

Form Version: October 2021



Status and Trend Monitoring Networks Florida Department of Environmental Protection MS 3560 2600 Blair Stone Road Tallahassee, FL 32399-2400 Telephone (850) 245-7544

Sampling Agency: Field Personnel: Auditor(s): Audit Date: Project Name: Site: Audit Type: Copies of Audit Report to: **Overall Sampling Performance**

☐ A copy of the final report will be submitted to the sampling agency within 90 days. The sampling agency recognizes that they will submit a written acknowledgement addressing each corrective action that will be implemented (and how deficiencies will be prevented in the future) as a result of the deficiencies stated in the final audit report within 45 days of receipt.

SUMMARY

Summary of Audit Findings: Sampling Teams – please complete the "response" column and email table to the WMS QA Officer for approval. DEP SOP references: <u>https://floridadep.gov/dear/quality-assurance/content/dep-sops</u>. S&T Manual references: <u>http://publicfiles.dep.state.fl.us/dear/DEARweb/WMS/Reports_Docs_SOPs/Standard%20Operating%20Procedures/Sampling%20Manuals/WMS-SamplingManual.pdf</u>

| Finding # | SOP Reference | Audit Finding | Required or Suggested Corrective Action | Response | Approved by Auditors |
|--------------|------------------|---------------|---|----------|----------------------------|
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |

| Documentation (FD1000) | Yes | No | NA |
|--|-----|----|----|
| 1. Used electronic data entry forms or waterproof ink (pencil allowed when using waterproof | | | |
| paper) and corrected errors without obliteration. | | | |
| 2. Described in written form, or verified on electronic data entry forms, the sampling location | | | |
| (waterbody name, station name, status random ID, etc.). | | | |
| 3. Recorded preservation information and verification, including any deviations from protocols | | | |
| described on the electronic data entry forms, field sheets, and custody sheet. | | | |
| 4. Labeled sample bottles properly (bar codes, site label, date, time). | | | |
| 5. For calibrations, verifications and sample readings: temperature, pH, specific conductance, | | | |
| dissolved oxygen (mg/L and % sat), and turbidity were recorded to the resolution specified by | | | |
| the manufacturer. | | | |
| 6. All sections of electronic data entry forms or field sheets completed correctly, including | | | |
| General: date/time; site location; names and/or initials; field testing measurements with units; | | | |
| ambient conditions; meter ID; use of fuel-powered equipment noted (if applicable); collection | | | |
| of blanks noted (if applicable); preservation; personnel on site; data value qualifiers (if | | | |
| applicable) | | | |
| Ground Water: purging equipment; purging procedure; well casing compositions; well | | | |
| diameter; measuring point elevation; stickup; water table depth; depth of well; volume of | | | |
| water in well; purge volume calculations; total volume of water purged; starting and ending | | | |
| times for purging; purging rate; stabilization measurements; water level drawdown | | | |
| measurements; FLUWID; Micro Land Use | | | |
| Surface Water: waterbody type; flow; water level; total depth; secchi depth; collection depth; | | | |
| equipment used (if applicable); sample collection access method | | | |
| Sediments: sample collection depth; collection time; areal location of sample; collection | | | |
| interval; sample collection devices; sediment type, odors, and color; number of grabs collected | | | |
| Biology: physical and chemical characterization information; stream or river habitat | | | |
| assessment information; rapid periphyton survey information; linear vegetation survey | | | |
| information; lake observation information; lake habitat assessment information; lake | | | |
| vegetation index information | | | |
| 7. Instrument calibration log: | | | |
| • Unique ID for meter | | | |
| • Standards concentration, lot number, date of preparation or expiration date, units | | | |
| • Date, time, and results of each initial calibration and calibration verifications | | | |
| Link to sampling project | | | |
| Name of analyst performing calibration/ verification | | | |
| • Corrective actions performed on instrument, including date/time and if the instrument was | | | |
| removed from service | | | |
| • Citation or reference to specific calibration and verification procedures used (DEP SOPs | | | |
| or internal SOPs) | | | |
| 8. Custody sheet verified and completed properly: | | | |
| • Date, time, sampler names, shipping method, sites, number of samples, bottle group, | | | |
| matrix, comments, labels | | | |
| • Notation was made if protocols described on the electronic data entry forms, or listed on | | | |
| the field sheet and custody sheet were not followed or submitted as described | | | |
| • Electronic data entry forms verified and distributed appropriately, paper copies retained | | | |
| and invoiced properly to lab, Project Manager, and sampling agency. | | | |
| 9. Cleaning log: | | | |
| • Type and date of analyte free water | | | |
| • Date of lab cleaning | | | |
| • Time and date of field cleaning | | | |
| • Piece(s) of equipment | | | |
| • Procedure | | | |
| Name of personnel performing cleaning | | | |
| · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | | | |

| Documentation (FD1000) (continued) | Yes | No | NA |
|--|-----|----|----|
| 10. Standards / Buffers / Reagents log: | | | |
| • Concentration, lot numbers, date of receipt, expiration date, vendor and initial date of use recorded for all reagents, detergents, solvents, and chemicals (recorded in log and on containers). | | | |
| • Were standards that were used beyond the expiration date verified and documented for acceptance? | | | |
| • Were certificates of assay retained for any standard or buffer <i>not</i> supplied by the DEP Laboratory? | | | |
| 11. Equipment Maintenance log: | | | |
| • Unique ID for equipment | | | |
| Maintenance and repair procedures | | | |
| Routine cleaning procedures | | | |
| Filling solution replacement for probes | | | |
| Parts replacements for probes | | | |
| • Date procedures performed on each unit | | | |
| Names of personnel performing maintenance and repair | | | |
| • Descriptions of malfunctions and repair | | | |
| • Information regarding rental equipment (dates of use, type, description, etc.) | | | |
| • Vendor service (vendor, date, type of service, etc.) | | | |
| • Were manufacturer operation and maintenance manuals and instructions retained? | | | |

| Field Quality Control (FQ 1000) | Yes | No | NA |
|--|-----|----|----|
| 1. Blank collected in same manner as samples and represent normal sampling conditions. | | | |
| Circle one: a) Precleaned EB b) Field cleaned EB c) Field blank (no equipment) | | | |
| 2. Blanks were collected at the appropriate frequency and the correct type of blank was | | | |
| collected (precleaned or field-cleaned equipment blank or field blank). | | | |
| 3. Extra bottles for lab matrix spikes were collected at required frequency (if applicable). | | | |

| Field Testing and Calibration (FT 1000 - FT 1600) | Yes | No | NA |
|---|-----|----|----|
| 1. All instruments or meters met DEP SOP specifications for accuracy, reproducibility and | | | |
| design. | | | |
| 2. All applicable parameters were corrected for temperature and/or salinity (where applicable) | | | |
| either manually or automatically. | | | |
| 3. Sample measurements were chronologically bracketed between acceptable calibration | | | |
| verifications for all parameters. | | | |
| 4. Sample measurements were quantitatively bracketed for all parameters between acceptable | | | |
| calibration verifications (except for ambient conductivity readings that are less than 100 | | | |
| umhos/cm). | | | |
| 5. An initial calibration verification was performed for each parameter immediately after | | | |
| initial calibration. | | | |
| 6. If the ICV fails to meet acceptance criteria, the instrument is immediately recalibrated or | | | |
| removed from service. | | | |
| 7. If any CCVs fail, additional attempts are made to meet the acceptance criteria or the | | | |
| instrument is recalibrated. | | | |
| 8. Meter was rinsed with DI water between standards and allowed to stabilize before recording | | | |
| readings. | | | |
| 9. pH was calibrated first with the 7 buffer, then a 4 or 10, depending on the expected sample | | | |
| range. | | | |
| 10. Calibration verifications for pH were within ± 0.2 su. | | | |
| 11. pH millivolts (or % theoretical slope), DO charge, and DO gain checked at least weekly. | | | |
| 12. Calibration verifications for conductance were within \pm 5%. | | | |
| 13. Calibration verifications for DO were within \pm 0.3 mg/L DO when compared to the table | | | |
| of theoretical values for solubility of oxygen in water. | | | |
| 14. DO electrode was stored in a water saturated air environment when not in use. | | | |
| 15. Initial calibration of turbidimeter was performed quarterly using at least two primary | | | |
| standards (formazin) and met acceptance criteria for NTU range. | | | |
| 16. For turbidity, at least one primary standard was used for the initial calibration verification. | | | |
| 17. For turbidity, secondary gel standards were verified quarterly immediately after the initial | | | |
| calibration verification (if applicable). | | | |
| 18. For turbidity, all continuing calibration verifications were performed using secondary gel | | | |
| standards (or factory-sealed primary formazin standards). | | | |
| 19. Calibration verifications for turbidity met acceptance criteria for NTU range. | | | |
| 20. Sample cells were inspected for scratches, cleaned as necessary and placed correctly in | | | |
| turbidimeter (fingerprints were removed with a lint-free wipe). | | | |
| 21. Sample cells were rinsed and/or washed properly between calibrations and sample | | | |
| collections. | | | |
| 22. Temperature was verified quarterly (against NIST-traceable thermometer with valid | | | |
| certificate) at a minimum of two temperatures and met acceptance criteria of ± 0.5 °C. | | | |
| 23. Lines used for secchi & depth measurement checked every 6 months and remarked as | | | |
| needed. (only applicable to surface water projects) | | | |
| 24. Depth sensors in multi-parameter meters zeroed daily. All electronic depth sensors | | | |
| verified quarterly by comparing to reference device. (only applicable to surface water projects) | | | |
| 25. Sample measurements are qualified with a "J" if instrument calibration can not be properly | | | |
| verified or if readings are not properly bracketed. | | | |
| 26. All sample measurements were not collected until meter readings stabilized. | | | |
| *COMMENTS: | | | |

| General Sampling Procedures (FS 1000, FS 2000), Miscellaneous | Yes | No | NA |
|---|-----|----|----------|
| 1. Paperwork, supplies, and equipment were inventoried, and in working condition before | | | |
| going into the field. | | | |
| 2. Most recent version of electronic data entry forms, field sheets, and custody sheets were | | | |
| used. | | | |
| 3. Sampling manual was in the field vehicle (and on the boat, if applicable). | | | |
| 4. Sampling equipment & bottles were clean & appropriate. Equipment was in working order. | | | |
| 5. Analyte free water was less than 1 week old (and dated). | | | |
| 6. Samples were collected in the order listed on electronic data entry forms, or on the sample details page of the field sheet and custody sheet. | | | |
| 7. Care was taken to avoid contamination of samples. | _ | | |
| 8. Samplers wore gloves and changed as necessary. | - | | 1 |
| 9. Containers were not prerinsed, especially if prepreserved. | - | | |
| 10. Samples were properly preserved within 15 minutes. | | | |
| 11. pH was tested on preserved samples; paper was not inserted into bottle. | | | |
| 12. Personal protective equipment was used when working with acid preservatives. | | | |
| 13. Samples were properly filtered if necessary. | | | |
| 14. Wastes generated as a result of the sampling project were containerized and stored for proper disposal. Waste containers properly labeled. | | | |
| 15. Headspace was left in all sample containers and all samples were filled with appropriate | | | |
| amount of sample. | | | <u> </u> |
| 16. Samples were packed properly. | | | |
| • All samples placed together in large bag, protected from ice | | | |
| • Custody sheet completed, verified, distributed electronically, and/or bagged and placed in cooler | | | |
| 17. At least one sampler on site has attended Sampler Training Workshop | | | |

| Surface Water Sampling (FS 2100) | Yes | No | NA |
|--|-----|----|----|
| 1. Samples were collected upwind from power sources, if applicable. | | | |
| 2. Samples were collected on upstream side of bridge (unless historic sampling location for | | | |
| Trend requires different position), body or boat without disturbing the sediments. | | | |
| 3. Water samples were collected prior to sediment samples (if any). | | | |
| 4. Intermediate collections devices were well rinsed with sample water; rinse water was | | | |
| discarded away from sample site. | | | |
| 5. Bacteria containers collected as grab samples OR collected from an intermediate collection | | | |
| device without interruption of the flow. | | | |
| 6. Sample containers were submerged neck first, inverted into flow, slowly filled and returned | | | |
| to surface (if sample containers were used as collection device). | | | |
| 7. Samples collected from intermediate collection devices using technique that minimized | | | |
| settling of particulates. | | | |
| 8. Field parameters were measured at appropriate depth(s). | | | |
| 9. Water depth was at least 10 cm. | | | |
| 10. Water samples were collected at the appropriate depth and corresponded with field | | | |
| parameter measurement depth. | | | |
| 11. Sample was collected at correct location in waterbody. | | | |
| 12. Total depth, secchi depth, and sample collection depth were measured to nearest 0.1m (or | | | |
| nearest 0.01m if total depth $< 0.6 \text{m}$). | | | |
| 13. Secchi depth was measured on shaded side of boat / body, and sunglasses were removed. | | | |

| Sediment Sampling (FS 4000) | Yes | No | NA |
|--|------------|----|----------|
| 1. Lake was at least 1m deep at its deepest point. | | | |
| 2. Samples were collected in the proper location. | | | |
| 3. Surface water samples were collected prior to sediment samples. | | | |
| 4. A minimum of 3 grabs were collected. | | | |
| 5. Standing water was siphoned off before transferring to the sample jar. | | | |
| 6. Only the top 3-5cm of sediments were transferred to the sample jar. | | | |
| 7. Sample jar was filled to required level (2/3 full for 500mL jar; 1/2 full for 1L jar). | | | |
| 8. For flocculent sediments, the sample was collected from below the top layer. | | | |
| | X 7 | ът | . |
| Groundwater Sampling (FS 2200) | Yes | No | NA |
| 1. Any standing water was removed from well head. | | | |
| 2. Depth to water was measured to nearest 0.01 ft without sounding the bottom. | | | |
| 3. Well volume was correctly determined. | | | |
| 4. Depth to water was measured at intervals during purging. Drawdown was stabilized so | | | |
| pumping rate matched recharge rate. | | | |
| 5. Pump or tubing was placed at top of water column. | | | |
| 6. Generator was positioned downwind from well, if applicable. | | | |
| 7. Whenever possible, a variable-speed pump was used. | | | |
| 8. If a centrifugal pump (purging only) or submersible pump (purging or sampling) was used, | | | |
| a check valve was installed to prevent backflow. | | | |
| 9. If a peristaltic pump was used, a 1-foot max length of silicone tubing was installed in the | | | |
| peristaltic pump head assembly. | | | |
| 10. A closed flow cell was used to measure stabilization.11. At least one well volume (plus storage tank, if applicable) was purged before beginning | | | |
| purge stabilization measurements and at least 1/4 well volume was purged between | | | |
| measurements. | | | |
| 12. Purging completion was measured as: | | | |
| • $DO \le 20\%$. If $DO \ge 20\%$, reasons were justified and consecutive measurements were | | | |
| within the greater of $\pm 0.2 \text{ mg/L}$ or 10% | | | |
| • Turbidity ≤ 20 NTU. If turbidity ≥ 20 NTU, reasons were justified and consecutive | | | |
| measurements were within the greater of \pm 5NTU or 10% | | | |
| And at least three consecutive measurements of the following parameters were within stated | | | |
| limits: | | | |
| • temperature $\pm 0.2^{\circ}$ C | | | |
| • $pH \pm 0.2$ su | | | |
| • specific conductance $\pm 5.0\%$ of reading | | | |
| 13. If well failed to meet stabilization criteria after 5 well volumes, all instruments, | | | |
| equipment, tubing, etc. were tested and found functional before collecting sample. | | | |
| 14. Low permeability well was purged at low flow rate. If well purged dry, well was allowed | | | 1 |
| to recover before sample was collected. | | | |
| 15. Pump and tubing decontaminated between wells or replaced at each well. | | | |
| 16. A new filter was properly flushed with sample water before collecting filtered samples. | | | |
| 17. For wells with in-place plumbing, purging and sampling was upstream of storage tanks | | | |
| where possible. | | | |
| 18. Flow rate was reduced to less than 500mL/minute (1/8" stream) or 0.1 gal/min before | | | |
| collecting samples. | | | |