



# OPERATIONAL EVALUATION REPORT

## I. GENERAL INFORMATION

### A. Water System Information:

PWSID					
PWS Name					
PWS Address					
City		State		Zip Code	

### B. Report Prepared by:

Name					
Title					
Date Prepared					
Telephone	-	-	Email		

## II. MONITORING RESULTS

A. Provide the compliance monitoring location where the operational evaluation level (OEL) was exceeded (if there was more than one location where the OEL was exceeded, attach an additional copy of Page 1, and complete Items II.A. and II.B. for each additional location).

*Note: The location name or number should correspond to a location name or number in your Stage 2 D/DBPR compliance monitoring plan required under 40 CFR 141.622.*

### B. Monitoring Results for the Location Identified in Item II.A.

1. Check TTHM and/or HAA5 to indicate which result(s) caused the OEL exceedance:      TTHM      HAA5
2. Enter your results for TTHM and/or HAA5 (whichever you checked above).

	Result from			D=(2A+B+C)/4
	Result from	Result from Previous Quarter	Result from 2	
Date sample was collected	/ /	/ /	/ /	
TTHM (µg/L)				
HAA5 (µg/L)				

*\* The operational evaluation value is calculated by summing the two previous quarters' TTHM or HAA5 results plus twice the current quarter's TTHM or HAA5 result and then dividing by four. If the value exceeds 80 µg/L for TTHM or 60 µg/L for HAA5, an OEL exceedance has occurred.*

## III. OPERATIONAL EVALUATION FINDINGS

A. Is the Department allowing you to limit the scope of your operational evaluation (see the memorandum attached as Page 3)?      Yes      No

**If NO, proceed to Items III.B. through III.E. If YES, you may stop here.**

**OPERATIONAL EVALUATION REPORT (continued)**

PWSID

B. Did **distribution operational practices**—including storage tank operations, excess storage capacity, and distribution system flushing—cause or contribute to your OEL exceedance(s)?      Yes      No      Possibly

**If YES or POSSIBLY, explain (attach additional pages if necessary).**

*Note: Refer to Chapter 3 in the USEPA's Stage 2 D/DBPR Operational Evaluation Guidance Manual.*

C. Did **treatment operational practices**—including treatment changes or problems—cause or contribute to your OEL exceedance(s)?      Yes      No      Possibly

**If YES or POSSIBLY, explain (attach additional pages if necessary).**

*Note: Refer to Chapter 4 in the USEPA's Stage 2 D/DBPR Operational Evaluation Guidance Manual.*

D. Did **source water**—including changes in sources or source water quality—cause or contribute to your OEL exceedance(s)?      Yes      No      Possibly

**If YES or POSSIBLY, explain (attach additional pages if necessary).**

*Note: Refer to Chapter 5 in the USEPA's Stage 2 D/DBPR Operational Evaluation Guidance Manual.*

E. List steps that could be considered to minimize future OEL exceedances (attach additional pages if necessary).

# Florida Department of Environmental Protection

## Memorandum

---

TO: All Community or Non-Transient Non-Community Water Systems

FROM: Van R. Hoofnagle, P.E., Administrator  
Drinking Water Section

DATE: January 3, 2012

SUBJECT: Operational Evaluations

In accordance with 40 CFR 141.626(b)(2)(i) and (ii), the Department is allowing all water systems to limit the scope of any operational evaluation required for an operational evaluation level (OEL) exceedance that meets all of the following conditions:

- No maximum contaminant level violation occurs in conjunction with the OEL exceedance; and
- The OEL exceedance occurs in the third quarter of a calendar year; and
- The operational evaluation value for TTHM does not exceed 100 µg/L, and the operational evaluation level for HAA5 does not exceed 75 µg/L.

For each OEL exceedance that meets all of the above conditions, the Department is presuming, and water systems may presume, that summer air temperatures and resulting warmer water temperatures were the cause of the exceedance.