cultural resources that appear to be eligible for listing in the National Register of Historic Places. Addendum 7 contains the FDOS, Division of Historical Resources (DHR) management procedures for archaeological and historical sites, the criteria used for evaluating eligibility for listing in the National Register of Historic Places, and the Secretary of Interior's definitions for the various preservation treatments. For the purposes of this plan, significant archaeological site, significant structure and significant landscape means those cultural resources listed or eligible for listing in the National Register of Historic Places. The terms archaeological site, historic structure or historic landscape refer to all resources that will become 50 years old during the term of this plan.

Condition Assessment

Evaluating the condition of cultural resources is accomplished using a three-part evaluation scale, expressed as good, fair and poor. These terms describe the present condition, rather than comparing what exists to the ideal condition. Good describes a condition of structural stability and physical wholeness, where no obvious deterioration other than normal occurs. Fair describes a condition in which there is a discernible decline in condition between inspections, and the wholeness or physical integrity is and continues to be threatened by factors other than normal wear. A fair assessment is usually a cause for concern. Poor describes an unstable condition where there is palpable, accelerating decline, and physical integrity is being compromised quickly. A resource in poor condition suffers obvious declines in physical integrity from year to year. A poor condition suggests action is needed to reestablish physical stability.

Level of Significance

Applying the criteria for listing in the National Register of Historic Places involves the use of contexts as well as an evaluation of integrity of the site. Significance is derived from historical, architectural, ethnographic or archaeological context. Evaluation of cultural resources will result in a designation of NRL (National Register or National Landmark Listed or located in an NR district), NR (National Register eligible), NE (not evaluated) or NS (not significant) as indicated in the table at the end of this section.

Significance of a collection is based on what or whom it may represent. For instance, a collection of furniture from a single family and a particular era in connection with a significant historic site would be considered highly significant. In the same way, a high-quality collection of artifacts from a significant archaeological site would be of important significance. A large herbarium collected from a specific park over many decades could be valuable to resource management efforts. Archival records are most significant as a research source. Any records depicting critical events in the park's history, including construction and resource management, would all be significant.

Prehistoric and Historic Archaeological Sites

<u>Desired future condition</u>: All archaeological sites within the park are preserved in good condition in perpetuity, protected from physical threats, and interpreted to the public.

<u>Description</u>: There are four archaeological sites on Caladesi Island recorded in the FMSF. Three of these sites represent prehistoric use of the island by local people. The island's first inhabitants are believed to have been the local Safety Harbor culture, the Tocobago, a society sustained in large part by the abundant fish and shellfish of their estuarine environment. They may also have planted vegetables. A burial mound is situated in the tidal swamp. It was excavated in 1903 by C.B. Moore. It has been identified by the DHR, Florida Master Site File (FMSF) as Hog Island Mound (PI00009).

Moore reported that the mound had been "woefully dug into, centrally and from the sides, previous to our visit, when it was completely demolished by us...." Moore removed 33 skeletons that he said were "owing to the infiltration of lime-salts, was in a state of preservation much superior to that usually met with." Moore thought the mound was remarkable for its absence of artifacts and lack of unworked pebbles, conch-shells or fragments of chert. Nothing permanent had been placed with the dead except powdered hematite in several instances (Moore 1903).

A second site, recorded by J.A. Serrano in 2001, Clearwater Beach Island- Hog Island (PI11666), describes a large submerged field of shell and lithic scatter. An additional shell scatter site was document in 2006 by ranger Carl Calhoun, a thirty-year veteran of the park service. The FMSF identification designation is Lightning Whelk (PI11566). Several large lightning whelks are visible under a small ledge and in cabbage palm roots. The earliest maps upon which details of the coast are portrayed (around 1830) identify the Caladesi locale as Sand Island. Between 1830 and 1839, the name Hog Island was used. Attempts to homestead the island during this period failed. Hog Island was severed by a hurricane in 1921; the north portion became Honeymoon and the south portion became known as Caladesi. In 1897, Henry Scharrer received a homestead certificate for land on the island, and by 1899 built a cottage and began his life there. After his wife died, he lived with his daughter Myrtle. His was the only permanent homestead on the island. After his death in 1934, the dwelling and outbuildings declined. Today only foundations and the cottage fireplace, made of tabby, remain. The site is identified by the FMSF as Scharrer Homestead Foundation (PI09614). It contains two small cabin foundations, a brick fireplace and chimney. Also remaining are several smaller foundations and building pilings believed to originate from service buildings. The large foundation of the main structure shows evidence of two adjoining rooms. Pieces of building metal, brick and other miscellaneous objects are present (Betz 1991).

In 2013, the Alliance for Integrated Spatial Technologies (AIST) at the University of South Florida were contracted to perform predictive modeling of cultural resource potential in state parks. During this project aerial LiDAR data was used to refine maps and update the FMSF locations for several sites. Two of the sites (Hog Island Mound and Lightning Welk) were visited and boundary locations were re-plotted and recorded for these sites. The model identified nearly half of the uplands as high sensitivity areas.

<u>Condition Assessment</u>: Two of the prehistoric sites are on the island proper, within the mangrove swamps of the eastern shoreline. The remoteness of their locations helps to protect them from further human disturbance, but leave them susceptible to degradation by natural forces. The predicted change of sea level over the coming decades may alter the conditions that exist around both locations. The Mound site (PI00009) has been looted, excavated, and bisected during mosquito ditching prior to Division management. It is stable in its current configuration. The Lightning Whelk site (PI11566) is largely undisturbed, but has not been formally investigated. It appears to be stable as well. The Clearwater Beach Island site (PI11666) occurs over a large, undefined, and submerged area. The condition of this site has not been evaluated.

The historic Scharrer homestead is in good condition. The site is fenced from visitor access and well interpreted. A formal mapping of this site was performed in 2009 by Florida History, LLC and added to the master file for the site. Invasive exotic plants are established in the site and treated often. This location is very close to the high tide line and any significant sea level rise may also affect this site.

<u>General Management Measures</u>: In general, the onshore sites will be monitored for disturbance annually and assessed to keep them in good condition through preservation. The primary treatments for significant archaeological sites are preservation and stabilization. Preservation includes protection from damage from resource management, natural causes, construction, or human damage including looting. Stabilization techniques include the use of protective vegetation, use of filter cloth or other methods to prevent erosion, and the removal of large trees or burial of the site.

Collections

<u>Desired future condition</u>: All collections that represent Florida's cultural periods, significant historic events or persons, or natural history specimens are preserved in good condition in perpetuity, protected from physical threats and interpreted to the public.

<u>Description</u>: A single, prehistoric artifact is stored onsite. The central spire of a univalve mollusk, worked into an apparent pendant, was discovered while repairing a marina seawall by Ranger Toby Brewer in 1989. The find was reported and added to the site file of the Hog Island Mound (PI00009), the only site listed for the island in that year. Since 1989, two additional prehistoric sites have been recorded on the island. A portion of steel rail is believed to have originated at the Scharrer homestead.

<u>Condition Assessment</u>: The physical artifacts are stored in two locations. The steel rail is stored in the park's workshop and is good condition. The shell spire pendant is stored in the office safe under climate controlled conditions, and is also in good condition. An informal collection of photographs and press clippings, dating back to 1968, is kept in the ranger station. These have been copied to digital media and all are stored locally.

<u>General Management Measures</u>: A Scope of Collection Statement should be completed for the park, as well as a collection management assessment. All collections are cataloged in PastPerfect and inventoried annually. All items within the collections should maintain their labels with appropriate item numbers.

Table 5. Cultural Sites Listed in the Florida Master Site File					
Site Name and FMSF #	Culture/Period	Description	Significance	Condition	Treatment
PI00009 Hog Island Mound	Prehistoric/Safety Harbor	Archaeological Site	NE	G	Р
Pl09614 Scharrer Homestead (Foundation)	Historic/Unspecified	Archaeological Site	NE	G	ST
PI111566 Lightning Whelk	Prehistoric/Unspecified	Archaeological Site	NE	G	Р
PI11666 Clearwater Beach Island (Hog Island)	Prehistoric/Unspecified	Archaeological Site	NE	N/A	N/A
PI12955 Observation Tower	Historic/Unspecified	Historic Structure (Removed)	NE	N/A	N/A

Significance:

NRL	National Register listed
NR	National Register eligible
NE	not evaluated
NS	not significant

Condition

G Good F Fair P Poor NA Not accessible NE Not evaluated

Treatment

KJ	Restoration
RH	Rehabilitation
ST	Stabilization
Р	Preservation
R	Removal
N/A	Not applicable

Cultural Resource Management

Cultural resources are individually unique, and collectively, very challenging for the public land manager whose goal is to preserve and protect them in perpetuity. The DRP will implement the following goals, objectives and actions, as funding becomes available, to preserve the cultural resources found in Caladesi Island State Park.

Goal: Protect, preserve and maintain the cultural resources of the park.

The management of cultural resources is often complicated because these resources are irreplaceable and extremely vulnerable to disturbances. The advice of historical and archaeological experts is required in this effort. All activities related to land clearing, ground disturbing activities, major repairs or additions to historic structures listed or eligible for listing in the National Register of Historic Places must be submitted to the FDOS, Division of Historical Resources (DHR) for review and comment prior to undertaking the proposed project. Recommendations may include, but are not limited to concurrence with the project as submitted, cultural resource assessment survey by a gualified professional archaeologist, modifications to the proposed project to avoid or mitigate potential adverse effect. In addition, any demolition or substantial alteration to any historic structure or resource must be submitted to the DHR for consultation and the DRP must demonstrate that there is no feasible alternative to removal and must provide a strategy for documentation or salvage of the resource. Florida law further requires that DRP consider the reuse of historic buildings in the park in lieu of new construction and must undertake a cost comparison of new development versus rehabilitation of a building before electing to construct a new or replacement building. This comparison must be accomplished with the assistance of the DHR.

Objective A: Assess and evaluate three of four recorded cultural resources in the park.

Action 1 Complete 3 assessments/evaluations of archaeological sites.

All the known cultural sites within the park should be assessed and evaluated yearly. Such assessments should include an examination of each site with a discussion of any threats to the site's condition such as natural erosion, vehicular damage, bicycle or pedestrian damage, looting, construction including damage from firebreak construction, animal damage, plant or root damage, or other factors that might cause deterioration of the site. These assessments should include a photograph of the site and a short, written evaluation. If action is needed to maintain these sites in their current condition, the district will be notified along with BNCR.

Currently, none of the historic buildings are recommended for a Historic Structures Report. As these buildings age, or as damages are incurred, this topic will be revisited.

Objective B: Compile reliable documentation for all recorded historic and archaeological resources.

- Action 1 Ensure all known sites are recorded or updated in the Florida Master Site File.
- Action 2 Complete a predictive model for high, medium, and low probability of locating archaeological sites within the park.
- Action 3 Develop and adopt a Scope of Collections Statement.

Park and district staff will coordinate with BNCR to ensure that all known sites are recorded or updated in the Florida Master Site File.

A complete predictive model for locating archaeological sites was completed in 2013 (described more in the cultural resources section). With 70 percent of the park being considered high sensitivity, more work is needed in locating other potential cultural sites within park property. Only a portion of the Clearwater Beach offshore scatter is within park boundaries. A level 1 archaeological survey should be completed in 3 priority areas identified by the predictive model at the park.

Caladesi Island State Park will develop and adopt a scope of collections statement. With many different types of objects seemingly appropriate for display at the park, staff will identify what is desirable and what should not be accepted. This prevents unwanted items from accumulating at the park. Staff should work with the collections manager to create and personalize a scope of collection that represents Caladesi Island.

Objective C: Maintain three recorded cultural resources in good condition.

- Action 1 Design and implement regular monitoring programs for three cultural sites.
- Action 2 Create and implement a cyclical maintenance program for each cultural resource.

All of the known cultural sites within the park should be assessed and evaluated annually. Monitoring of the sites should occur around the same time every year to get an accurate portrayal of site condition. The three sites that should be maintained in good condition include Hog Island Mound, Scharrer Homestead, and Lightning Whelk. Archaeologists and staff associated with BNCR will be contacted on how to properly treat damage from looters, and past ground disturbances.

Special Management Considerations

Timber Management Analysis

Chapters 253 and 259, Florida Statutes, require an assessment of the feasibility of managing timber in land management plans for parcels greater than 1,000 acres if the lead agency determines that timber management is not in conflict with the primary management objectives of the land. The feasibility of harvesting timber at this park during the period covered by this plan was considered in context of the DRP's statutory responsibilities and an analysis of the park's resource needs and values. The long-term management goal for forest communities in the state park system is to maintain or re-establish old-growth characteristics to the degree practicable, with the exception of those communities specifically managed as early successional.

During the development of this plan, an analysis was made regarding the feasibility of timber management activities in the park. It was determined that the primary management objectives of the unit could be met without conducting timber management activities for this management plan cycle. Timber management will be re-evaluated during the next revision of the management plan.

Beach Management

The DRP manages over 100 miles of sandy beach, which represents one-eighth of Florida's total sandy beach shoreline. Approximately one-quarter of Florida's state parks are beach-oriented parks and account for more than 60 percent of statewide park visitation. The management and maintenance of beaches and their associated systems and processes is complicated by the presence of inlets and various structures (jetties, groins, breakwaters) all along the coast. As a result, beach restoration and nourishment have become increasingly necessary and costly procedures for protecting valuable infrastructure. Beach and inlet management practices affect beaches for long distances on either side of a particular project. DRP staff needs to be aware of and participate in the planning, design and implementation of these projects to ensure that park resources and recreational use are adequately considered and protected.

Caladesi Island State Park has 2.5 miles of stunning, sandy Gulf beach, named America's Best Beach in 2008 by Dr. Stephen Leatherman. Qualities considered for the distinction include sand and water quality, abundance of wildlife, and attractive vistas. Images of the island beach are commonly used on local tourism publications. Increased positive publicity resulted in several years of significantly increased visitation to this once quiet location. 420,000 people visited the island in 2008, a 24 percent increase over the year prior. One of the primary challenges for management here is balancing the availability of prime shorebird nesting and resting habitat with recreational use.

Federal recovery plans for threatened piping plovers and red knots designate unaltered sandy beaches adjacent to inlets as critical habitat along the wintering range. Atlantic and Gulf Coast studies have highlighted the importance of inlets like Hurricane Pass for non-breeding piping plovers. Almost 90 percent of observations of roosting piping plovers at ten coastal sites in southwest Florida were on inlet shorelines. At inlets, foraging plovers are associated with moist substrate features such as intertidal flats, algal flats, and ephemeral pools. State parks, wildlife management areas, and other lands furnish important habitat and protection for migrating and wintering piping plovers (USFWS 2015).

Seven of the nine federally listed species known to occur on the island are specialists that depend exclusively on the sandy beach for forage or reproductive success. Three additional state listed species; snowy plover, least tern and black skimmer, also require sandy beach habitat for survival. For beach nesting and resting birds, the most generally applicable protection method is establishment of setback distances, determined from studies of effects of human disturbance on breeding bird colonies in Florida (Rodgers and Smith, 1995, 1997). The recommended setback distance is ideally 590 feet. This is often more space than is available above the mean high tide line at Caladesi.

Within this competitive system, there are currently two zones of high recreational use. Beach access boardwalks provide low impact corridors between the marina complex and the sandy beach. This concentration of human activity on the shoreline has resulted in a zone of reduced habitat value for wildlife. A second location, at the northern tip of the island, is popular with boaters, kayakers, kite surfers, and jet skiers. This location offers unregulated access from the nearby Dunedin causeway. Volume of visitation here is variable throughout the year, reaching peaks during pleasant weekends and holidays. Nesting or resting species may get weeks of low disturbance, only to be sporadically inundated on various weekend days. Management tactics will need to remain fluid to address changes in this naturally dynamic system.

Objective A: Continue to assist federal, state, and local agencies with monitoring and assessment of natural community responses following coastal projects.

- Action 1 Continue to monitor spatial distribution of sea turtle nesting.
- Action 2 Continue to monitor occurrence of imperiled shorebird nesting.
- Action 3 Report data to state and federal partners as appropriate.

Natural community response and shoreline species use can be strong indicators of habitat recovery following coastal projects. Monitoring to document the use of habitat by threatened species should continue.

Arthropod Control Plan

All DRP lands are designated as "environmentally sensitive and biologically highly productive" in accordance with Ch. 388 and Ch. 388.4111 Florida Statutes. If a local mosquito control district proposes a treatment plan, the DRP works with the local mosquito control district to achieve consensus. By policy of DEP since 1987, aerial adulticiding is not allowed, but larviciding and ground adulticiding (truck spraying in public use areas) is typically allowed. The DRP does not authorize new physical alterations of marshes through ditching or water control structures. Mosquito control plans temporarily may be set aside under declared threats to public or animal health Ch. 388.45, F.S., or during a Governor's Emergency Proclamation.

There is an Arthropod Management Plan between Pinellas County Mosquito Control and Honeymoon Island and Caladesi Island that has been in place since February 2008. The Plan allows for surveillance of juvenile and adult mosquitos; larvicide treatment using Bti, Bs, and methoprene; and adult control in high visitor use areas at the request of the Park Manager, using permethrin and sumethrin by ground. Dibrom can be used during declared public health emergencies.

Sea Level Rise

Potential sea level rise is now under study and will be addressed by Florida's residents and governments in the future. The DRP will stay current on existing research and predictive models, in coordination with other DEP programs and federal, state, and local agencies. The DRP will continue to observe and document the changes that occur to the park's shorelines, natural features, imperiled species populations, and cultural resources. This ongoing data collection and analysis will inform the Division's adaptive management response to future conditions, including the effects of sea level rise, as they develop.

Land Management Review

Section 259.036, Florida Statutes, established land management review teams to determine whether conservation, preservation and recreation lands titled in the name of the Board of Trustees are being managed for the purposes for which they were acquired and in accordance with their approved land management plans. The considered recommendations of the land management review team and updated this plan accordingly. Caladesi Island State Park was subject to land management reviews on May 21, 2014 and February 19, 2019. The review team determined the land is being managed for the purpose in which it was acquired, and management actions comply with the management plan.

LAND USE COMPONENT

Land use planning and park development decisions for the state park system are based on the dual responsibilities of the DRP. These responsibilities are to preserve representative examples of original natural Florida and its cultural resources, and to provide outdoor recreation opportunities for Florida's citizens and visitors. These dual responsibilities inform all recreational and infrastructure development considerations. Balancing equitable access to recreational facilities and preservation of Florida's resources is the main priority when developing recreation and land use proposals.

The general planning and land use planning process begins with an analysis of the natural and cultural resources of the unit, proceeds through the creation of a conceptual land use plan, and culminates in the actual design and construction of park facilities. Input to the plan is provided by experts in environmental sciences, cultural resources, park operation, and management. Additional input is received through public meetings and advisory groups with key stakeholders. With this approach, the DRP's objective is to provide high-quality facilities for resource-based recreation throughout the state with a high level of sensitivity to the natural and cultural resources at each park.

This component of the management plan includes an inventory and brief description of the existing recreational uses, facilities, and special conditions on use. Specific areas within the park that will be given special protection are also identified. The Land Use Component then summarizes the Conceptual Land Use Plan (CLUP) for the park and identifies large-scale repair and renovation projects, new building and infrastructure projects, and new recreational amenities that are recommended to be implemented over the next ten-year planning period. Any adjacent lands that should be pursued for acquisition are identified as a part of the park's Optimum Boundary.

Existing Use of Adjacent Lands

Caladesi Island State Park is mostly surrounding by water with Hurricane Pass to the north, St. Joseph Sound to the east, and the Gulf of Mexico to the west. The southern portion of the park along the beach was once a navigable waterway between Clearwater Beach and the park, but the two landforms are now connected. The adjacent portion of Clearwater Beach is currently zoned as Open Space and Recreation. Approximately 0.5 miles are between the nearest residence and the southern park boundary. Residences closest to the park boundary are within a gated residential neighborhood that has limited additional development potential.

Planned Use of Adjacent Lands

The future land use designation for the undeveloped portion of Clearwater Beach adjacent to the southern park boundary is designated as Preservation by the City of Clearwater Beach. The DRP will continue to monitor the future land use designation for this parcel, which is included in the Optimum Boundary for the park.

Assessment of Use

All legal boundaries, significant natural features, structures, facilities, roads and trails existing in the unit are delineated on the Existing Facilities Map. Specific uses made of the unit are briefly described in the following sections.

Past Uses

The first inhabitants of the island are believed to have been the Tocobagos, the local Safety Harbor culture. There are also indications that Europeans used the island: maps dating to the 1830s refer to the area as Sand Island, and between 1830 and 1939, it was known as Hog Island. In 1921, a nine-foot storm surge from a hurricane breached Hog Island into two separate sections: Honeymoon Island and Caladesi Island. From 1892 to 1934, the island supported the Henry Scharrer homestead. When the State acquired Caladesi Island in 1967, it was owned by 15 separate landowners.

Future Land Use and Zoning

The DRP works with local governments to establish designations that provide both consistency between comprehensive plans and zoning codes and permit typical state park uses and facilities necessary for the provision of resource-based recreation.

Caladesi Island State Park is designated as Recreation and Open Space by the City of Dunedin. The primary uses of this use designation includes public/private open space, public/private park, public recreation facilities, public beach/water access, and golf course/clubhouse. The Conservation and Coastal Management Element of the City of Dunedin Comprehensive Plan recognizes the ecological significance of the property and calls for the City's assistance in the management of Caladesi Island State Park.

Current Recreational Use

Access to the park is only available by water. In addition to a ferry service from Honeymoon Island State Park, visitors access Caladesi Island State Park by motorboat or paddlecraft. Boat camping, beach use, and paddling through the mangrove trail are the most popular recreational uses at the park. A concession operation at the boat marina offers food service and souvenir sales. Visitation typically begins to increase in February and is highest during the months of March and July before tailing off in August.

Caladesi Island State Park recorded 161,930 visitors in FY 2019/2020. By DRP estimates, the FY 2019/2020 visitors contributed \$14.3 million in direct economic impact, the equivalent of adding 201 jobs to the local economy.

Other Uses

Overnight docking is allowed in the park marina. Electric and water hook-ups are provided. During the busy season, a standard camping fee is charged to allow for dock space for day-use visitors.

Protected Zones

A protected zone is an area of high sensitivity or outstanding character from which most types of development are excluded as a protective measure. Generally, facilities requiring extensive land alteration or resulting in intensive resource use, such as parking lots, camping areas, shops or maintenance areas, are not permitted in protected zones. Facilities with minimal resource impacts, such as trails, interpretive signs and boardwalks are generally allowed. All decisions involving the use of protected zones are made on a case-by-case basis after careful site planning and analysis. At Caladesi Island State Park all wetlands and known imperiled species habitat are designated as protected zones.

State Park Annual Attendance: 2011 - 2021



Existing Facilities

A majority of the facilities at Caladesi Island State Park are concentrated around the boat marina in the northern portion of the property. The boat marina is the point of entry where the ferry docks from Honeymoon Island State Park, motorboats tie up to the boat slips, and paddlers can stop over before embarking on the mangrove trail. From the entry station, the beach can be accessed by walking paths and boardwalks that are lined with restroom facilities and picnic pavilions. Visitors can expect approximately 1,000 feet of walking between the boat marina and the beach use areas. The southern portion of the property includes staff residences and support areas.

Recreation Facilities

Boat Basin Area Entrance Station Ticket Booth Docks (4) Boat Slips (107) Picnic Pavilion (3) Boat House Storage

<u>Trails</u> Hiking (2.5 miles) Paddling (3 miles)

Support Facilities

<u>Residence Area</u> Staff Residence (2) Shop Storage (3)

Conceptual Land Use Plan

The conceptual land use plan is the long-term, optimal development plan for the park, based on current conditions and knowledge of the park's resources, landscape and social setting. The conceptual land use plan is modified or amended, as new information becomes available regarding the park's natural and cultural resources or trends in recreational uses, in order to adapt to changing conditions. Additionally, the acquisition of new parkland may provide opportunities for alternative or expanded land uses. The DRP develops a detailed development plan for the park and a site plan for specific facilities based on this conceptual land use plan, as funding becomes available.

During the development of the conceptual land use plan, the DRP assessed the potential impact of proposed uses or development on the park resources and applied that analysis to determine the future physical plan of the park as well as the scale and character of proposed development. Potential resource impacts are also identified and assessed as part of the site planning process once funding is available for facility development. At that stage, design elements and design constraints are investigated in greater detail. Municipal sewer connections, advanced wastewater treatment or best available technology systems are applied for on-site sewage disposal.

Beach Use Area Bathhouse (2) Picnic Pavilion (2) Playground Storage Historic Foundation

<u>Southern Picnic Area</u> Picnic Pavilion Restroom



Caladesi Island State Park Existing Facilities Map







Caladesi Island State Park Existing Facilities Map





Creation of impervious surfaces is minimized to the greatest extent feasible in order to limit the need for stormwater management systems, and all facilities are designed and constructed using best management practices to limit and avoid resource impacts. Federal, state and local permit and regulatory requirements are addressed during facility development. This includes the design of all new park facilities consistent with the universal access requirements of the Americans with Disabilities Act (ADA). After new facilities are constructed, park staff monitors conditions to ensure that impacts remain within acceptable levels.

Public Access and Recreational Opportunities

Goal: Provide public access and recreational opportunities in the park.

The existing recreational activities and programs of this state park are appropriate to the natural and cultural resources contained in the park and should be continued. New and improved activities and programs are also recommended and discussed below.

Objective: Maintain the park's current recreational use.

The park will continue to offer beach, picnicking, hiking, paddling, boating, and interpretive recreational opportunities. The ferry service to and from Caladesi Island State Park will continue to launch from Honeymoon Island State Park.

Objective: Expand the park's recreational use.

Two potential development projects could expand the recreational use at the park. One project involves expanding the existing kayak dock to allow for additional paddling capacity, and the other project would construct an observation tower to provide scenic vistas of the island. The resulting expansion of recreational use is considered minimal.

Objective: Continue to provide interpretive programs.

Personal and non-personal interpretive opportunities will continue to be offered at the marina use area, on interpretive panels, and by park staff. Themes that will continue to be interpreted include the park's history and its diverse natural and cultural resources.

Objective: Develop 2 new interpretive programs.

New interpretive efforts at the park should highlight the dynamics of the coastal barrier island ecosystem and the role that shoreline erosion and accretion plays on the island. There are also additional interpretive opportunities to expand educational programming and outreach on shorebird and sea turtle nesting.

Visitor Use Management

The DRP manages visitor use to sustain the quality of park resources and the visitor experience, consistent with the purposes of the park. The dynamic nature of visitor use requires an adaptive approach to managing resource impacts from recreational activity.

To manage visitor use, the DRP will rely on a variety of management tools and strategies, potentially including modes of access and limits on the number of people within certain areas of the park. Achieving balance between resource protection and public access is fundamental to the provision of resource-based recreation. The premise of a visitor use management strategy is to protect the park's significant natural and cultural resources. A strategy may include site-specific indicators and thresholds selected to monitor resource conditions and visitor experience. By monitoring conditions over time and clearly documenting when conditions become problematic, the DRP can implement actions to prevent unacceptable resource conditions.

Levels of visitation, patterns of recreational use, and varieties of available recreational activities are routinely monitored parkwide. Indicators have shown that this park is operating sustainably for its resources and offers high quality experiences for its visitors.

Resource indicators to be considered during this planning period include:

- Erosion caused by unauthorized trail usage
- Prop scarring and disturbance of seagrass beds
- Spatial distribution of shoreline nesting

Quality of visitor experience indicators to be considered include:

• User conflict at the marina use area

Thresholds are defined as the minimally acceptable conditions for each indicator and represent the point at which resource impacts will require a change in management strategy. Thresholds are assigned based on the desired resource conditions, the data on existing conditions, relevant research studies, management experience, and current visitor use patterns. It is important to note that identified thresholds still represent acceptable resource conditions and not degraded or impaired conditions. Management actions may also be taken prior to reaching the thresholds.

Specific thresholds for resource conditions and experiential quality have not yet been established for the park. As monitoring continues, collected data may be used to determine baseline and desired conditions, thereby establishing thresholds.

Capital Facilities and Infrastructure

Goal: Develop and maintain use areas and support infrastructure.

Potential development at the park over the next ten years will mainly consist of improving or replacing existing structures. Improvements at the marina use area are geared toward enhancing the visitor experience, while new development at the support areas will allow for increased park management capabilities.

The existing facilities of this state park are appropriate to the natural and cultural resources contained in the park and should be maintained. New construction, as discussed further below, is recommended to improve the quality and safety of the recreational opportunities, to improve the protection of park resources, and to streamline the efficiency of park operations.

Objective: Maintain all use area and support facilities in the park.

All capital facilities, trails and roads within the park will be kept in proper condition through the daily or regular work of park staff and/or contracted help.



Caladesi Island State Park Conceptual Land Use Plan







Source: Esri, Maxar, GeoEye, Earthstar Geographics, CNES/Airbus DS, USDA, USGS, AeroGRID, IGN, and the GIS User Community
Objective: Improve 3 use areas.

Major repair projects for park facilities may be accomplished within the ten-year term of this management plan, if funding is made available. These include the modification of existing facilities to bring them into compliance with the Americans with Disabilities Act.

Marina Use Area

Day use and overnight visitors utilize the same bathhouse facility, which has led to increased degradation of the structure. This northern bathhouse of the two on the island should be replaced, and an additional bathhouse should be constructed near the western corner of the marina use area. Electric hookups for the boat slips should be repaired and upgraded as necessary. The existing kayak dock should be expanded. This expansion should include an ADA accessible launch. An observation tower will be constructed.

Maintenance Area

In order to enhance resource management capabilities, a 3-bay shop and 3-bay pole barn should be constructed in the existing maintenance support area.

Residence Area

Additional staff housing options are needed on the island. One new residence is recommended for the staff residence area. In addition to the residence, a bunkhouse or cabin should be constructed to host park management or research volunteers.

Optimum Boundary

The optimum boundary map reflects lands considered desirable for direct management by the DRP as part of the state park. These parcels may include public or privately owned land that would improve the continuity of existing parklands, provide the most efficient boundary configuration, improve access to the park, provide additional natural and cultural resource protection or allow for future expansion of recreational activities. Parklands that are potentially surplus to the management needs of DRP are also identified. As additional needs are identified and as land use changes on adjacent property, modification of the park's optimum boundary may be necessary.

Identification of parcels on the optimum boundary map is intended solely for planning purposes. It is not to be used in connection with any regulatory purposes. Any party or governmental entity should not use a property's identification on the optimum boundary map to reduce or restrict the lawful rights of private landowners. Identification on the map does not empower or suggest that any government entity should impose additional or more restrictive environmental land use or zoning regulations. Identification should not be used as the basis for permit denial or the imposition of permit conditions.

At this time, no lands are considered surplus to the needs of the park. Additional lands adjacent to the southern boundary of the park have been identified as optimum boundary. The identified land (see Optimum Boundary Map) became connected to Caladesi Island when the Dunedin Pass was closed by accreting sand. Acquisition of this property would help buffer the park from development along Clearwater Beach.



Caladesi Island State Park Optimum Boundary Map





MANAGEMENT PROGRESS

Since the approval of the last management plan for Caladesi Island State Park in 2007, significant work has been accomplished and progress made towards meeting the DRP's management objectives for the park. The two following tables demonstrate the progress accomplished over the past ten years in prescribed fire and exotic species management.

Caladesi Island State Park Prescribed Fire Management Annual Fire Reports (2011 – 2021)									
Fiscal Year	Fire Acres Planned	Q1 July/Sept	Q2 Oct/Dec	Q3 Jan/Mar	Q4 Apr/June	Number of Burns	Total Acres Burned	% of Plan Met	Acres in Rotation
11/12	131	0	0	0	19	2	19	15%	108
12/13	47	0	63	24	10	5	97	100%	172
13/14	80	0	72	0	18	2	90	100%	239
14/15	57	0	0	0	4	1	4	7%	206
15/16	70	0	22	14	79	4	115	100%	239
16/17	76	0	19	27	0	2	46	61%	239
17/18	11	61	0	0	20	2	81	100%	191
18/19	59	0	0	0	61	3	61	100%	191
19/20	22	0	0	0	4	1	4	21%	174
20/21	38	0	11	57	0	3	68	100%	175

Caladesi Island State Park Exotic Plant Species Management Annual Exotic Treatment Reports (2011 – 2021)									
Fiscal Year	Infested Acres Planned	Q1 July/Sept	Q2 Oct/Dec	Q3 Jan/Mar	Q4 Apr/June	Gross Area Worked	Total Area Treated	% of Plan Met	Infested Acres
11/12	7.262	0.375	6.709	5.325	0.015	46.55	12.424	100%	96.686
12/13	8.9	0	1.641	2.15	7.586	40.14	11.377	100%	95.334
13/14	8.731	0	5.764	6.581	15.77	254.134	28.114	100%	93.799
14/15	9.429	2.925	9.975	1.085	0.96	1,752.81	14.945	100%	108.737
15/16	7.455	7.14	1.964	4.311	0	115.63	13.415	100%	114.313
16/17	11.293	1.6	6.848	11.008	0	121.46	19.457	100%	114.825
17/18	8.78	0.805	5.707	2.125	3.88	71.94	12.518	100%	114.825
18/19	27.752	0	46.736	3.906	0	323.9	50.642	100%	27.752
19/20	7.15	0	3.18	1.2	0.36	108	4.74	66%	18.151
20/21	1.12	0.9	4.33	1.75	0	122.5	6.98	100%	20.221

Ш

MANAGEMENT OBJECTIVES

This section compiles the management goals and objectives expressed in the separate parts of this management plan. Estimated costs for the ten-year planning period of this plan are provided for each objective, and the costs are summarized under standard categories of land management activities. Measures are identified for assessing progress toward completing each objective. The timeframes for completing each objective are:

- Continuous (C) to be performed on a continuous basis
- $_{\odot}$ Short-Term (ST) to be completed within two years of the approval date
- \circ Long-Term (LT) to be completed or started within the plan's lifespan
- \circ Unfunded Need (UFN) to be identified for potential future funding

Many of the objectives identified in the plan can be implemented using existing staff and funding. However, a number of continuing activities and new activities with measurable quantity targets and projected completion dates are identified that cannot be completed during the life of this plan unless additional resources for these purposes are provided. The plan's recommended objectives, time frames, and cost estimates will guide the DRP's planning and budgeting activities over the period of this plan.

It must be noted that these recommendations are based on the information that exists at the time the plan was prepared. A high degree of adaptability and flexibility must be built into this process to ensure that the DRP can adjust to changes in the availability of funds, improved understanding of the park's natural and cultural resources, and changes in statewide land management issues, priorities, and policies.

Statewide priorities for all aspects of land management are evaluated each year as part of the process for developing the DRP's annual legislative budget requests. When preparing these annual requests, the DRP considers the needs and priorities of the entire state park system and the projected availability of funding from all sources during the upcoming fiscal year. In addition to annual legislative appropriations, the DRP pursues supplemental sources of funds and staff resources wherever possible, including grants, volunteers and partnerships with other entities.

The DRP's ability to accomplish the specific objectives identified in the plan will be determined largely by the availability of funds and staff for these purposes, which may vary from year to year. Consequently, the target planning period and estimated costs may need to be adjusted during the ten-year management planning cycle.

Goal I: Provid all park functi	e administrative support for ons.	Measure	Planning Period	Estimated Manpower and Expense Cost (10-years)
Objective A	Continue day-to-day administrative support at current levels.	Administrative support ongoing	С	\$730,000
Objective B	Expand administrative support as new facilities are developed or as other needs arise.	Administrative support expanded	UFN	\$50,000

Goal II: Protec restore hydrold and maintain	t water quality and quantity, ogy to the extent feasible, the restored condition.	Measure	Planning Period	Estimated Manpower and Expense Cost (10-years)
Objective A	Maintain hydrological conditions	Hydrology monitored	С	\$30,000
Goal III: Restor communities/I	e and maintain natural nabitat of the park.	Measure	Planning Period	Estimated Manpower and Expense Cost (10-years)
Objective A	Maintain 204 acres of the park within the optimum fire return interval.	# of acres maintained	С	\$600,000
Objective B	Continue to assist federal, state, and local agencies with coastal projects.	Agencies assisted	С	\$50,000
Goal IV: Maintain, improve, or restore imperiled species populations and habitats in the park.		Measure	Planning Period	Estimated Manpower and Expense Cost (10-years)
Objective A	Continue to update baseline imperiled species occurrence inventory lists for plants and animals.	Imperiled species lists updated	С	\$50,000
Objective B	Monitor and document 12 imperiled animal species.	Population survey conducted	С	\$100,000
Objective C	Monitor and document 1 imperiled plant species	Species documented	С	\$75,000
Objective D	Provide protection, where appropriate, to imperiled species within the park.	Protection provided	С	\$250,000
Objective E	Monitor impacts on shorebird and sea turtles by terrestrial nuisance species in the park.	Impacts monitored	С	\$250,000
Goal V: Remove exotic and invasive plants and animals from the park and conduct needed maintenance control.		Measure	Planning Period	Estimated Manpower and Expense Cost (10-years)
Objective A	Annually treat 8 acres of exotic plant species.	# of infested acres treated	С	\$150,000
Objective B	Implement control measures on 1 exotic animal species	Species removed	С	\$120,000

Goal VI: Protect, preserve, and maintain the cultural resources of the park.		Measure	Planning Period	Estimated Manpower and Expense Cost (10-years)
Objective A	Assess and evaluate 3 recorded cultural sites.	# of sites evaluated	С	\$30,000
Objective B	Continue to compile reliable documentation for all cultural resources.	Documents compiled	С	\$30,000
Objective C	Maintain 3 cultural sites in good condition	# of sites in good condition	С	\$250,000
Goal VII: Provide public access and recreational opportunities at the park.		Measure	Planning Period	Estimated Manpower and Expense Cost (10-years)
Objective A	Maintain the current public access and recreational uses at the park.	Current access maintained	С	\$750,000
Objective B	Expand the park's recreational use.	# of new amenities developed	UFN	\$500,000
Objective C	Continue to provide interpretive programs.	# of interpretive programs	С	\$50,000
Objective D	Develop 2 new interpretive programs.	# of new interpretive programs	ST	\$10,000
Goal VIII: Develop and maintain capital facilities and infrastructure.		Measure	Planning Period	Estimated Manpower and Expense Cost (10-years)
Objective A	Maintain all public and support facilities in the park.	Facilities maintained	С	\$820,000
Objective B	Improve 3 existing use areas.	# of facilities improved	UFN	\$4,312,000

Addendum 1—Acquisition History

Land Acquisition History Report							
Park Name	Caladesi Island Stat	te Park					
Date Updated	10/25/2021						
County	Pinellas						
Trustees Lease Number Lease No. 2385							
Current Park Acreage	2 /20 0/ (1 103 92	submerged lands acreage added i	n 1993 lease amendment)				
	L,420.04 (1,105.52	lanagement Lease & Ame	ndments				
Lease Number	Date Leased or Amended	Initial Lessor	Initial Lessee	Current Term	Expiration Date		
Parent Lease No. 2385	9/15/1969	The Board of Trustees of the Internal Improvement Trust Fund of the State of Florida	Florida Department of Natural Resouces, Division of Recreation and Parks	99 years	9/14/2068		
Lease No. 2385 Amendment #1	1/22/1985	The Board of Trustees of the Internal Improvement Trust Fund of the State of Florida	Florida Department of Natural Resouces, Division of Recreation and Parks	99 years	9/14/2068		
Lease No. 2385 Amendment #2	10/15/1993	The Board of Trustees of the Internal Improvement Trust Fund of the State of Florida	Florida Department of Environmental Protection, Division of Recreation and Parks	99 years	9/14/2068		
		Acquisition History	,				
Parcel DM-ID	Date Acquired and Funding Source	Grantor	Grantee	Acreage	Instrument Type		
DM-ID 6	4/18/1966 Donation	City of Dunedin	Trustees	100.58	Quit Claim Deed		
DM-ID 2901	5/20/1967 LATF/LWCF	Martha & David Ward Mary & W Ott Carlton Foundation	Trustees	108.73	Warranty Deed		
DM-ID 2915	6/5/1967 LATF/LWCF	M Lester Harn Jr.	Trustees	0.14	Warranty Deed		
DM-ID 2911	6/8/1967 LATF/LWCF	First National Bank of Dunedin	Trustees	0.07	Warranty Deed		
DM-ID 2909	6/9/1967 LATF/LWCF	Ernest Berger	Trustees	0.22	Warranty Deed		
DM-ID 2916	6/9/1967 LATF/LWCF	Annie Costello	Trustees	0.11	Warranty Deed		
DM-ID 2918	6/19/1967 LATF/LWCF	James Minnet	Trustees	0.89	Warranty Deed		
DM-ID 2906	7/10/1967 LATF/LWCF	Cynthia & Otto Bie	Trustees	1.01	Fee Simple Deed		
DM-ID 2908	7/20/1967 LATF/LWCF	Janey Skinner	Trustees	0.75	Warranty Deed		
DM-ID 2910	8/8/1967 LATF/LWCF	Eva & John Hart	Trustees	82.32	Warranty Deed		
DM-ID 2917	8/30/1967 LATF/LWCF	Emil Goss	Trustees	127.45	Warranty Deed		
DM-ID 2912	8/31/1967 LATF/LWCF	Nelle & Clyde Rinaldi	Trustees	41.89	Warranty Deed		
DM-ID 2903	11/28/1967 LATF/LWCF	Honeymoon Isle Development Corporation	Trustees	675.44	Warranty Deed		
DM-ID 2902	12/5/1967 LATF/LWCF	Nancy & Jerry Fogarty Betsy Regar & Consuelo Haber Murrel & John McWhirter	Trustees	107.77	Fee Simple Deed		
DM-ID 2905	3/10/1969 LATF/LWCF	City of Dunedin	Trustees	42.67	Warranty Deed		
DM-ID 6931	12/24/1983 Donation	William Crown	Trustees	26.08	Special Warranty Deed		

Addendum 2—Advisory Group Summary Report

Local Government Representative

Julie Bujalski, Mayor City of Dunedin

Jennifer Bramley, City Manager City of Dunedin

Agency Representatives

Don Bergeron, Park Manager Division of Recreation and Parks Honeymoon Island State Park

Randy Runnels Florida Department of Environmental Protection, Aquatic Preserves

Michael Edwards Florida Forest Service

Tyson Dallas Florida Fish and Wildlife Conservation Commission

George Hughes Florida Fish and Wildlife Conservation Commission

Jason O'Donoughue Florida Department of State Division of Historical Resources

Whit Blanton, Executive Director Forward Pinellas

Local Private Property Owners

William Hill Local Resident

Terry Fortner Local Resident

Environmental and Conservation Group Representative

Michael Coleman, President Florida Native Plant Society

Dave Perkey Florida Native Plant Society

Holley Short Clearwater Audubon Society

Arrienne Cardwell Clearwater Marine Aquarium

Tourism Development Council

Steve Hayes, President Visit St. Pete/Clearwater

Citizen Support Organization

George Skalkeas, President Friends of the Island Parks The advisory group meeting to review the proposed unit management plans (UMP) for Honeymoon Island State Park and Caladesi Island State Park was held virtually via GoToWebinar on October 4, 2021 at 9:00 am.

Jennifer Bramley, Tyson Dallas, George Hughes, Arrienne Cardwell, Jason O'Donoughue, and Terry Fortner were not in attendance. Nicole Delfino, Assistant City Manager, represented Jennifer Bramley. All other appointed advisory group members were present. Attending DRP staff included Brian Fugate, Chris Becker, Karen Rogers, Don Bergeron, Dan Larremore, and Tyler Maldonado.

Mr. Maldonado began the meeting by explaining the purpose of the advisory group and reviewing the meeting agenda. He provided a brief presentation of the management plan objectives for each of the parks. Advisory group members were asked to provide comments according to each section of the management plans. A recording of the advisory group meeting can be made available upon request.

Summary of Advisory Group Comments

Hydrology

There were no comments on the hydrological management objectives.

Natural Communities

Michael Edwards (Florida Forest Service) noted that timber assessments are required for state lands over 1,000 acres. He stated that the plans do not include timber assessments. Mr. Maldonado responded that the DRP will comply with all legal requirements for management plans. However, the DRP has determined that timber management conflicts with the primary management objectives for Honeymoon Island State Park and Caladesi Island State Park.

Steve Hayes (Visit St. Pete/Clearwater) asked about prescribed fire and wanted to know when it typically occurs. Mr. Larremore responded that growing season burns in the spring and summer are the most ideal. He added that the park is broken up in management zones, and typically one fire-dependent management zone is burned per year on a 5-year rotation with the other zones.

Imperiled Species

Holley Short (Clearwater Audubon Society) stated that the plans are well-written and applauded the thorough imperiled species monitoring efforts.

David Perkey (Florida Native Plant Society) inquired about when the Eastern indigo snake was last observed at the park. Mr. Larremore responded that it has been historically observed but has not been recorded recently.

Randy Runnels (Florida Department of Environmental Protection, Aquatic Preserves) added to the discussion about Eastern indigo snakes, stating that other species could be documented that signal the existence of the imperiled snake.

Julie Bujalski (City of Dunedin) asked about how the park handles its rattlesnake population. She noted that residents have increasingly reported sightings of rattlesnakes, especially during COVID. Mr. Larremore stated that the rattlesnake has recently been upgraded to a protected species, and the parks provide habitat for the species. Signage at the parks warn visitors about rattlesnakes.

Exotic and Invasive Species

Michael Edwards (Florida Forest Service) noted that the Florida Exotic Pest Plant Council (FLEPPC) has changed its name to the Florida Invasive Species Council (FISC), and the organization has released an updated species list. He commented that the previous management plans received low scores on pests and pathogens discussion during the past Land Management Reviews (LMR). He suggested including language related to laurel whit and lethal bronze.

Cultural Resources

Michael Coleman (Florida Native Plant Society) inquired about a potential transfer of the Scharrer Homestead artifacts to the park. He offered his assistance to follow-up on acquiring the digital and physical artifacts from the Scharrer family.

David Perkey (Florida Native Plant Society) commented on how artifacts are stored at the park and asked if there was a more secure location where they could be stored. Mr. Coleman stated that a mobile museum could be a potential idea.

Michael Edwards (Florida Forest Service) stated that the previous LMR for Caladesi Island mentioned the need for a Phase I archaeological survey, and he asked if that has been conducted. Mr. Larremore responded that a probability survey analysis for both of the parks has been conducted, but the Phase I archaeological survey still needs to be completed once funding can be secured.

Randy Runnels (Florida Department of Environmental Protection, Aquatic Preserves) noted that cultural artifacts at Weeden Island were stored near sea level, and a process was implemented to create 3D digital models of the artifacts. He suggested that 3D modeling could be used for Caladesi Island artifacts, which could then be showcased in the visitor center on Honeymoon Island.

Julie Bujalski (City of Dunedin) mentioned the possibility of a partnership between the Dunedin Historical Museum and the state parks to store and display cultural artifacts. She suggested there could be rolling exhibits at the museum for artifacts that may not be able to be displayed at the park if a dedicated space cannot be created. She stated that the museum is currently working on their own 3D models and may be able to provide assistance to the park.

Public Access and Capital Facilities

Whit Blanton (Forward Pinellas) addressed several of the transportation issues related to Honeymoon Island and discussed the projects that are moving toward implementation to alleviate congestion on the Dunedin Causeway. He started by discussing the Dunedin Causeway repair and expansion project led by Pinellas County. He noted that this project has completed the design phase and is seeking construction funding. He stated that the project will repair the aging bridges, create turnaround areas, and enhance the existing bicycle/pedestrian trail. Related to the Pinellas Trail, he remarked that trail usage is steadily increasing and currently amounts to over 2 million users per year. To facilitate bicycle/pedestrian entry into Honeymoon Island, he suggested making one of the park entry lanes a bicycle and pedestrian-only entrance. Later in the discussion, Mr. Bergeron stated that the entrance redesign project will create this bicycle/pedestrian-only entrance. Mr. Blanton went on to discuss the potential for automated signals and signage at Alt US 19 and the Causeway. He noted that these projects are currently in the design phase, with potential implementation targeted for 2023-2024. He stated that the FDOT roundabout project to construct a traffic circle within the park boundary near the entrance area has design funding but does not include construction funding at this time. Another planned project that he discussed was an expansion of Alt US 19, where two additional north-south lanes of traffic will be constructed. Regarding the potential for a ferry service to Honeymoon Island from the mainland, he stated that a water-borne transportation committee is discussing local water taxies and ferries.

Julie Bujalski (City of Dunedin) discussed the consistent issue of congestion on the Dunedin Causeway leading to Honeymoon Island. She stated that the City will continue to partner with the County and State to seek solutions that address conception on the Causeway. One issue she hears from constituents is related to the observation that although traffic is backed up trying to get into the park, one of the park entrance lanes is consistently closed to traffic. Mr. Bergeron later stated that the closed entry lane is for emergency vehicles and staff to get into the park, but the redesigned entrance will no longer close one lane for these purposes. She mentioned that the Causeway repair and enhancement project will also include undergrounding utilities, which could potentially impact the park. As a temporary solution while seeking permanent facilities, she noted that FDOT is considering a portable messaging system to be placed near Alt US 19 and the Causeway. She discussed the FDOT roundabout project and a potential issue related to land ownership and implementation funding. She mentioned previous attempts to establish a trolley service to Honeymoon Island, where the Causeway Plaza could be a potential park and ride location. Issues that would need to be addressed with a trolley service are how riders pay and how they get to the beach.

William Hill (Local Resident) suggested using the first entrance lane as a bicycle and pedestrian-only entrance to help alleviate some of the vehicle congestion. He acknowledged how traffic along the causeway should be expected given the popularity of the Honeymoon Island beach and appreciated the work of the agency partners to address this persistent issue for Causeway residents. Randy Runnels (Florida Department of Environmental Protection, Aquatic Preserves) asked about usage at the Honeymoon Island northern trailhead and parking area. He stated that when there are events in this portion of the park, the beaches will be full but few visitors make their way further north to the picnic and trails area. He inquired about potential ways to increase awareness of this area of park for the vendors and organizations that use it for special events.

David Perkey (Florida Native Plant Society) discussed the visitor center classroom concept and noted that such an expansion could require a larger parking area.

Nicole Delfino (City of Dunedin) asked about the number of visitors who use annual passes to access the park. She suggested that annual passes could be used to facilitate automated entry into the park, similar to a SunPass system.

Staff Recommendations

The DRP staff recommends approval of the proposed management plans for Honeymoon Island State Park and Caladesi Island State Park as presented.

Notes on Composition of the Advisory Group

Florida Statutes Chapter 259.032 Paragraph 10(b) establishes a requirement that all state land management plans for properties greater than 160 acres will be reviewed by an advisory group:

"Individual management plans required by s. 253.034(5), for parcels over 160 acres, shall be developed with input from an advisory group. Members of this advisory group shall include, at a minimum, representatives of the lead land managing agency, co-managing entities, local private property owners, the appropriate soil and water conservation district, a local conservation organization, and a local elected official."

Advisory groups that are composed in compliance with these requirements complete the review of State park management plans. Additional members may be appointed to the groups, such as a representative of the park's Citizen Support Organization (if one exists), representatives of the recreational activities that exist in or are planned for the park, or representatives of any agency with an ownership interest in the property. Special issues or conditions that require a broader representation for adequate review of the management plan may require the appointment of additional members. The Division's intent in making these appointments is to create a group that represents a balanced cross-section of the park's stakeholders. Decisions on appointments are made on a case-by-case basis by Division of Recreation and Parks staff.



September 29, 2021

Florida Department of Environmental Protection Department of Recreation and Parks Office of Park Planning 3900 Commonwealth Boulevard Tallahassee, FL 32399-3000

Dear Florida Park Service,

On behalf of the City of Dunedin, I am writing in support of the improvements to access Honeymoon Island State Park as indicated in the Florida Department of Environmental Protection's Honeymoon Island State Park Draft Unit Management Plan.

As the home to Honeymoon Island, our community is invested in the protection and recreational use of the park. The City of Dunedin's arterial roads provide access onto the County shared and maintained 2.5 mile Dunedin Causeway, providing the single access point to Honeymoon Island. Dunedin and surrounding Pinellas County have experienced rapid growth over the years and the Park now boasts over 1.25 million visitors per year. The Park is one of the most popular beaches in Florida and is consistently ranked as a best beach nationwide.

To continue to protect our environment and natural wildlife, support our communities recreational use of the island, and to enhance tourism to the park and surrounding community, the City believes it is in all parties' best interest to implement the enhancements outlined in the management plan. Key to these enhancements and to assist with severely clogged access points, is the updated and expanded entrance which will serve more patrons efficiently and help to maintain traffic flow along the causeway. Other planned maintenance, mitigation, restoration, expanded educational offerings, and development of park infrastructure will serve our community, the State Park system, and all of Florida well.

The City of Dunedin supports and applauds the efforts of the Department of Environmental Protection to protect our natural Florida beauty and all the species that call Honeymoon Island home.

Sincerely,

Jennifer K. Bramley, ICMA Credentialed City Manager Dunedin City Manger

CC: City Commission

City of Dunedin ~ PO Box 1348, Dunedin, FL 34697 ~ 727-298-3000 ~ dunedingov.com "Equal Opportunity Employer" Addendum 3—References Cited

- Bard, Alice M. 1992, "The Status of the Seagrass Community of St. Joseph Sound Adjacent to Honeymoon Island State Recreation Area and Caladesi Island State Park, Pinellas County, Florida: Ecology, Distribution, and Recommendations for Management", District 5 Technical Report, Tallahassee, FL. 47 pp.
- Betz, Myrtle S. 1991, Yesteryear I Lived in Paradise, the Story of Caladesi Island, Florida Chronicle Publishing Project. St. Petersburg, FL, 108 pp.
- Brame, Jeffrey W. 1976. "The Stratigraphy and Geologic History of Caladesi Island, Pinellas County Florida," Master's Thesis, the University of South Florida, Tampa, FL. 109 pp.
- Davis, Richard A. 1989. "Management of Drumstick Barrier Islands," Proceedings of Sixth Symposium on Coastal and Ocean Management. Charleston, S.C., 16pp.
- Douglas, N.J. 2010 Regional Non-game Biologist, South Region, Florida Fish and Wildlife Conservation Commission. Anclote Key State Preserve land management review comments on the importance of the Three Rooker Islands for breeding and wintering shorebirds.
- Elko, Nicole A. 2001. "Morphodynamics of Caladesi Island, Pinellas County, Florida," U.S. Geologic Survey, Center for Coastal Geology, St. Petersburg, FL. 18 pp.
- Ellis, J.C., Shulman, M.J., Jessop, H., Suomala, R., Morris, S., Seng, V., Wagner,
 M., Mach, K., 2007. Impact of raccoons on breeding success in large colonies of great blackbacked gulls, and herring gulls. Waterbirds 30, 375–383
- Engeman, R.M., Constantin, B., Shwiff, S.A., Smith, H.T., Woolard, J., 2007a. Adaptive and economic management methods for feral swine control in Florida. Hum.-Wildl. Conflicts 1, 178–185.
- Engeman, R.M., Constantin, B., Gruver, K.S., Rossi, C., 2009. Managing predators to protect endangered species and promote their successful reproduction. In: Columbus, A.M., Kuznetsov, L. (Eds.), Endangered Species: New Research. Nova Science Publishers, Hauppauge, NY, pp. 171–187.
- Florida Oceans and Coastal Council. 2010. Climate Change and Sea-Level Rise in Florida: An Update of "The Effects of Climate Change on Florida's Ocean and Coastal Resources." [2009 Report] Tallahassee, Florida. vi + 26 p
- Gore, Jeffery A. 1994, Letter to Mr. Michael K. Murphy, District 4 Office, Florida Park Service. Florida Game and Fresh Water Fish Commission.
- Hecht, A., and Nickerson, P.R., 1999. The need for predator management in conservation of some vulnerable species. Endangered Species Update 16:114-118.

A 3 - 1

- Helmers, D.L. 1992. Shorebird Management Manual. Western Hemisphere Shorebird Reserve Network, Manumit, MA. 58 pp.
- Johnson, A.F. and J.W. Muller 1993. An assessment of Florida's remaining coastal upland natural communities: Southwest Florida. Florida Natural Areas Inventory, Tallahassee, FL.
- Kadlec, J.A., 1971. Effects of introducing foxes and raccoons on herring gull colonies. J. Wildl. Manage. 35, 625–636.
- Moore, Clarence. 1903. Indian Mound Excavation Report in Memorandum from Heather L. Warner, Division of Historic Resources, Florida Department of State, dated July 14, 1998, referring to Florida Master Site File #Pi09614.
- Reynolds, J.C., Tapper, S.C., 1996. Control of mammalian predators in game management and conservation. Mamm. Rev. 26, 127-156.
- Rodgers, J.A., Jr. and H.S. Smith. 1995. Set-back distances to protect nesting bird colonies from human disturbance in Florida. Conservation Biology 9: 89-99.
- Smith, G.C., Sullivan, P., Reed, M.B. 2008 Countywide Cultural Resources Survey Pinellas County, Florida. New South Associates, St. Augustine, FL 8 pp.
- Wilcox, C., Donlan, C.J., 2007. Compensatory mitigation as a solution to fisheries bycatch-biodiversity conflicts. Front. Ecol. Environ. 5, 325–331.
- Yarbro, Laura A. and Carlson, Paul R. Jr. 2011 Seagrass Integrated Mapping and Monitoring for the State of Florida Mapping and Monitoring Report No. 1. Florida Fish and Wildlife Conservation Commission Fish and Wildlife Research Institute St. Petersburg, FL. 109 pp.

Addendum 4—Soil Descriptions

Coastal Beaches (Co) - Coastal beaches consists of narrow strips of tide-washed sand bordering islands and parts of the mainland. Most areas are covered during storms and daily at high tide. These beaches range from a few feet to as much as 500 feet in width. Long stretches are practically without vegetation, but sparse salt-tolerant grasses and other plants grow in places. Depth to the water table varies with the tide. The beach sand has been deposited, mixed and reworked by waves. It is firm or compact when moist and loose when dry. This sand is light gray to white and consists mainly of fine quartz particles in which there are varying quantities of medium to coarse shell fragments. The sand contains a few, fine, rounded, weakly cemented very dark gray to very dark brown particles. Coastal beaches are used primarily for recreation. It provides habitat for shore birds. (No capability classification; woodland group 9)

Made land (Ma) -consists of mixed sand, clay, hard rock, shells, and shell fragments that have been transported, reworked, and leveled by earth-moving equipment. Many areas consist of material that has been dredged from the bay and used to fill diked areas. Coarser sludge materials are deposited near the outlet of discharge pipes and finer materials settle in more distant positions. Rocks½ inch to 12 inches in diameter are common. Numerous silicified oyster shells and some animal fossils occur in these materials. Stratification is apparent in the water-transported material. Materials transported by truck are similar, but they usually are sandier and do not contain silicified shells and fossils.

Made land is underlain at a depth of 2 to 8 feet by various kinds of material. In some areas it is underlain by the sandy bay bottom, and in others by Tidal swamp that has layers of fibrous peat 20 inches or less thick. Some of the material transported by truck has been deposited over solid rubble consisting of chunks of concrete, discarded appliances, and broken asphalt.

Made land occurs mainly in urban areas, along the coast and keys, and as manmade islands built in shallow water. In coastal areas it has been built up to provide desirable locations for residential development. Recently deposited material shows very little profile development and has severe limitations for plants. Topsoil, irrigation, and special fertilizers are needed for good growth of lawns and ornamental plants. (No capability classification; wood land group 9)

St. Lucie Fine Sand, Shell Substratum (Su) - This is a nearly level soil on low ridges on barrier islands in the western part of the county. In most places, the surface layer is very dark gray fine sand about 3 inches thick. Below this is light gray loose fine sand about 34 inches thick. The next layer is very pale brown, loose fine sand that extends to a depth of 40 inches or more. This is underlain by layers of mixed light-gray or white sand, seashells, and shell fragments. Reaction is medium acid in the surface layer and mildly alkaline below. The water table is at a depth of 40 to 60 inches for 6 months or more in most years. It is within 40 inches for less than 60 days. Included in mapping are small areas of Palm Beach sand that make up no more than 15 percent of any mapped area and of Made land that make up as much as 5 percent. Most areas of St. Lucie fine sand, shell substratum, are in State or county parks or have been used for building lots. No areas are available for farming. (Capability unit VIs-2; woodland group 3)

Tidal marsh (Td) consists of marshy areas slightly above sea level that are mostly along the Anclote River, Allen's Creek, and Cross Bayou, and in narrow strips adjacent to Tidal swamp. It differs from Tidal swamp mainly in vegetative cover. Tidal marsh is saturated by salt water or brackish water or inundated by tidal waters, but it is not subject to vigorous wave action. Strong concentrations of salt inhibit the growth of all vegetation except salt-tolerant weeds, rushes, sedges, and a few small scattered mangrove trees.

This land type consists mainly of mineral soils, but many areas have an organic surface layer as much as 50 inches thick. Some areas have stratified mineral and organic materials, and some have a highly organic surface layer over sand. Many areas have layers of sand mixed with shell fragments at varying depths. All areas are strongly saline and generally emit a strong odor of hydro gen sulfide when excavated. A small acreage of slightly higher soils is inundated only by extremely high tides and is less wet; only patches of salt-tolerant grasses and succulents grow in these higher areas.

Tidal marsh provides food, breeding grounds, and some cover for many species of birds and a few animals. Small creeks and streams in these areas are breeding grounds for numerous species of fish. (No capability classification woodland group 9)

Tidal Swamp (Ts) - Tidal swamp is on small islands and in low, broad coastal areas that are covered with seawater. It occurs mostly in the southeastern part of the county. The water is several inches deep at low tide and 1 or 2 feet deep at high tide. Tidal swamp differs from Tidal marsh mainly in vegetation. Tidal swamp has a thick growth of mangrove trees and a few small patches of salt-tolerant plants. Tidal swamp is subject to wave action, whereas Tidal marsh usually is not. This land type consists mainly of sand, peaty sand, a few organic soils, seashells, and shell fragments. The dense forest of mangrove trees and high water make detailed investigation of the soils impractical. In places, the surface layer is fibrous peat, 6 to 18 inches thick, over gray to pale-brown sand mixed with shell fragments. In places, the surface layer is sandy clay and the subsurface layers are loam or marl. Other areas are stratified sand and organic material. Most areas contain varying amounts of seashells and shell fragments at irregular depths. Tidal swamp is not extensive in the county. It is mainly a source of food, cover, and breeding

grounds for numerous shorebirds and animals. Mosquito-control ditches have been dug in most areas to remove water trapped by falling tides. The shallow water in these ditches provides food and breeding areas for many species of fish. Some areas near St. Petersburg, Clearwater, and Honeymoon Island have been filled with dredged material to provide waterfront home sites. (No capability classification woodland group 9)



Addendum 5-Plant and Animal Species Lists

PTERIDOPHYTES

Acrostichum danaeifolium
Lygodium japonicum
Osmunda regalis var. spectabilis
Pleopeltis michauxiana
Phlebodium aureum
Psilotum nudum
Pteridium aquilinum var. pseudocaudatum
Telmatoblechnum serrulatum
Vittaria lineata
Woodwardia areolata
Woodwardia virginica

GYMNOSPERMS

Red cedar	Juniperus virginiana
Slash pine	Pinus elliotti
Florida arrowroot; Coontie	Zamia integrifolia

ANGIOSPERMS

MONOCOTS

False sisal Agave decipiens	
Bushy bluestem Andropogon glomeratus var. pumi.	lus
Hairy Bluestem Andropogon longiberbis	
Broomsedge bluestem Andropogon virginicus var. virginic	cus
Tall threeawn Aristida patula	
Hillsboro threeawn Aristida purpurascens var. tenuisp	ica
Sprenger's asparagus fern* Asparagus aethiopicus	
Common asparagus fern * Asparagus setaceus	
Big carpetgrass Axonopus furcatus	
Capillary hairsedge Bulbostylis ciliatifolia	
Southern sandbur Cenchrus echinatus	
Coastal sandbur <i>Cenchrus spinifex</i>	
Jamaica swamp sawgrass <i>Cladium jamaicense</i>	
Common dayflower* <i>Commelina diffusa</i> var. <i>diffusa</i>	
Whitemouth dayflower Commelina erecta	
Shortleaf spikesedge * <i>Cyperus brevifolia</i>	
Poorland flatsedge Cyperus compressus	
Baldwin's flatsedge <i>Cyperus croceus</i>	
Swamp flatsedge <i>Cyperus ligularis</i>	
Fragrant flatsedge <i>Cyperus odoratus</i>	
Pinebarren flatsedge <i>Cyperus ovatus</i>	

Common Name	Scientific Name	Primary Habitat Codes (for imperiled species)
Manyspike flatsedge	Cyperus polystachyos	
Low flatsedge*	Cyperus pumilus	
Fourangle flatsedge	Cyperus tetragonus	
Durban crowfootgrass*	Dactyloctenium aegyptiui	η
Needleleaf witchgrass	Dichanthelium aciculare	
Variable witchgrass	Dichanthelium commutat	um
Asian crabgrass*	Digitaria bicornis	
Slender crabgrass; Shaggy	5	
crabgrass	Digitaria filiformis var. fill	liformis
Saltgrass	Distichlis spicata	
Indian goosegrass*	Eleusine indica	
Florida butterfly orchid	Encyclia tampensis	
Scouring rush	Equisetum hyemale var.	affine
Gophertail lovegrass	Eragrostis ciliaris	
Elliott's lovegrass	Eragrostis elliottii	
Saltmarsh fingergrass	Eustachys glauca	
Fourspike fingergrass	Eustachys neglecta	
Pinewoods fingergrass	Eustachys petraea	
Hurricanegrass	Fimbristylis cymosa	
Marsh fimbry	Fimbristylis spadicea	
Toothpetal false reinorchid;		
Mignonette orchid	Habenaria floribunda	
Shoalweed	Halodule wrightii	
Cogongrass*	Imperata cylindrica	
Shore rush; Grassleaf rush	Juncus marginatus	
Bighead rush	Juncus megacephalus	
Needle rush	Juncus roemerianus	
Valdivia duckweed	Lemna valdiviana	
Gulf hairawn muhly	Muhlenbergia capillaris va	ar. <i>filipes</i>
Nakedstem dewflower*	Murdannia nudiflora	
Bitter panicgrass	Panicum amarum	
Switchgrass	Panicum virgatum	
Knotgrass	Paspalum distichum	
Seashore paspalum	Paspalum vaginatum	
Senegal date palm*	Phoenix reclinata	
Starrush whitetop	Rhynchospora colorata	
Sandyfield beaksedge	Rhynchospora megalocar	ра
Cabbage palm	Sabal palmetto	
Leafless beaked ladiestresses;		
Leafless beaked orchid	Sacoila lanceolata var. la	nceolateMF
Tall nutgrass; Whip nutrush	Scleria triglomerata	
Saw palmetto	Serenoa repens	
Giant bristlegrass	Setaria magna	
Yellow bristlegrass;		
Knotroot foxtail	Setaria parviflora	
Narrowleaf blue-eyed grass	Sisyrinchium angustifoliu	m
Earleaf greenbrier	Smilax auriculata	
Saw greenbrier	Smilax bona-nox	

Saltmarsh cordgrass; Smooth	
cordgrass	Spartina alterniflora
Marshhay cordgrass;	
saltmeadow cordgrass	Spartina patens
Coral dropseed	Sporobolus domingensis
Smutgrass *	Sporobolus indicus
Seashore dropseed	Sporobolus virginicus
Manatee-grass	Syringodium filiforme
Turtle-grass	Thalassia testudinum
Ball moss	Tillandsia recurvata
Spanish moss	Tillandsia usneoides
Giant air plant; Giant wild	
pine	<i>Tillandsia utriculata</i> MF
Purple sandgrass	Triplasis purpurea
Southern cattail	Typha dominensis
Sea oats	Uniola paniculata
Washington palm*	Washingtonia robusta
Columbian watermeal	Wolffia columbiana
Asian watermeal	Wolffia globosa
Spanish bayonet; aloe yucca	Yucca aloifolia
Soldier's orchid; Lawn orchid*	Zeuxine strateumatica

DICOTS

Rosary pea*A	brus precatorius
Slender threeseed mercury A	calypha gracilens
Saltmarsh false foxglove A	galinis maritima var. grandiflora
Hammock snakeroot A	geratina jucunda
Common ragweed A	mbrosia artemisiifolia
Pink redstem; Toothcups A	mmannia latifolia
Coral vine * A	ntigonon leptopus
Latexplant*A	raujia odorata
Showy milkwort A	semeia violacea
Crested saltbush A	triplex pentandra
Black mangrove A	vicennia germinans
Saltwater falsewillowB	Baccharis angustifolia
Groundsel tree; Sea myrtle B	Baccharis halimifolia
Herb-of-graceB	Bacopa monnieri
SaltwortB	Batis maritima
Beggarticks; Romerillo B	Bidens alba
Samphire; Silverhead B	Blutaparon vermiculare
Red spiderling; Wineflower B	Boerhavia diffusa
Bushy seaside oxeyeB	Borrichia frutescens
American bluehearts B	Buchnera americana
Coastal searocket C	Cakile lanceolata
American beautyberry C	Callicarpa americana
Baybean; Seaside jackbean C	Canavalia rosea
Wild oliveC	Cartrema americanum

Love vine; Devil's gut	Cassytha filiformis	
Australian-pine*	Casuarina equisetifolia	
Madagascar periwinkle*	Catharanthus roseus	
Spurred butterfly pea	Centrosema virginianum	
Partridge pea	Chamaecrista fasciculata	
Sensitive pea	Chamaecrista nictitans var. aspera	
Snowberry; Milkberry	Chiococca alba	
Purple thistle	Cirsium horridulum	
Nuttall's thistle	Cirsium nuttallii	
Tread-softly	Cnidoscolus stimulosus	
Seagrape	Coccoloba uvifera	
John Charles*	Condea verticillata	
Buttonwood	Conocarpus erectus	
Canadian horseweed	Conyza canadensis	
Leavenworth's tickseed	Coreopsis leavenworthii	
Pinebarren frostweed	Crocanthemum corymbosum	
Rabbitbells	Crotalaria rotundifolia	
Gulf croton; Beach tea	Croton punctatus	
Carrotwood*	Cupaniopsis anacardioides	
Coin-vine	Dalbergia ecastaphyllum	
Western tansymustard	Descurainia pinnata	
Zarzabacoa comun*	Desmodium incanum	
Dixie ticktrefoil *	Desmodium tortuosum	
Threeflower ticktrefoil *	Desmodium triflorum	
False daisy	Eclipta prostrata	
Florida tasselflower*	Emilia fosbergii	
American burnweed; Fireweed	Erechtites hieraciifolius	
Oakleaf fleabane	Erigeron quercifolius	
Golden beach creeper	Ernodea littoralis	
Baldwin's eryngo	Eryngium baldwinii	
Coralbean; Cherokeebean	Erythrina herbacea	
White stopper	Eugenia axillaris	
Dogrennel	Eupatorium capillifolium	
Semaphore thoroughwort	Eupatorium mikanioides	
Dixie sandmat	Euphorbia bombensis	
Fire-on-the-mountain;	Fuch as his such that have	
Painted leaf	Euphorbia cyathophora	
Pilipod sandmat	Eupnorbia nirta	
Hyssopleaf sandmat	Euphorbia hyssopifolia	
Spotted sandmat	Euphorbia maculata	
Coastal beach sandmat	Eupnorbia mesembriantnemifolia	
Marsh gentian; Catchfly		
Stender flattop goldenrod	Eutriamia caroliniana	
Surangier ng; Golden ng	ricus aurea Figua migragarna	
	ricus microcarpa	
riorida yellowtops	riaveria fioridana	
Common Name	Scientific Name	Primary Habitat Codes (for imperiled species)
--	---	--
Florida privet Cottonweed	Forestiera segregata Froelichia floridana	
Eastern milkpea	Galactia regularis Galactia volubilis	
Gray nicker	Gallum bermudense Guilandina bonduc	
Nignonette orchid	Habenaria floribunda	
West coast dune sunflower Scorpionstail Seaside beliotrope: Salt	Helianthus debilis subsp. Heliotropium angiospermi	vestitusBD um
heliotrope	Heliotropium curassavicu	n
Camphorweed	Heliotropium polypnylium Heterotheca subaxillaris	
Coastalplain hawkweed	Hieracium megacephalon Houstonia nigricans var. r	nigricans
Innocence; Roundleaf bluet	Houstonia procumbens	
Whorled marshpennywort Mangrove spiderlily; Perfumed	Hydrocotyle verticillata va	ar. <i>verticillata</i>
spiderlily Pineweeds: Orangegrass	Hymenocallis latifolia Hypericum gentianoides	
Moonflower	Ipomoea alba Ipomoea imperati	
Railroad-vine	Ipomoea pes-caprae subs	p. brasiliensis
Juba's bush	Ipomoea sagittata Iresine diffusa	
Bigleaf sumpweed Seacoast marshelder	<i>Iva frutescens Iva imbricata</i>	
Piedmont marshelder	Iva microcephala Kalanchoo ninnata	
Virginia saltmarsh mallow	Kosteletzkya pentacarpos	
Lantana; Shrub verbena*	Laguncularia racemosa Lantana strigocamara	
Thymeleaf pinweed	Lechea minor Lepidium virginicum	
White leadtree *	Leucaena leucocephala	
Canadian toadflax	Linaria canadensis	
Apalachicola toadflax Seaside primrosewillow	Linaria floridana Ludwigia maritima	
Mexican primrosewillow	Ludwigia octovalvis	
Christmasberry; Carolina		
aeserttnorn Slender clubmoss	Lycium carolinianum Lycopodiella caroliniana	
Mazapan; Turkscap mallow* Axilflower	Malvaviscus penduliflorus Mecardonia acuminata su	bsp. peninsularis

Common Name	Scientific Name	Primary Habitat Codes (for imperiled species)
Punk tree*	Melaleuca quinquenervia	
Rose natalgrass*	Melinis repens	
Climbing hempvine	Mikania scandens	
Swamp hornpod	Mitreola sessilifolia	
Indian chickweed; Green		
carpetweed *	Mollugo verticillata	
Balsampear*	Momordica charantia	
Spotted beebalm	Monarda punctata	
Wax myrtle	Myrica cerifera	
Myrsine; Colicwood	Myrsine cubana	
Peppervine	Nekemias arborea	
Seaside evening-primrose	Oenothera humifusa	
Southern beeblossom	Oenothera simulans	
Clustered mille graines	Oldenlandia uniflora	
Devil's tongue	Opuntia austrina	
Erect pricklypear; Shell	a	
mound pricklypear	Opuntia stricta	BD
Clustered pellitory	Parietaria praetermissa	
Virginia creeper; Woodbine	Parthenocissus quinquefo	lia
Corky-stemmed passionflower.	Passiflora suberosa	
Guir coast swallowwort	Pattalias palustre	
Spreading cinchweed	Pectis prostrata	
Red Day	Persea Dorbonia Var. Dari	Donia
Swallip Day	Persea palustris	
Canawaad	Dhula nadiflara	
Drummond's loafflower	Phylanthus apparmis	
Coastal groundcherry	Physalis angustifolia	
American Pokeweed	Phytolacca americana	
Narrowleaf silkgrass	Pityonsis graminifolia	
Rosy camphorweed	Pluchea baccharis	
Cure-for-all	Pluchea carolinensis	
Sweetscent	Pluchea odorata	
Procession flower	Polygala incarnata	
Pink purslane: Kiss-me-quick	Portulaca pilosa	
Black cherry	Prunus serotina	
Blackroot	Pterocaulon pvcnostachvu	ım
Mock bishopsweed:	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
Herbwilliam	Ptilimnium capillaceum	
Live oak	Ouercus virginiana	
White indigoberry	Randia aculeata	
Red mangrove	Rhizophora mangle	
Winged sumac	Rhus copallinum	
Rouge plant	Rivina humilis	
Southern dewberry	Rubus trivialis	
Perennial glasswort; Virginia		
glasswort	Salicornia ambigua	
Carolina willow; Coastalplain		

Common Name	Scientific Name	Primary Habitat Codes (for imperiled species)
willow Southern river sage Water spangles *	Salix caroliniana Salvia misella Salvinia minima	
brookweed	Samolus ebracteatus	
Lizard's-tail	Saururus cernuus	
Beach naunaka*	Scaevola piumieri	BD, MUS
Brazilian pepper*	Schinus terebinthifolia	
Sweetbroom; licorice-weed	Scoparia dulcis	
Princess-of-the-night*	Selenicereus pteranthus	
Shoreline sea-purslane	Sesuvium portulacastrum	
fannetals	Sida ulmifolia	
Saffron plum	Sideroxvlon celastrinum	
Florida bully	Sideroxylon reclinatum su	bsp. <i>reclinatum</i>
Tough bully	Sideroxylon tenax	
Common nightshade	Solanum americanum	
Chapman's goldenrod	Solidago odora var. chapri	nanıı
Wand goldenrod	Solidago stricta	
Common sowthistle *	Sonchus oleraceus	
Yellow necklace pod	Sophora tomentosa var. t	runcata
Woodland false-buttonweed	Spermacoce remota	
Spring ladies' tresses	Spiranthes vernalis	
St. Augustine grass	Stenotaphrum secundatur	
Sea blite: Annual seenweed	Suaeda linearis	Cerala
Bahaman aster	Symphyotrichum bahame	nse
Annual saltmarsh aster	Symphyotrichum subulatu	IM
Malaysian false pimpernel*	Torenia crustacea	
Eastern poison ivy	Toxicodendron radicans	MC
FIORIDA Mayten	Trichostema dichotomum	M5
Sparkleberry: Farkleberry	Vaccinium arboreum	
White crownbeard; Frostweed	Verbesina virginica	
Four-leaf vetch	Vicia acutifolia	
Hairypod cow-pea	Vigna luteola	
Summer grape	Vitis aestivalis Vitis rotundifalia	
Sleepy morning	Waltheria indica	
Tallowwood; hog plum	Ximenia americana	
Hercules-club	Zanthoxylum clava-hercul	lis

INVERTEBRATES

GASTROPODS

Mottled sea hare	Aplysia brasiliana	MSGB,	MUS
Lightning whelk	Busycon sinistrum	MSGB,	MUS
Common nutmeg	Cancellaria reticulata	MSGB,	MUS
Florida cerith	Cerithium atratum	MSGB,	MUS
Alphabet cones	Conus spurius atlanticus	MSGB,	MUS
Crown conch	Melongena corona	MSGB,	MUS
Shark's eyes	Neverita duplicata	MSGB,	MUS
Lettered olive	Oliva sayana	MSGB,	MUS
Florida fighting conch	Strombus alatus	MSGB,	MUS
Common American auger	Terebra dislocate	MSGB,	MUS
Florida horse conch	Triplofusus giganteus	MSGB,	MUS
Chesnut turbans	Turbo castanea	MSGB,	MUS

BIVALVES

Common jingle shell	Anomia ephippium	MSGB,	MUS
Turkey wing	Arca zebra	MSGB,	MUS
Florida spiny jewelbox	Arcinella cornuta	MSGB,	MUS
Atlantic calico scallop	Argopecten gibbus	MSGB,	MUS
Atlantic bay scallop	Argopecten irradians	MSGB,	MUS
Leafy jewelbox	Chama macerophylla	MSGB,	MUS
Cross-barred venus clam	Chione elevate	MSGB,	MUS
Eastern oyster	Crassostrea virginica	MM	1R
Atlantic giant cockle	Dinocardium robustum	MSGB,	MUS
Spectral bittersweet clams	Glycymeris spectralis	MSGB,	MUS
Rough scallop	Lindapecten muscosus	MSGB,	MUS
Calico clams	Macrocallista maculate	MSGB,	MUS
Sunray venus clam	Macrocallista nimbosa	MSGB,	MUS
Stiff penshell	Atrina rigada	MSGB,	MUS
Florida pricklycockle	Trachycardium egmontianum	MSGB,	MUS
Yellow pricklycockle	Trachycardium muricatum	MSGB,	MUS
Variable coquina clams	Donax variabilis	MSGB,	MUS

JELLYFISH

Moon jellyfish	Aurelia aurita	MUS
Ovate comb jellies	Beroe ovate	MUS
Portuguese man-o-war	Physalia physalis	MUS
Blue buttons	Porpita porpita	MUS

TUNICATES

Sea pork	Aplidium stellatum	MSGB,	MUS
Rough sea squirt	Styela plicata	MSGB,	MUS

ARTHROPODS

CRUSTACEANS

Mangrove crab	Aratus pisonii	MS	
Common blue crab	Callinectes sapidus	. MSGB,	MS
Striped hermit crab	Clibanarius vittatus	. MSGB,	MS
Southern spider crab	Libinia dubia	. MSGB,	MS
Stone crab	Menippe mercenaria	. MSGB,	MS
Ghost crab	Ocypode quadrata	BD	
Long-wristed hermit crab	Pagurus longicarpus	. MSGB,	MS
Shrimp species	Palaemonetes sp	. MSGB,	MS
Gulf coast fiddler crab	Uca panacea	. MSGB,	MS

ECHINODERMS

Lined sea star	Luidia clathrat	MSGB,	MUS
Variegated urchin	Lytechinus variegatus	MSGB,	MUS
Five-holed keyhole urchin	Mellita quinquiesperforata	MSGB,	MUS

FISH

SHARKS, RAYS

Spotted eagle ray	Aetobatus narinari	MSGB,	MUS
Black nosed shark	Carcharhinus acronotus	MSGB,	MUS
Fine toothed shark	Carcharhinus isodon	MSGB,	MUS
Bull shark	Carcharhinus leucas	MSGB,	MUS
Blacktip shark	Carcharhinus limbatus	MSGB,	MUS
Southern stingray	Dasyatis americana	MSGB,	MUS
Atlantic stingray	Dasyatis sabina	MSGB,	MUS
Rough tailed stingray	Dasyatis centroura	MSGB,	MUS
Blunt nosed stingray	Dasyatis sayi	MSGB,	MUS
Tiger shark	Galeocerdo cuvier	MSGB,	MUS
Nurse shark	Ginglymostoma cirratum	MSGB,	MUS
Lemon shark	Negaprion brevirostis	MSGB,	MUS
Atlantic guitarfish	Rhinobatos lentiginosus	MSGB,	MUS
Cownose ray	Rhinoptera bonasus	MSGB,	MUS
Sharp nosed shark	Rhizoprionodon terraenovae	MSGB,	MUS
Great hammerhead shark	Sphyrna mokarran	MSGB,	MUS
Scalloped hammerhead shark	Sphyrna lewini	MSGB,	MUS
Bonnethead shark	Sphyrna tiburo	MSGB,	MUS

BONY FISHES

Sheepshea	ad	Archosargus	probatocephalus	MSGB	, MUS
Hardhead	catfish	Arius felis		MSGB	, MUS

Common Name	Scientific Name	(for imperiled species)
Gafftopsail catfish	Bagre marinus	MSGB, MUS
Jack crevalle	Caranx hippos	MUS
Whitespotted filefish	Cantherhines macrocerus	MUS
Common snook	Centropomus undecimalis	MSGB, MUS
Black seabass	Cetropristis striata	MSGB, MUS
Striped burrfish	Chilomycterus schoepfi	MSGB, MUS
Spotted seatrout	Cynoscion nebulosus	MSGB, MUS
Silver seatrout	Cynoscion nothus	MSGB, MUS
Ladyfish	Elops saurus	MSGB, MUS
Gulf killifish	Fundulus grandis	MSGB, MUS
Spotted moray eel	Gymnothorax moringa	MUS
White grunt	Haemulon plumieri	MSGB, MUS
Pinfish	Lagodon rhomboides	MSGB, MUS
Tarpon	Megalops atlantica	MUS
Black mullet	Mugil cephalus	MSGB, MUS
Gag grouper	Mycteroperca microlepis .	MSGB, MUS
Toadfish	Opsanus beta	MSGB, MUS
Gulf flounder	Paralichthys albigutta	MSGB, MUS
Black drum	Pogonias cromis	MSGB, MUS
Cobia	Rachycentron canadum	MUS
Remora	Remora remora	MUS
Red drum	Sciaenops ocellatus	MSGB, MUS
Spanish mackerel	Scomberomorus maculate	sMSGB, MUS
Atlantic needlefish	Strongylura marina	MSGB, MUS
Great barracuda	Spyyraena barracuda	MUS
Atlantic needlefish	Strongylura marina	MSGB, MUS
Lizardfish	Synodus foetens	MSGB, MUS
Florida pompano	Trachinotus carolinus	MUS
Permit	Trachinotus falcatus	MUS, MS

Primary Habitat Codes

AMPHIBIANS

Frogs and Toads

Green treefrog	Hyla cinerea	MF
Eastern narrow-mouthed toad	Gastrophryne carolinensis	MF
Cuban tree frog*	Osteopilus septentrionalis	MF, CS, MS

REPTILES

Turtles and Tortoises

Loggerhead sea turtle	Caretta caretta	MUS, BD
Green sea turtle	Chelonia mydas	MUS, BD
Red-footed tortoise*	Chelonoidis carbonaria	DV
Hawksbill sea turtle	Eretmochelys imbricata	MUS, BD
Gopher tortoise	Gopherus polyphemus	CS, MF, DV
Kemp's ridley sea turtle	Lepidochelys kempii	MUS, BD

Common Name	Scientific Name	Primary Habitat Codes (for imperiled species)
Diamondback terrapin	Malaclemys terrapin	MS, SM
Peninsular cooter	Pseudemys peninsularis	DV
Florida box turtle	Terrapene bauri	MAH, MF
Three-toed box turtle*	Terrapene carolina triung	<i>uis</i> DV

Lizards

Brown anole*	Norops sagrei	MTC
Five-lined skink	Eumeces fasciatus	.CS
Eastern glass lizard	Ophisaurus ventralis	<i>.</i> DV
Eastern fence lizard	Sceloporus undulatus	. DV

Snakes

Southern black racer Coluber constrictor priapus	. MTC
Eastern diamondback rattlesnake Crotalus adamanteus	. MTC
Eastern indigo snake Drymarchon couperi	CS
Common kingsnake Lampropeltis getulus	MF, DV
Eastern coachwhip Masticophis flagellum	CS
Mangrove salt marsh snake Nerodia clarkii compressicauda M	1S, SAM
Eastern (yellow) rat snake Pantherophis alleghaniensis	DV
Corn snake Pantherophis guttatu	DV
Dusky pigmy rattlesnake Sistrurus miliarius barbouri	BD
Peninsula ribbon snake	DV
Common garter snake Thamnophis sirtalis	DV

BIRDS

Loons and Grebes

Common loon	Gavia immer	MUS
Red-throated loon	Gavia stellata	MUS
Horned grebe	Podiceps auritus	MUS
Eared grebe	Podiceps nigricollis	. DV
Pied-billed grebe	Podilymbus podiceps	MUS

Petrels and Shearwaters

Sooty shearwater OF

Anhinga, Cormorants, Pelicans, and Frigatebirds

Anhinga	Anhinga anhinga	OF
Magnificent frigatebird	Fregata magnificens	OF
American white pelican	Pelecanus erythrorhynchos	OF
Eastern brown pelican	Pelecanus occidentalis	OF, MUS
Double-crested cormorant	Phalacrocorax auritus	OF
Northern gannet	Morus bassanus	OF

Common Name	Scientific Name	(for imperiled species)
Brown booby	Sula leucogaster	OF

Primary Habitat Codes

Wading Birds

Great egret	Ardea alba	МТС
Great blue heron	Ardea herodias	BD, MS
American bittern	Botaurus lentiginosus	MS
Cattle egret	Bubulcus ibis	OF, DV
Green heron	Butorides virescens	MS
Little blue heron	Egretta caerulea	MS
Reddish egret	Egretta rufescens	MUS
Snowy egret	Egretta thula	MUS
Tricolored heron	Egretta tricolor	MUS
White ibis	Eudocimus albus	MUS
Least bittern	Ixobrychus exilis	MS
Wood stork	Mycteria americana	DV
Yellow-crowned night heron	Nyctanassa violacea	MS
Black-crowned night heron	Nycticorax nycticorax	MS
Greater flamingo*	Phoenicopterus ruber	MUS, OF
Roseate spoonbill	Platalea ajaja	MUS

Ducks, Geese, and Swans

Northern pintail	. Anas acuta	.DV
American wigeon	. Anas americana	. DV
Northern shoveler	. Anas clypeata	.DV
Green-winged teal	. Anas crecca	.DV
Blue-winged teal	. Anas discors	<i>.</i> DV
Mottled duck	. Anas fulvigula	<i>.</i> DV
Mallard	. Anas platyrhynchos	<i>.</i> DV
Gadwall	. Anas strepera	<i>.</i> DV
Lesser scaup	. Aythya affinis	MUS
Redhead	. Aythya americana	MUS
Ring-necked duck	. Aythya collaris	MUS
Greater scaup	. Aythya marila	MUS
Canvasback	. Aythya valisineria	MUS
Brant	. Branta bernicla	MUS
Bufflehead	. Bucephala albeola	MUS
Snow goose	. Chen caerulescens	MUS
Long-tailed duck	. Clangula hyemalis	MUS
Hooded merganser	. Lophodytes cucullatus	MUS
Common merganser	. Mergus merganser	MUS
Red-breasted merganser	. Mergus serrator	MUS
Ruddy duck	. Oxyura jamaicensis	MUS

Diurnal Raptors

Cooper's hawk	ccipiter co	ooperii	OF
		<i>ope</i> :	

Common Name	Scientific Name	Primary Habitat Codes (for imperiled species)
Sharp-shinned hawk	Accipiter striatus	OF
Red-tailed hawk	Buteo jamaicensis	OF
Red-shouldered hawk	Buteo lineatus	MF, OF
Broad-winged hawk	Buteo platypterus	ÔF
Turkey vulture	Cathartes aura	OF
Northern harrier	Circus cyaneus	OF
Black vulture	Coragyps atratus	OF
Swallow-tailed kite	Elanoides forficatus	OF
Merlin	Falco columbarius	OF
Peregrine falcon	Falco peregrinus	OF
American kestrel	Falco sparverius	OF
Southern bald eagle	Haliaeetus leucocephalus	OF
Mississippi kite	Ictinia mississippiensis	OF
Osprey	Pandion haliaetus	MF, OF

Quails and Wild Turkey

Northern bobwhite	Colinus virginianus	MF
Wild turkey	Meleagris gallopavo	MF

Coots, Cranes, Gallinules, and Rails

American coot	Fulica americana	MUS
Common moorhen	Gallinula chloropus	DV
Sandhill crane	Grus canadensis	OF
Purple gallinule	Porphyrula martinica	DV, MS
Sora	Porzana carolina	DV, MS
King rail	Rallus elegans	DV, MS
Virginia rail	Rallus limicola	MS
Clapper rail	Rallus longirostris	MS
Florida clapper rail	Rallus longirostris scottii	MS

Shorebirds

Spotted sandpiper	Actitis macularia	MUS
Ruddy turnstone	Arenaria interpres	MUS
Sanderling	Calidris alba	MUS
Dunlin	Calidris alpina	MUS
Red knot	Calidris canutus	MUS
White-rumped sandpiper	Calidris fuscicollis	MUS
Stilt sandpiper	Calidris himantopus	MUS
Western sandpiper	Calidris mauri	MUS
Pectoral sandpiper	Calidris melanotos	MUS
Least sandpiper	Calidris minutilla	MUS
Semipalmated sandpiper	Calidris pusilla	MUS
Piping plover	Charadrius melodus	MUS
Snowy plover	Charadrius nivosus	MUS
Semipalmated plover	Charadrius semipalmatus	MUS

Common Name	Scientific Name	Primary Habitat Codes (for imperiled species)
Killdeer Wilson's plover Common snipe	Charadrius vociferus Charadrius wilsonia Gallinago gallinago	MUS, BD, CS MUS MS, CS MUS
Black-necked stilt Short-billed dowitcher Long-billed dowitcher	Limnodromus scolopaceus	MUS MUS sMUS MUS
Bar-tailed godwit Long-billed curlew	Limosa leuda Limosa lapponica Numenius americanus Numenius phaeopus	MUS MUS MUS MUS
American avocet Lesser yellowlegs Greater yellowlegs Willet	Pluvialis squatarola Recurvirostra americana. Tringa flavipes Tringa melanoleuca Tringa semipalmata	MUS MUS MUS MUS MUS MUS
Suitary sanupiper	TTITIYa Sulland	

Jaegers

Parasitic jaeger	Stercorarius parasiticus	DF
Pomarine jaeger	Stercorarius pomarinus C	ЭF

Gulls, Terns, and Skimmers

Black tern	Chlidonias niger	OF,	MUS
Gull-billed tern	Gelochelidon nilotica	OF,	MUS
Caspian tern	Hydroprogne caspia	0)F,
MUS			
Herring gull	Larus argentatus	OF,	MUS
Ring-billed gull	Larus delawarensis	OF,	MUS
Great black-backed gull	Larus marinus	C)F
Bonaparte's gull	Larus philadelphia	OF,	MUS
Laughing gull	Leucophaeus atricilla	OF,	MUS
Franklin's gull	Larus pipixcan	OF,	MUS
Sooty tern	Onychoprion fuscatus	OF,	MUS
Black skimmer	Rynchops niger	OF,	MUS
Least tern	Sterna antillarum	OF,	MUS
Roseate tern	Sterna dougallii	OF,	MUS
Forster's tern	Sterna forsteri	OF,	MUS
Common tern	Sterna hirundo	OF,	MUS
Elegant tern	Thalasseus elegans	OF,	MUS
Royal tern	Thalasseus maximus	OF,	MUS
Sandwich tern	Thalasseus sandvicensis	OF,	MUS

Pigeons and Doves

Rock pigeon ³	۲۰۰۰ Columba liv	a DV
--------------------------	------------------	------

Common Name	Scientific Name	Primary Habitat Codes (for imperiled species)
Common ground-dove	Columbina passerina	CS, DV
Eurasian collared dove*	Streptopelia decaocto	DV
White-winged dove	Zenaida asiatica	DV
Mourning dove	Zenaida macroura	MF

Parrots

Monk parakeet*	Myiopsitta monachus	DV
Cockatiel*	Nymphicus hollandicus	DV

Anis and Cuckoos

Yellow-billed cuckoo	Coccyzus	americanus	MS
Black-billed cuckoo	Coccyzus	erythropthalmus	MS
Mangrove cuckoo	Coccyzus	minor	MS

Owls

Short-eared owl	Asio flammeus	MF
Florida burrowing owl	Athene cunicularia floridana	CS, DV
Great horned owl	Bubo virginianus	MF
Barred owl	Strix varia	MF
Barn owl	Tyto alba	OF

Nightjars

Chuck-will's-widow	Caprimulgus carolinensis	MF
Common nighthawk	Chordeiles minor	OF

Siwfts

Chimney	v swift	Chaetura	pelagica	 O	F
Chinic	,	onactura	pelagica mi	 ~	

Hummingbirds

Ruby-throated hummingbird	Archilochus colubris	OF
Rufous hummingbird	Selasphorus rufus	OF

Kingfishers

Belted kingfisher I	Megaceryle alcyonN	1S
---------------------	--------------------	----

Woodpeckers

Northern flicker	Colaptes auratus	MF
Red-bellied woodpecker	Melanerpes carolinus	MF
Red-headed woodpecker	Melanerpes erythrocephalus	MF
Downy woodpecker	Picoides pubescens	MF

Common Name	Scientific Name	Primary Habitat Codes (for imperiled species)
Southern hairy woodpecker	. Picoides villosus auduboni	<i>ï</i> MF
Yellow-bellied sapsucker	. Sphyrapicus varius	MF

Flycatchers

Eastern wood-pewee	Contopus virens	MF
Least flycatcher	Empidonax minimus	MF
Acadian flycatcher	Empidonax virescens	MF
Ash-throated flycatcher	Myiarchus cinerascens	MF
Great crested flycatcher	Myiarchus crinitus	MF
Eastern phoebe	Sayornis phoebe	. MF, DV
Gray kingbird	Tyrannus dominicensis	. MF, DV
Scissor-tailed flycatcher	Tyrannus forficatus	DV
Eastern kingbird	Tyrannus tyrannus	. MF, OF
Western kingbird	Tyrannus verticalis	DV

Shrikes

Loggerhead shrike Lanius ludovicianus D	DV
---	----

Vireos

Black-whiskered vireo	Vireo altiloquus	MF
Yellow-throated vireo	Vireo flavifrons	MF
Warbling vireo	Vireo gilvus	MF
White-eyed vireo	Vireo griseus	MF
Red-eyed vireo	Vireo olivaceus	MF
Blue-headed vireo	Vireo solitarius	MF

Crows and Jays

American crow	Corvus brachyrhynchos	MF
Fish crow	Corvus ossifragus	MF
Blue jay	Cyanocitta cristata	MF

Swallows

Barn swallow	Hirundo rustica	ЭF
Purple martin	Progne subis	ЭF
Bank swallow	Riparia riparia	ЭF
Northern rough-winged swallow	Stelgidopteryx serripennis	ЭF
Tree swallow	Tachycineta bicolor	ЭF

Titmice

Tufted titmouse	Baeolophus bicolor	MF
-----------------	--------------------	----

Common Name

Scientific Name

Nuthatches

Brown-headed nuthatch Sitta pusilla MF

Wrens

Marsh wren	Cistothorus palustris	DV
Sedge wren	Cistothorus platensis	DV
Carolina wren	Thryothorus Iudovicianus	MF
House wren	Troglodytes aedon	MF
Winter wren	Troglodytes troglodytes	DV

Bluebirds, Gnatcatchers, Kinglets, and Thrushes

Veery	Catharus fuscescens	MF
Hermit thrush	Catharus guttatus	MF
Grey-cheeked thrush	Catharus minimus	MF
Swainson's thrush	Catharus ustulatus	MF
Wood thrush	Hylocichla mustelina	MF
Varied thrush	Ixoreus naevius	MF
Blue-gray gnatcatcher	Polioptila caerulea	MF
Ruby-crowned kinglet	Regulus calendula	MF
Golden-crowned kinglet	Regulus satrapa	MF
American robin	Turdus migratorius	MF

Mimids

Gray catbird	Dumetella carolinensis	MTC
Northern mockingbird	Mimus polyglottos	MTC
Brown thrasher	Toxostoma rufum	MF

Starlings

European starling*	Sturnus	vulgaris	DV	ļ
--------------------	---------	----------	----	---

Pipits

American pipit	Anthus rubescens	DV
----------------	------------------	----

Waxwings

Cedar waxwing Bombycilla cedrorum MF

Warblers

Wilson's warbler	Cardellina pusilla	. <i></i> MF
Kentucky warbler	Geothylpis formosus	MF
Common yellowthroat	Geothlypis trichas	CS, MF

Common Name	Scientific Name	Primary Habitat Codes (for imperiled species)
Worm-eating warbler	Helmitheros vermivorus	MF
Yellow-breasted chat	Icteria virens	CS, DV
Orange-crowned warbler	Leiothylpis celata	MF
Tennessee warbler	Leiothylpis peregrina	MF
Nashville warbler	Leiothylpis ruficapilla	MF
Swainson's warbler	Limnothlypis swainsonii	MF
Black and white warbler	Mniotilta varia	MF
Louisiana waterthrush	Parkesia motacilla	DV
Northern waterthrush	Parkesia noveboracensis	DV
Prothonotary warbler	Protonotaria citrea	MF
Ovenbird	Seiurus aurocapillus	MF
Northern parula	Setophaga americana	MF
Black-throated blue warbler	Setophaga caerulescens	MF
Bay-breasted warbler	Setophaga castanea	MF
Cerulean warbler	Setophaga cerulea	MF
Hooded warbler	Setophaga citrina	MF
Yellow-rumped warbler	Setophaga coronata	MF, DV
Prairie warbler	Setophaga discolor	MF
Yellow-throated warbler	Setophaga dominica	MF
Blackburnian warbler	Setophaga fusca	MF
Magnolia warbler	Setophaga magnolia	MF
Palm warbler	Setophaga palmarum	MF, DV
Chestnut-sided warbler	Setophaga pensylvanica	MF
Yellow warbler	Seotphaga petechia	MF
Pine warbler	Setophaga pinus	MF
American redstart	Setophaga ruticilla ruticilla	a MF
Blackpoll warbler	Setophaga striata	MF
Cape May warbler	Setphaga tigrina	MF
Black-throated green warbler	Setophaga virens	MF
Golden-winged warbler	Vermivora chrysoptera	MF
Blue-winged warbler	Vermivora pinus	MF

Cardinals, Tanagers, Grosbeaks, and Buntings

Northern cardinal	Cardinalis cardinalis	MF
Blue grosbeak	Guiraca caerulea	DV
Rose-breasted grosbeak	Pheucticus Iudovicianus	DV
Scarlet tanager	Piranga olivacea	MF
Summer tanager	Piranga rubra	MF
Dickcissel	Spiza americana	DV

Sparrows

Saltmarsh sharp-tailed sparrow	Ammodramus caudacutusI	DV
LeConte's sparrow	. Ammodramus leconteiiI	DV
Scott's seaside sparrow	. Ammodramus maritimus peninsulae	DV
Grasshopper sparrow	. Ammodramus savannarum	DV
Lark sparrow	. Chondestes grammacusI	DV

Scientific Name

Dark-eyed junco	Junco hyemalis	DV
Swamp sparrow	Melospiza georgiana	DV
Lincoln's sparrow	Melospiza lincolnii	DV
Song sparrow	Melospiza melodia	DV
House sparrow*	Passer domesticus	DV
Savannah sparrow	Passerculus sandwichensis	DV
Eastern towhee	Pipilo erythrophthalmus	MF
Vesper sparrow	Pooecetes gramineus	DV
Clay-colored sparrow	Spizella pallida	DV
Chipping sparrow	Spizella passerina	DV
White-crowned sparrow	Zonotrichia leucophrys	DV

Icterids

Red-winged blackbird	Agelaius phoeniceus	ΟV
Bobolink	Dolichonyx oryzivorus	ΟV
Baltimore oriole	Icterus galbula	ΟV
Orchard oriole	Icterus spurius	ΟV
Brown-headed cowbird	Molothrus ater	ΟV
Shiny cowbird	Molothrus bonariensis	ΟV
Boat-tailed grackle	Quiscalus major	ΟV
Common grackle	Quiscalus quiscula	ΟV
Painted bunting	Passerina cirisI	MF
Indigo bunting	Passerina cyaneaI	ΟV
Eastern meadowlark	Sturnella magnaI	ΟV
Yellow-headed blackbird	Xanthocephalus xanthocephalus	ΟV

Finches and Old World Sparrows

Red crossbill	Loxia curvirostra	DV
American goldfinch	Spinus tristis	DV

MAMMALS

Cingulates

Didelphids

Virginia (opossum	Didelphis	virginiana	MF
vinginia	spessarrinininininini	Diacipinis	vii giinana minini inini inini	

Rodents

Gray squirrel	Sciurus carolinensis	МТС
Cotton rats	Sigmodon hispidus	MTC

Common Name

Scientific Name

Primary Habitat Codes (for imperiled species)

Lagomorphs

Marsh rabbit Sylvilagus pa	<i>alustris</i> BD), D	V
----------------------------	--------------------	------	---

Carnivores

Coyote*	Canis latrans	DV
River otter	Lutra canadensis	MS
Bobcat	Lynx rufus	CS, MF
Raccoon	Procyon lotor	DV, DV
Gray fox	Urocyon cinereoargenteus	ĎV

Sirens

Florida manatee MUS, MSGB

Cetaceans

Atlantic bottle-nosed dolphin Tursiops truncatus...... MUS, MSGB

TERRESTRIAL

Beach Dune	BD
Coastal Berm	СВ
Coastal Grassland	CG
Coastal Strand	CS
Dry Prairie	DP
Keys Cactus Barren	КСВ
Limestone Outcrop	LO
Maritime Hammock	MAH
Mesic Flatwoods	MF
Mesic Hammock	MEH
Pine Rockland	PR
Rockland Hammock	RH
Sandhill	SH
Scrub	SC
Scrubby Flatwoods	SCF
Shell Mound	SHM
Sinkhole	SK
Slope Forest	SPF
Upland Glade	UG
Upland Hardwood Forest	UHF
Upland Mixed Woodland	UMW
Upland Pine	UP
Wet Flatwoods	WF
Xeric Hammock	XH

PALUSTRINE

Alluvial Forest	AF
Basin Marsh	BM
Basin Swamp	BS
Baygall	BG
Bottomland Forest	BF
Coastal Interdunal Swale	CIS
Depression Marsh	DM
Dome Swamp	DS
Floodplain Marsh	FM
Floodplain Swamp	FS
Glades Marsh	GM
Hydric Hammock	HH
Keys Tidal Rock Barren	KTRB
Mangrove Swamp	MS
Marl Prairie	MP
Salt Marsh	SAM
Seepage Slope	SSL
Shrub Bog	SHB
Slough	SLO
Slough Marsh	SLM
Strand Swamp	STS

Primary Habitat Codes

Wet Prairie	WP

LACUSTRINE

Clastic Upland Lake	CULK
Coastal Dune Lake	CDLK
Coastal Rockland Lake	CRLK
Flatwoods/Prairie	FPLK
Marsh Lake	MLK
River Floodplain Lake	RFLK
Sandhill Upland Lake	SULK
Sinkhole Lake	SKLK
Swamp Lake	SWLK

RIVERINE

Alluvial Stream	AST
Blackwater Stream	BST
Seepage Stream	SST
Spring-run Stream	SRST

SUBTERRANEAN

Aquatic Cave	 ACV
Terrestrial Cave	 TCV

ESTUARINE

Algal Bed	EAB
Composite Substrate	ECPS
Consolidated Substrate	ECNS
Coral Reef	ECR
Mollusk Reef	EMR
Octocoral Bed	EOB
Seagrass Bed	ESGB
Sponge Bed	ESPB
Unconsolidated Substrate	EUS
Worm Reef	EWR

Primary Habitat Codes

MARINE

Algal Bed	MAB
Composite Substrate	MCPS
Consolidated Substrate	MCNS
Coral Reef	MCR
Mollusk Reef	MMR
Octocoral Bed	МОВ
Seagrass Bed	MSGB
Sponge Bed	MSPB
Unconsolidated Substrate	MUS
Worm Reef	MWR

ALTERED LANDCOVER TYPES

ABF
ABP
AG
CD
CPP
CL
DV
IAP
IEM
PI
PSI
PP
RD
SA
SHF
UC

MISCELLANEOUS

Many Types of Communities	 MTC
Overflying	 OF

Addendum 6—Imperiled Species Ranking Definitions

The Nature Conservancy and the Natural Heritage Program Network (of which FNAI is a part) define an <u>element</u> as any exemplary or rare component of the natural environment, such as a species, natural community, bird rookery, spring, sinkhole, cave or other ecological feature. An <u>element occurrence</u> (EO) is a single extant habitat that sustains or otherwise contributes to the survival of a population or a distinct, self-sustaining example of a particular element.

Using a ranking system developed by The Nature Conservancy and the Natural Heritage Program Network, the Florida Natural Areas Inventory assigns two ranks to each element. The global rank is based on an element's worldwide status; the state rank is based on the status of the element in Florida. Element ranks are based on many factors, the most important ones being estimated number of Element occurrences, estimated abundance (number of individuals for species; area for natural communities), range, estimated adequately protected EOs, relative threat of destruction, and ecological fragility.

Federal and State status information is from the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service; and the Florida Fish and Wildlife Conservation Commission (animals), and the Florida Department of Agriculture and Consumer Services (plants), respectively.

FNAI GLOBAL RANK DEFINITIONS

G1Critically imperiled globally because of extreme rarity (5 or fewer
vulnerability to extinction due to some natural or fabricated factor
G2 Imperiled globally because of rarity (6 to 20 occurrences or less than
3000 individuals) or because of vulnerability to extinction due to some
natural or man-made factor.
G3 Either very rare or local throughout its range (21-100 occurrences or
less than 10,000 individuals) or found locally in a restricted range or
vulnerable to extinction of other factors.
G4apparently secure globally (may be rare in parts of range)
G5demonstrably secure globally
GHof historical occurrence throughout its range may be rediscovered
(e.g., ivory-billed woodpecker)
GX believed to be extinct throughout range
GXCextirpated from the wild but still known from captivity or cultivation
G#?Tentative rank (e.g.,G2?)
G#G#range of rank; insufficient data to assign specific global rank (e.g.,
G#T# rank of a taxonomic subgroup such as a subspecies or variety: the G
nortion of the rank refers to the entire species and the T portion refers
to the specific subgroup: numbers have same definition as above
(e.g., G3T1)
G#Orank of questionable species - ranked as species but questionable
whether it is species or subspecies: numbers have same definition as
above (e.g., G2O)
G#T#Qsame as above, but validity as subspecies or variety is questioned.

- GU.....due to lack of information, no rank or range can be assigned (e.g., GUT2). G?Not yet ranked (temporary) S1 Critically imperiled in Florida because of extreme rarity (5 or fewer occurrences or less than 1000 individuals) or because of extreme vulnerability to extinction due to some natural or man-made factor. S2Imperiled in Florida because of rarity (6 to 20 occurrences or less than 3000 individuals) or because of vulnerability to extinction due to some natural or man-made factor. S3 Either very rare or local throughout its range (21-100 occurrences or less than 10,000 individuals) or found locally in a restricted range or vulnerable to extinction of other factors. S4apparently secure in Florida (may be rare in parts of range) S5 demonstrably secure in Florida SHof historical occurrence throughout its range, may be rediscovered (e.g., ivory-billed woodpecker) SXbelieved to be extinct throughout range SAaccidental in Florida, i.e., not part of the established biota SEan exotic species established in Florida may be native elsewhere in North America SN regularly occurring but widely and unreliably distributed; sites for
- SUdue to lack of information, no rank or range can be assigned (e.g.,
- SUdue to lack of information, no rank or range can be assigned (e.g., SUT2).
- S?.....Not yet ranked (temporary)
- NNot currently listed, nor currently being considered for listing, by state or federal agencies.

LEGAL STATUS

<u>FEDERAL</u> (Listed by the U. S. Fish and Wildlife Service - USFWS)

- LE.....Listed as Endangered Species in the List of Endangered and Threatened Wildlife and Plants under the provisions of the Endangered Species Act. Defined as any species that is in danger of extinction throughout all or a significant portion of its range.
- PE.....Proposed for addition to the List of Endangered and Threatened Wildlife and Plants as Endangered Species.
- LT.....Listed as Threatened Species. Defined as any species that is likely to become an endangered species within the near future throughout all or a significant portion of its range.
- PT.....Proposed for listing as Threatened Species.
- CCandidate Species for addition to the list of Endangered and Threatened Wildlife and Plants. Defined as those species for which the USFWS currently has on file sufficient information on biological vulnerability and threats to support proposing to list the species as endangered or threatened.
- E(S/A)..... Endangered due to similarity of appearance.
- T(S/A)......Threatened due to similarity of appearance.

EXPE, XE.....Experimental essential population. A species listed as experimental and essential.

EXPN, XN....Experimental non-essential population. A species listed as experimental and non-essential. Experimental, nonessential populations of endangered species are treated as threatened species on public land, for consultation purposes.

<u>STATE</u>

ANIMALS .. (Listed by the Florida Fish and Wildlife Conservation Commission - FWC)

- FE.....Federally-designated Endangered
- FT..... Federally-designated Threatened
- FXNFederally-designated Threatened Nonessential Experimental Population
- FT(S/A) Federally-designated Threatened species due to similarity of appearance

- STListed as Threatened Species by the FWC. Defined as a species, subspecies, or isolated population, which is acutely vulnerable to environmental alteration, declining in number at a rapid rate, or whose range or habitat, is decreasing in area at a rapid rate and therefore is destined or very likely to become an endangered species within the near future.
- SSCListed as Species of Special Concern by the FWC. Defined as a population which warrants special protection, recognition or consideration because it has an inherent significant vulnerability to habitat modification, environmental alteration, human disturbance or substantial human exploitation that, in the near future, may result in its becoming a threatened species.

PLANTS (Listed by the Florida Department of Agriculture and Consumer Services - FDACS)

- LE.....Listed as Endangered Plants in the Preservation of Native Flora of Florida Act. Defined as species of plants native to the state that are in imminent danger of extinction within the state, the survival of which is unlikely if the causes of a decline in the number of plants continue, and includes all species determined to be endangered or threatened pursuant to the Federal Endangered Species Act of 1973, as amended.
- LT.....Listed as Threatened Plants in the Preservation of Native Flora of Florida Act. Defined as species native to the state that are in rapid decline in the number of plants within the state, but which have not so decreased in such number as to cause them to be endangered.

Addendum 7—Cultural Information

These procedures apply to state agencies, local governments, and nonprofits that manage state-owned properties.

A. General Discussion

Historic resources are both archaeological sites and historic structures. Per Chapter 267, Florida Statutes, '*Historic property' or 'historic resource' means any prehistoric district, site, building, object, or other real or personal property of historical, architectural, or archaeological value, and folklife resources. These properties or resources may include, but are not limited to, monuments, memorials, Indian habitations, ceremonial sites, abandoned settlements, sunken or abandoned ships, engineering works, treasure trove, artifacts, or other objects with intrinsic historical or archaeological value, or any part thereof, relating to the history, government, and culture of the state."*

B. Agency Responsibilities

Per State Policy relative to historic properties, state agencies of the executive branch must allow the Division of Historical Resources (Division) the opportunity to comment on any undertakings, whether these undertakings directly involve the state agency, i.e., land management responsibilities, or the state agency has indirect jurisdiction, i.e. permitting authority, grants, etc. No state funds should be expended on the undertaking until the Division has the opportunity to review and comment on the project, permit, grant, etc.

State agencies shall preserve the historic resources which are owned or controlled by the agency. Regarding proposed demolition or substantial alterations of historic properties, consultation with the Division must occur, and alternatives to demolition must be considered. State agencies must consult with Division to establish a program to location, inventory and evaluate all historic properties under ownership or controlled by the agency.

C. Statutory Authority

Statutory Authority and more in-depth information can be found at:

https://www.dos.myflorida.com/historical/preservation/compliance-andreview/regulations-guidelines/

D. Management Implementation

Even though the Division sits on the Acquisition and Restoration Council and approves land management plans, these plans are conceptual. Specific information regarding individual projects must be submitted to the Division for review and recommendations.

Management Procedures for Archaeological and Historical Sites and Properties on State-Owned or Controlled Properties

Managers of state lands must coordinate any land clearing or ground disturbing activities with the Division to allow for review and comment on the proposed project. Recommendations may include but are not limited to approval of the project as submitted, cultural resource assessment survey by a qualified professional archaeologist, modifications to the proposed project to avoid or mitigate potential adverse effects.

Projects such as additions, exterior alteration, or related new construction regarding historic structures must also be submitted to the Division of Historical Resources for review and comment by the Division's architects. Projects involving structures fifty years of age or older, must be submitted to this agency for a significance determination. In rare cases, structures under fifty years of age may be deemed historically significant. These must be evaluated on a case by case basis.

Adverse impacts to significant sites, either archaeological sites or historic buildings, must be avoided. Furthermore, managers of state property should make preparations for locating and evaluating historic resources, both archaeological sites and historic structures.

E. Minimum Review Documentation Requirements

In order to have a proposed project reviewed by the Division, certain information must be submitted for comments and recommendations. The minimum review documentation requirements can be found at:

https://www.dos.myflorida.com/media/31392/minimum review documentation re quirements.pdf.

* * *

Questions relating to the treatment of archaeological and historic resources on state lands should be directed to:

Division of Historical Resources Bureau of Historic Preservation Compliance and Review Section

R. A. Gray Building 500 South Bronough Street Tallahassee, FL 32399-0250

Phone: (850) 245-6333 Toll Free: (800) 847-7278 Fax: (850) 245-6435 Email: <u>StateLandsCompliance@DOS.MyFlorida.com</u> The criteria to be used for evaluating eligibility for listing in the National Register of Historic Places are as follows:

- **1)** Districts, sites, buildings, structures, and objects may be considered to have significance in American history, architecture, archaeology, engineering, and/or culture if they possess integrity of location, design, setting, materials, workmanship, feeling, and association, and:
 - **a)** are associated with events that have made a significant contribution to the broad patterns of our history; and/or
 - **b)** are associated with the lives of persons significant in our past; and/or
 - c) embody the distinctive characteristics of type, period, or method of construction, or that represent the work of a master, or that possess high artistic values, or that represent a significant and distinguishable entity whose components may lack individual distinction; and/or
 - **d)** have yielded, or may be likely to yield, information important in prehistory or history.
- 2) Ordinarily cemeteries, birthplaces, or graves of historical figures; properties owned by religious institutions or used for religious purposes; structures that have been moved from their original locations; reconstructed historic buildings; properties primarily commemorative in nature; and properties that have achieved significance within the past 50 years shall not be considered eligible for the *National Register*. However, such properties will qualify if they are integral parts of districts that do meet the criteria or if they fall within the following categories:
 - **a)** a religious property deriving its primary significance from architectural or artistic distinction or historical importance; or
 - **b)** a building or structure removed from its original location but which is significant primarily for architectural value, or which is the surviving structure most importantly associated with a historic person or event; or
 - **c)** a birthplace or grave of an historical figure of outstanding importance if there is no appropriate site or building directly associated with his productive life; or
 - **d)** a cemetery which derives its primary significance from graves of persons of transcendent importance, from age, distinctive design features, or association with historic events; or reconstructed building, when it is accurately executed in a suitable environment and presented in a dignified manner as part of a restoration master plan, and no other building or structure with the same association has survived; or a property primarily commemorative in intent, if design, age, tradition, or symbolic value has invested it with its own exceptional significance; or
 - **e)** a property achieving significance within the past 50 years, if it is of exceptional importance.

Restoration is defined as the act or process of accurately depicting the form, features, and character of a property as it appeared at a particular period of time by means of the removal of features from other periods in its history and reconstruction of missing features from the restoration period. The limited and sensitive upgrading of mechanical, electrical and plumbing systems and other code-required work to make properties functional is appropriate within a restoration project.

Rehabilitation is defined as the act or process of making possible a compatible use for a property through repair, alterations and additions while preserving those portions or features that convey its historical, cultural or architectural values.

Stabilization is defined as the act or process of applying measures designed to reestablish a weather resistant enclosure and the structural stability of an unsafe or deteriorated property while maintaining the essential form as it exists at present.

Preservation is defined as the act or process of applying measures necessary to sustain the existing form, integrity and materials of an historic property. Work, including preliminary measures to protect and stabilize the property, generally focuses upon the ongoing maintenance and repair of historic materials and features rather than extensive replacement and new construction. New exterior additions are not within the scope of this treatment; however, the limited and sensitive upgrading of mechanical, electrical and plumbing systems and other code-required work to make properties functional is appropriate within a preservation project.

Addendum 8—Land Management Review

FLORIDA DEPARTMENT OF ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION

MEMORANDUM

То:	Keith Singleton, Program Consultant Division of State Lands
FROM:	Wes Howell, Chief, Bureau of Natural and Cultural Resources Division of Recreation and Parks Wes Howell Date: 2019.05.17 16:35:27 -04'00'
	Steve Cutshaw, Chief, Office of Park Planning Division of Recreation and Parks
	Steven Cutshaw Digitally signed by Steven Cutshaw Date: 2019.05.16 09:41:25 -04'00'
SUBJECT:	Response to Draft Land Management Review (LMR) Caladesi Island State Park

The Land Management Review draft report provided to Division of Recreation and Parks (DRP)

determined that management of <u>Caladesi Island State Park</u> by the DRP met the two tests prescribed by law. Namely, the review team concluded that the land is being managed for the purposes for which it was acquired and in accordance with the land management plan.

Attached is DRP's Managing Agency Response to the draft LMR report. The responses were prepared via a coordinated effort of the park, district office, and our offices.

Thank you for your attention.

/ca

2019 Land Management Review Team Report for Caladesi Island State Park

Table of Contents	
1. Introduction	2
1.1. Property Reviewed in this Report	3
1.2 Property Map	3
1.3. Overview of Land Management Review Results4	ŀ
1.3.1 Consensus Commendations for the Managing Agency	ŀ
1.3.2. Consensus Recommendations to the Managing Agency	ŀ
2. Field Review Details	;
2.1 Field Review Checklist Findings	5
2.2. Items Requiring Improvement Actions in the Field	5
2.3. Field Review Checklist and Scores	5
3. Land Management Plan Review Details	5
3.1 Items Requiring Improvements in the Management Plan	3
3.2 Management Plan Review Checklist and Scores	3
Appendix A: Scoring System Detail	ĺ

Page 1 of 11
1. Introduction

Section 259.036, F.S. requires a periodic on-site review of conservation and recreation lands titled in the name of the Board of Trustees to determine (1) whether the lands are being managed for the purposes for which they were acquired and (2) whether they are being managed in accordance with their land management plan adopted pursuant to s. 259.032, F.S. In cases where the managed areas exceed 1,000 acres in size, such a review must be scheduled at least every five years. In conducting this review, a statutorily constructed review team "shall evaluate the extent to which the existing management plan provides sufficient protection to threatened or endangered species, unique or important natural or physical features, geological or hydrological functions or archaeological features. The review shall also evaluate the extent to which the land is being managed for the purposes for which it was acquired and the degree to which actual management practices, including public access, are in compliance with the adopted management plan."

The land management review teams are coordinated by the Division of State Lands and consist of representatives from the Division of Recreation and Parks (DEP), the Florida Forest Service (DACS), the Fish and Wildlife Conservation Commission, the local government in which the property is located, the DEP District in which the parcel is located, the local soil and water conservation district or jurisdictional water management district, a conservation organization member, and a local private land manager.

Each Land Management Review Report is divided into three sections. Section 1 provides the details of the property being reviewed as well as the overall results of the report. Section 2 provides details of the Field Review, in which the Review Team inspects the results of management actions on the site. Section 3 provides details of the Land Management Plan Review, in which the team determines the extent to which the Management Plan provides for and documents adequate natural and recreational resource protection.

Finally, each report may also contain an Appendix that lists individual team member comments. This is a compilation of feedback, concerns or other thoughts raised by individual team members, but not necessarily indicative of the final consensus reached by the Land Management Review Team.

Page 2 of 11

1.1. Property Reviewed in this Report

 Name of Site: Caladesi Island State Park

 Managed by: Department of Environmental Protection, Florida Park Service

 Acres: 2,470
 County: Pinellas

 Purpose(s) for Acquisition: to protect and restore the natural and cultural values of the property and provide the greatest benefit to the citizens of the state.

 Acquisition Program(s):
 Original Acquisition Date:

 Area Reviewed: Entire Property
 Last Management Plan Approval Date: 6/15/2007

Agency Manager and Key Staff Present:

• Peter Krulder, Park Manager

Review Team Members Present (voting)

- Karen Rogers, DRP District
- Pam Leasure, Local Gov't.
- Morgan Parks, FWC
- Brandon Miller, DEP District

Other Non-Team Members Present (attending)

- Keith Singleton, DEP/DSL
- Randy Runnels, DEP/FCO
- Lizabeth Longstreet, DEP/FCO

1.2 Property Map

• Dan Larremore, Park Biologist

Review Date: 2/19/2019

- Michael Edwards, FFS
- Cyndi Gates, SWFWMD
- Jan Allyn, Cons. Organization
- Private Land Manager, None
- David Perkey, FNPS



Page 3 of 11

1.3. Overview of Land Management Review Results

Is the property managed for purposes that are compatible with conservation, preservation, or recreation?

$$Yes = 7, No = 0$$

Are the management practices, including public access, in compliance with the management plan?

$$Yes = 7, No = 0$$

Table 1 shows the average scores received for each applicable category of review. *Field Review* scores refer to the adequacy of management actions in the field, while *Management Plan Review* scores refer to adequacy of discussion of these topics in the management plan. Scores range from 1 to 5 with 5 signifying excellence. For a more detailed key to the scores, please see *Appendix A*.

1.3.1 Consensus Commendations for the Managing Agency

The following commendations resulted from discussion and vote of the review team members:

- 1. The team commends the Florida Park Service (FPS) for managing the natural communities at a high level of maintenance condition. (7+, 0-)
- 2. The team commends the FPS for training ranger staff on identification of invasive exotic vegetation to keep natural communities in maintenance condition. (7+, 0-)
- 3. The team commends the park manager and staff for their high level of knowledge and protection of natural and cultural resources and their interpretation to the public. (7+, 0-)

1.3.2. Consensus Recommendations to the Managing Agency

The following recommendations resulted from a discussion and vote of review team members. The next management plan update should include information about how these recommendations have been addressed:

1. The team recommends that the FPS contact DHR for a Phase I archaeological survey of Caladesi Island. (7+, 0-)

Managing Agency Response: A Phase I archeological survey was conducted on the Scharrer Homestead and surrounding area in 2013. The Division is in the process of changing its approach to the use of Level 1 Survey for all areas of the park. In 2014 an archaeological predictive model was developed for Caladesi Island. This model established areas of high, medium and low

Natur	al Communities /		
Fore	st Management	4.99	4.86
Prescri	Prescribed Fire / Habitat		
l	Restoration	4.95	4.86
	Hydrology	N/A	N/A
Imp	periled Species	5.00	4.82
Exotic	/ Invasive Species	4.76	4.40
Cult	ural Resources	4.79	4.57
Public A Law	ccess / Education / / Enforcement	4.92	4.88
Infrastru	ucture / Equipment / Staffing	3.88	N/A
	Color Code (See App	endix A for det	ail)
Excellent	Above Average	Below	Poor

Table 1: Results at a glance.

Field

Review

Management

Plan Review

Major Land

Management Categories

probability of occurrences for archaeological sites. This model will be used to prioritize Level 1 survey work and to help direct development away from high probability areas.

2. The team recommends that the FPS pay staff competitive wages to keep and expand institutional knowledge and experience on-site. (7+, 0-)

Managing Agency Response: Agree. However, Division funding is determined annually by the Florida Legislature and funds are allocated to the 175 state parks and trails according to priority needs. This funding is allocated at the Division and District levels in order to best meet annual operational and resource management needs. Any deemed increase in Division Budget/staffing will follow the established legislative budget request process.

2. Field Review Details

2.1 Field Review Checklist Findings

The following items received high scores on the review team checklist, which indicates that management actions exceeded expectations.

- 1. Natural communities, specifically beach dune, coastal strand, maritime hammock, mesic flatwoods, shell mound, marine grass bed, marine mollusk reef, marine tidal marsh, marine tidal swamp, and marine unconsolidated substrate.
- 2. Listed species, animals and plants in general, and specifically sea turtles, and shorebirds.
- 3. Natural resource survey/monitoring resources, specifically listed species or their habitat monitoring, other non-game species or their habitat monitoring, fire effects monitoring, other habitat management effects monitoring, and invasive species survey/monitoring.
- 4. Cultural Resources, specifically cultural resource survey, and protection and preservation.
- 5. Resource management (prescribed fire), specifically area being burned, frequency and quality.
- 6. Non-native, invasive, and problem species, specifically prevention and control of plants, animals, and pest/pathogens.
- 7. Resource protection, specifically boundary survey, gates and fencing, signage, and law enforcement presence.
- 8. Adjacent property concerns, land use, specifically inholdings/additions.
- 9. Public access, specifically parking, and boat access.
- 10. Environmental education and outreach, specifically wildlife, invasive species, habitat management activities, interpretive facilities and signs, recreational opportunities, and management of visitor impacts.
- 11. Management resources, specifically waste disposal, sanitary facilities, and buildings.

2.2. Items Requiring Improvement Actions in the Field

The following items received low scores on the review team checklist, which indicates that management actions noted during the Field Review were not considered sufficient (less than 3.0 score on average). Please note that overall good scores do not preclude specific recommendations by the review team requiring remediation. The management plan update should include information on how these items have been addressed:

1. Management Resources, specifically staff, and funding, received below average scores. The review team is asked to evaluate, based on information provided by the managing agency, whether management resources are sufficient.

Managing Agency Response: Agree. However, no new staff can be assigned to this or any other park unit unless they are appropriated by the Legislature or reassigned from other units. Further, Division funding is determined annually by the Florida Legislature and funds are allocated to the 175 state parks and trails according to priority needs. This funding is allocated at the Division and District levels in order to best meet annual operational and resource management needs. Any deemed increase in Division Budget/staffing will follow the established legislative budget request process.

	Reference									
Field Review Item	#		An	onym	ous T	eam P	vlemb	ers		Average
		1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	
Natural Communities (LA)	<u>.</u>									
Beach Dune	I.A.1	5	5	5	5	5	5	5		5.00
Coastal Strand	1.A.2	5	5	5	5	5	5	5		5.00
Maritime Hammock	I.A.3	5	5	5	5	5	5	5		5.00
Mesic Flatwoods	I.A.4	5	5	5	5	5	5	5		5.00
Shell Mound	I.A.5	5	5	x	5	5	5	5		5.00
Marine Grass Bed	I.A.6	5	5	5	5	4	5	5		4.86
Marine Mollusk Reef	I.A.7	5	5	5	5	5	5	5		5.00
Marine Tidal Marsh	I.A.8	5	5	5	5	5	5	5		5.00
Marine Tidal Swamp	I.A.9	5	5	5	5	5	5	5		5.00
Marine Unconsolidated Substrate	I.A.10	5	5	5	5	5	5	5		5.00
			1	latura	l Com	muniti	ies Ave	erage	Score	4.99
Listed species: Protection & Preservation (I.B)										
Animals	I.B.1	5		5	5	5	5	5		5.00
Sea Turtles	I.B.1.a	5	5	5	5	5	5	5		5.00
Shore Birds	I.B.1.b	5	5	5	5	5	5	5		5.00
Plants	I.B.2	5	5	5	5	5	5	5		5.00
					Listed	l Speci	ies Ave	erage :	Score	5.00
Natural Resources Survey/Management Resource	es (I.C)									
Listed species or their habitat monitoring	I.C.2	5	4	5	5	5	5	5		4.86
Other non-game species or their habitat										
monitoring	I.C.3	5	4	5	5	5	5	5		4.86
Fire effects monitoring	I.C.4	5	5	5	5	5	5	5		5.00
Other habitat management effects monitoring	1.C.5	4	4	5	5	5	5	5		4.71
Invasive species survey / monitoring	1.C.6	5	5	5	5	5	5	5		5.00
Cultural Resources (Archeological & Historic sites) (II.A, II.B)									

2.3. Field Review Checklist and Scores

Page 6 of 11

Cultural Pos Suprov	Lux	5	2	E	5		5			157
Protection and preservation		5	5	5	5	4	5	5		4.37
Protection and preservation	1 11.0			Cult				1770	Score	1 70
				cuit		esourc	.es Are	nuge .	JCOTE	4.75
Resource Management, Prescribed Fire (III.A)				•	-	-				
Area Being Burned (no. acres)	III.A.1	5	5	5	5	5	5	5		5.00
Frequency	III.A.2	4	5	5	5	5	5	5		4.86
Quality	III.A.3	5	5	5	5	5	5	5		5.00
	Resou	rce Ma	anager	nent,	Prescri	ibed Fi	ire Ave	erage S	Score	4.95
Non-Native, Invasive & Problem Species (III.D)										
Prevention										
prevention - plants	III.D.1.a	5	5	5	5	5	5	5		5.00
prevention - animals	III.D.1.b	5	5	5	5	5	5	5		5.00
prevention - pests/pathogens	III.D.1.c	5	3	5	5	5	5	1		4.14
Control	~					0				
control - plants	III.D.2.a	5	5	5	5	5	5	5		5.00
control - animals	III.D.2.b	5	5	5	5	5	5	5		5.00
control - pest/pathogens	III.D.2.c	5	5	5	5	5	5	1		4.43
	Non-Na	ative, I	nvasiv	e & Pi	oblem	Speci	es Ave	erage S	Score	4.76
Resource Protection (III.F)										
Boundary survey	III.F.1	5	5	5	5	5	5	5		5.00
Gates & fencing	III.F.2	5	5	5	5	x	5	x		5.00
Signage	III.F.3	5	5	5	5	5	5	5		5.00
Law enforcement presence	III.F.4	4	5	5	5	5	5	5		4.86
				Resou	ırce Pr	otecti	on Ave	erage S	Score	4.96
Adia cont Dronorty Concerns (III C)										
Land Use										
Inholdings/additions	III.G.2	5	3		5	5	5	5		4.67
	(=)									
Public Access & Education (IV.1, IV.2, IV.3, IV.4, IV	/.5]									
Parking	IV 1 b	5	5	5	5	5	5	5		5.00
	10.1.5			-	5		5	5		5.00
Boat Access	IV.1.c	5	5	5	5	5	5	5		5.00
Environmental Education & Outreach	Luca	-	-	_	_	_	-	_		
Wildlife	IV.2.a	5	5	5	5	5	5	5		5.00
Invasive Species	IV.2.b	5	3	5	4	4	5	5		4.43
Habitat Management Activities	IV.2.c	5	3	5	4	5	5	5		4.57
Interpretive facilities and signs	IV.3	5	5	5	5	5	5	5		5.00
Recreational Opportunities	10.4	5	5	5	5	5	5	5		5.00
Management of Visitor Impacts	10.5		5	5	5	5	5	5		5.00
			Public	C Acce	ss & E	ducati	on Ave	erage :	Score	4.88
Management Resources (V.1, V.2, V.3. V.4)										
Maintenance	1							r		
Waste disposal	V.1.a	5	5	5	5	5	5	5		5.00
Sanitary facilities	V.1.b	5	4	5	5	5	5	5		4.86
Infrastructure	1		-					r		
Buildings	V.2.a	2	4	5	4	5	4	5		4.14

Page 7 of 11

Equipment	V.2.b	3	4	4	4	4	4	3		3.71
Staff	V.3	1	3	4	4	2	2	4		2.86
Funding	V.4	2	3	4	2	2	3	3		2.71
			Ma	inagement Resources Average Score						3.88
	Color Code:	Excellent		Ab Ave	ove rage	Be Ave	low rage	Pc	or	See
				Mis Vo	sing ote	Insuff	icient nation			for detail

3. Land Management Plan Review Details

3.1 Items Requiring Improvements in the Management Plan

The following items received low scores on the review team checklist, which indicates that the text noted in the Management Plan Review does not sufficiently address this issue (less than 3.0 score on average.). Please note that overall good scores do not preclude specific recommendations by the review team requiring remediation. The next management plan update should address the checklist items identified below:

1. Adjacent Property Concerns, specifically discussion of potential surplus land determination, received a below average score. This is an indication that the management plan does not sufficiently address surplus lands.

Managing Agency Response: Adjacent property concerns including discussion of potential surplus land determination will be more thoroughly addressed in the next management plan update. However, the current management plan was reviewed by the relevant agencies and was in full compliance with Chapters 253 and 259, F.S., and Chapter 18-2, F.A.C., when it was approved by ARC.

Plan Review Item	Reference #		Anonymous Team Members						Average	
		1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	
Natural Communities (I.A)										
Beach Dune	I.A.1	4	5	5	5	5	5	5		4.86
Coastal Strand	I.A.2	4	5	5	5	5	5	5		4.86
Maritime Hammock	I.A.3	4	5	5	5	5	5	5		4.86
Mesic Flatwoods	I.A.4	4	5	5	5	5	5	5		4.86
Shell Mound	I.A.5	4	5	5	5	5	4	5		4.71
Marine Grass Bed	I.A.6		5	5	5	5	5	5		5.00
Marine Mollusk Reef	I.A.7	4	5	5	5	5	5	5		4.86
Marine Tidal Marsh	I.A.8	4	5	5	5	5	5	5		4.86
Marine Tidal Swamp	I.A.9	4	5	5	5	5	5	5		4.86
Marine Unconsolidated Substrate	I.A.10	4	5	5	5	5	5	5		4.86

3.2 Management Plan Review Checklist and Scores

Page 8 of 11

Natural Communities Average Score						Score	4.86			
Listed species: Protection & Preservation (I.B)	_						_			
Animals	I.B.1	4	5	5	5	5	5	5		4.86
Sea Turtles	I.B.1.a	4	5	5	5	5	5	5		4.86
Shore Birds	I.B.1.b	4	5	5	5	5	5	5		4.86
Plants	I.B.2	4	5	5	4	5	5	5		4.71
					Lister	l Speci	ies Ave	erage S	Score	4.82
Natural Resources Survey/Management Resource	es (I.C)									
Listed species or their habitat monitoring	1.C.2	4	5	5	5	5	5	5		4.86
Other non-game species or their habitat										
monitoring	1.C.3	4	5	5	5	5	4	5		4.71
Fire effects monitoring	1.C.4	4	5	5	5	5	5	5		4.86
Other habitat management effects monitoring	1.C.5	4	5	5	4	5	5	5		4.71
Invasive species survey / monitoring	I.C.6	4	5	5	5	5	5	5		4.86
Cultural Resources (Archeological & Historic sites) (II.A, II.B)									
Cultural Res. Survey	II.A	4	3	5	5	4	5	4		4.29
Protection and preservation	II.B	4	5	5	5	5	5	5		4.86
				Cult	ural R	esourd	es Ave	erage S	Score	4.57
Resource Management, Prescribed Fire (III.A)										
Area Being Burned (no. acres)	III.A.1	5	5	5	5	5	5	5		5.00
Frequency	III.A.2	5	5	5	5	5	5	5		5.00
Quality	III.A.3	4	5	5	5	4	4	5		4.57
	Resou	rce Ma	anagei	nent,	Prescr	ibed F	ire Ave	erage S	Score	4.86
Non-Native, Invasive & Problem Species (III.D) Prevention		_	_	_	_	_	_	_		
prevention - plants	III.E.1.a	5	3	5	5	5	5	5		4.71
prevention - animals	III.E.1.b	5	3	5	5	5	5	5		4.71
prevention - pests/pathogens	III.E.1.c	5	1	5	4	5	3	1		3.43
Control										
control - plants	III.E.2.a	5	5	5	5	5	5	5		5.00
control - animals	III.E.2.b	5	4	5	5	5	5	5		4.86
control - pest/pathogens	III.E.2.c	5	3	5	4	5	3	1		3.71
	Non-Na	ative, I	nvasiv	e & Pi	oblen	1 Speci	ies Ave	erage :	Score	4.40
Hydrologic/Geologic function, Hydro-Alteration (III.E.1)									
Resource Protection (III.F)										
Boundary survey	III.G.1	5	5	5	5	5	5	5		5.00
Gates & fencing	III.G.2	5	5	5	5		5			5.00
Signage	III.G.3	5	5	5	5	5	5	5		5.00
Law enforcement presence	III.G.4	5	5	5	5	5	5	5		5.00
	T			Resou	irce Pi	otecti	on Ave	erage S	Score	5.00
Adjacent Property Concerns (III.G)										
Land Use		-			200			1. 1017		
Inholdings/additions	III.H.2	5		5	4	5	3	5		4.50

Page 9 of 11

Discussion of Potential Surplus Land										
Determination	III.H.3	1	2	5	4	4	3	1		2.86
Surplus Lands Identified?	III.H.4	4	3	5	5	5	5	5		4.57
Public Access & Education (IV.1, IV.2, IV.3, IV.4, IV	.5)					0	A			N
Public Access										
Parking	IV.1.b	5	5	5	5	5	5	5		5.00
Boat Access	IV.1.c	5	5	5	5	5	5	5		5.00
Environmental Education & Outreach										
Wildlife	IV.2.a	5	5	5	5	4	3	5		4.57
Invasive Species	IV.2.b	5	3	5	4	4	3	5		4.14
Habitat Management Activities	IV.2.c	5	3	5	4	5	5	5		4.57
Interpretive facilities and signs	IV.3	5	4	5	5	5	5	5		4.86
Recreational Opportunities	IV.4	5	5	5	5	5	5	5		5.00
Management of Visitor Impacts	IV.5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5		5.00
			Publi	c Acces	Access & Education Average Score			4.77		
Managed Area Uses (VI.A, VI.B)										
Managed Area Uses (VI.A, VI.B) Existing Uses										
Managed Area Uses (VI.A, VI.B) Existing Uses Picnicking	VI.A.1	5	5	5	5	5	5	5		5.00
Managed Area Uses (VI.A, VI.B) Existing Uses Picnicking Swimming	VI.A.1 VI.A.2	5	5	5	5	5	5	5		5.00 5.00
Managed Area Uses (VI.A, VI.B) Existing Uses Picnicking Swimming Fishing	VI.A.1 VI.A.2 VI.A.3	5 5 4	5 5 5	5 5 5	5 5 5	5 5 5	5 5 5	5 5 5		5.00 5.00 4.86
Managed Area Uses (VI.A, VI.B) Existing Uses Picnicking Swimming Fishing Beach Activities	VI.A.1 VI.A.2 VI.A.3 VI.A.4	5 5 4 4	5 5 5 5	5 5 5 5	5 5 5 5	5 5 5 5	5 5 5 5	5 5 5 5		5.00 5.00 4.86 4.86
Managed Area Uses (VI.A, VI.B) Existing Uses Picnicking Swimming Fishing Beach Activities Boating	VI.A.1 VI.A.2 VI.A.3 VI.A.4 VI.A.5	5 5 4 4 4	5 5 5 5 5	5 5 5 5 5 5	5 5 5 5 5 5	5 5 5 5 5	5 5 5 5 5	5 5 5 5 5		5.00 5.00 4.86 4.86 4.86
Managed Area Uses (VI.A, VI.B) Existing Uses Picnicking Swimming Fishing Beach Activities Boating Hiking	VI.A.1 VI.A.2 VI.A.3 VI.A.4 VI.A.5 VI.A.6	5 5 4 4 4 5	5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5	5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5	5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5	5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5	5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5	5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5		5.00 5.00 4.86 4.86 4.86 5.00
Managed Area Uses (VI.A, VI.B) Existing Uses Picnicking Swimming Fishing Beach Activities Boating Hiking Birdwatching	VI.A.1 VI.A.2 VI.A.3 VI.A.4 VI.A.5 VI.A.6 VI.A.7	5 5 4 4 4 5 5	5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5	5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5	5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5	5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5	5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5	5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5		5.00 5.00 4.86 4.86 4.86 5.00 5.00
Managed Area Uses (VI.A, VI.B) Existing Uses Picnicking Swimming Fishing Beach Activities Boating Hiking Birdwatching Nature Study	VI.A.1 VI.A.2 VI.A.3 VI.A.4 VI.A.5 VI.A.6 VI.A.7 VI.A.8	5 5 4 4 5 5 5 5	5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5	5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5	5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5	5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5	5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5	5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5		5.00 5.00 4.86 4.86 4.86 5.00 5.00 5.00
Managed Area Uses (VI.A, VI.B) Existing Uses Picnicking Swimming Fishing Beach Activities Boating Hiking Birdwatching Nature Study Overnight Docking	VI.A.1 VI.A.2 VI.A.3 VI.A.4 VI.A.5 VI.A.6 VI.A.7 VI.A.8 VI.A.9	5 5 4 4 5 5 5 5 5	5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5	5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5	5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5	5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5	5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5	5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5		5.00 5.00 4.86 4.86 4.86 5.00 5.00 5.00 5.00
Managed Area Uses (VI.A, VI.B) Existing Uses Picnicking Swimming Fishing Beach Activities Boating Hiking Birdwatching Nature Study Overnight Docking	VI.A.1 VI.A.2 VI.A.3 VI.A.4 VI.A.5 VI.A.6 VI.A.7 VI.A.8 VI.A.9 Color Code:	5 5 4 4 4 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5	5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 1 8	5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 4 b 6 Ave	5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5	5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 8 8 4 ve	5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 0 0 w rage	5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 90		5.00 5.00 4.86 4.86 4.86 5.00 5.00 5.00 5.00 5.00 5.00 5.00

Page 10 of 11

Addendum 9—Local Government Comprehensive Plan Compliance

Sharp, Frances
<u>Maldonado, Tyler; Degagne, Demi</u>
Kinney, George; Sheets, Lanie
RE: Request for County Comprehensive Planning Compliance Review RE Honeymoon and Caladesi Island State Parks Unit Management Plans
Tuesday, October 05, 2021 11:09:09 AM
image002.ong Honevmoon Island State Park- CD Comments.odf Caladesi Island State Park- CD Comments.odf RE Request for County Comprehensive Planning Compliance Review RE Honeymoon and Caladesi Island State Parks Unit Management Plans.msg

EXTERNAL MESSAGE

This email originated outside of DEP. Please use caution when opening attachments, clicking links, or responding to this email.

Hi Demi and Tyler,

Lanie and I have reviewed your draft plans for Honeymoon Island and Caladesi Island for consistency with the City's plans. We concluded that the drafts are consistent with our existing plans and attached are minor comments for your review and reference.

Thank you for giving us the opportunity to review the draft plans. Please contact me if you have any questions.

Thank you, Frances

FRANCES LEONG SHARP, AICP Planner II City of Dunedin 1415 Pinehurst Rd, Suite F Work: (727)298-3200 Email: fsharp@dunedinfl.net Web: www.dunedingov.com

From: Maldonado, Tyler <Tyler.Maldonado@dep.state.fl.us>
Sent: Friday, September 17, 2021 2:59 PM
To: Sharp, Frances <FSharp@DunedinFL.Net>
Cc: Kinney, George <GKinney@DunedinFL.Net>; Sheets, Lanie <LSheets@DunedinFL.Net>; Degagne, Demi <Demi.Degagne@dep.state.fl.us>
Subject: RE: Request for County Comprehensive Planning Compliance Review RE Honeymoon and Caladesi Island State Parks Unit Management Plans

Hi Frances,

The Conceptual Land Use Plan sections should be reviewed as well. These concepts are potential projects dependent on future funding and would comply with the local design and permitting requirements when the time comes. They represent our long-term intentions for infrastructure development at the parks.

I'm happy to answer any questions you may have along the way,

-Tyler

From: Sharp, Frances <<u>ESharp@DunedinFL.Net</u>>
Sent: Friday, September 17, 2021 2:08 PM
To: Degagne, Demi <<u>Demi.Degagne@dep.state.fl.us</u>>; Maldonado, Tyler
<<u>Tyler.Maldonado@dep.state.fl.us</u>>
Cc: Kinney, George <<u>GKinney@DunedinFL.Net</u>>; Sheets, Lanie <<u>LSheets@DunedinFL.Net</u>>
Subject: RE: Request for County Comprehensive Planning Compliance Review RE Honeymoon and Caladesi Island State Parks Unit Management Plans

Hi Demi and Tyler,

George forwarded your email to me regarding your request to have the City review the draft management plans for Honeymoon Island and Caledesi Island to ensure that the future land use and zoning designation is consistent with the local comprehensive plan.

I want to reach out to you both to inform that I will be point person of contact for the review. I will most likely reach out to our Parks and Recreation Department to help assist in reviewing the existing facilities portion of the plans as well. Please give us about three weeks to review the documents and compile our feedback to you. Please let us know if you need anything else in particular you like us to provide comment on.

Thank you, Frances

FRANCES LEONG SHARP, AICP Planner II City of Dunedin 1415 Pinehurst Rd, Suite F Work: (727)298-3200 Email: fsharp@dunedinfl.net Web: www.dunedingov.com

From: Kinney, George Sent: Friday, September 17, 2021 1:16 PM To: Sharp, Frances <<u>FSharp@DunedinFL.Net</u>> Cc: Cord, Molly <<u>MCord@DunedinFL.Net</u>>; DiPasqua, Joseph <<u>JDiPasqua@DunedinFL.Net</u>> Subject: FW: Request for County Comprehensive Planning Compliance Review RE Honeymoon and Caladesi Island State Parks Unit Management Plans Importance: High

Hi Frances,

I will leave this one in your capable hands))

Thank you,

GEORGE KINNEY, AICP Director of Community Development City of Dunedin Office: 727.298.3199 Email: <u>gkinney@DunedinFL.net</u> Web: <u>www.dunedinfl.net</u>



Home of Honeymoon Island

 From: Degagne, Demi < Demi. Degagne@dep.state.fl.us>

 Sent: Friday, September 17, 2021 12:58 PM

 To: Kinney, George < GKinney@DunedinFL.Net>; May, Joe < JMay@DunedinFL.Net>

 Cc: Maldonado, Tyler < Tyler.Maldonado@dep.state.fl.us>

 Subject: Request for County Comprehensive Planning Compliance Review RE Honeymoon and Caladesi Island State Parks Unit Management Plans

 Importance: High

Good Afternoon,

The Florida Department of Environmental Protection, Division of Recreation and Parks, Office of Park Planning is responsible for the unit management planning of all Florida State Parks. As part of this planning process, prior to the unit management plan being presented to its Acquisition and Restoration Council for consideration, the Office of Park Planning is now required to connect and communicate with the area's agency that is responsible for the local comprehensive plan to determine if the park unit management plan is in compliance with the comprehensive plan. Specifically, we want to make sure we are accurately citing the future land use and zoning designations for the park and would like to confirm that our proposed developments in the conceptual land use section comply with those designations. The existing facilities section will also need to be reviewed.

We would like to have our Honeymoon Island State Park and Caladesi Island State Park unit management plans reviewed. The draft plans are available at the following links:

- Honeymoon Island State Park <u>https://floridadep.gov/parks/parks-office-park-planning/documents/honeymoon-island-state-park-2021-draft-unit-management</u>
- Caladesi Island State Park https://floridadep.gov/parks/parks-office-park-planning/documents/caladesi-island-state-park-2021-draft-unit-management

Please let me know if this can be done, who the point person is for these requests (for future needs) and an approximate turn-around time for the review. If you need any clarification regarding the

attached document or its contents, please contact Tyler Maldonado at <u>tyler.maldonado@florida.dep.gov</u> or 850.245.3051. Mr. Maldonado, who has been copied with this communication, is the Planning Consultant assigned to handle the parks' management planning and will be able to answer any questions regarding either plan.

Thank you for your time, help and direction.



?

Demi P. Degagne Florida Department of Environmental Protection Division of Recreation and Parks/Office of Park Planning Government Operations Consultant and Park Planning Administrative Assistant Demi.Degagne@floridadep.gov Office: 850.245.3051 Direct: 850.245.3052

PUBLIC RECORDS NOTICE: Under Florida law, e-mail addresses are public records. If you do not want your e-mail address released in response to a public records request, do not send electronic mail to this entity. Instead, contact this office by phone or in writing. Pursuant to FLORIDA STATUTE 119.12, public record requests to inspect or copy public records may be made to the city's custodian of public records, City Clerk Rebecca Schlichter, 1415 Pinehurst Road, Suite D, Dunedin, Florida 34698, or email: rschlichter@dunedinfl.net, or phone (727) 298-3034 or facsimile (727) 298-3505. Pursuant to Florida Statute 119.12, if you wish to assert that you were denied access to inspect or copy a public records request, at least five business days before filing a civil action. The notice period begins on the day the written notice of the request is received by the custodian of public records, excluding Saturday, Sunday, and legal holidays, and runs until 5 business days have elapsed. The contact information for the city's custodian of public records is posted in the city's primary administrative building in which public records are routinely created, sent, received, maintained, and requested and on the city's website.

?

PUBLIC RECORDS NOTICE: Under Florida law, e-mail addresses are public records. If you do not want your e-mail address released in response to a public records request, do not send electronic mail to this entity. Instead, contact this office by phone or in writing. Pursuant to FLORIDA STATUTE 119.12, public record requests to inspect or copy public records may be made to the city's custodian of public records, City Clerk Rebecca Schlichter, 1415 Pinehurst Road, Suite D, Dunedin, Florida 34698, or email: rschlichter@dunedinfl.net, or phone (727) 298-3034 or facsimile (727) 298-3555. Pursuant to Florida Statute 119.12, if you wish to assert that you were denied access to inspect or copy a public record, you must provide a written notice to the city's custodian of records, identifying it as a public records request, at least five business days before filing a civil action. The notice period begins on the day the written notice of the request is received by the custodian of public records, excluding Saturday, Sunday, and legal holidays, and runs until 5 business days have elapsed. The contact information for the city's custodian of public records is posted in the city's primary administrative building in which public records are routinely created, sent, received, maintained, and requested and on the city's website.

Addendum 10-Arthropod Control Plan

PURSUANT TO SECT	ON 388.4111, FLORIDA STAT	UTES
Name of Designated Land: Hone	ymoon and Caladesi Islar	d
Location: Pinellas County (Nort	west corner of County)	
Land Management Agency: Flo	rida Department of Enviro	nmental Protection
Are Arthropod Surveillance Activ	ities Necessary? Yes	No 🗌
If "Yes", please explain: Mosquit	o breeding occurs in the p	ark in the tidally
influenced areas as well as by rains	in the picnic areas. Surve	illance is required to
determine the population of juvenile	and adult mosquitoes as	well as determining
the proper treatment, both pesticide	selection and timing.	
Which Surveillance Techniques A	are Proposed?	
Please Check All That Apply:		
Landing Rate Counts 🖂	Light Traps 🗌 Sent	nel Chickens 🗌
Citizen Complaints 🛛 🖂	Larval Dips 🛛 Othe	r 🗌 [below]
lf "Other", please explain:		

Primary Targeted Arthropod Species: Ochlerotatus sollicitans and Oc.
taeniorhynchus
Secondary Targeted Arthropod Species: Culex and Psorophora
Larval Control
Number of Dips per site: 5
Percentage of Dips positive which might trigger action: Treat
whenever larval mosquitoes found
Are post treatment counts being obtained: Yes 🛛 No 🗌
Biological Control of Larvae:
Might Predacious Fish be stocked: Yes 🗌 No 🖂
Other Biological Controls that might be used:
Records:
Are Records being kept: Yes 🖂 No 🗌
Records Location: 4100 118 th Ave N, Clearwater FL
How Long: At least 3 years, as required by law. Electronic records are
part of permanent database.
Method of Application:
(Please Check All That Apply:)
Ground 🖂 Aerial 🖂
Please specify: Ground treatments by backpack spreader/sprayer, FMI
pump, B & G hand sprayer.
Aerial larvicide treatments by Bell 47 helicopter.
In the event of public health emergency control, a fixed wing aircraft would
be contracted for aerial adulticide.

Material to be used for Larviciding Applications:

(Please Check All That Apply:)

Bti	\boxtimes	
Bs	\boxtimes	

Methoprene 🖂

Non-Petroleum Surface Film

Other

If "Other", please specify:

Adult Control:

Normal Control Permethrin, Malathion, & Sumethrin, and only in public use areas by ground application.

Public Health Emergency Control Dibrom

Notification:

Via email County Lands Manager for larviciding and adulticiding

Vegetation Modifications:

Will it be necessary to trim or alter vegetation to conduct Surveillance or Treatment?

Brazilian pepper trimming for access for inspection and treatment is allowed.

Mangrove trimming within the state parks must be pre-approved by the Park Manager

Aquatic Vegetation:

None

3

Land Management Comments:

An annual report of arthropod control activity at Honeymoon Island State Park should be submitted to the Park Manager by December 31.

Arthropod Control Agency Comments:

Signature of Lands Manager or Representative
N. A
Munpra
Signature of Mosquito Control Director / Manager

Date

11/02/2021 Date

4