



Statewide Flooding and Sea Level Rise Resilience Plan Fiscal Year 2026-27

**Office of Resilience and Coastal Protection
Florida Department of Environmental Protection
December 2025**



STATEWIDE FLOODING AND SEA LEVEL RISE RESILIENCE PLAN

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Introduction	2
Summary of Proposal Submittal Process.....	2
How the Statewide Plan was developed	3
Methodology (eligibility)	3
Methodology (ranking).....	5
Proposed Projects	6
Projects Receiving Score, Ranked	7
Appendix A: Project Proposal Application Form.....	11

Introduction

In 2021, the Resilient Florida Program was created by the Florida Legislature, acknowledging that Florida is vulnerable to sea level rise, flooding and the potential for increasingly severe storms. To address these challenges, Florida must assist communities in adapting critical and regionally significant assets, maintain a statewide vision for both coastal and inland communities and prioritize state resources with a statewide data set and vulnerability assessment (VA). One way the Florida Department of Environmental Protection (DEP or department) will achieve those goals is through the Statewide Flooding and Sea Level Rise Resilience Plan (Statewide Plan) contained within this report. Section 380.093(5)(a), Florida Statutes (F.S.), establishes the requirement for a Statewide Plan.

“By December 1, 2021, and each December 1 thereafter, the department shall develop a Statewide Flooding and Sea Level Rise Resilience Plan on a 3-year planning horizon and submit it to the Governor, the President of the Senate and the Speaker of the House of Representatives. The plan must consist of ranked projects that address risks of flooding and sea level rise to coastal and inland communities in the state. All eligible projects submitted to the department pursuant to this section must be ranked and included in the plan.”

In addition, the statute lists the required elements of the plan, including:

- Overall summary of proposals including:
 - The total number of project proposals received and deemed eligible,
 - The total funding requested, and
 - The total funding requested for eligible projects.
 - A link to access a copy of each submitted project proposal application form separated by eligible and ineligible projects.
- Statewide Plan development process, including:
 - A description of eligibility requirements.
 - A detailed summary of the scoring criteria.
- Project proposal application form.

Summary of Proposal Submittal Process

On April 1, 2025, DEP opened an application portal designed to collect information from eligible project sponsors about implementation projects consistent with section 380.093, F.S., and Chapter 62S-8, Florida Administrative Code (F.A.C.). From April 1 to July 6, 2025, project sponsors were able to enter the application portal and draft an application proposal. DEP staff were made available during this time via weekly, virtual “office hours” to answer questions and aid project sponsors with accessing the application portal and drafting their application proposal.

Beginning on July 7, 2025, the application portal accepted the submission of application proposals. The application portal closed at 11:59 p.m. EDT on Sept. 1, 2025, consistent with 380.093(5)(d), F.S. DEP staff remained available during business hours throughout the entirety of the application submission window to aid project sponsors with submitting their application proposal. An outline of the online form is provided in [Appendix A](#).

How the Statewide Plan was developed

The Statewide Plan was created based on the eligibility requirements in section 380.093, F.S., and the project score in accordance with Chapter 62S-8, F.A.C., both outlined below.

Methodology (eligibility)

To be eligible for the Statewide Plan, a project must address a flooding or sea level rise threat in current or future conditions, and the local sponsor must be an eligible entity.

To be an eligible entity, the entity must be identified in section 380.093(5), F.S.. Eligible entities that can submit proposals to address risks of flooding or sea level rise identified in vulnerability assessments include counties, municipalities and special districts with certain responsibilities. In some cases, other established special districts can submit proposals that mitigate the risk of flooding or sea level rise on water supplies or water resources of the state. Consistent with the program's governing statute, special districts are those that are responsible for the management and maintenance of:

- Airports;
- Inlets and intracoastal waterways;
- Potable water facilities;
- Seaports; or
- Wastewater facilities.

Other established special districts include:

- Drainage districts;
- Erosion control districts;
- Flood control districts;
- Regional water supply authorities; and
- Water Management Districts.

Upon closure of the application portal on Sept. 1, 2025, as outlined in 380.093(5)(d), F.S., all submitted proposals were reviewed to ensure both the applicant and the project met program eligibility requirements. For projects that did not meet the minimum 50 percent cost share requirement, outreach was performed to ensure the applicant understood the cost share requirement and to provide the opportunity to revise their submitted cost share amount.

Eligible projects submitted by eligible entities with a minimum 50 percent cost share were included and ranked in the Statewide Plan. Section 380.093(5)(e), F.S., provides a match waiver for communities eligible for reduced cost share as defined in the excerpt below:

“1. A municipality that has a population of 10,000 or fewer, according to the most recent April 1 population estimates posted on the Office of Economic and Demographic Research’s website, and a per capita annual income that is less than the state’s per capita annual income as shown in the most recent release from the Bureau of the Census of the United States Department of Commerce that includes both measurements; or

2. A county that has a population of 50,000 or fewer, according to the most recent April 1 population estimates posted on the Office of Economic and Demographic Research’s website, and a per capita annual income that is less than the state’s per capita annual income as shown in the most recent release from the Bureau of the Census of the United States Department of Commerce that includes both measurements; or

3. A municipality or county that has a per capita annual income that is equal to or less than 75 percent of the state’s per capita annual income as shown in the most recent release from the Bureau of the Census of the United States Department of Commerce.”

In total, 203 eligible and ineligible proposals were received for a combined cost of \$1,919,506,199. The total state funding request amount for all proposals was \$998,685,013. Applications were received from 120 entities throughout Florida, including 25 counties and county-associated entities, 84 municipalities (e.g., cities, towns and villages) and 12 special districts or other entities.

After reviewing the proposals, 123 implementation proposals were deemed eligible for the Fiscal Year (FY) 2026-27 Statewide Plan and received a priority ranking, with total project costs of \$1,515,226,716. The total funding request amount for all eligible proposals was \$738,707,561. A distribution of all the eligible applications received during this cycle is shown in Figure 1.

Proposals, separated by eligible and ineligible status, can be found at the following [DEP FTP Website](#) using the case-sensitive password: **2025Apps**.




Figure 1. Distribution of eligible proposals submitted during the 2025 application cycle.

Methodology (ranking)

In 2022, following substantial public engagement and input, the program adopted [Chapter 62S-8, F.A.C., “Statewide Flooding and Sea Level Rise Resilience Plan,”](#) to implement scoring criteria outlined in section 380.093(5)(h), F.S. Legislation in subsequent years has further refined the scoring criteria. Most recently, legislation in 2025 added a new scoring criterion related to the National Flood Insurance Program’s Community Rating System.

To satisfy rule requirements and earn the corresponding points, project applicants were required to provide all supporting information and/or documentation needed to evaluate each application criteria to DEP. To aid applicants in drafting and submitting an accurate and complete proposal, a scoring rubric summarizing the rule is provided in “[62S-8 Resilient Florida Program Guidance for Applicants](#).”. The document provides background and other statutory details and context, example responses and specific guidance for each scoring criterion. Additionally, it clearly identifies which criteria require additional supporting documentation and/or explanation for the partial or full award of points.

After all project evaluations were completed, DEP then assigned a priority ranking for each project based on total score. Projects that received the same score received equal rank. The full list of ranked projects can be found in the Statewide Plan Section: [All Projects Receiving Score and Rank](#).

Proposed Projects

The prioritized applications received via the 2025 Resilient Florida grant portal were used to create the list of proposed projects for the Statewide Plan. DEP developed the Statewide Plan based on section 380.093(5)(h), F.S., which requires proposed funding for the Statewide Plan (state funds) be no less than \$100 million per year for three years and on the projected balance for the Resilient Florida Trust Fund of \$100 million for Year 1 (FY 2026-27). Should excess funding be available due to projects being unable to proceed or projects being completed for less than the original request, DEP will reallocate excess funds in the following priority: 1) additional funds for multiyear projects, 2) subsequent years of funding for projects funded in Year 1 and 3) to the next prioritized project in the Statewide Plan.

Of the 123 eligible projects, the top fifteen prioritized projects are proposed for FY 2026-27 State funding. Pursuant to section 380.093(5)(i), F.S., multiyear projects that receive funding in the first year of the project must also be included in subsequent plans and funded until the project is completed (“multiyear projects”), provided the project sponsor has complied with all contractual obligations and funds are available. Multiyear projects in subsequent years are not scored or ranked with other submittals. In addition to the fifteen projects referenced above, with total Year 1 funding totaling \$82,039,821, the FY 2026-27 Statewide Plan proposes continued funding for two multiyear projects totaling \$17,960,179. Funding of these Year 1 projects collectively total \$100,000,000.

Consistent with Section 380.093(5)(a), F.S., the Statewide Plan is designed with a 3-year planning horizon; therefore, the projects proposed for FY 2026-27 State funding also commit \$21,227,592 and \$16,527,500 to the plans in FY 2027-28 and FY 2028-29, respectively. High-ranking projects not identified for Year 1 funding are listed in Years 2 and 3 in priority order and total \$100,000,000 in Year 2 and \$100,000,000 in Year 3. Projects that do not receive funding in FY 2026-27 must resubmit application proposals to be considered for funding in subsequent years.

All submitted eligible proposals are subject to further review and potential modifications based on available funding, project priorities and programmatic needs. Such modifications can be reductions in scope and/or budget to align with fiscal constraints, policy directives or strategic objectives.

Table 1 is a list of all projects receiving a score in ranked order.

Table 1: Projects Receiving Score, Ranked

Rank	Project Sponsor	County	Project Title	Total Project Cost	Cost Share Percentage	FY 2026-27 Statewide Plan, Year 1	FY 2026-27 Statewide Plan, Year 2	FY 2026-27 Statewide Plan, Year 3 +	Cumulative Total
-	South Florida Water Management District	Miami-Dade	Additional funding for previous multiyear project — 26SRP12 C-7 Basin Resiliency	\$50,000,000	50%	\$10,720,000			\$10,720,000
-	City of Fort Myers	Lee	Additional funding for previous multiyear project — 26SRP21 Fort Myers Wellfield Expansion, Redundancy and Flood-Proofing	\$30,861,238	52%	\$7,240,179			\$17,960,179
1	City of Fort Myers	Lee	Central Advanced Wastewater Treatment Facility Resilience Upgrades	\$33,005,473	61%	\$13,005,473			\$30,965,652
2	City of Atlantic Beach	Duval	Resilient Lift Station Project Phase I	\$2,677,442	50%	\$1,338,721			\$32,304,373
3	City of Clearwater	Pinellas	North Beach Stormwater Resiliency	\$13,450,000	52%	\$6,450,000			\$38,754,373
4	Key Largo Wastewater Treatment District	Monroe	Influent Equalization System Mitigation and Resiliency Initiative	\$13,094,560	50%	\$6,547,280			\$45,301,653
5	City of Cooper City	Broward	Water Treatment Plant and Wastewater Treatment Plant Electrical System Improvements Project	\$20,620,000	50%	\$10,310,000			\$55,611,653
5	Miami-Dade County	Miami-Dade	Northeast 26 Avenue Pump Station Improvement Project, located at Northeast 26 Avenue between Northeast 204 Terr and Northeast 205 Street	\$3,000,000	50%	\$1,500,000			\$57,111,653
7	Palm Beach County Water Utilities	Palm Beach	Water Treatment Plant 11 Upgrades Progressive Design Build *	\$10,000,000	0%	\$10,000,000			\$67,111,653
7	Key Largo Wastewater Treatment District	Monroe	Critical Systems Resilience and Mitigation Upgrades	\$15,957,588	50%	\$7,978,794			\$75,090,447
9	City of Marathon	Monroe	Area 6 Wastewater Treatment Plant Flood Wall Project	\$6,000,000	50%	\$3,000,000			\$78,090,447
10	Miami-Dade County	Miami-Dade	Biscayne Gardens Pump Station Retrofit and Improvement Project — Northeast 150 Street and North Spur Drive	\$6,225,000	50%	\$3,112,500			\$81,202,947
11	Village of Key Biscayne	Miami-Dade	Stormwater Improvements	\$20,000,000	50%	\$10,000,000			\$91,202,947
12	City of Callaway	Bay	Lift Station Rehabilitation	\$2,827,000	50%	\$1,413,500			\$92,616,447
13	City of Key West	Monroe	Harris Avenue and 10th Street Stormwater Infrastructure Improvements Project	\$8,021,368	50%	\$4,010,684			\$96,627,131
14	Broward County	Broward	Southeast 3rd Avenue/South Andrews Avenue Stormwater Resilience Project	\$15,000,000	50%	\$3,133,489			\$99,760,620
14	City of Newberry	Alachua	Lift Station 10 Resiliency Project	\$1,145,922	50%	\$239,380			\$100,000,000
Total for FY 2026-27 Statewide Plan Year 1						\$100,000,000			
-	South Florida Water Management District	Miami-Dade	Additional funding for previous multiyear project — 26SRP08 C-9 Basin Resiliency	\$42,200,000	50%		\$5,807,500		\$105,807,500
-	South Florida Water Management District	Miami-Dade	Additional funding for previous multiyear project — 26SRP12 C-7 Basin Resiliency	\$50,000,000	50%		\$10,720,000		\$116,527,500
-	Broward County	Broward	Southeast 3rd Avenue/South Andrews Avenue Stormwater Resilience Project	\$15,000,000	50%		\$4,366,511		\$120,894,011
-	City of Newberry	Alachua	Lift Station 10 Resiliency Project	\$1,145,922	50%		\$333,581		\$121,227,592
16	City of Pensacola	Escambia	Main Street Adaptation and Natural Attenuation	\$1,800,000	50%		\$900,000		\$122,127,592
17	City of Vero Beach	Indian River	Water Reclamation Facility Relocation	\$136,342,843	82%		\$25,000,000		\$147,127,592
18	City of Callaway	Bay	Berthe Spillway Resilience	\$2,886,558	50%		\$1,443,279		\$148,570,871
19	City of Bradenton	Manatee	Lift Station 08 Relocation	\$9,000,000	78%		\$2,000,000		\$150,570,871
19	Miami-Dade County	Miami-Dade	Stormwater Local Drainage Improvement Project for Northeast 15 Street between Biscayne Boulevard and Bayshore Drive	\$600,000	50%		\$300,000		\$150,870,871
19	Miami-Dade County	Miami-Dade	Stormwater Local Drainage Improvement Project for Grand Avenue from Brooker Street to Jefferson Street	\$450,000	50%		\$225,000		\$151,095,871
22	City of Daytona Beach	Volusia	Beach Lift Station 62 Replacement	\$12,800,000	70%		\$3,840,000		\$154,935,871
23	City of Marathon	Monroe	Effluent Disposal Infrastructure Hardening and Mitigation Initiative	\$18,478,214	50%		\$9,239,107		\$164,174,978
24	North Bay Village	Miami-Dade	North Bay Village Treasure Island Stormwater Improvement Project Phase 1 *	\$15,000,000	0%		\$15,000,000		\$179,174,978
24	Miami-Dade County	Miami-Dade	Stormwater Local Drainage Improvement Project Southwest 127 Avenue to Southwest 132 Avenue, from Southwest 42 Terrace to Southwest 53 Street	\$2,500,000	50%		\$1,250,000		\$180,424,978
26	Orange County	Orange	Bulova Pond Drainage Improvements Project	\$844,386	50%		\$422,193		\$180,847,171
27	Monroe County	Monroe	Flagler Avenue Roadway Adaptation and Stormwater Natural Attenuation	\$76,444,037	71%		\$15,378,915		\$196,226,086
27	City of Key West	Monroe	Fire Rescue Station 3 Rebuild and Emergency Operations Center for Flood and Storm Resilience	\$26,682,138	50%		\$3,773,914		\$200,000,000

Florida Department of Environmental Protection
Statewide Flooding and Sea Level Rise Resilience Plan | Fiscal Year 2026-2027 | Report

			Total for FY 2026-27 Statewide Plan Year 2			\$100,000,000		
-	South Florida Water Management District	Miami-Dade	Additional funding for previous multiyear project — 26SRP08 C-9 Basin Resiliency	\$42,200,000	50%		\$5,807,500	\$205,807,500
-	South Florida Water Management District	Miami-Dade	Additional funding for previous multiyear project — 26SRP12 C-7 Basin Resiliency	\$50,000,000	50%		\$10,720,000	\$216,527,500
-	Monroe County	Monroe	Flagler Avenue Roadway Adaptation and Stormwater Natural Attenuation	\$76,444,037	71%		\$6,671,085	\$223,198,585
-	City of Key West	Monroe	Fire Rescue Station 3 Rebuild and Emergency Operations Center for Flood and Storm Resilience	\$26,682,138	50%		\$9,567,155	\$232,765,740
29	City of Destin	Okaloosa	Four Prong Lake and Scenic Highway 98 Flood Resilience Project	\$4,178,900	50%		\$2,089,450	\$234,855,190
29	Town of Jupiter	Palm Beach	Sawfish Bay Park Resilient Shoreline	\$2,040,000	50%		\$1,020,000	\$235,875,190
31	St. Lucie County	St. Lucie	Indian River Drive South Resiliency Project Phase 1	\$1,515,000	61%		\$590,000	\$236,465,190
32	Lee County Utilities	Lee	Moss Marina Booster Pump Station Relocation	\$14,000,000	50%		\$7,000,000	\$243,465,190
33	Palm Beach County Water Utilities	Palm Beach	Water Treatment Plant 8 Upgrades Design Build	\$50,000,000	50%		\$25,000,000	\$268,465,190
33	Palm Beach County Water Utilities	Palm Beach	Water Treatment Plant 2 Upgrades	\$50,000,000	50%		\$25,000,000	\$293,465,190
35	City of Fort Myers	Lee	Wellfield Expansion, Redundancy and Flood-Proofing	\$30,000,000	50%		\$6,534,810	\$300,000,000
			Total for FY 2026-27 Statewide Plan Year 3				\$100,000,000	
-	City of Fort Myers	Lee	Wellfield Expansion, Redundancy and Flood-Proofing	\$30,000,000	50%		\$8,465,190	\$308,465,190
35	Bal Harbour Village	Miami-Dade	Wastewater Lift Station Rehabilitation and Resiliency Improvements	\$1,250,000	50%		\$625,000	\$309,090,190
37	Town of Manalapan	Palm Beach	Wastewater Collection and Transmission System — Phase 2 (A1A Pump Station)	\$1,500,000	50%		\$750,000	\$309,840,190
38	City of Dania Beach	Broward	Northwest 2nd Street Drainage Improvement Project	\$38,000,000	50%		\$19,000,000	\$328,840,190
39	Bal Harbour Village	Miami-Dade	Police Station Relocation and Emergency Operations Center Hardening	\$13,200,000	50%		\$6,600,000	\$335,440,190
39	City of Bradenton	Manatee	East Drainage Project	\$6,120,000	50%		\$3,060,000	\$338,500,190
39	City of Pensacola	Escambia	R Street Adaptation and Resiliency	\$1,500,000	50%		\$750,000	\$339,250,190
42	City of Dania Beach	Broward	Southeast Dania Beach Drainage Improvements Phase II Stormwater and Flood Resilience Project	\$18,000,000	50%		\$9,000,000	\$348,250,190
42	City of Bradenton	Manatee	Southwest Ward 5 Drainage Improvements	\$2,550,000	22%		\$2,000,000	\$350,250,190
44	City of Tarpon Springs	Pinellas	Wastewater Treatment Facility Electrical Resiliency Project, Phase 2	\$1,690,250	50%		\$845,125	\$351,095,315
45	Town of Surfside	Miami-Dade	Stormwater Outfall Resilience Project	\$1,300,000	50%		\$650,000	\$351,745,315
46	Town of Surfside	Miami-Dade	Lift Station 1 Resilience and Capacity Upgrade Project	\$2,000,000	50%		\$1,000,000	\$352,745,315
46	Jackson County	Jackson	Steele Field Lift Station Elevation and Drainage Project *	\$636,000	0%		\$636,000	\$353,381,315
46	Town of Palm Beach	Palm Beach	North Lake Way Living Shoreline	\$525,000	50%		\$262,500	\$353,643,815
49	City of West Palm Beach	Palm Beach	Stormwater Underground Hardening	\$5,000,000	50%		\$2,500,000	\$356,143,815
50	Liberty County	Liberty	Estifanulga Community — Emergency Stabilization, Stormwater Retrofit and Shoreline Restoration along the Apalachicola River *	\$11,710,200	0%		\$11,710,200	\$367,854,015
50	City of Holmes Beach	Manatee	Gulf Drive Sea Level Rise Mitigation — Phase 2	\$3,760,000	50%		\$1,880,000	\$369,734,015
52	City of West Palm Beach	Palm Beach	Flagler Drive Underground Hardening Phase 3	\$4,000,000	50%		\$2,000,000	\$371,734,015
53	City of Hollywood	Broward	Wastewater Treatment Plant Electrical Building Elevation	\$13,800,000	50%		\$6,900,000	\$378,634,015
53	City of Hollywood	Broward	Wastewater Treatment Plant Pump Station	\$12,200,000	50%		\$6,100,000	\$384,734,015
55	City of Hallandale Beach	Broward	Northeast Quadrant Injection Well Systems	\$21,457,144	50%		\$10,728,572	\$395,462,587
56	St. Johns County	St. Johns	Santa Rosa Drainage Improvements Phase 2	\$1,993,338	50%		\$996,669	\$396,459,256
56	City of South Miami	Miami-Dade	Broad Canal Culvert Replacement	\$500,000	50%		\$250,000	\$396,709,256
58	City of Clearwater	Pinellas	Elevate Bayshore Boulevard, Flood Risk Reduction	\$14,875,000	43%		\$8,500,000	\$405,209,256
58	City of Wauchula	Hardee	Stormwater Improvements and Erosion Control *	\$1,410,000	0%		\$1,410,000	\$406,619,256
60	City of St. Petersburg	Pinellas	Hardening of the Lift Station 85 Operations Center	\$15,000,000	50%		\$7,500,000	\$414,119,256
60	Town of Manalapan	Palm Beach	Wastewater Collection and Transmission System — Phase 3 (Septic to Sewer)	\$6,732,000	50%		\$3,366,000	\$417,485,256
60	Village of Islands Islamorada	Monroe	Sea Oats Beach/U.S. 1 Overseas Highway Breakwater/Living Shoreline Project	\$3,200,000	50%		\$1,600,000	\$419,085,256
60	Palm Beach County	Palm Beach	Peanut Island Shoreline Protection Project	\$1,200,000	50%		\$600,000	\$419,685,256
64	Escambia County	Escambia	Bayou Grande NAS Pensacola Living Shoreline Resiliency Project	\$3,000,000	50%		\$1,500,000	\$421,185,256
64	City of Wildwood	Sumter	Gray's Addition Flood Resiliency	\$2,648,200	50%		\$1,324,100	\$422,509,356

Florida Department of Environmental Protection
Statewide Flooding and Sea Level Rise Resilience Plan | Fiscal Year 2026-2027 | Report

66	City of Jacksonville	Duval	Huguenot Memorial Park Flood Risk Reduction and Resilient Infrastructure Relocation Project	\$6,000,000	50%			\$3,000,000	\$425,509,356
66	City of Chipley	Washington	Gilbert Acres Stormwater Improvements *	\$2,771,000	0%			\$2,771,000	\$428,280,356
66	Martin County BOCC	Martin	Bird Island Critical Wildlife Area Shoreline Protection and Mangrove Restoration Resiliency Project	\$1,000,000	50%			\$500,000	\$428,780,356
69	City of Hallandale Beach	Broward	Northwest/Southwest/Northeast Storm Water Drainage Improvements Phase 1	\$14,791,960	50%			\$7,395,980	\$436,176,336
69	City of Hollywood	Broward	Rainbow Drive Flood Resiliency	\$1,000,000	50%			\$500,000	\$436,676,336
71	City of Clearwater	Pinellas	Elevating and Hardening Traffic Signal Cabinets for Hurricane, Flooding and Sea-Level Rise Impacts in Clearwater, FL	\$2,727,328	50%			\$1,363,664	\$438,040,000
72	City of LaBelle	Hendry	Wastewater Treatment Plant Relocation Project *	\$19,250,000	0%			\$19,250,000	\$457,290,000
73	City of West Palm Beach	Palm Beach	Lift Station 26 Improvements	\$4,000,000	50%			\$2,000,000	\$459,290,000
73	City of Satellite Beach	Brevard	Desoto and South Basin Smart Surface Water and Flood Management System	\$1,315,600	50%			\$657,800	\$459,947,800
75	Miami-Dade County	Miami-Dade	Pelican Harbor Marina Seawall Replacement	\$2,738,392	50%			\$1,369,196	\$461,316,996
76	City of Flagler Beach	Flagler	South Flagler Avenue (State Road 100 to South 7th St) Stormwater and Resiliency Upgrades	\$3,150,000	50%			\$1,575,000	\$462,891,996
76	City of Dunedin	Pinellas	San Charles Drive Drainage Improvements	\$300,000	50%			\$150,000	\$463,041,996
78	City of Bradenton	Manatee	Lift Station 14 Relocation	\$17,728,500	50%			\$8,864,250	\$471,906,246
78	City of Miami Beach	Miami-Dade	Monument Island Living Shoreline Construction	\$1,680,000	50%			\$840,000	\$472,746,246
80	City of St. Petersburg	Pinellas	Northeast Water Reclamation Facility Operations and Maintenance Building Hardening and Relocation	\$19,000,000	50%			\$9,500,000	\$482,246,246
80	Town of Davie	Broward	New River Estates Drainage Improvements	\$4,800,000	50%			\$2,400,000	\$484,646,246
82	South Florida Water Management District	Palm Beach	South Florida Water Management District (SFWMD), STA-1W Structure Refurbishments and Enhancement	\$58,419,040	50%			\$29,248,087	\$513,894,333
83	Town of Gulf Stream	Palm Beach	Core Area Improvements	\$12,936,320	50%			\$6,468,160	\$520,362,493
83	City of Miami Beach	Miami-Dade	Nautilus North F Critical Need Flood Mitigation Project	\$4,640,000	50%			\$2,320,000	\$522,682,493
83	City of DeBary	Volusia	Eastern Emergency Stormwater Management	\$856,915	50%			\$428,458	\$523,110,951
86	South Florida Water Management District	Hendry	SFWMD, G150, G151W, G136 Replacement and Automation	\$41,480,320	50%			\$20,740,160	\$543,851,111
86	City of St. Petersburg	Pinellas	Shore Acres Denver Street Stormwater Drainage Improvements	\$8,250,212	50%			\$4,125,106	\$547,976,217
88	City of Lake Helen	Volusia	Lakes Helen and Harlan Conveyance to Deep Creek *	\$10,593,000	0%			\$10,593,000	\$558,569,217
89	City of North Lauderdale	Broward	Silver Lakes Drainage Improvements	\$6,420,000	69%			\$2,000,000	\$560,569,217
90	City of Sebastian	Indian River	Indian River Drive Outfall	\$1,712,080	50%			\$856,040	\$561,425,257
91	South Florida Water Management District	Hendry	SFWMD, STA and C&SF Control Panel Upgrades	\$7,140,000	50%			\$3,570,000	\$564,995,257
92	City of Fort Lauderdale	Broward	Southeast Isles Tidal and Stormwater Improvements	\$64,940,938	50%			\$32,470,469	\$597,465,726
92	City of Jacksonville	Duval	Hopkins Creek Regional Stormwater Improvements Project	\$6,000,000	50%			\$3,000,000	\$600,465,726
94	City of Jacksonville	Duval	Northbank Bulkhead Resilience Improvements and Flood Protection Project	\$22,000,000	50%			\$11,000,000	\$611,465,726
95	South Florida Water Management District	St. Lucie	SFWMD, S-49 Coastal Structure Replacement	\$67,566,133	50%			\$33,668,371	\$645,134,097
96	City of Starke	Bradford	Lift Station Improvements *	\$5,096,550	0%			\$5,096,550	\$650,230,647
96	City of Mascotte	Lake	Knight Street Public Works Facility Relocation Project *	\$480,000	0%			\$480,000	\$650,710,647
98	City of Port Orange	Volusia	Sugar Forest Drainage Improvements	\$17,500,000	75%			\$4,300,000	\$655,010,647
98	City of Wilton Manors	Broward	Lift Station Resiliency	\$7,588,071	57%			\$3,300,000	\$658,310,647
98	City of Fernandina Beach	Nassau	Historic Downtown Partial Segment 4 Sea Wall Construction Project	\$3,000,000	50%			\$1,500,000	\$659,810,647
101	Orange County	Orange	Reams Road and Drainage Improvements	\$60,200,000	75%			\$15,050,000	\$674,860,647
102	City of Jacksonville	Duval	Metropolitan Park Shoreline Protection and Wetland Restoration Project	\$10,000,000	50%			\$5,000,000	\$679,860,647
102	City of Tampa	Hillsborough	Golfview Estates Flooding Relief	\$8,000,000	50%			\$4,000,000	\$683,860,647
104	City of North Lauderdale	Broward	Culvert Enhancement	\$3,946,000	50%			\$1,973,000	\$685,833,647
104	Broward County	Broward	Stormwater Improvements to SR-A1A	\$944,242	50%			\$472,121	\$686,305,768
106	Bradford County	Bradford	Sampson Lake Control Structure (Three-Pipes) *	\$953,150	0%			\$953,150	\$687,258,918
106	City of North Lauderdale	Broward	Stormwater Conveyance Hardening	\$1,500,000	50%			\$750,000	\$688,008,918
108	City of Green Cove Springs	Clay	Spring Park Bulkhead Project	\$1,200,000	50%			\$600,000	\$688,608,918
109	City of Sebring	Highlands	West Lake Jackson Stormwater Improvement Project	\$22,000,000	50%			\$11,000,000	\$699,608,918

110	City of Parker	Bay	East Bay Sewer Relocation Project *	\$3,922,380	9%			\$3,565,800	\$703,174,718
111	Town of Davie	Broward	Shenandoah Drainage Improvements and Stormwater Master Pump Station	\$4,000,000	50%			\$2,000,000	\$705,174,718
111	Town of Malone	Jackson	10th Avenue Drainage Project *	\$251,020	0%			\$251,020	\$705,425,738
113	South Florida Water Management District	Orange	FWMD, Water Control Structures Gate Hoist Conversion	\$2,733,122	50%			\$1,366,561	\$706,792,299
114	City of North Lauderdale	Broward	Lift Station Upgrades	\$3,400,000	50%			\$1,700,000	\$708,492,299
115	City of Weston	Broward	Savanna Drainage Basin Flood Mitigation and Resiliency	\$1,525,000	50%			\$762,500	\$709,254,799
116	Escambia County	Escambia	Olive Road Drainage	\$16,767,282	50%			\$8,383,641	\$717,638,440
117	Alachua County	Alachua	9218 Southwest 12th Avenue-Blanchard property acquisition and drainage improvements	\$631,900	50%			\$315,950	\$717,954,390
118	Brevard County	Brevard	West Cocoa Stormwater Flood Protection and Infrastructure Upgrades	\$17,000,000	50%			\$8,500,000	\$726,454,390
119	City of Live Oak	Suwannee	Stormwater Improvements *	\$56,500,000	0%			\$56,500,000	\$782,954,390
119	Town of Oakland	Orange	Centennial Park Stormwater Facility	\$1,756,250	50%			\$878,125	\$783,832,515
121	City of Winter Park	Orange	Central Basin Flood Mitigation: Outfall Connections and Drain Well Replacement	\$6,880,450	50%			\$3,440,225	\$787,272,740
122	City of Sunrise	Broward	Northwest 50th Street Drainage Improvements	\$4,000,000	50%			\$2,000,000	\$789,272,740
123	City of Belleair Beach	Pinellas	22nd Street Bridge Rehabilitation	\$900,000	50%			\$450,000	\$789,722,740
Total Project Cost:				\$1,596,087,954					

* Proposed project that is not required to provide a 50% match under 380.093(5)(e), F.S.

Appendix A: Project Proposal Application Form

DEP’s Resilient Florida Program application portal opened for drafting proposals on April 1, 2025. To apply, applicants established an account and were able to begin the application, save it in draft form and return later and complete their application with any necessary uploads all through the online portal. The application portal was opened for submittal of proposals from July 7–Sept. 1, 2025. DEP looks forward to improving users’ experiences in the future.

The proposals were submitted via online form, recreated below. Items with a red asterisk are required, and italicized text denotes informational text seen by scrolling over an “i” icon in the application portal.

Applicant Information

Applicant Account: [search box for registered accounts]

Applicant Grant Manager: [search box for contacts]

Applicant Authorized Signee: [search box for contacts]

Applicant Fiscal Agent: [search box for contacts]

Project Information

Choose the Entity Category (Refer to 380.093(5), F.S., for more information): [drop down menu]

- County, municipality or authorized special district addressing risks of flooding or sea level rise identified in a vulnerability assessment.
- Eligible entity mitigating risks of flooding or sea level rise on water supply or water resources of the State.

Choose the project type you are submitting (Resilient Florida Program Project Type): [pick list and can select more than one]

- Coastal flood control (grey).
- Cultural or natural resources.
- Domestic wastewater infrastructure.
 - Drinking water infrastructure.
 - Emergency facilities.
 - Land acquisition and conservation.
 - Living shoreline and erosion control.

- Natural system restoration.
- Stormwater infrastructure.
- Transportation and evacuation.
- Utilities infrastructure.
- Wastewater infrastructure.

*Project Title (This should start with Entity Name and be a brief description of the project. Limited to 20 words.): [text box]

Primary Metric Assigned (Metric should be directly related to Grant Project Type.) [pick list]

- Acres of land acquired and/or restored.
- Linear feet of conveyance canal/ditch added/restored.
- Linear feet of pipe added.
- Linear feet of pipe upgraded/repared.
- Linear feet of road added.
- Linear feet of road elevated/protected.
- Linear feet of swale added/upgraded/repared.
- Linear or square feet of green infrastructure added or improved.
- Linear or square feet of grey infrastructure added or improved.
- Number of buildings protected.
- Number of inlets added and/or replaced/upgraded.
- Number of lift stations added/upgraded.
- Number of manholes upgraded.
- Number of outfalls added and/or replaced/upgraded.
- Number of pumps/pump equipment replaced and/or added.
- Number of septic systems converted to sewer.
- Number of septic systems upgraded.
- Number of tidal valves added and/or replaced/upgraded.
- Square feet of building protected.
- Volume of water storage capacity added.
- Volumetric flow rate of lift station capacity added/protected.

- Volumetric flow rate of pump station capacity added/protected.

Primary Metric Value Units [pick list]

- Acres.
- Gallons.
- Gallons per Minute.
- Linear Feet.
- Millions of Gallons.
- Millions of Gallons per Day.
- None.
- Square Feet.

Primary Metric Value (*Estimated upon project completion.*): [value]

Secondary Metric Assigned [pick list identical to Primary Metric Assigned]

Secondary Metric Value Unites [pick list identical to Primary Metric Value Units]

Secondar Metric Value: [value]

Flood Mitigation Asset (Assets included in the State VA or a Local VA should have a unique Asset Identification. If one is not available, please list the VA in which it was deemed vulnerable.): [text box]

Project Critical Assets (If applicable, explain how the proposed project adapts critical assets to the effects of flooding and sea level rise as defined in s. 380.093, F.S.) [text box]

List the City(ies)/Town(s)/Village(s) (List all city(ies)/town(s)/village(s) where work is to be performed): [text box]

Project Geo Location (Latitude and Longitude of the Primary Asset identified. Preferably, utilize the geolocation of the polygon, line or point as provided in the GIS data of the Vulnerability Assessment.):

- Latitude: [text box]
- Longitude: [text box]

Project Geo Location Narrative (Neighborhood, part of town, intersection, etc.): [text box]

***Project Location Address** (This should be a simple statement of the location of your project. It may include lat/long. However, because that level of detail becomes part of the contract you should verify your lat/long using GIS or Google. Upload a map if possible.): [text box]

Will any of the work to be performed or fall on state lands? (State Lands or State Parks Utilized): [drop down menu]

- Yes
- No

Sponsor City/County (If applicable. If the applicant is the sponsor, leave blank.): [pick list of Florida counties]

Total Grant Funding Amount Requested: [value]

Total Grant Match Amount: [value]

Background

Project Description (This should be a concise summary of ONLY the work being done in this project. It may explain the broader issue that the project addresses and the phases (i.e. design, permitting, construction or just construction) of this work. Do NOT include individual tasks, deliverables or work not to be addressed in this project. Limited to 3000 characters.) [text box]

Project Scoring Criteria

Tier 1 Criteria Information

*Does the project reduce risk of flooding or sea level rise identified in a comprehensive vulnerability assessment or the comprehensive statewide flood vulnerability and sea level rise assessment? [drop down menu]

☐ Yes

☐ No

*Please indicate the type of vulnerability assessment used for selecting this asset: (Until Sept. 1, 2023, applicants without a comprehensive vulnerability assessment shall receive points based on risks posed by flooding or sea level rise identified an assessment, report, evaluation or other documentation of risk that addresses flooding or sea level rise.): [drop down menu]

Drop Down Option

None	N/A
Local Vulnerability Assessment	*Indicate the name/title of the asset for this project and/or Resilient Florida Grant number in which this asset was identified as vulnerable. If the assessment was not completed through a Resilient Florida grant, provide this document in the “Upload” section of this application: [text box]
Statewide Vulnerability Assessment	*Provide the unique identifier value of the specific asset (ex.: Asset ID, Object ID, Feature ID, etc.) as shown in the Statewide Critical Asset Database. [text box]
Both	*Provide the unique identifier value of the specific asset (ex.: Asset ID, Object ID, Feature ID, etc.) as shown in the Statewide Critical Asset Database. [text box]

*Does the project reduce risk of compound flooding identified in a vulnerability assessment or the comprehensive statewide flood vulnerability and sea level rise assessment? [drop down menu]

☐ Yes

☐ No

*If yes, please explain: (Until Sept. 1, 2023, applicants without a comprehensive vulnerability assessment shall receive points based on risks posed by flooding or sea level rise identified an

assessment, report, evaluation or other documentation of risk that addresses flooding or sea level rise.). [text box]

*Does the project reduce risk to or adapt a regionally significant asset? This can include relocation. [drop down menu]

☐ Yes

☐ No

*Regional Significance: Please list all jurisdictions the critical asset supports (380.093 F.S.): [dual-list box]

*List the geopolitical jurisdictions and provide an explanation of how the project addresses a regionally significant asset. [text box]

*What percentage of critical assets in the project impact area considered to be vulnerable? ("Project impact area" means the discrete area the project encompasses as well as the delineated area that will be directly benefitted by a mitigation project (62S-8.002(13) F.A.C.). Include the asset names and IDs of the critical assets included in this list.): [drop down menu]

Drop Down Option	
None	N/A
At least one but less than 20%	*Please describe the method used to determine the percent selected as well as provide a list of critical assets in the project impact area. (Vulnerable critical assets are those at risk of flooding based on applicable scenarios and standards outlined in paragraph 380.093(3)(d), F.S. [text box]
20% or more but less than 40%	*Please describe the method used to determine the percent selected as well as provide a list of critical assets in the project impact area. (Vulnerable critical assets are those at risk of flooding based on applicable scenarios and standards outlined in paragraph 380.093(3)(d), F.S. [text box]
40% or more but less than 60%	*Please describe the method used to determine the percent selected as well as provide a list of critical assets in the project impact area. (Vulnerable critical assets are those at risk of flooding based on applicable scenarios and standards outlined in paragraph 380.093(3)(d), F.S. [text box]
60% or more but less than 80%	*Please describe the method used to determine the percent selected as well as provide a list of critical assets in the project impact area. (Vulnerable critical assets are those at risk of flooding based on applicable scenarios and standards outlined in paragraph 380.093(3)(d), F.S. [text box]
80% or more	*Please describe the method used to determine the percent selected as well as provide a list of critical assets in the project impact area. (Vulnerable critical assets are those at risk of flooding based on applicable scenarios and standards outlined in paragraph 380.093(3)(d), F.S. [text box]

*Does the project contribute to existing flood mitigation projects that reduce upland flood damage cost by incorporating new or enhanced structure or natural system restoration and revegetation? [drop down menu]

- ☐ No.
- ☐ Yes, by incorporating new or enhanced structure.
- ☐ Yes, by incorporating natural system restoration and revegetation.
- ☐ Yes, by incorporating BOTH new or enhanced structure AND natural system restoration and revegetation.

*If yes, please explain. [text box]

*Are you a CRS participating community and verifiable on the most recent CRS Eligible Community list available on <https://www.fema.gov/floodplain-management/community-rating-system>? [drop down menu]

- ☐ Yes
- ☐ No

*Does this project reduce flood risk in a manner that increases the credits awarded to the community in the NFIP Community Rating System? [drop down menu]

Drop Down Option

Yes

*How many element(s) in the CRS will receive more credit? [drop down menu {0/1/2/3/4 or more}]

AND

*Explain how the CRS credits would be affected as a result of this project. A proper explanation will include references to the creditable activities or elements that would be awarded more points as a result of this project. Please use the uploads section to attach additional documentation if applicable. [text box]

No

N/A

Unsure

N/A

Tier 2 Criteria Information

*What is the current frequency of flooding or erosion in the project impact area? [drop down menu]

Drop Down Option

None	N/A
No current flooding or erosion	N/A
Has experienced flooding or erosion in the last three years.	*If the area has been flooded in the last three years, has flooded three times in five years or is experiencing ongoing erosion, please explain. If the area has flooded three times in five years or is experiencing ongoing erosion, please provide documentation in order to receive full points (If area has been flooded three times in five years or is experiencing ongoing erosion, supporting documentation must be submitted with the application). [text box]
Has been flooded at least three times in the last five years or is experiencing ongoing erosion.	*If the area has been flooded in the last three years, has flooded three times in five years or is experiencing ongoing erosion, please explain. If the area has flooded three times in five years or is experiencing ongoing erosion, please provide documentation in order to receive full points (If area has been flooded three times in five years or is experiencing ongoing erosion, supporting documentation must be submitted with the application). [text box]

*What is the current severity of flooding or erosion in the project impact area? [drop down menu]

Drop Down Options

None	N/A
No current flooding or erosion	N/A
Flooding greater than 3 inches in last three years or has ever experienced unmitigated erosion.	*Please explain the extent or event(s) of the flooding or unmitigated erosion and provide documentation (If area has been flooded greater than 1 foot in the current and each of the previous three calendar years, been flooded for seven consecutive days or erosion is critical for the critical asset class, supporting documentation must be submitted with the application). [text box]
Flooded greater than one foot within the last five years, flooded between 3 inches and 1 foot in the current year and in each of the last three years, has been flooded for at least	*If the project impact area has been flooded greater than 1 foot in the current and each of the previous three calendar years, has been flooded for seven consecutive days or erosion is critical for the critical asset class, please explain and provide documentation (If area has been flooded greater than 1 foot in the current and each of the previous three calendar years, been flooded for seven consecutive days or erosion is critical for the critical asset class,

seven consecutive days supporting documentation must be submitted with the application).
or erosion is critical for [text box]
the critical asset class.

*Status of project design (To receive points for a completed design, plans properly certified by a professional in the relevant field must be submitted with the application.) [drop down menu]

Drop Down Option

Not designed	N/A
Partially designed or site-specific environmental or geotechnical reports have been completed	*If yes, please explain. [text box]
Design is complete – see “i” icon for more information	*If yes, please explain. [text box]

*Permitting and easement acquisition status. If applicable, please provide a list of necessary permits/easements and application statuses. [drop down menu]

Drop Down Option

None	N/A
Permits and easements are required, but have not been identified	*If applicable, please provide a list of necessary permits/easements and application statuses. [text box]
Necessary permits and easements have been identified	*If applicable, please provide a list of necessary permits/easements and application statuses. [text box]
All permits have been applied for or at least one permit has been approved	*If applicable, please provide a list of necessary permits/easements and application statuses. [text box]
All necessary permit(s) and easement(s) have been authorized/obtained	*If applicable, please provide a list of necessary permits/easements and application statuses. [text box]
No permits or easements are required for the project	N/A

*Are local funding sources committed as cost share or is the project in a community eligible for reduced cost share as defined in 380.093(5)(e), F.S.? [drop down menu]

- Yes ○ No

*If yes, please explain and provide documentation (Communities Eligible for Reduced Cost share is defined in s. 380.093(5)(e)1-3, F.S., as a municipality that has a population of 10,000 or fewer or a county with a population of 50,000 or fewer AND a per capita income that is less than the states’ per capita income OR a per capita income that is equal to or less than 75% of the state’s per capita income). [text box]

*Does the project include environmental habitat enhancement or nature-based solutions? [drop down menu]

- ☐ Yes ☐ No

*If yes, please explain. [text box]

*Does the project impact area include area that is identified as state or federal critical habitat for threatened and endangered species? If yes, please explain. [drop down menu]

- ☐ Yes ☐ No

*If yes, please explain. [text box]

*Is the project cost-effective? If yes, please explain. [drop down menu]

- ☐ Yes ☐ No

*If yes, please explain. [text box]

Tier 3 Criteria Information

*Is 50% local, state or federal cost share secured for the project? (Communities Eligible for Reduced Cost share is defined in s. 380.093(5)(e)1-3, F.S., as a municipality that has a population of 10,000 or fewer or a county with a population of 50,000 or fewer AND a per capita income that is less than the states' per capita income OR a per capita income that is equal to or less than 75% of the state's per capita income). [drop down menu]

Drop Down Options

No, and the project is not a community eligible for reduced cost share N/A

Yes (cost share has been identified, but not fully secured, appropriated or released) *If 50% cost share has been secured, please provide documentation with the application. [text box]

Yes (cost share has been fully secured, appropriated or released) *If 50% cost share has been secured, please provide documentation with the application. [text box]

The project is in a community eligible for reduced cost share and cost share is not required. N/A

*Has state funding previously been awarded for the project? If so, select all project phases. [dual-list box]

- ☐ None.

- Preconstruction activities (defined in s. 380.093(2)(d), F.S.) other than design and permitting.
- Design.
- Permitting.
- Construction (previous phases).

*If previous state funding was awarded, please explain and provide sufficient information for DEP to verify funds (Pre-construction activities are defined in s. 380.093(2)(d), F.S.).
[text box]

*Will this project exceed Florida Building Code flood-resistant requirements and local floodplain management regulations? [drop down menu]

Drop Down Options	
No	N/A
Yes	*If yes, please outline the specific requirements and details relating to how the design exceeds the criteria in order to receive full points. [text box]
These regulations do not apply to the project	N/A

Tier 4 Criteria Information

*Does this project include innovative technologies designed to reduce project costs and provide regional collaboration? [drop down menu]

- Yes
- No

*If yes, please specify which technologies will be used and explain why they are innovative as well as how they will reduce cost and provide regional collaboration (For this criterion, “innovative” means an emerging technology or a proven technology used in a unique way to adapt one or more critical assets to the effects of flooding or sea level rise). [text box]

*Does the critical asset being adapted or the project impact area contain a community eligible for reduced cost share? [drop down menu]

- Yes
- No

*If yes, please include the metric used to determine eligibility, pursuant to s. 380.093(5)(e) F.S. [text box]

Additional Information

Will this project benefit a spring? If yes, please explain. [drop down menu]

- ☐ Yes ☐ No

If yes, please explain. [text box]

Will this project protect water sources using alternative water supplies? If yes, please explain. [drop down menu]

- ☐ Yes ☐ No

If yes, please explain. [text box]

Will this project construct, upgrade or expand facilities to provide waste treatment? If yes, please explain. [drop down menu]

- ☐ Yes ☐ No

If yes, please explain. [text box]

Will this project convert septic to sewer? If yes, please explain. [drop down menu]

- ☐ Yes ☐ No

If yes, please explain. [text box]

Does this project include green stormwater infrastructure? If yes, please explain. [drop down menu]

- ☐ Yes ☐ No

If yes, please explain. [text box]

Has this project been submitted to other state or federal programs for funding? If yes, please explain and include the program names and project phases that were requested and/or awarded. [drop down menu]

- ☐ Yes ☐ No

If yes, please explain and include the program names and project phases that were requested and/or awarded. [text box]

Multiagency Information

The following information is for data collection purposes only and do not correlate with any of the project evaluation criteria.

Anticipated Start Date [select date]

Anticipated End Date [select date]

Estimated Project Duration: [drop down menu]

- | | |
|-----------------------------------|----------------------------------|
| <input type="radio"/> Six months. | <input type="radio"/> 30 months. |
| <input type="radio"/> 12 months. | <input type="radio"/> 36 months. |
| <input type="radio"/> 18 months. | <input type="radio"/> 42 months. |
| <input type="radio"/> 24 months. | <input type="radio"/> 48 months. |

Primary Project Type: [pick list]

- ☐ Planning or Capacity and Capability Building Activities.
- ☐ Preconstruction Activities, including design and permitting.
- ☐ Project Implementation.

Permitting (Brief description of expected permit determinations necessary for project completion or relevant permit information once permitted.): [text box]

PWS Identification # (Public Water System Identification Number, if applicable.): [text box]

National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System (NPDES) Permit # (if applicable.): [text box]

Lands, Easements, Rights of Way (Brief description of acquisitions or permissions necessary for project completion or relevant information once required.): [text box]

Critical Infrastructure Confidential? (Select 'yes' if this project includes critical infrastructure that is confidential or should be redacted from public records searches.): [drop down menu]

- | | |
|---------------------------|--------------------------|
| <input type="radio"/> Yes | <input type="radio"/> No |
|---------------------------|--------------------------|

Project located in a Coastal Zone? [drop down menu]

- | | |
|---------------------------|--------------------------|
| <input type="radio"/> Yes | <input type="radio"/> No |
|---------------------------|--------------------------|

SLIP Study Required? [drop down menu]

- | | |
|--|--------------------------|
| <input type="radio"/> Yes (if available, upload SLIP study report) | <input type="radio"/> No |
|--|--------------------------|

Source of Match: [drop down menu]

- Local funds.
- State funds.
- Federal funds.
- Multiple sources of funds.

Match Funding Source Description (Program utilized or local funding mechanism.): [text box]

Current Project Phase: [drop down menu]

- Planning.
- Pre-construction (design, permitting, etc.)
- Construction Ready.
- Construction Underway.

Project Work Plan

Project Summary (Provide a brief synopsis of the project. Limited to 75 words.): [text box]

Project Description (This should be a concise summary of ONLY the work being done in this project. It may explain the broader issue that the project addresses, and the phases (i.e. design, permitting, construction or just construction) of this work. Do NOT include individual tasks, deliverables or work not to be addressed in this project. (Limited to 3000 characters.): [text box])

Uploads

Please make sure uploaded documents are named appropriately, suggested types of categories are listed below. Avoid using scanner assigned file names. You may also add your community such as 'community_filename.'

Upload the following supporting documents:

- *Map of project area (map must have a minimum scale of 1" = 200' and include a compass rose and legend).
- Vulnerability Assessment Report or other local study or report.
- Final design and permitting documents (if applicable).
- Match or additional cost-share documents (if applicable).
- Draft or signed resolution or letter of support from local governing board.
- Subcontractor or other local or regional partnership agreements (if applicable).
- Optional: Applicant W-9.
- Optional: Applicant Certificate of Insurance.

If you are attempting to upload an unsupported file type, please place the file in a ZIP compressed folder before uploading.

Task

Input task information below.

At least one task is required to submit application.

Please select “Create New Project Task” to save the record. Once a task is created, it will be added to the table above. To add additional tasks, update the details below and select “Create New Project Task.”

To edit a task after creation, you must delete the entry and create a new task to replace it with the correct details. To delete, select the arrow on the table next to the associated task and select “delete.” Then enter the correct task information below and select “Create New Task.”

*Task Number: [text box]

Select task title from the drop-down field of task titles provided. If your proposed task title is not available, leave the drop-down field as -None-, and enter additional task title in the “Title Other” field.

Task Title: [drop down menu]

- Bidding and contractor selection (required to be included prior to construction of project includes construction).
- Construction.
- Data collection or study.
- Design-build.
- Design and permitting or pre-construction activities.
- Equipment purchase.
- Land acquisition.
- Permit-required monitoring.
- Pre-design or feasibility study.
- Project management.
- Public education.
- Site cleanup.
- Stakeholder coordination and planning.

- Other.

Title Other: [text box]

*Work Performed by (who will be performing the work, grantee and/or contractor.): [drop down menu]

- Grantee only.
- Contractor only.
- Grantee and Contractor.

*Task Description: [text box]

Time to Completion: [drop down menu]

- One to six months.
- One year.
- Two years.
- Three years.
- Other.

Task Budget Category

Select “Create New Application Task Budget” to save the entry. Repeat for each task until completed. If multiple budget categories are needed for a single task, submit multiple entries until the budget for that task is completed.

*Application Task Number (Please select a task number that corresponds with the information input in the previous section, “Tasks.”): [drop down of applicant selected tasks]

*Expense Budget Category: [drop down menu]

- Contractual services.
- Fringe.
- Salaries.
- Supplies.
- Equipment.
- Miscellaneous/other expenses.
- Land acquisition.

*DEP Funding Request Amount: [text box]

*Match amount (Total match available for this task. If no match, put 0.): [text box]

Task Personnel Grantee

NOTE: This section is only necessary if the GRANTEE is performing work on the project as indicated previously under “Task Category.” This section is NOT required if a contractor is the only budget category on the project.

*Application Task Number: [drop down menu to choose the application task number]

*Position Title: [text box]

*Maximum Hours (rounded to the quarter hour.): [text box]

*Maximum Hours Time Unit: [drop down menu]

- Weekly
- Monthly
- Yearly

*Maximum Hourly Rate (This value should be based on actual salary dollars, rounded down to the nearest penny.): [text box]

*Fringe Percent: [text box]

Select “Create New Task Personnel Grantee Entry” to save the entry. Repeat for each task until completed. If multiple personnel are needed for a single task, submit multiple entries until all personnel for that task have been entered.

Submittal — Grantee’s Certification Statement

By signing this Statement page, the undersigned certifies that:

1. This application is in all respects fair and submitted in good faith without collusion or fraud;
2. If selected through this application process, the recipient will work in good faith and in partnership with the DEP to manage its subcontractors in a timely and accurate manner;
3. Any funds awarded as a result of this application process will not be used as match fund to apply for or receive other state funds without departmental review and approval;
4. The undersigned has full authority to bind the applicant.

The selection of the checkbox below acts as your signature.

*Authorized Signers Signature: [text box]

Checking this signifies my signature. Please see the certification statements above and ensure you have entered a value of reach indicated require field or section in your application. Once all fields are complete, click the check box to agree to the certification statements and select “Submit” below.

*Certification Agreement: [check box]