# PFAS - Provisional Cleanup Target Levels and Screening Levels

Leah Stuchal, PhD and Steve Roberts, PhD Center for Environmental and Human Toxicology University of Florida

> Contaminated Media Forum September 2019



## PROVISIONAL GROUNDWATER CLEANUP TARGET LEVEL (GCTL)

- PFOA: 70 ppt (0.07 ug/L)
- PFOS: 70 ppt (0.07 ug/L)
- PFOA + PFOS: 70 ppt (0.07 ug/L) –recommendation based on similarity in effect and potency
- These were developed using the non-cancer GCTL equation in Chapter 62-777, F.A.C., the drinking water and body weight of a pregnant/lactating woman, and an oral RfD of 2E-05 mg/kg per day developed by the USEPA in their 2016 Drinking Water Health Advisory Levels documents. Because these assumptions are consistent with those utilized in the USEPA, the provisional GCTLs are equivalent to the HALs.

## PROVISIONAL SOIL CLEANUP TARGET LEVELS (SCTL)

- PFOA: 1.3 mg/kg residential; 25 mg/kg commercial/industrial; leachability 0.002 mg/kg.
- PFOS: 1.3 mg/kg residential, 25 mg/kg commercial/industrial; leachability 0.007 mg/kg.
- These were developed using the non-cancer SCTL equation in Chapter 62-777, F.A.C., chemical-specific properties, updated exposure factors (USEPA, 2014), and an oral RfD of 2E-05 mg/kg per day developed by the USEPA in their 2016 Drinking Water Health Advisory Levels documents.

#### PROVISIONAL IRRIGATION WATER SCREENING LEVELS (IWSL)

Chemical	Residential (ug/L)	Industrial (ug/L)
PFOA	6.7	750
PFOS	72	370

 Derived using the irrigation water equations developed by the University of Florida in the January 14, 2009 IWSL letter. Uses updated assumptions from the 2011 Exposure Factors Handbook (June 28, 2016 letter) and an oral RfD of 2E-05 mg/kg-d.

## SURFACE WATER SCREENING LEVELS

- For the protection of human health, surface water screening levels for the consumption of freshwater and estuarine finfish and shellfish include:
  - 0.150 ug/L for PFOA
  - 0.004 ug/L for PFOS
- Developed in consultation with the Division of Environmental Assessment and Restoration (DEAR).

#### HUMAN HEALTH METHODOLOGY

 We used a modified equation from the USEPA for the calculation of fish consumption limits based on concentrations of contaminants in fish tissue. We modified it by removing the drinking water component.

$$SWSL \ (\mu g/L) = RfD \times RSC \times \left(\frac{BW}{FI \times BAF}\right) \times CF$$

Parameter	PFOA	PFOS	Source
Reference dose (mg/kg d)	2E-05	2E-05	Drinking water HALs
Body weight (kg)	75	75	Exposure Factors Handbook
Relative source contribution	0.2	0.2	Drinking water HALs
Freshwater and estuarine finfish and shellfish consumption rate (kg/d)	0.029	0.029	NHANES 2003-2010
Bioaccumulation factor (L/kg)	68	2358	Literature review

## FINFISH CONSUMPTION RATE

Region	NHANES 90 <sup>th</sup> Percentile freshwater and estuarine finfish and shellfish consumption (g/d)	Percentage of Florida population in each region	Weighted consumption (g/d)
South	26.3	23.6	6.2
<b>Gulf of Mexico</b>	28.6	31.6	9.0
Atlantic	30.8	44.8	13.8
	Total weighted consumption 29.0		

#### **BIOACCUMULATION FACTOR**

Bioaccumulation Factor (L/kg)	PFOA	PFOS
Freshwater and estuarine finfish and shellfish		

- The BAF for PFOA of 68 L/kg is the geometric mean concentration of 12 BAFs. These BAFs represent 12 different species in 3 different studies.
- The BAF for PFOS of 2358 L/kg is the geometric mean concentration of 16 BAFs. These BAFs represent 16 species in 4 different studies.

#### ECOLOGICAL SURFACE WATER SCREENING LEVELS

Chemical	SW screening levels (ug/L)
PFOA in freshwater	1,300
PFOA in marine water	140-460
PFOS in freshwater	37
PFOS in marine water	13

- Current methodology promulgated in Chapter 62-777, F.A.C. is a simplified version of the the USEPA derivation of ambient water quality criteria for the protection of aquatic life.
  Briefly, the lowest LC<sub>50</sub> or IC<sub>25</sub> value from non-salmonids is divided by 20.
  - Uses only one toxicity study to develop the screening level
  - Uses a default acute to chronic ratio of 20

#### METHOD

- I. A search was performed in the USEPA's ECOTOX database for aquatic toxicity data.
- 2. Only North American species were retained for the analysis. Salmonids were not excluded as they represent a sensitive species.
- 3. The dataset was divided into acute toxicity data, chronic toxicity data, plant data. An acute study was defined as a toxicity study with a duration less than or equal to 96 hours and the chronic study was defined as a toxicity study with a duration greater than or equal to 21 days. Toxicity data with test length between 4 (96 hours) and 21 days were not utilized.

# ADJUSTMENTS TO ACUTE TOXICITY DATA

- 4. Adjustments:
  - For daphnia and water fleas: i) all acute test data from a duration less than 48 hours were removed and ii) only LC<sub>50</sub> and EC<sub>50</sub> data were retained
  - For bivalves: i) all acute test data from a duration less than 48 hours were removed and ii) only LC<sub>50</sub> and EC<sub>50</sub> data were retained
  - For all other animals: only LC<sub>50</sub> and EC<sub>50</sub> data were retained. All other endpoints were removed.

#### TIER I CRITERIA DEVELOPMENT

For freshwater, the USEPA requires the results of acceptable acute tests with at least one species of freshwater animal in eight different families such that all of the following are included:

- The family Salmonidae
- A second family in the class Osteichthyes
- A third family in the phylum Chordata
- A planktonic crustacean
- A benthic crustacean
- An insect
- A family in a phylum other than Arthropoda or Chordata
- A family in any order of insect or any phylum not already represented

For marine water, the USEPA requires the results of acceptable acute tests with at least one species of marine animal in eight different families such that all of the following are included:

- Two families in the phylum Chordata
- A family in a phylum other than Arthropoda or Chordata
- The Mysidae or Penaeidae family
- Three other families not in the phylum Chordata
- Any other family

## SCREENING FOR TIER I CRITERIA DEVELOPMENT

- The acute datasets were screened to determine whether Tier I criteria could be developed for PFOA and PFOS.
- The PFOA freshwater acute data have six of the eight criteria. Benthic crustaceans and insects are not represented in the dataset. The PFOS freshwater acute data have five of the eight criteria. Benthic crustaceans, insects, and an extra phylum are not represented.
- There are no eligible PFOA acute marine water data. The PFOS acute marine water data has one of the eight criteria — the data include the family Mysidae.
- Tier I criteria could not be developed.

## CALCULATION OF TIER II CRITERIA

- Because it was not possible to calculate Tier I criteria, Tier II criteria (secondary criteria) were developed using the USEPA methodology from the Great Lakes Initiative (USEPA, 1995).
- To calculate the Tier II secondary acute values (SAVs):
  - A species mean acute value (SMAV) was calculated for each species. The SMAV is the geometric mean of the acute toxicity data for an individual species.
  - The genus mean acute value (GMAV) was calculated for each genus. The GMAV is the geometric mean of the SMAVs for all species in the genus. If only one species from the genus is present, the SMAV for that species becomes the GMAV.
  - The lowest GMAV is divided by the secondary acute factor (SAF)

#### SECONDARY ACUTE FACTOR

The secondary acute factor is an uncertainty factor used to adjust the acute value. The less criteria that are satisfied, the higher the SAF.

Number of USEPA Tier I criteria satisfied	Secondary Acute Factor
Ι	21.9
2	13.0
3	8.0
4	7.0
5	6.1
6	5.2
7	4.3

# SECONDARY ACUTE VALUE

Chemical	Secondary Acute Value (µg/L)
PFOA freshwater	20,000
<b>PFOA</b> marine water	ND
PFOS freshwater	530
PFOS marine water	210

## SCREEN CHRONIC DATASET

- Based on the methodology in the USEPA's guidance for deriving numerical national water quality criteria (USEPA, 1985), only chronic toxicity tests using the following endpoints were used:
- For fish, acceptable endpoints include:
  - Survival
  - Growth
  - Maturation
  - Embryo vitality (salmonids)
  - Eggs spawned per female
  - Hatchability

- For daphnia, acceptable endpoints include:
  - Survival
  - Young per female
- For mysids, acceptable endpoints include:
  - Survival
  - Growth
  - Young per female
- For all others, only growth, reproduction, and survival data were considered
- Static tests (except for daphnia) were also omitted from the dataset

## SCREEN FOR TIER I CRITERIA DEVELOPMENT

- The datasets were screened to determine whether Tier I criteria could be developed for the PFOA and PFOS freshwater chronic datasets.
- The PFOA chronic freshwater data have three of eight criteria salmonids, planktonic crustacean, and other phylum (Rotifera). The PFOS chronic freshwater data have six of the eight criteria. Benthic crustaceans and salmonids are not represented.
- No chronic PFOA marine water data. The PFOS chronic marine water data only has one of the eight criteria, i.e., the data include the family Mysidae.
- Because it was not possible to calculate Tier I chronic criteria, Tier II chronic criteria (secondary criteria) were developed using the acute-to-chronic ratio (ACR) methodology

## DEVELOPING AN ACUTE-TO-CHRONIC RATIO

- 1. The acute toxicity value is divided by the chronic toxicity value conducted as part of the same study or the same laboratory. If more than one acute or chronic toxicity value was available from the same laboratory, the geometric mean value was used to calculate the ACR.
- 2. If acute and chronic data are not available from the same laboratory, a different laboratory may be used.
- 3. For each category (fish, invertebrate, and sensitive species) a geometric mean ACR is calculated from the species-specific ACRs.
- 4. If these ACRs are not available for all three categories of species, a default ACR of 18 is used for each category where an ACR cannot be calculated.

## ACUTE-TO-CHRONIC RATIOS

Chemical	Fish ACR	Invertebrate ACR	Sensitive Species ACR	Geometric Mean ACR
PFOA in freshwater	18*	11.2	17.7	15.3
<b>PFOA</b> in marine water	ND	ND	ND	ND
PFOS in freshwater	18*	9.4	18*	14.5
PFOS in marine water	18*	11.8	18*	15.6

\* - the default ACR of 18 was used; ND - not determined

## CALCULATING A CHRONIC VALUE

Secondary chronic value =  $\frac{Secondary acute value}{Acute - to - chronic ratio}$ 

Chemical	Secondary Acute Value (µg/L)	Geometric Mean ACR	Secondary Chronic Value (µg/L)
<b>PFOA</b> in freshwater	20,000	15.3	I,300
<b>PFOA</b> in marine water	ND	ND	ND
<b>PFOS</b> in freshwater	530	14.5	37
<b>PFOS</b> in marine water	210	15.6	13

ND - no acceptable toxicity data are available

#### PLANT TOXICITY

- Based on the USEPA methodology (USEPA, 1985), only the following data were used to calculate a final plant value (FPV):
- Acute 96-hour toxicity tests conducted with algae
- Chronic tests conducted with aquatic vascular plants

Chemical	Final Plant Value (µg/L)
PFOA in freshwater	6,250
PFOA in marine water	ND
<b>PFOS</b> in freshwater	5,300
PFOS in marine water	3,200

ND - no acceptable plant toxicity data are available

## SECONDARY CHRONIC CRITERIA

Lower of the secondary chronic value and the plant value. In all cases, the secondary chronic value was lower.

	Secondary Chronic Criterion
Chemical	<b>(μg/L)</b>
<b>PFOA</b> in freshwater	1,300
<b>PFOA</b> in marine water	ND
<b>PFOS</b> in freshwater	37
<b>PFOS</b> in marine water	13

ND – no acceptable toxicity data are available

#### PFOA IN MARINE WATER – METHOD I

- The fist method is a modification of the Tier II screening value method. In this method, all toxicity data are retained. This allows the use of the acute toxicity data for PFOA in marine water.
- Followed USEPA Tier II methodology for the rest of the derivation.
- Acute screening value = 2,600 ug/L
- No chronic toxicity was available. Therefore, no ACR could be developed, so the default of 18 was used.
- Chronic screening value = 140 ug/L

#### PFOA IN MARINE WATER – METHOD 2

- Used the ratio of PFOS toxicity values between marine and freshwater as a surrogate for the ratio of PFOA toxicity values between marine and freshwater. This method is based on the data-bridging approach for aquatic toxicity (USEPA, 2010).
- The secondary chronic criterion for PFOS in freshwater of 37 µg/L is 2.8 times larger than the secondary chronic criterion for PFOS in marine water of 13 µg/L. The aquatic toxicity of PFOA is less than PFOS and PFOA has a secondary chronic criterion in freshwater of 1,300 µg/L.
- Assuming the same ratio between marine and freshwater toxicity, PFOA in marine water would have a screening value of 460 μg/L.

#### SEDIMENT – PROPOSED METHOD

Taken from Region 4 ERAGS guidance:

$$SSV\left(\frac{\mu g}{kg - \% OC}\right) = SWSL \times [K_{oc} \times f_{oc} + (\theta_m / \rho_w)]$$

Where:

- SSV = sediment screening value normalized to 1% organic carbon ( $\mu$ g/kg-%OC)
- SWSL = surface water screening level ( $\mu$ g/L), chemical-specific
- K<sub>oc</sub> = organic carbon partitioning coefficient (L/kg), chemical-specific
- f<sub>oc</sub> = fraction of organic carbon (0.01 for 1% OC)
- $\Theta_m$  = moisture of sediment by mass (0.3 for 30%)
- $\rho_w$  = density of water at 20°C (0.9982)