

#### Silver Springs and Upper Silver River and Rainbow Spring Group and Rainbow River Basin Management Action Plan

Updates

May 30, 2024 at 1:30 PM EDT

Via Webinar Webinar Registration Link: https://attendee.gotowebinar.com/register/4413889812968306526

#### Agenda

- Siver and Rainbow Springs Basin Management Action Plan (BMAP) Overview.
- Nitrogen Source Inventory Loading Tool (NSILT) Results.
- Spring Vent Load Analysis Results.
- Next Steps BMAP Updates.

Please note the FTP site for documents pertaining to the various BMAPs: <u>publicfiles.dep.state.fl.us - /DEAR/BMAP/Outstanding Florida Springs BMAPs/</u> For more information on the Silver and Rainbow Springs BMAP, contact: Jessica Fetgatter at (850) 245-8107 <u>Jessica.Fetgatter@FloridaDEP.gov.</u>



## WEBINAR HOUSEKEEPING

#### **Attendee Participation**

Open your control panel.

Join audio:

- Choose **Phone Call** and dial using the information provided.
- Or choose **Computer Audio** to use your computer's speakers for audio.
- Attendee audio will be muted.

Submit questions and comments via the Questions panel. If you would like to unmute and ask your questions, please specify that in the Questions Panel.

If viewing this webinar as a group, please provide a list of attendees via the Questions panel.

**Note:** Today's presentation is being recorded and will be provided on the FTP after the webinar.



## SILVER SPRINGS AND UPPER SILVER RIVER AND RAINBOW SPRING GROUP AND RAINBOW RIVER BASIN MANAGEMENT ACTION PLAN UPDATES

DEPART

MENTAL PR

Jessica Fetgatter, Environmental Consultant Sam Hankinson, Professional Geologist II Water Quality Restoration Program Florida Department of Environmental Protection

GoTo Webinar | May 30, 2024



## AGENDA

- Basin Management Action Plan (BMAP) Overview.
- Nitrogen Source Inventory Loading Tool (NSILT):
  - $\circ$  Updates.
  - Draft Results.
- Spring Vent Load Analysis.
- Next Steps BMAP Updates:
  - $\circ$  Draft Allocation Approach.
  - $\circ$  Milestones.





### **BMAPs**



One of DEP's methods for **restoring water quality** in an impaired waterbody.

#### **BMAPs** are:

- Developed with stakeholder input.
- Adopted by The Florida Department of Environmental Protection's (DEP) Secretarial Order.
- Enforceable.
- Implemented through a phased approach.
- Reported on annually.
- Updated regularly.





## **KEY BMAP COMPONENTS**

- Total maximum daily loads (TMDLs) being addressed.
- Area addressed by the restoration plan.
- Identify sources.
- Phased implementation approach.
- Milestones.
- Projects and management strategies.
- Future growth impacts.

### **Projects to meet the TMDL:**

- Implementation timeline.
- Commitment to projects.
- Expected water quality improvement from projects and management strategies.

## Process to assess progress toward achieving the TMDL:

- Monitoring plan.
- Project reporting.
- Periodic follow-up meetings.
- Water quality analyses.



## **STAKEHOLDERS**

#### Local Governments:

- Marion County.
- Alachua County.
  - Lake County.
- Sumter County.
  - Levy County.
- Putnam County.
  - City of Ocala.
- City of Dunnellon.
- City of Belleview.
  - The Villages.

- On Top of the World.
  - Town of McIntosh.
  - City of Williston.
  - Town of Bronson.
  - City of Micanopy.
- City of Hawthorne.
- Town of Lady Lake.
- City of Fruitland Park.
  - Bay Laurel CDD.
    - Agricultural
       Producers.

### Regional and State Agencies:

Florida Department of Agriculture and Consumer Services. Florida Department of Environmental Protection, including Silver Springs State Park and Rainbow Springs State Park, Ocklawaha River Aquatic Preserve, and Rainbow Springs

#### Aquatic Preserve.

- Florida Department of Health.
- Florida Department of Health in Marion County.
- Florida Department of Health in Alachua County.
- Florida Department of Health in Levy County.
- Florida Department of Transportation District 2.
- Florida Department of Transportation District 5.
- St. Johns River Water Management District.
- Southwest Florida Water Management District.



## SILVER & RAINBOW BMAP AREA

- The Silver Springs and Upper Silver River BMAP area is approximately 989 square miles.
- The Rainbow Spring Group and Rainbow River BMAP area is approximately 679 square miles.
- Both are impaired for the nitrate form of nitrogen.
- TMDL is 0.35 mg/L of nitrate, as monthly arithmetic mean target.





## **CLEAN WATERWAYS ACT: TIMELINE**

#### Feb. 1, 2024

Deadline for submitting draft onsite sewage and treatment and disposal (OSTDS) remediation and/or wastewater treatment plans for the department's review.

### Aug. 1, 2024

Deadline for submitting complete OSTDS remediation and/or wastewater treatment plans to the department.



Deadline for written explanation of potential exemption to be submitted to the department.



June 12, 2023

Final Order signed by the

Secretary.



#### The nutrient BMAPs included in the Final Order require these plans.



# HOUSE BILL (HB) 1379: ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION



Expanded prohibitions in OFS to entire BMAP area. (section 373.811, Florida Statutes [F.S.])



- New conventional OSTDS where sewer is available.
- New domestic wastewater disposal facilities with permitted capacities of 100,000 gallons per day or more, unless they meet Advanced Waste Treatment (AWT) standards.
- New HAZMAT disposal facilities.
- Land application of Class A or B biosolids not in accordance with a DEP-approved nutrient management plan.
- New agricultural operations not implementing best management practices (BMPs), measures necessary to achieve pollution reduction levels or groundwater monitoring plans.



## 2024 DEP AGENCY BILL: HB 1557

Advances the protection of our environmental resources by:

- Enhancing protections for Florida's Coral Reef and aquatic preserves.
- Strengthening Florida's resilience planning.
- Furthering the Onsite Septic Program transfer.

#### Improving Treatment of Reclaimed Water

Ensures that reclaimed water is treated to meet AWT or a more stringent treatment standard in certain BMAP areas, while still promoting its use to eliminate surface water discharges and meet water supply challenges.

#### Expanding Wastewater Facility Plans

Supports the development of domestic wastewater treatment plans and OSTDS remediation plans within BMAP or other restoration areas by requiring facilities to provide information to the local entities developing these plans.

#### Investing in Innovative Technologies

Creates a program to expeditiously review new and innovative enhanced nutrientreducing OSTDS to reduce the nutrients entering Florida's waterways.



### **STAR** STATEWIDE ANNUAL REPORT – PROJECT REPORTING

### What is the STAR?

- Summarizes accomplishments in the BMAPs statewide.
- Reports on restoration projects and management strategies.
- Published July 1 of each year.
- Currently in the process of project updates and verification for STAR 2023.







## PRELIMINARY STAR RESULTS FOR 2023

| Silver BMAP    |                   |  |  |
|----------------|-------------------|--|--|
| Project Status | Count of Projects |  |  |
| Planned        | 20                |  |  |
| Ongoing        | 71                |  |  |
| Underway       | 36                |  |  |
| Completed      | 162               |  |  |
| Total          | 289               |  |  |

As of Dec. 31, 2023, verified projects in the Silver BMAP have reduced **76,982 lbs./yr.** of TN.

| Rainbow BMAP   |                   |  |  |
|----------------|-------------------|--|--|
| Project Status | Count of Projects |  |  |
| Planned        | 21                |  |  |
| Ongoing        | 20                |  |  |
| Underway       | 29                |  |  |
| Completed      | 90                |  |  |
| Total          | 160               |  |  |

As of Dec. 31, 2023, verified projects in the Rainbow BMAP have reduced **42,519 lbs./yr.** of TN.



### WATER QUALITY DATA SPRING VENT DATA





### DATA UPLOAD WATERSHED INFORMATION NETWORK (WIN)

- Through both the Watershed Information Network (WIN) and Florida STORET (STOrage and RETrieval) data repositories, DEP implements Florida statutory requirements, DEP rule requirements and U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) funding requirements for management of environmental (non-regulatory) data for the state.
- Data from WIN are used by DEP for standards development, Impaired Waters Rule assessments, TMDL development, reasonable assurance plans, alternative restoration plans, BMAP development and assessment and for providing data as required to EPA and to the public.
- WIN data can be retrieved through the WIN Reports and Extracts menu at <a href="https://prodenv.dep.state.fl.us/DearWin/">https://prodenv.dep.state.fl.us/DearWin/</a>.
- Data providers to WIN and STORET include Division of Environmental Assessment and Restoration and other DEP entities, water management districts (WMDs), cities, counties, other state agencies, universities, private and volunteer organizations.
- If your entity is collecting ambient water quality data, please upload it to WIN.



## WIN COORDINATORS

| WIN Coordinator | DEP District Area or Role   | Phone        | Email                          |
|-----------------|---|--------------|--------------------------------|
| Justin Nelson   | Northeast, Northwest, Southeast   | 850-245-8510 | Justin.M.Nelson@FloridaDEP.gov |
| Casey Marston   | South, Southwest  | 850-245-8049 | Casey.Marston@FloridaDEP.gov   |
| Lisa Schwenning | SPA (STORET Public Access),<br>WQX (U.S. EPA Water Quality<br>Exchange) | 850-245-8509 | Lisa.Schwenning@floridaDEP.gov |
| Jason Storrs    | Central, Statewide  | 850-245-8467 | Jason.Storrs@FloridaDEP.gov    |



### **BMAP UPDATES** ADOPTED BY JULY 1, 2025

•

- NSILT updates.
- Spring vent load analyses.
- Entity allocation development.
- Future growth.
- Establish five-year milestones for project implementation.
- Incorporate additional projects.
- Incorporate Clean
   Waterways Act (Senate Bill 712) requirements.
- Incorporate HB 1379
   requirements.
- Incorporate regional projects.

- Water quality data evaluation:
  - Evaluation of the monitoring network (spring vent and groundwater).
  - Water quality trend analyses.
- Evaluate further OSTDS provisions.
- Evaluate AWT or other more stringent effluent limits.
- Update the BMAP documents.





## **NSILT UPDATES**

#### • NSILT Process.

- Methodology review for sources.
  - $\circ$  Atmospheric deposition (AD).
  - Wastewater treatment facilities (WWTFs).
  - $\circ$  OSTDS.
  - Urban turfgrass fertilizer (UTF).
  - Sports turfgrass fertilizer (STF).
  - Farm fertilizer (FF).
  - $\circ$  Livestock waste (LW).
  - $\circ$  Biosolids.
- Draft results.





## **NSILT GENERAL PROCESS SUMMARY**

Estimate loading to land surface for each source category. Apply a source specific, literature derived biochemical attenuation factor to surface loading estimate. Apply a location specific recharge factor to surface loading estimate.

#### LOADING TO GROUNDWATER.



## NITROGEN CYCLE AND ATTENUATION





## **RECHARGE TO GROUNDWATER**



- There are four recharge categories based on a composite recharge map of the Floridan aquifer .
- The recharge amount evaluates the percent of nitrogen loading that is expected to impact spring vent after bioattenuation is considered. Recharge rates are summarized in the table below for each recharge category.
- Delineation of recharge areas and associated recharge rates are consistent with the previous NSILT report.
- Recharge factors are applied to estimate loading for all source categories based on location of deposition.

| Recharge to Groundwater |     |  |
|-------------------------|-----|--|
| Category Rate           |     |  |
| High                    | 90% |  |
| Medium 50%              |     |  |
| Low                     | 10% |  |
| Discharge 0%            |     |  |



| D |
|---|
|   |

|             |                     | Estimated Load to     |
|-------------|---------------------|-----------------------|
|             | Estimated Load to   | Groundwater (after    |
| DIVIAF AIea | Surface (lb-N/year) | attenuation and       |
|             |                     | recharge) (lb-N/year) |
| Silver      | 3,051,694           | 166,814               |
| Rainbow     | 2,188,634           | 105,275               |
|             | , ,                 | · · · ·               |

- AD of nitrogen was estimated using a nationwide model developed by the Total Deposition Science Committee and EPA called the Total Deposition (TDEP) model.
- AD estimates from 2019 and 2020 were averaged to estimate annual loading.
- Methodology is consistent with previous NSILT.





### **WWTFs**

- Wastewater Facility Regulation information was reviewed to determine the location of all WWTFs, as well as their effluent application or disposal sites.
- Discharge monitoring report data collected by Wastewater Facility Regulation from 2019 to 2021 were reviewed to determine effluent discharge volume and total nitrogen (TN) concentration for each disposal stream.
- Effluent disposal sites evaluated include reuse, disposal in a rapid infiltration basin, sprayfield, absorption field or wetland. Each method has its own estimated attenuation factor.

| Wastewater Treatment Effluent Attenuation |     |     |     |  |
|---|-----|-----|-----|--|
| Reuse RIB Sprayfield Wetland              |     |     |     |  |
| 75%                                       | 25% | 60% | 85% |  |



### **WWTFs**



| BMAP Area | Estimated Load to<br>Surface (lb-N/year) | Estimated Load to<br>Groundwater (after<br>attenuation and<br>recharge) (lb-N/year) |
|-----------|--|---|
| Silver    | 221,690                                  | 50,763  |
| Rainbow   | 94,805                                   | 31,135  |





- Florida Water Management Inventory data was used to estimate the number of OSTDS (updated 2021-2022).
- Parcels identified as "known septic," "likely septic," and "somewhat likely septic" were considered to have one septic system per parcel.
- 2020 U.S. Census data was used to estimate the average persons per household (pph).
- Estimated loading of 10 lb-N/year per person (Armstrong, 2015).
- Estimated load per tank is based on multiplying the average persons per household by loading per person.
- Credited enhanced nutrient reducing OSTDS with a 50% reduction in TN loading from the existing condition.
- Loading from OSTDS is estimated to attenuate at 30%.



### OSTDS

#### Silver Spring BMAP



\*Density is per 300-meter by 300-meter grid cell.

#### **Rainbow Springs BMAP**





### OSTDS

## The results of the NSILT analysis for OSTDS in the Silver and Rainbow BMAPs are presented in the table below.

| BMAP Area | Estimated # of<br>OSTDS | Estimated # of<br>Enhanced OSTDS | Estimated Load to Surface<br>(Ib-N/year) | Estimated Load to<br>Groundwater (after<br>attenuation and<br>recharge) (Ib-N/year) |
|-----------|-------------------------|----------------------------------|--|---|
| Silver    | 69957                   | 98                               | 1,682,149                                | 784,228   |
| Rainbow   | 34569                   | 13                               | 828,704                                  | 480,981   |



### UTF

- UTF loading was evaluated separately for single family residential, non-single family residential and sports turfgrass.
- Sports turfgrass loading includes the application of fertilizers to both sports fields and golf courses.
- Loading from UTFs are estimated to attenuate at 70%.



Source: Pexels



### UTF

Single Family Residential Fertilization:

- 2021 Florida Department of Revenue Cadastral information was used to determine size and value of single-family residential parcels.
- Assumed 27.8% of parcel as impervious area (Tilley & Slonecker, 2006).
- Assumed 0.5 acres maximum for fertilized area for single family residential parcels greater than 0.5 acres.
- Assumed likelihood to fertilize rate is based on home value. Three tiers of home value considered.
- Fertilizer application rates are informed by local survey information and the Green Industries Best Management Practice (GIBMP) manual.

| Lawn Care Source |     | Self Application Rates | Self Application RatesPercent of Self Lawn<br>Care SourceApplication<br>(lbs/N) |        | Number of<br>Applications |
|------------------|-----|------------------------|---|--------|---------------------------|
| Service          | 33% | BMP                    | 20%   | 26.136 | 5                         |
| Self             | 51% | Label                  | 60%   | 34.78  | 2.98                      |
| None             | 16% | Other                  | 20%   | 43.56  | 2.98                      |



### UTF NON-SINGLE FAMILY RESIDENTIAL

- WMD land use/land cover data was used to estimate non-single family residential UTF application acreage estimates.
- Land use data year is dependent on the WMD with all data updated between 2019 and 2022.
- Fifteen land cover codes were assumed to be likely to receive fertilizer.

|   |                    | Percent of Pervious area    |
|---|--------------------|-----------------------------|
| WMD Land Cover Code   | Percent Impervious | <b>Receiving Fertilizer</b> |
| 1220: Medium Density, Mobile Home Units                                       | 32.6%              | 17.7%                       |
| 1230: Medium Density, Mixed Units (Fixed and Mobile Home Units)               | 32.6%              | 15.4%                       |
| 1320: High Density, Mobile Home Units   | 44.4%              | 20.7%                       |
| 1330: Multiple Dwelling Units, Low Rise                                       | 44.4%              | 27.8%                       |
| 1340: High Density, Multiple Dwelling Units, High Rise (Four Stories or More) | 44.4%              | 32.8%                       |
| 1400: Commercial and Services   | 72.2%              | 31.3%                       |
| 1411: Shopping Centers  | 72.2%              | 31.3%                       |
| 1480: Cemeteries  | 8.3%               | 42.2%                       |
| 1700: Institutional   | 34.4%              | 43.3%                       |
| 1710: Educational   | 30.3%              | 60.6%                       |
| 1720: Religious   | 39.9%              | 37.7%                       |
| 1740: Medical and Health Care   | 72.2%              | 33.8%                       |
| 1750: Governmental  | 35.4%              | 41.0%                       |
| 1850: Parks and Zoos  | 12.5%              | 44.9%                       |
| 1860: Community Recreational Facilities                                       | 12.5%              | 59.8%                       |

- Impervious area was estimated using a United States Geological Survey study (Tilley & Slonecker, 2006). Percent impervious area was dependent on the land use category.
- The area of pervious surface expected to receive fertilizer was evaluated by local land cover data. The
  percentage of pervious area evaluated to be bare ground or grass surface was applied to the
  estimated pervious areas of the 15 land cover codes to then estimate the area expected to receive
  fertilization.



UTF

 Estimated fertilizer application rates for non-single family residential fertilized areas were based on an evaluation of the GIBMP Manual. It is estimated that fertilizer is applied at a rate of 3 lbs.-N/1,000 ft<sup>2</sup> to turfgrass in the region.

|           | Single Family Residential Area           |  | Non-single Family Residential Area |   |
|-----------|--|--|------------------------------------|---|
| BMAP Area | Estimated Load to<br>Surface (Ib-N/year) | mated Load to<br>ace (Ib-N/year) Estimated Load<br>to Groundwater<br>(Ib-N/year) |                                    | Estimated Load<br>to Groundwater<br>(Ib-N/year) |
| Silver    | 2,223,173                                | 428,976  | 398,497                            | 78,348  |
| Rainbow   | 922,797                                  | 239,803  | 105,647                            | 27,206  |



### STF

STF is a combination of golf course and other sports turfgrass areas:

- BMAP areas were evaluated to identify active golf courses.
- Previous NSILT estimates of other STF areas were used in this evaluation to estimate loading from this source.
- Fertilizer application rates and area from the previous NSILT were used to estimate current nutrient loading where information was available.

| BMAP Area | Estimated Load to<br>Surface (Ib-N/year) | Estimated Load<br>to Groundwater<br>(Ib-N/year) |
|-----------|--|---|
| Silver    | 72,998                                   | 16,080  |
| Rainbow   | 5,285                                    | 1,319   |



Source: Pexels



- There are approximately 47 named golf courses in the Silver BMAP and 11 in the Rainbow BMAP.
- Checked for permanent course closures.
- Where site specific data was not available, golf course application rates were updated based on a study of regional golf course practices published by HortTechnology (Shaddox, et al., 2023).

| Golf Course Study Rate          |  |  |  |
|---------------------------------|--|--|--|
| Application Rate 95.832 lb-N/ac |  |  |  |
| % fertilized                    |  |  |  |

| BMAP Area | Total Estimated<br>Acres | Estimated Load to<br>Surface (Ib-N/year) | Estimated Load to<br>Groundwater (lb-N/year) |
|-----------|--------------------------|--|--|
| Silver    | 7,148                    | 665,318                                  | 107,970                                      |
| Rainbow   | 1,644                    | 146,671                                  | 39,415                                       |



FF

- Florida Statewide Agricultural Irrigation Demand 9 (FSAID 9) data layer published in 2021 was analyzed to estimate acreage of all crop types within each recharge category.
- Application rates previously used in the NSILT were reviewed by the Florida Department of Agriculture and Consumer Services, WMDs, and University of Florida Institute of Food and Agricultural Science.







- For all crops besides pasture and nurseries, loading to land surface was calculated by multiplying the acreage of a given crop type by the estimated fertilizer application rate.
- Loading from FF is estimated to attenuate at 80%.

| BMAP Area | Estimated Load to<br>Surface (Ib-N/year) | Estimated Load<br>to Groundwater<br>(lb-N/year) |
|-----------|--|---|
| Silver    | 1,094,498                                | 140,219   |
| Rainbow   | 1,411,413                                | 208,523   |
|           |  |   |



FF

| Nursery Crops           | Fertilizer Application Rate (lb-N/acre) | Effective Application Rate (lb-N/acre) |
|-------------------------|---|--|
| Asparagus Fern          | 90                                      | 21.6                                   |
| Aspidistra              | 90                                      | 21.6                                   |
| Container Nursery       | 150                                     | 36                                     |
| Coontie Fern            | 90                                      | 21.6                                   |
| Fern                    | 90                                      | 21.6                                   |
| Field Nursery           | 90                                      | 21.6                                   |
| Leatherleaf             | 90                                      | 21.6                                   |
| Liriope                 | 90                                      | 21.6                                   |
| Nurseries and Vineyards | 90                                      | 21.6                                   |
| Nursery                 | 90                                      | 21.6                                   |
| Ornamentals             | 90                                      | 21.6                                   |
| Pittosporum             | 90                                      | 21.6                                   |
| Timber Nursery          | 50                                      | 12                                     |
| Tree Nurseries          | 90                                      | 21.6                                   |

| Сгор              | Fertilizer Application Rate (lb-N/acre) | Effective Application Rate (lb-N/acre) |
|-------------------|---|--|
| Grass Pasture     | 80                                      | 16                                     |
| Horse Farms       | 50                                      | 10                                     |
| Improved Pastures | 50                                      | 10                                     |
| Pasture           | 50                                      | 10                                     |

- For nurseries that use containers:
  - A reduction of 20% of the FSAID 9 land area was made to account for plant spacing.
  - A reduction of 70% of loading was estimated to account fertilizer being applied to containers that hold the nutrients longer.
- Adjustment to fertilizer application rates were made to pastureland that utilize field rotation.
  - Fertilizer was estimated to be applied to 20% of pasturelands annually.



|--|

| Crop                        | Fert. Application<br>Rate (Ib-N/year) | Total Acres | Estimated Load<br>to Surface (lb-<br>N/year) | Estimated Load<br>to Groundwater<br>(Ib-N/year) |
|-----------------------------|---------------------------------------|-------------|--|---|
| Field Crops                 | 90                                    | 2,314       | 208,242                                      | 28,024  |
| Citrus                      | 140                                   | 1,257       | 176,009                                      | 22,587  |
| Sweet Corn Zucchini         | 450                                   | 265         | 119,303                                      | 21,474  |
| Peanuts                     | 20                                    | 7,212       | 144,248                                      | 12,108  |
| <b>Cropland Pastureland</b> | 50                                    | 1,267       | 63,359                                       | 8,073   |
| Corn                        | 240                                   | 366         | 87,747                                       | 7,557   |
| Blueberries                 | 100                                   | 612         | 61, <mark>1</mark> 82                        | 6,523   |
| Melons                      | 150                                   | 244         | 36,526                                       | 5,223   |
| Sod                         | 200                                   | 258         | 51,664                                       | 5,166   |
| Pecans                      | 100                                   | 330         | 33,050                                       | 4,757   |

- The most common crop type by acreage within the Silver BMAP is peanuts.
- When the fertilizer application rates and the adjustments for pasture and nursery crops are considered, field crops have the highest estimated loading within the BMAP.



### FF RAINBOW SPRINGS BMAP

- The most common crop type by acreage within the Rainbow BMAP is peanuts.
- When the fertilizer application rates and the adjustments for pasture and nursery crops are considered, peanuts have the highest estimated loading within the BMAP.

| Сгор                        | Fert. Application<br>Rate (Ib-N/year) | Total Acres | Estimated Load<br>to Surface (lb-<br>N/year) | Estimated Load<br>to Groundwater<br>(Ib-N/year) |
|-----------------------------|---------------------------------------|-------------|--|---|
| Peanuts                     | 20                                    | 20,854      | 417,078                                      | 62,716  |
| Melons                      | 150                                   | 2,301       | 345,113                                      | 50,281  |
| <b>Cropland Pastureland</b> | 50                                    | 5,227       | 261,361                                      | 39,782  |
| Sod                         | 200                                   | 390         | 78,054                                       | 11,634  |
| Peanuts Rye                 | 60                                    | 770         | 46,207                                       | 7,903   |
| Other Hay Non Alfalfa       | 180                                   | 291         | 52,425                                       | 7,348   |
| Grains                      | 70                                    | 490         | 34,281                                       | 5,018   |
| Cucumbers Fall Melon        | 150                                   | 166         | 24,949                                       | 4,491   |
| Hay Melons                  | 180                                   | 171         | 30,838                                       | 3,543   |
| Nursery                     | 90                                    | 659         | 14,236                                       | 2,526   |



- LW
- Livestock populations were estimated using 2017 U.S. Department of Agriculture (USDA) census of agriculture data. USDA population estimates are provided by county.
- FSAID 9 land use was used to evaluate the proportion of livestock land within a BMAP and adjust USDA population estimates.
- Waste factors were multiplied by the estimated animal population to calculate LW loading.
- Loading from LW is estimated to attenuate at 90%.
- Loading from dairy cattle was estimated separately.

| Livestock Type    | Waste Factor (lb-N/day) |
|-------------------|-------------------------|
| Beef Cattle       | 0.337                   |
| "Other" Cattle    | 0.31                    |
| Calves            | 0.068                   |
| Dairy Cows        | 0.977                   |
| Donkeys           | 0.1                     |
| Horses            | 0.273                   |
| Chicken, Broilers | 0.002                   |
| Chicken, Layers   | 0.003                   |
| Goats             | 0.035                   |
| Hogs              | 0.19                    |
| Sheep             | 0.198                   |
| Turkeys           | 0.006                   |



### LW SILVER SPRINGS BMAP

|                   | Total Head | Estimated Load to   | Estimated Load to       |
|-------------------|------------|---------------------|-------------------------|
| Livestock Type    | Count      | Surface (Ib-N/year) | Groundwater (Ib-N/year) |
| Donkeys           | 822        | 30,009              | 2,107                   |
| Chicken, Broilers | 226        | 165                 | 11                      |
| Chicken, Layers   | 7,265      | 7,955               | 567                     |
| Goats             | 3,459      | 44,190              | 3,006                   |
| Hogs              | 739        | 51,267              | 3,472                   |
| Sheep             | 1,660      | 119,936             | 8,571                   |
| Turkeys           | 371        | 812                 | 57                      |

- An estimated total of 252,536 pounds of nitrogen per year are deposited on the land surface from livestock.
- When recharge and attenuation are applied, an estimated 17,790 pounds of nitrogen per year loaded to groundwater annually.



### LW RAINBOW SPRINGS BMAP

|                   | Total Head | Estimated Load to   | Estimated Load to       |
|-------------------|------------|---------------------|-------------------------|
| Livestock Type    | Count      | Surface (Ib-N/year) | Groundwater (Ib-N/year) |
| Donkeys           | 490        | 17,869              | 1,417                   |
| Chicken, Broilers | 79         | 58                  | 5                       |
| Chicken, Layers   | 4,614      | 5,053               | 404                     |
| Goats             | 2,007      | 25,634              | 2,011                   |
| Hogs              | 387        | 26,859              | 2,144                   |
| Sheep             | 933        | 67,423              | 5,440                   |
| Turkeys           | 236        | 517                 | 40                      |

- An estimated total of 143,412 pounds of nitrogen per year are deposited on the land surface from livestock.
- When recharge and attenuation are applied, an estimated 11,462 pounds of nitrogen per year loaded to groundwater annually.



## **CATTLE AND HORSE FARMS**

| Cattle Farms        |   |   |  |  |  |
|---------------------|---|---|--|--|--|
| BMAP Area           | Estimated Load to<br>Surface (Ib-N/year)              | Estimated Load<br>to Groundwater<br>(Ib-N/year) |  |  |  |
| Silver              | 5,455,695   | 371,648   |  |  |  |
| Rainbow             | 2,422,300   | 188,215   |  |  |  |
|                     |   |   |  |  |  |
| Horse Farms         |   |   |  |  |  |
|                     |   | Estimated Load                                  |  |  |  |
| BMAP Area           | Estimated Load to Surface (Ib-N/year)                 | to Groundwater<br>(Ib-N/year)                   |  |  |  |
| BMAP Area<br>Silver | Estimated Load to<br>Surface (Ib-N/year)<br>1,923,732 | to Groundwater<br>(Ib-N/year)<br>143,711        |  |  |  |

### • Cattle Farms:

- Non-dairy cattle counts, 80% Pasture Lands in Silver and 60% of Pasture Lands in Rainbow.
- Horse Farms:
  - Horse counts, Horse Farms, 20% Pasture Lands in Silver and 40% of Pasture Lands in Rainbow.



### DAIRIES

- Non-Confined Animal Feeding Operation dairy information was provided by the Florida Department of Agriculture and Consumer Services, including information on herd size, waste handling practices, and animal confinement.
- If a dairy herd was identified as grazed, it was estimated that they would be confined for 15% of the time to account for time in the milking parlors.
- A waste factor of 0.36 lb.-N/day for dairy cows and 0.15 lb.-N/day for nonmilking cows was assumed.
- Annual loading was estimated by multiplying the number of cows by the daily waste factor multiplied by 365 days per year multiplied by application loss coefficients based on waste handling practices.
- Dairy waste was estimated to attenuate at 50%.



## BIOSOLIDS

- Biosolid application quantity estimates were derived from calculating the average application quantity reported from 2018 to 2022, where data was available.
- Application quantities are provided in tons of material, it was assumed biosolid material has an estimated nitrogen content of approximately 5%.
- Loading to land surface was calculated by multiplying the average application quantity for the period of record by the estimated nitrogen content of 5%.
- Loading from biosolids is estimated to attenuate at 50%.

| BMAP Area | Estimated Load to<br>Surface (Ib-N/year) | Estimated Load<br>to Groundwater<br>(Ib-N/year) |
|-----------|--|---|
| Silver    | 32,443                                   | 12,613  |
| Rainbow   | 71,643                                   | 28,948  |



## DRAFT NSILT LOADING SILVER SPRINGS BMAP

Silver Springs (2023)



Silver Springs and Upper Silver River BMAP Area Estimated Annual Loading Source (lb-N/yr) Atm Dep 166,815 WWTF-total 50,763 784,228 Septic Systems Urban Turfgrass Fertilizer 507,324 Sports Turfgrass Fertilizer 124,050 Farm Fertilizer 140,219 Livestock Waste 44,324 Cattle Farms 371,648 Horse Farms 143,711 12,613 Biosolids Total 2,345,695



### DRAFT NSILT LOADING RAINBOW SPRINGS BMAP

Rainbow Spring Group (2023) Biosolids 2% WWTF-total Atm Dep 2% Horse Farms 11% 10% Cattle farms 12% Livestock Waste 1% Septic System 30% Farm Fertilizer 13% Urban Turfgrass Sports Turfgrass Fertilizer Fertilizer 17% 2%

| Rainbow Spring Group and Rainbow River BMAP<br>Area |                                       |  |  |  |
|---|---------------------------------------|--|--|--|
| Source  | Estimated Annual Loading<br>(Ib-N/yr) |  |  |  |
| Atm Dep   | 169,993                               |  |  |  |
| WWTF-total  | 31,135                                |  |  |  |
| Septic Systems                                      | 480,981                               |  |  |  |
| Urban Turfgrass Fertilizer                          | 267,009                               |  |  |  |
| Sports Turfgrass Fertilizer                         | 40,734                                |  |  |  |
| Farm Fertilizer                                     | 208,523                               |  |  |  |
| Livestock Waste                                     | 11,462                                |  |  |  |
| Cattle Farms  | 188,215                               |  |  |  |
| Horse Farms   | 162,060                               |  |  |  |
| Biosolids   | 28,948                                |  |  |  |
| Total   | 1,589,060                             |  |  |  |



### **BMAP MEETING** PUBLIC QUESTIONS PERIOD

**Verbal Questions:** 

- We ask that questions and comments be limited to
   3 minutes so that we may hear from everyone.
- Please type in the chat if you would like to unmute yourself and ask a question or comment.

#### Written Comments:

Submit written comments concerning today's meeting to <u>BMAPProgram@FloridaDEP.gov</u>.





### **BMAP UPDATES** SPRING VENT LOAD ANALYSIS

Calculated the current loading using the most recent 10 years of nitrate and discharge data. Calculated the percent reduction using the TMDL and current loading.

Applied the spring vent percent reduction to the updated NSILT loading.

#### Estimate the total reduction needed to meet the TMDL.



### **BMAP UPDATES** SPRING VENT LOAD ANALYSIS





### **BMAP UPDATES** DRAFT SPRING VENT LOAD ANALYSIS

| On rin each a d | Draft Nitrate Loads (Ib-N/yr) |                        |                           |                            |
|-----------------|-------------------------------|------------------------|---------------------------|----------------------------|
| Springsned      | Total Load at Spring Vent*    | TMDL Load <sup>1</sup> | <b>Required Reduction</b> | Percent Required Reduction |
| Silver          | 1,461,175                     | 420,139                | 1,041,036                 | 71%                        |
| Rainbow         | 2,852,259                     | 452,543                | 2,399,716                 | 84%                        |

\*Upper 95% confidence interval - nitrate data and flow data from 2012 to 2022. <sup>1</sup>TMDL target is 0.35 mg/L and using the same flow data from 2012 to 2022.



### **BMAP UPDATES** ALLOCATION AND REDUCTION APPROACH

- The percent reduction calculated from the spring vent analysis is applied to the estimated NSILT load to determine the overall required reduction needed in the basin.
- Each source will be evaluated for a reduction strategy.
- Responsible entities will receive an allocation based on the combined necessary reductions estimated by source for their area based on the NSILT loading.





### **BMAP UPDATES** ALLOCATION AND REDUCTION APPROACH

#### OSTDS

 Reduction strategy based on BMAP OSTDS requirements in Appendix D, with additional reductions based on actual loading from OSTDS.

#### WWTFs

 Reduction strategy is based on BMAP effluent requirements in the BMAP document and requirements in Florida law established 2021-2024.

#### Agriculture

- Reduction strategy based on:
  - BMP enrollment using a 15% reduction applied to FF load to groundwater.
  - BMP enrollment using a 10% reduction applied to LW and dairies.
  - Any remaining agricultural reductions will be allocated to agricultural cooperative elements, which could include regional projects, cost-share practices and innovative technologies.

#### AD

• Anticipate reductions to be addressed by reductions from other sources and regional projects.



### **BMAP UPDATES** ALLOCATION AND REDUCTION APPROACH

#### UTF

• Apply the spring vent percent reduction to the total UTF load to groundwater and allocate to the applicable local governments.

#### STF

• Apply the spring vent percent reduction to the STF load to groundwater and allocate to the applicable governments.

#### **Golf Courses**

• Reduction based on requirement of all golf courses to submit information on the implementation of BMPs and a nutrient management plan to address nutrient loading.



### **BMAP UPDATES** FUTURE GROWTH

- Domestic Wastewater Projections:
  - Use wastewater to estimate future growth projections.
  - Start with population growth for each county from Bureau of Economic and Business Research:
    - 2040 Medium Growth Projections.
  - Proportion growth for each entity based on land area.
  - Distinguish the future population expected to be served by sewer versus those with OSTDS based on the most recent Florida Water Management Inventory for each BMAP county.
  - Use per person calculations to estimate future loads from WWTF and OSTDS.
- Agriculture Projections:
  - Exploring different tools to estimate future changes in agricultural acreage in the BMAPs to estimate changes in agricultural loading.



### **BMAP UPDATES** 5-, 10-, AND 15-YEAR MILESTONES/REDUCTION SCHEDULE





## SPRINGS BMAP UPDATES TIMELINE





### RESOURCES **BMAP WEBSITE AND STORYMAP**

#### Basin Management Action Plans (PMADe)

Home » Divisions » Division of Environmental Assessment and Restoration » Water Quality Restoration Program » Basin Man



#### Water Quality **Restoration Program Quick Links**

**Basin Management Action** Plans (BMAPs)

Statewide Annual Report

Water Ouality Grant Opportunities 2023-24

**BMAP Public Meetings** 

Impaired Waters, TMDLs and Basin Management Action Plans Interactive Map

Tools and Guidance for



#### What is a Basin Management Action Pla

A basin management action plan (BMAP) is a framework for water quali reduce pollutant loading through current and future projects and strate permit limits on wastewater facilities, urban and agricultural best mana achieve pollutant reductions established by a total maximum daily load stakeholders and rely on local input and commitment for development Department of Environmental Protection Secretarial Order and are lega

#### Water Quality Protection Grant P

DEP has launched an online grant portal to provide eligible entities the programs. Eligible entities include local governments, academic institu application portal opened July 5, 2023. Closing dates for individual grav the posted date for each grant program. Applicants are encouraged to s

#### **Florida Springs Basin Management Action Plans** (BMAPs)

Welcome to the Florida Springs Basin Management Action Plan (BMAP) StoryMap

The springs BMAPs are developed with specific provisions for the protection and restoration of the state's Outstanding Florida Springs. This story map focuses on the springs-related BMAPs; for more details about other BMAPs or more information about the BMAP program in general, visit https://floridadep.gov/bmaps.

\* The story map will display differently depending on the screen size and resolution being used. Story map best viewed in Chrome or Firefox.







1 Legislative Requirements

2018 Nitrogen Source Inventory and Loading Tool (NSILT)

(COPY) Crystal River - Kings



Bay BMAP Story Map

(COPY) Homosassa and Story Map







(COPY) Jackson Blue and Merritts Mill Pond BMAP Stor... Story Map

(COPY) Silver Springs, Upper Silver Springs, and Rainbow...





(COPY) Gemini Springs BMAP







### **RESOURCES** FUNDING OPPORTUNITIES





Florida Department of Environmental Protection Funding Opportunities

FloridaDEP.gov/Funding





SUBSCRIBER PAGE HOW TO CONTACT US



BMAPProgram@FloridaDEP.gov



## REFERENCES

- Armstrong, J.H., (2015). Florida Onsite Sewage Nitrogen Reduction Strategies Study Final Report.
- Eller, K. T., & Katz, B. G. (2017). Nitrogen Source Inventory and Loading Tool: An integrated approach toward restoration of water-quality impaired karst springs. *Journal of Environmental Management.*
- Helgeson, T., & McNeal, M., (2009). A Reconnaissance-Level Quantitative Comparison of Reclaimed Water, Surface Water, and Groundwater.
- Shaddox, T.W., Unruh, B.J., Johnson, M.E., Brown, C.D., & Stacey, G. (2023). Nutrient Use and Management Practices on United States Golf Courses. HortTechnology.
- Tilley, J.S., & Slonecker, E.T. (2006). Quantifying the Components of Impervious Surfaces: U.S. Geological Survey Open-File Report 2006-1008.
- <u>GI-BMP Manual</u>, UF/IFAS Extension, (ufl.edu).



### **BMAP MEETING** PUBLIC QUESTIONS PERIOD

**Verbal Questions:** 

- We ask that questions and comments be limited to
   3 minutes so that we may hear from everyone.
- Please type in the chat if you would like to unmute yourself and ask a question or comment.

#### Written Comments:

Submit written comments concerning today's meeting to <u>BMAPProgram@FloridaDEP.gov</u>.



## **THANK YOU**

Jessica Fetgatter Environmental Consultant (850) 245-8107 Jessica.Fetgatter@FloridaDEP.gov

DEPARTM

MENTAL PRO

0

m Z Samuel Hankinson, P.G. Environmental Consultant 850-245-8086 Samuel.Hankinson@FloridaDEP.gov

Lauren Campbell, Ph.D. Environmental Administrator 850-245-8083 Lauren.Campbell@FloridaDEP.gov



#### Florida Department of Environmental Protection (DEP) Silver Springs and River and Rainbow Spring Group and Rainbow River Basin Management Action Plans (BMAPs) Question and Answer (Q&A) Summary Public Meeting on May 30, 2024 1:30 pm – 2:48 pm Via GoToWebinar

#### Attendees

Suzanne Archer, SJRWMD Nicki Bailey, Levy County Lisa Bally, ATM Michael Barr, DEP Sean Beaudet, Lake County Evelyn Becerra, DEP Connie Becker, DEP Vivianna Bendixson, SWFWMD Reese Bourgeois, Flow Consulting Beth Brady, Save the Manatee Club Tiffany Busby, Wildwood Consulting Jason Cambre, Marion County John Campbell, Citizen Andy Canion, SJRWMD Andrew Carswell, Levy County Cathie Catasus, Lake County Stacy Cecil, SJRWMD Mitchell Chauncey, Ardurra Carolin Ciarlariello, DEP Terry Clark, Citizen Doneda Cole, Putnam County Amber Connolly, FDOH Kevin Coyne, FSA Kristina Deak, SWFWMD Chloe Dougherty, Florida Springs Council Bryanna Edgar, Citizen Burton Eno, Citizen Yesenia Escribano, FDACS Kristine Eskelin, SRWMD Trevor Fagan, SWFWMD Jessica Fetgatter, DEP Barbara Fitos, Citizen Tyler Foerst, Citizen Suzannah Folsom, Withlacoochee Regional Water Supply Authority Diane Garte, Citizen

Tina Gordon, Wildwood Consulting Karrin Gordon, Citizen Roxanne Groover, FOWA Sam Hankinson, DEP Gordon Hart, Citizen Kenny Hayman, DEP Margarita Hernandez, DEP Bob Himschoot, Crew Environmental Teresa Irby-Butler, FGUA Andrew Jorgensen, On Top of the World Chandler Keenan, DEP Trevor Knight, Citizen Joy Kokjohn, SJRWMD Charles Legros, DEP Celeste Lyon, RES Allison M Chancy, DEP Michael McGrath, Sierra Club Matt McKinney, Duke Energy James Moulton, CPH Ryne Nimmo, DEP Sky Notestein, Wetland Solutions Randall Oliver, Vikus Water Robin Orlandi, Citizen Robert Palmer, Citizen Jim Peterson, SJRWMD Wendy Poag, Lake County Robyn Preston, Ocala Derek Reiners, FGCU Erika Ritter, Citizen Mike Saxton, Vikus Water Christopher Seufert, Jones Edmunds Ryan Smart, Florida Springs Council Randy Smith, SWFWMD Renee Smith, Vikus Water Jeff Sowards, Citizen

Ken Storey, East Central Florida Regional Planning Council Kristine Switt, Citizen Bethany Trees, Vikus Water Madison Trowbridge, SWFWMD Diana Turner, DEP Unknown, The Florida Channel Lisa Van Houdt, DEP Devon Villareal, SWFWMD Christine Vrabic, Marion County Ken Weaver, DEP Tanya Welborn, DEP Brenda Wells, Florida Springs Council Carol White, Citizen Catherine Wolden, SWFWMD Sarah Younger, Citizen

#### Siver and Rainbow Springs Basin Management Action Plan (BMAP) Overview

There were no questions for this section.

#### Nitrogen Source Inventory Loading Tool (NSILT) Results

Q: The Villages' stormwater ponds are lined. How was that accounted for in the loading estimates to groundwater?

A: This feature would be captured on the project crediting side. The NSILT evaluates loading based on land use, but reduction credits can be given for best management practices (BMPs) that reduce nitrogen loading.

Q: Was the proximity of golf courses to the Rainbow River taken into consideration? A: We did not consider proximity to surface waters as a factor in the NSILT. We did look at the geologic recharge characteristics spatially, where more vulnerable areas (areas that have more connection between the surface to deep groundwater) were given a higher weight. Distance from the river was not considered, because the focus was on loading to groundwater.

Q: There are significant farm acres north of Route 40, north of the Rainbow River. It does not show those areas on the farm fertilizer graphic that was shown in the presentation. A: Agricultural acres are assessed using the Florida Statewide Agricultural Irrigation Demand (FSAID) which is produced by the Florida Department of Agriculture and Consumer Services (FDACS). It is possible that the agricultural land is new and may not have been captured in FSAID 9, which was the version used for these updates.

Q: Hay was, by far, the largest contributor among crop types in the Santa Fe and Suwannee BMAPs. In this basin, that loading is trivial. Why is there such a difference? A: That is more of an FDACS question. We utilize the FSAID, which was provided by FDACS, to identify the various agricultural commodities and location of agricultural land. There were some categories for the farm fertilizer in this basin that were split out into horse farms and cattle farms. The areas classified as horse farm and cattle farm include an estimate of farm fertilizer loading, so some of the acres cultivated in hay may be classified as those categories.

Q: The slide with the summary of House Bill 1557 mentions that *certain BMAPs* will require wastewater facilities to use advanced wastewater treatment (AWT). How is it determined which facilities in the BMAPs are required to use AWT?

A: This legislation was recently signed into state law. The BMAP group will be working with the DEP-Division of Water Resource Management (DWRM) to identify which facilities within BMAPs will be subject to that legislation.

#### **Spring Vent Load Analysis Results**

Q: Can you elaborate on why the total required reduction for Rainbow Springs is higher than the total annual loading estimate for the basin?

A: The Rainbow and Silver springsheds share a large area of overlapping interactions, so loading can influence both springs, depending on the groundwater dynamics at a given time. The NSILT estimate is based on the best available information we have on current loading sources. The spring vent analysis is based on the most recent 10 years of measured data at the spring vent. Interpretation of the spring vent measurements is complicated by the fact that the water flowing out of the spring vent includes nitrogen that traveled into the aquifer from this year, recent years, and loading from a long time ago. Both the NSILT and the spring vent analysis represent the best information with which we have to make estimates. In these springs, it is important that the responsible entities in both springs areas make progress with their load reductions since the loading affects the concentration in both springs, depending on conditions.

#### Next Steps - BMAP Updates

Q: Will non-local governments or other statewide entities receive allocations? A: Allocations will be given to the entities responsible for the nitrogen sources, not only local governments.

#### **Public Comments**

There were no public comments.

#### Adjournment

The meeting adjourned at 2:48 pm.