

***Inclusion of Tropical Storms for
the Combined Total Storm Tide Frequency Restudy
for Dade County, Florida***

S. Y. Wang

**Engineering, Hydrology & Geology Program
Division of Water Resource Management
Florida Department of Environmental Protection**

October 2016

2600 Blair Stone Road, M.S. 3595
Tallahassee, Florida 32399
www.dep.state.fl.us/water



Table of Contents

1.0 Background.....	1
2.1 Introduction and Data Source	1
2.2 Storm Frequency and Direction	1
2.3 Radius to Maximum Winds and Central Pressure Deficit	2
2.4 Forward Speed	5
2.5 Track Position	5
3.1 Simulation of a n-Year Sequence of Storm Associated Storm Tides	7
3.2 Simulation	9
3.3 Computation of Return Periods	10
4.0 Results.....	11
APPENDIX A.....	16
APPENDIX B	19

List of Figures

<u>Figure</u>		<u>Page</u>
1	Cumulative Probability Distribution of Storm Track Direction, θ_N	2
2	Cumulative Probability Distribution of Radius to Maximum Winds, R, for Landfalling and Exiting Storms	3
3	Cumulative Probability Distribution of Radius to Maximum Winds, R, for Alongshore Storms	3
4	Cumulative Probability Distribution of Central Pressure Deficit, Δp for Landfalling and Alongshore Storms	4
5	Cumulative Probability Distribution of Central Pressure Deficit, Δp for Exiting Storms	4
6	Cumulative Probability Distribution of Storm Translation Speed, V_F	5
7	Cumulative Probability Distribution of Landfalling Distance, Y_F , for Landfalling And Exiting Storms	6
8	Cumulative Probability Distribution of Offshore Distance, X_L , for Alongshore Storms	6
9	Flow Chart for Two-Dimensional Storm Tide Simulations	8
10	Combined Total Storm Tide Elevation versus Return Period for Study Area	12
11	The General Locations of Three Profiles for Dade County	13

List of Tables

<u>Table</u>	<u>Page</u>
I Combined Total Storm Tide Levels* (ft.-NAVD) for Various Return Periods	14

1.0 Background

In accordance with the objectives and rationale of the Florida Coastal Construction Control Line, the establishment of the line is based on the damage potential of 100-year return period hurricanes. A report entitled "Combined Total Storm Tide Frequency for Dade County, Florida" (Reference (1)) was submitted to FDEP in May, 1981. This study includes the most updated tropical storms and hurricanes in the storm surge simulations. Since the methodology and procedures used for this study are the same as for the report mentioned above, only the storm statistics and the results are presented in this report.

2.1 Introduction and Data Source

The statistical parameters are based on historical storm data as presented in References (2) and (3). In brief, the empirical cumulative probability distributions are plotted for each of the parameters of interest and are then approximated by a series of straight line segments for computer application. All of the parameters are considered to be independent. The following subsections describe the statistical characteristics of the individual parameters of interest.

2.2 Storm Frequency and Direction

The storms causing appreciable storm tides in the vicinity of the Dade County shoreline are classified as "landfalling", "exiting" or "alongshore" storms. Reasonably good data are available describing the characteristics of the storms impacting the area from 1900 to 2015. For purposes of this report, the data contained in References (2) and (3) that fall within a 250 n. mi. segment of the coast comprising the study area are used. The storm direction is defined here as the azimuth from which the storm is translating at the time of landfall, or, if an alongshore storm, when in close proximity to the site.

For purposes of this study, landfalling and exiting storms are considered to be of possible significance if they made landfall within a 250 n. mi. segment of the coast comprising the study area. This segment is extended 125 n. mi. north and 125 n. mi. south from the midpoint of the Dade County shoreline. Accordingly, there were 48 landfalling, 23 exiting and 6 alongshore storms occurring in the years 1900 through 2015. The table in Appendix A lists the storms used in this study.

Based on historical data, it is expected that within a 1,000-year period a total of 664 storms will occur within the 250 n. mi. segment of the coast comprising the study area. Of the 664 storms, 414 will be landfalling, 198 exiting and 52 alongshore storms.

For purposes of computer use, the cumulative probability distribution of storm track direction (θ_N) is presented in Figure 1.




Figure 1: Cumulative Probability Distribution of Storm Track Direction, θ_N

2.3 Radius to Maximum Winds and Central Pressure Deficit

The cumulative probability distribution of radius to maximum winds for landfalling and exiting storms is presented in Figures 2. Figure 3 presents the same for alongshore storms. The cumulative probability distributions of pressure deficit for landfalling and alongshore storms is presented in Figure 4. Figure 5 presents the same for exiting storms.




Figure 2: Cumulative Probability Distribution of Radius to the Maximum Wind, R, for Landfalling and Exiting Storms




Figure 3: Cumulative Probability Distribution of Radius to the Maximum Wind, R, for Alongshore Storms




Figure 4: Cumulative Probability Distribution of Central Pressure Deficit, Δp for Landfalling and Alongshore Storms




Figure 5: Cumulative Probability Distribution of Central Pressure Deficit, Δp for Exiting Storms

2.4 Forward Speed

The cumulative probability distribution of the forward speed of translation for landfalling, exiting and alongshore storms is presented in Figure 6.




Figure 6: Cumulative Probability Distribution of Translation Speed, V_F

2.5 Track Position

For the landfalling and exiting storms, the track position is determined by the y coordinate, Y_F , representing the landfalling or exiting point. Figure 7 presents the cumulative probability distribution for the actual landfalling and exiting position, Y_F , for landfalling and exiting storms. Figure 8 presents the cumulative probability distribution for the actual offshore distance, X_L , for alongshore storms.




Figure 7: Cumulative Probability Distribution of Landfalling Distance, Y_F , for Landfalling and Exiting Storms




Figure 8: Cumulative Probability Distribution of Offshore Distance, X_L , for Alongshore Storms

3.1 Simulation of a n-Year Sequence of Storm Associated Storm Tides

With the statistical characteristics of historical storms available, the 2-D storm tide model simulation shown in Figure 9 is carried out.

The first phase of the simulation comprises the selection of the storm characteristics in accordance with the historical data. In each storm, this involves the following:

- 1) Quantifying Δp , R , V_F , θ_N and storm track in accordance with the historical probabilities.
- 2) For these characteristics, a random astronomical tide from the storm season is generated as a boundary condition to the two-dimensional numerical model and the model is run to determine the storm surge at the site of interest. This storm surge with dynamic wave set up is then adjusted in accordance with the factors obtained from the two-dimensional model calibration runs for the landward grid at each time step to yield the combined total storm tide.
- 3) Determining whether enough storms have been simulated for the n-year simulation.
- 4) After the required number of storms and associated storm tides have been simulated, the peak water levels for each storm are ranked and the return period, TR , is calculated, according to

$$TR = 1000/M$$

where M is the rank of the combined total storm tide level. (For example, since the simulation was carried out for a 1,000-year period, the highest combined total tide level would have a return period of 1,000 years, the tenth highest water level would have a return period of 100 years, etc.). Finally, by presenting these results on semi-log paper, it is possible to interpolate return periods of 5, 10, 15, 20, 25, 30 and 50 years.




Figure 9: Flow Chart for Two-Dimensional Storm Tide Simulations

3.2 Simulation

To summarize information presented earlier, this phase includes the simulation of the occurrence of 1,000 years of storms along a shoreline segment of 250 n. mi. The simulated storms are given directional distributions according to Figure 1. In an average 1,000-year period, there would be a total of 664 storms.

Selection of Storm Parameters - Each of the five idealized storm parameters, [Radius to Maximum Winds, R ; Central Pressure, P_0 (or Central Pressure Deficit, Δp); Track Direction, θ_N ; System Forward Speed, V_F ; and Track Position] is determined randomly in accordance with the associated cumulative probability distribution functions. The procedure is described below for the track direction, θ_N , and is similar for all other variables.

The approximate piece-wise linear cumulative probability distribution function for track direction, θ_N , is shown in Figure 1. The nature of this function is such that the predominant directions are those where the function rises steeply. To randomly select a track direction in accordance with the distribution function, the computer first generates a random number between 0 and 1 and then selects the θ_N corresponding to that cumulative probability. The other four parameters are determined similarly with a separate and independent random number being generated for each parameter and the appropriate cumulative probability distribution used.

Calculation of Storm Surge with the Effect of Astronomical Tide - A particular storm can be "phased" such that the maximum resulting storm surge is increased or decreased by astronomical tidal fluctuations. Considering the predicted ocean astronomical tidal fluctuations off Dade County from June 1 to November 30, 1984 to be representative of those occurring during the storm season and assuming the phasing of storm occurrence and astronomical tides to be independent, the combination of these tidal components is carried out in the following manner.

With the storm parameters established, a starting time for the storm is selected randomly between June 1 and November 30, 1984. The corresponding astronomical tide at the starting time is generated and varies with time thereafter according to the input astronomical tide data. The calculation of the storm surge history by the calibrated two-dimensional model is thus phased with the astronomical tide to yield the combined storm surge and astronomical tide water level history at the site of interest.

3.3 Computation of Return Periods

With a sufficient number (664) of maximum combined total storm tides simulated to represent a typical 1,000-year time interval, the tides associated with various return periods of interest are determined. The 664 maximum combined total storm tides are ranked in descending order with the largest occurring first. The return period, TR, of the ranked tides is then

$$TR = 1000 / M$$

in which

TR = Return period in years between expected exceedances of the associated maximum storm tide

M = Rank of maximum storm tide

As an example, for M = 664 (associated with the lowest water level) the return period would be:

$$TR_{664} = 1000 / 664 = 1.51 \text{ years}$$

which indicates that the smallest storm tide could be expected to be exceeded approximately once every 2 years. As a second example, the return period for M = 20 is

$$TR_{20} = 1000/20 = 50 \text{ years}$$

The ranked maximum combined total storm tides and associated return periods can be plotted and the combined total storm tide associated with any return period determined. Finally, it is noted that it is possible to run the simulation procedure any number of times to determine the stability (constancy) of any combined total storm tide associated with a given return period. It is expected that for a 1,000-year simulation, the storm tides associated with the longer (> 250 year) return periods would not be well-defined by one simulation and would exhibit variation from simulation to simulation. However, the storm tides associated with the lower return periods (TR < 100 years) should be well-defined by a 1,000-year simulation and hence are not expected to vary significantly for various simulations.

4.0 Results

Five 1,000-year simulations for Dade County were carried out employing the computer methods and storm statistics presented in the preceding sections. The combined total storm tides above NAVD and the associated return periods are plotted on semi-log paper in Figure 10. The general locations of three profiles for Dade County are depicted in Figure 11. Each data point represents the average value of five simulations and a curve drawn through the data points is adopted to represent the tide-frequency relationship.

DADE COUNTY




Figure 10: Combined Total Storm Tide Elevation versus Return Period for Study Area




Figure 11: The General Locations of Three Profiles for Dade County

Table I below shows the combined total storm tide values and corresponding return periods for Dade County.

Table I: Combined Total Storm Tide Levels* (ft.-NAVD) for Various Return Periods

Return Period, TR (years)	North Profile	Middle Profile	South Profile
50	7.6	7.7	8.1
30	6.6	6.6	6.9
25	6.3	6.3	6.5
20	5.7	5.8	6.0
15	5.2	5.3	5.5
10	4.5	4.5	4.6
5	3.0	2.9	2.9

*Includes contributions of: wind stress, barometric pressure, dynamic wave set-up and astronomical tide.

REFERENCES

1. Dean, R. G. and Chiu, T. Y., "Combined Total Storm Tide Frequency for Dade County, Florida," Beaches and Shores Resource Center, Florida State University, May 1981.
2. U. S. Department of Commerce, National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration, "Storm Climatology for the Atlantic and Gulf Coasts of the United States," NOAA Technical Report NWS 38, April 1987.
3. U. S. Department of Commerce, National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration, National Hurricane Center, "Atlantic hurricane database (HURDAT2), 1851 – 2015," <http://www.nhc.noaa.gov/data/#hurdat>

APPENDIX A

Summary of Historical Storms affecting
Dade County

#	Date	Name	θ_N (degrees)	Y_F (n.mi.)	V_F (knots)	Δp (in.Hg)	R (n.mi.)	Type
1	8/2/1901		114	-29	7.4	-0.24		L
2	9/9/1903		114	-17	5.9	-1.1	43	L
3	10/12/1904		138	38	8.1	-0.74		L
4	6/14/1906		229	-4	15.3	-1.01	26	E
5	10/8/1906		220	-10	16.8	-1.77	35	E
6	9/18/1907		113	22	12.7	-0.14		L
7	6/26/1909		138	-11	8.1	-0.31		L
8	8/28/1909		90	-36	9.0	-0.31		L
9	9/24/1909		233	-85	10.0	-0.14		E
10	10/6/1909		235	35	22.9	-1.66	22	E
11	8/23/1910		172	75	19.2	-0.14		A
12	8/21/1916		156	30	13.2	-0.24		L
13	11/11/1916		242	18	32.3	-0.21		E
14	9/2/1919		90	109	7.2	-2.00		L
15	10/14/1924		254	-12	11.2	-0.80		E
16	7/22/1926		151	-105	9.2	-1.36	14	L
17	9/11/1926		56	94	5.4	-0.14		L
18	9/11/1926		114	16	14.8	-2.45	19	L
19	10/14/1926		227	36	16.0	-1.89	21	E
20	8/3/1928		138	-76	4.0	-1.07		L
21	9/6/1928		123	-54	12.9	-2.48	28	L
22	9/19/1929		119	60	4.1	-1.92	28	L
23	8/26/1932		124	35	8.1	-0.46		L
24	7/24/1933		110	-76	4.3	-0.74		L
25	8/31/1933		121	-62	13.9	-1.92	13	L
26	10/1/1933		243	75	13.1	-1.36		E
27	8/29/1935		138	87	6.7	-3.58	6	L
28	9/23/1935		204	48	9.7	-1.66		A
29	10/30/1935		75	-6	11.0	-1.18	10	L
30	6/12/1936		262	-3	20.9	-0.33		E
31	7/27/1936		119	34	6.2	-0.53		L
32	8/7/1939		122	-76	11.4	-0.64		L
33	10/3/1941		112	19	16.0	-1.51		L
34	9/12/1945		127	36	15.5	-1.89	12	L
35	10/31/1946		138	-43	16.1	-0.33		L
36	9/4/1947		70	-19	8.0	-2.07	26	L
37	10/8/1947		228	-21	12.0	-1.12	13	E
38	9/18/1948		241	-96	12.3	-1.42	16	E
39	10/3/1948		222	-7	13.4	-1.01	16	E
40	8/23/1949		90	-48	5.4	-1.75	23	L
41	10/13/1950	KING	160	16	12.8	-1.72	6	L
42	2/2/1952		218	-52	29.1	-0.31		E
43	8/28/1953		265	-17	12.6	-0.18		E
44	10/3/1953		205	36	13.5	-0.18		A

#	Date	Name	θ_N (degrees)	Y_F (n.mi.)	V_F (knots)	Δp (in.Hg)	R (n.mi.)	Type
45	10/17/1959	JUDITH	264	-75	28.9	-0.42		E
46	8/29/1960	DONNA	133	88	7.3	-2.39	24	L
47	8/20/1964	CLEO	161	-11	8.4	-1.33	7	L
48	10/8/1964	ISBELL	226	-69	20.0	-1.33	13	E
49	8/27/1965	BETSY	90	42	10.7	-1.75	22	L
50	9/21/1966	INEZ	67	41	7.8	-0.77		L
51	9/26/1970	GRETA	103	97	13.7	-0.24		L
52	9/5/1972	DAWN	222	-14	8.1	-0.18		E
53	10/4/1974		166	47	9.7	-0.24		A
54	6/11/1979		180	60	8.0	-0.14		A
55	8/25/1979	DAVID	149	-64	10.5	-1.18	27	L
56	9/25/1984	ISIDORE	145	-52	11.0	-0.39		L
57	10/25/1984		97	-77	17.2	-0.14		L
58	5/24/1987		74	67	3.7	-0.14		L
59	10/9/1987	FLOYD	250	36	11.5	-0.59		E
60	8/21/1988	CHRIS	164	-113	14.3	-0.15		L
61	10/15/1991	FABIAN	222	61	15.4	-0.33		E
62	8/16/1992	ANDREW	97	19	20.4	-2.25	10	L
63	11/8/1994	GORDON	110	123	8.6	-0.45	26	L
64	7/31/1995	ERIN	123	-98	14.8	-0.92		L
65	8/22/1995	JERRY	143	-57	7.5	-0.15		L
66	10/22/1998	MITCH	251	-72	28.3	-0.77		E
67	9/19/1999	HARVEY	249	2	28.0	-0.42		E
68	10/12/1999	IRENE	209	-15	9.7	-0.80		E
69	8/25/2004	FRANCES	106	-81	10.4	-1.63	30	L
70	9/2/2004	IVAN	76	-13	8.3	-0.12		L
71	9/13/2004	JEANNE	98	-83	10.9	-1.83	26	L
72	8/23/2005	KATRINA	66	-13	6.9	-0.74	8	L
73	10/5/2005	TAMMY	156	-113	11.0	-0.21		L
74	10/15/2005	WILMA	227	-76	26.7	-1.86		E
75	8/24/2006	ERNESTO	151	81	9.2	-0.24	25	L
76	7/22/2010	BONNIE	143	28	19.6	-0.21		L
77	9/28/2010	NICOLE	201	51	15.0	-0.56		A

Landfalling Storms = 48; Alongshore Storms = 6; Exiting Storms = 23

APPENDIX B

Computed 15 and 25 Year Hydrographs for
Dade County

