

# Florida Department of Environmental Protection

Marjory Stoneman Douglas Building 3900 Commonwealth Boulevard Tallahassee, Florida 32399-3000 Rick Scott Governor

Carlos Lopez-Cantera Lt. Governor

> Noah Valenstein Secretary

October 23, 2017

Steven Cutshaw Division of Recreation and Parks Department of Environmental Protection 3900 Commonwealth Boulevard, MS 525 Tallahassee, Florida 32399-3000

#### RE: Wekiva River Basin State Parks - Lease #2386, #3571, and #2950

Dear Mr. Cutshaw:

On October 20, 2017, the Acquisition and Restoration Council recommended approval of the Wekiva River Basin State Parks management plan. Therefore, the Division of State Lands, Office of Environmental Services, acting as agent for the Board of Trustees of the Internal Improvement Trust Fund, hereby approves the Wekiva River Basin State Parks management plan. The next management plan update is due October 20, 2027.

Approval of this land management plan does not waive the authority or jurisdiction of any governmental entity that may have an interest in this project. Implementation of any upland activities proposed by this management plan may require a permit or other authorization from federal and state agencies having regulatory jurisdiction over those particular activities. Pursuant to the conditions of your lease, please forward copies of all permits to this office upon issuance.

Sincerely,

Raymond V. Spaulding Office of Environmental Services Division of State Lands Department of Environmental Protection

Wekiva River Basin State Parks

# Approved Unit Management Plan

# STATE OF FLORIDA DEPARTMENT OF ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION

Division of Recreation and Parks October 2017



## TABLE OF CONTENTS

INTRODUCTION	1
PURPOSE AND SIGNIFICANCE OF THE PARK	1
Park Significance	2
PURPOSE AND SCOPE OF THE PLAN	7
MANAGEMENT PROGRAM OVERVIEW	9
Management Authority and Responsibility	9
Park Management Goals	9
Management Coordination	10
Public Participation	10
Other Designations	10

## **RESOURCE MANAGEMENT COMPONENT**

INTRODUCTION	13
RESOURCE DESCRIPTION AND ASSESSMENT	19
Natural Resources	19
Topography	19
Geology	20
Soils	20
Minerals	27
Hydrology	27
Natural Communities (FNAI)	42
Imperiled Species	86
Exotic and Nuisance Species	101
Special Natural Features	113
Cultural Resources	114
Condition Assessment	114
Level of Significance	114
Prehistoric and Historic Archaeological Sites	115
Historic Structures	117
Collections	119
RESOURCE MANAGEMENT PROGRAM	127
Management Goals, Objectives and Actions	127
Natural Resource Management	128
Hydrological Management	128
Natural Communities Management	131
Imperiled Species Management	143
Exotic Species Management	146
Cultural Resource Management	147
Special Management Considerations	150
Timber Management Analysis	150
Arthropod Control Plan	152
Resource Management Schedule	152
Land Management Review	152

## LAND USE COMPONENT

INTRODUCTION	. 155
EXTERNAL CONDITIONS	. 155
Existing Use of Adjacent Lands	. 156
Planned Use of Adjacent Lands	. 158
PROPERTY ANALYSIS	. 160
Recreational Resource Elements	. 160
Land Area	. 160
Water Area	. 161
Natural Scenery	. 162
Significant Habitat	. 162
Natural Features	. 163
Archaeological and Historic Features	. 164
Assessment of Use	. 165
Past Uses	. 165
Future Land Use and Zoning	. 166
Current Recreation Use and Visitor Programs	. 166
Other Uses	. 168
Protected Zones	. 168
Existing Facilities	. 168
Wekiwa Springs State Park Recreation Facilities	. 168
Wekiwa Springs State Park Support Facilities	. 169
Rock Springs Run State Reserve Recreation Facilities	. 169
Rock Springs Run State Reserve Support Facilities	. 170
Lower Wekiva River Preserve State Park Recreation Facilities	. 170
Lower Wekiva River Preserve State Park Support Facilities	. 170
CONCEPTUAL LAND USE PLAN	. 177
Potential Uses	. 177
Public Access and Recreational Opportunities	. 177
Proposed Facilities	. 180
Capital Facilities and Infrastructure	. 180
Facilities Development	. 184
Recreational Carrying Capacity	. 193
Optimum Boundary	. 195

## IMPLEMENTATION COMPONENT

MANAGEMENT PROGRESS	
Park Administration and Operations	
Resource Management	
Natural Resources	
Cultural Resources	
Recreation and Visitor Services	
Park Facilities	
MANAGEMENT PLAN IMPLEMENTATION	

## TABLES

<b>TABLE 1a</b> – Wekiwa Springs State Park Management Zones	14
<b>TABLE 1b</b> – Rock Springs Run State Reserve Management Zones	16
TABLE 1c - Lower Wekiva River Preserve State Park Management Zor	nes18
<b>TABLE 2</b> – Imperiled Species Inventory	95
TABLE 3 – Inventory of FLEPPC Category I and II Exotic Plant Species	s 104
<b>TABLE 4</b> – Cultural Sites Listed in the Florida Master Site File	121
<b>TABLE 5</b> – Prescribed Fire Management	132
<b>TABLE 6</b> – Recreational Carrying Capacity	194
TABLE 7 – Implementation Schedule and Cost Estimates	207-211

## MAPS

Vicinity Map	3
Reference Map	5
Wekiwa Springs State Park Management Zones Map	.21
Rock Springs Run State Reserve Management Zones Map	23
Lower Wekiva River Preserve State Park Management Zones Map	25
Wekiwa Springs State Park Soils Map	29
Rock Springs Run State Reserve Soils Map	31
Lower Wekiva River Preserve State Park Soils Map	33
Wekiwa Springs State Park Natural Communities Map	45
Rock Springs Run State Reserve Natural Communities Map	47
Lower Wekiva River Preserve State Park Natural Communities Map	49
Wekiwa Springs State Park Desired Future Conditions Map 1	35
Rock Springs Run State Reserve Desired Future Conditions Map1	37
Lower Wekiva River Preserve State Park Desired Future Conditions Map 1	39
Wekiwa Springs State Park Base Map 1	71
Rock Springs Run State Reserve Base Map 1	73
Lower Wekiva River Preserve State Park Base Map 1	75
Wekiwa Springs State Park Conceptual Land Use Plan1	85
Wekiwa Springs State Park Conceptual Land Use Plan Page 2 1	87
Rock Springs Run State Reserve Conceptual Land Use Plan 1	89
Lower Wekiva River Preserve State Park Conceptual Land Use Plan 1	91
Wekiwa Springs State Park Optimum Boundary Map 1	97
Rock Springs Run State Reserve Optimum Boundary Map1	99
Lower Wekiva River Preserve State Park Optimum Boundary Map2	201

## LIST OF ADDENDA

ADDENDUM 1			
Acquisition HistoryA	1	-	1
ADDENDUM 2			
Advisory Group Members and ReportA	2	-	1
ADDENDUM 3			
References CitedA	3	-	1
ADDENDUM 4			
Soil DescriptionsA	4	-	1
ADDENDUM 5			
Plant and Animal ListA	5	-	1

## LIST OF ADDENDA CONTINUED

6	-	1
7	-	1
8	-	1
9	-	1
	6 7 8 9	6 - 7 - 8 - 9 -

#### INTRODUCTION

The Wekiva River Basin State Parks encompass three contiguous properties within Florida's state park system: Wekiwa Springs State Park, Rock Springs Run State Reserve, and Lower Wekiva River Preserve State Park. These units of the Wekiva River Basin State Parks are located in Lake, Orange, Seminole, and Volusia Counties approximately 20 miles north of Orlando (see Vicinity Map). Because the parks are contiguous, with natural and cultural resources connected, the Wekiwa Springs State Park staff has management responsibilities for these units. This approach serves to acknowledge the presence of ecological and cultural units within the Wekiva Basin that extend beyond the boundary of any individual park. Access to the parks is from Interstate 4, exit 94 to State Road 434 and exit 101C to State Road 46 (see Reference Map). The Vicinity Map also reflects significant land and water resources existing near the park.

Wekiwa Springs State Park was initially acquired on April 30, 1969 with funds from the Land Acquisition Trust Fund (LATF). Currently, the park comprises 9,503.90 acres. The Board of Trustees of the Internal Improvement Trust Fund (Trustees) hold fee simple title to the park, and on September 15, 1969, the Trustees leased (Lease Number 2386) the property to the DRP under a 99-year lease. The current lease will expire on September 14, 2068.

Rock Springs Run State Reserve was initially acquired on March 10, 1983 with funds from the Conservation and Recreation Lands (CARL) program. Currently, the park comprises 14,164.82 acres. The Trustees hold fee simple title to the park, and on March 7, 2006, the Trustees leased (Lease Number 3571) the property to the DRP under a 50-year lease. The current lease will expire on March 6, 2056.

Lower Wekiva River Preserve State Park was initially acquired on August 19, 1976 with funds from the Environmentally Endangered Lands (EEL) program. Currently, the park comprises 17,374.83 acres. The Trustees hold fee simple title to the park, and on April 4, 1977, the Trustees leased (Lease Number 2950) the property to the DRP under a 99-year lease. The current lease will expire on April 3, 2076.

At the Wekiwa River Basin State Parks, public outdoor recreation and conservation is the designated single-use of the properties. There are no legislative or executive directives that constrain the use of this property (see Addendum 1).

#### Purpose and Significance of the Park

The purpose of the Wekiva River Basin State Parks is to protect vitally important sandhill natural communities and water resources such as the Wekiva River and Wekiwa Springs while providing outdoor recreation opportunities in one of the fastest growing and most visited regions of Florida.

### Park Significance

- The parks protect the Wekiva River, which is one of only two rivers in the state to be nationally designated as a Wild and Scenic River. The Wekiva River is considered one of the last remaining near-pristine riverine systems in the state and is a major tributary of the St. Johns River.
- The parks conserve springs that include Wekiwa, Barrel, and Witherington Springs, as well as the spring run originating from Rock Springs that flows into the Wekiwa Springs Run to form the upper Wekiva River.
- The parks serve as a vibrant wildlife corridor that connects through the Seminole State Forest to the Ocala National Forest. From north to south, this wildlife corridor stretches over 60 miles and provides crucial habitat for umbrella and keystone species such as the Florida black bear and gopher tortoise.
- In addition to the 44 imperiled animal species, the parks provide habitat for 19 imperiled plant species including hand ferns, giant orchids, and hooded pitcherplants. The Wekiva River Basin has the largest recognized population of the endangered star anise in the state.
- Given the diversity of natural communities, the parks are ideal locations for wildlife viewing and are a part of the Great Florida Birding Trail. The parks are also a hub for hiking, biking, equestrian, and paddling trails, with swimming and camping also being popular activities in a region of the state that has a high demand for outdoor recreational opportunities.

Wekiwa Springs State Park is classified as a state park in the DRP's unit classification system. In the management of a state park, a balance is sought between the goals of maintaining and enhancing natural conditions and providing various recreational opportunities. Natural resource management activities are aimed at management of natural systems. Development in the park is directed toward providing public access to and within the park, and to providing recreational facilities, in a reasonable balance, that are both convenient and safe. Program emphasis is on interpretation on the park's natural, aesthetic and educational attributes.

Rock Springs Runs State Reserve is managed according to a Multiple Agency Management Lease granting management authority to the Division of Recreation and Parks and the Florida Fish and Wildlife Conservation Commission. Management responsibilities are as follows:

1. The DRP shall be the primary managing agency. As such, it shall coordinate and oversee all activities on the property.





2. The Florida Fish and Wildlife Conservation Commission shall, in coordination with the DRP, provide specific management recommendations and protection for all wildlife, including threatened and endangered species; establish, implement, and control such hunting activities as may be desired; assist the DRP in providing required law enforcement to prevent poaching to protect threatened and endangered species, and to protect archaeological and historic sites from looting and other unauthorized activities.

Lower Wekiva River Preserve State Park is classified as a state preserve in the DRP's unit classification system. In the management of a state preserve, preservation and enhancement of natural conditions is all important. Resource considerations are given priority over user considerations and development is restricted to the minimum necessary for ensuring its protection and maintenance, limited access, user safety and convenience, and appropriate interpretation. Permitted uses are primarily of a passive nature, related to the aesthetic, educational and recreational enjoyment of the preserve, although other compatible uses are permitted in limited amounts. Program emphasis is placed on interpretation of the natural and cultural attributes of the preserve.

## Purpose and Scope of the Plan

This plan serves as the basic statement of policy and direction for the management of the Wekiva River Basin State Parks as units of Florida's state park system. It identifies the goals, objectives, actions and criteria or standards that guide each aspect of park administration, and sets forth the specific measures that will be implemented to meet management objectives and provide balanced public utilization. The plan is intended to meet the requirements of Sections 253.034 and 259.032, Florida Statutes, Chapter 18-2, Florida Administrative Code, and is intended to be consistent with the State Lands Management Plan. With approval, this management plan will replace the 2005 approved plan.

The plan consists of three interrelated components: Resource Management Component, Land Use Component and Implementation Component. The Resource Management Component provides a detailed inventory and assessment of the natural and cultural resources of the park. Resource management needs and issues are identified, and measurable management objectives are established for each of the park's management goals and resource types. This component provides guidance on the application of such measures as prescribed burning, exotic species removal, imperiled species management, cultural resource management and restoration of natural conditions.

The Land Use Component is the recreational resource allocation plan for the park. Based on considerations such as access, population, adjacent land uses, the natural and cultural resources of the park, and current public uses and existing development, measurable objectives are set to achieve the desired allocation of the physical space of the park. These objectives identify use areas and propose the types of facilities and programs as well as the volume of public use to be provided. The Implementation Component consolidates the measurable objectives and actions for each of the park's management goals. An implementation schedule and cost estimates are included for each objective and action. Included in this table are (1) measures that will be used to evaluate the DRP's implementation progress, (2) timeframes for completing actions and objectives and (3) estimated costs to complete each action and objective.

All development and resource alteration proposed in this plan is subject to the granting of appropriate permits, easements, licenses, and other required legal instruments. Approval of the management plan does not constitute an exemption from complying with the appropriate local, state or federal agencies.

In the development of this plan, the potential of the park to accommodate secondary management purposes was analyzed. These secondary purposes were considered within the context of the DRP's statutory responsibilities and the resource needs and values of the park. This analysis considered the park natural and cultural resources, management needs, aesthetic values, visitation and visitor experiences. For this park, it was determined that timber management for natural community management and restoration activities could be accommodated in a manner that would not interfere with the primary purpose of resource-based outdoor recreation and conservation. These compatible secondary management purposes are addressed in the Resource Management Component of the plan.

Uses such as water resource development projects, water supply projects, stormwater management projects, linear facilities and sustainable agriculture and forestry (other than those forest management activities specifically identified in this plan) are not consistent with this plan.

The potential for generating revenue to enhance management was also analyzed. Visitor fees and charges are the principal source of revenue generated by the park. It was determined that timber management as part of the park's natural community management and restoration activities would be appropriate at this park as additional sources of revenue for land management since they are compatible with the park's primary purpose of resource-based outdoor recreation and conservation.

DRP may provide the services and facilities outlined in this plan either with its own funds and staff or through an outsourcing contract. Private contractors may provide assistance with natural resource management and restoration activities or a concessionaire may provide services to park visitors in order to enhance the visitor experience. For example, a concessionaire could be authorized to sell merchandise and food and to rent recreational equipment for use in the park. A concessionaire may also be authorized to provide specialized services, such as interpretive tours, or overnight accommodations when the required capital investment exceeds that which DRP can elect to incur. Decisions regarding outsourcing, contracting with the private sector, the use of concessionaires, etc. are made on a case-by-case basis in accordance with the policies set forth in DRP's Operations Manual (OM).

#### **Management Program Overview**

#### Management Authority and Responsibility

In accordance with Chapter 258, Florida Statutes and Chapter 62D-2, Florida Administrative Code, the Division of Recreation and Parks (DRP) is charged with the responsibility of developing and operating Florida's recreation and parks system. These are administered in accordance with the following policy:

It shall be the policy of the Division of Recreation and Parks to promote the state park system for the use, enjoyment, and benefit of the people of Florida and visitors; to acquire typical portions of the original domain of the state which will be accessible to all of the people, and of such character as to emblemize the state's natural values; conserve these natural values for all time; administer the development, use and maintenance of these lands and render such public service in so doing, in such a manner as to enable the people of Florida and visitors to enjoy these values without depleting them; to contribute materially to the development of a strong mental, moral, and physical fiber in the people; to provide for perpetual preservation of historic sites and memorials of statewide significance and interpretation of their history to the people; to contribute to the tourist appeal of Florida.

The Board of Trustees of the Internal Improvement Trust Fund (Trustees) has granted management authority of certain sovereign submerged lands to the DRP under Management Agreement MA 68-086 (as amended January 19, 1988). The management area includes a 400-foot zone from the edge of mean high water where a park boundary borders sovereign submerged lands fronting beaches, bays, estuarine areas, rivers or streams. Where emergent wetland vegetation exists, the zone extends waterward 400 feet beyond the vegetation. The agreement is intended to provide additional protection to resources of the park and nearshore areas and to provide authority to manage activities that could adversely affect public recreational uses.

Many operating procedures are standardized system-wide and are set by internal direction. These procedures are outlined in the OM that covers such areas as personnel management, uniforms and personal appearance, training, signs, communications, fiscal procedures, interpretation, concessions, public use regulations, resource management, law enforcement, protection, safety and maintenance.

#### Park Management Goals

The following park goals express DRP's long-term intent in managing the state park:

• Provide administrative support for all park functions.

- Protect water quality and quantity in the park, restore hydrology to the extent feasible and maintain the restored condition.
- Restore and maintain the natural communities/habitats of the park.
- Maintain, improve or restore imperiled species populations and habitats in the park.
- Remove exotic and invasive plants and animals from the park and conduct needed maintenance-control.
- Protect, preserve and maintain the cultural resources of the park.
- Provide public access and recreational opportunities in the park.
- Develop and maintain the capital facilities and infrastructure necessary to meet the goals and objectives of this management plan.

## Management Coordination

The park is managed in accordance with all applicable laws and administrative rules. Agencies having a major or direct role in the management of the park are discussed in this plan.

The Florida Department of Agriculture and Consumer Services (FDACS), Florida Forest Service (FFS), assists DRP staff in the development of wildfire emergency plans and provides the authorization required for prescribed burning. The Florida Fish and Wildlife Conservation Commission (FWC) assists staff in the enforcement of state laws pertaining to wildlife, freshwater fish and other aquatic life existing within the park. In addition, the FWC aids DRP with wildlife management programs, including imperiled species management. The Florida Department of State (FDOS), Division of Historical Resources (DHR) assists staff to ensure protection of archaeological and historical sites. The Florida Department of Environmental Protection (DEP), Florida Coastal Office (FCO) aids staff in aquatic preserves management programs.

## Public Participation

DRP provided an opportunity for public input by conducting a public meeting and an advisory group meeting to present the draft management plan to the public. These meetings were held on March 29, 2017 and March 30, 2017, respectively. Meeting notices were published in the Florida Administrative Register (March 21, 2017: Vol. 43/No. 55), included on the Department Internet Calendar, posted in clear view at the park, and promoted locally. The purpose of the advisory group meeting is to provide the advisory group members an opportunity to discuss the draft management plan (see Addendum 2).

## Other Designations

The Wekiva River Basin State Parks are not within an Area of Critical State Concern as defined in Section 380.05, Florida Statutes, and it is not presently under study for such designation. The park is a component of the Florida Greenways and Trails System, administered by the Department's Office of Greenways and Trails. All waters within the park have been designated as Outstanding Florida Waters, pursuant to Chapter 62-302, Florida Administrative Code. Surface waters in this park are also classified as Class III waters by the Department. This park is within the Wekiva River Aquatic Preserve as designated under the Florida Aquatic Preserve Act of 1975 (Section 258.35, Florida Statutes).

Rock Springs Run State Reserve is established as a Type 1 Wildlife Management Area by 39-14.002, Florida Administrative Code. This wildlife management area is governed by 39-15.004 and 39-15.065, Florida Administrative Code.

#### **RESOURCE MANAGEMENT COMPONENT**

#### Introduction

The Florida Department of Environmental Protection (DEP), Division of Recreation and Parks (DRP) in accordance with Chapter 258, Florida Statutes, has implemented resource management programs for preserving for all time the representative examples of natural and cultural resources of statewide significance under its administration. This component of the unit plan describes the natural and cultural resources of the park and identifies the methods that will be used to manage them. Management measures expressed in this plan are consistent with DEP's overall mission in ecosystem management. Cited references are contained in Addendum 3.

The DRP's philosophy of resource management is natural systems management. Primary emphasis is placed on restoring and maintaining, to the degree possible, the natural processes that shaped the structure, function and species composition of Florida's diverse natural communities as they occurred in the original domain. Single species management for imperiled species is appropriate in state parks when the maintenance, recovery or restoration of a species or population is complicated due to constraints associated with long-term restoration efforts, unnaturally high mortality or insufficient habitat. Single species management should be compatible with the maintenance and restoration of natural processes, and should not imperil other native species or seriously compromise park values.

The DRP's management goal for cultural resources is to preserve sites and objects that represent Florida's cultural periods, significant historic events or persons. This goal often entails active measures to stabilize, reconstruct or restore resources, or to rehabilitate them for appropriate public use.

Because park units are often components of larger ecosystems, their proper management can be affected by conditions and events that occur beyond park boundaries. Ecosystem management is implemented through a resource management evaluation program that assesses resource conditions, evaluates management activities and refines management actions, and reviews local comprehensive plans and development permit applications for park/ecosystem impacts.

The entire park is divided into management zones that delineate areas on the ground that are used to reference management activities (see Management Zones Map). The shape and size of each zone may be based on natural community type, burn zone, and the location of existing roads and natural fire breaks. It is important to note that all burn zones are management zones; however, not all management zones include fire-dependent natural communities. Table 1 reflects the management zones with the acres of each zone.

Table 1a. Wekiwa Springs State Park Management Zones			
Management Zone	Acreage	Managed with Prescribed Fire	Contains Known Cultural Resources
WS-01	129.12	Yes	Yes
WS-02	62.48	Yes	Yes
WS-03	49.86	Yes	No
WS-04A	30.76	Yes	No
WS-04B	24.37	Yes	No
WS-05	39.73	Yes	No
WS-06	27.52	Yes	No
WS-07A	14.15	Yes	No
WS-07B	12.46	Yes	No
WS-08A	8.61	Yes	No
WS-08B	11.48	Yes	No
WS-09	40.21	Yes	No
WS-11	23.89	Yes	No
WS-12	276.68	Yes	No
WS-14A	21.08	Yes	No
WS-14B	21.47	Yes	No
WS-15	16.66	Yes	No
WS-16	20.26	Yes	No
WS-17	48.93	Yes	No
WS-18	38.13	Yes	No
WS-19	56.10	Yes	No
WS-20	60.27	Yes	No
WS-21	77.77	Yes	No
WS-22	137.28	Yes	No
WS-23	223.43	Yes	No
WS-24	46.04	Yes	No
WS-25	87.34	Yes	No
WS-27	363.7	Yes	No
WS-28	111.64	Yes	Yes
WS-29	113.27	Yes	Yes
WS-30	374.92	Yes	No
WS-31	136.78	Yes	No
WS-32	218.81	Yes	Yes
WS-33	545.86	Yes	No
WS-34	686.41	Yes	Yes
WS-36	224.11	Yes	No
WS-37	72.31	Yes	No
WS-38	95.16	Yes	No
WS-39	7.6	Yes	No
WS-40	18.78	Yes	No
WS-41	15.74	Yes	No

Table 1a. Wekiwa Springs State Park Management Zones			
Management Zone	Acreage	Managed with Prescribed Fire	Contains Known Cultural Resources
WS-42	1,009.03	Yes	Yes
WS-44	10.29	Yes	No
WS-45	61.63	Yes	Yes
WS-46	653.27	Yes	No
WS-47	40.76	Yes	No
WS-48	126.53	No	No
WS-MW01/02	39.38	Yes	Yes
WS-MW03	63.98	Yes	Yes
WS-MW03TH	6.97	No	No
WS-MW04	13.41	Yes	No
WS-MW05	27.13	Yes	No
WS-MW06	15.65	Yes	Yes
WS-MW07	87.47	Yes	No
WS-MW08A	69.92	Yes	No
WS-MW08B	71.66	Yes	Yes
WS-MW08C	114.01	Yes	No
WS-MW08D	67.19	Yes	No
WS-MW09	14.38	Yes	No
WS-MW10	114.88	Yes	No
WS-MW11	68.01	Yes	No
WS-MW12	25.41	Yes	No
WS-MW13	9.48	Yes	No
WS-MW14	6.28	Yes	No
WS-MW15	13.38	Yes	No
WS-MW16	7.05	No	No
WS-MW17	6.22	No	No
WS-MW18	13.08	No	No
WS-NL1	165.6	Yes	No
WS-NL2	535.67	Yes	No
WS-NL3	371.09	Yes	Yes
WS-NL4	82.26	Yes	No
WS-NL5	2.81	Yes	No
WS-NL6	22.07	No	No
WS-NL7	14.23	No	No
WS-PP1	151.77	Yes	No
WS-PP2	40.36	Yes	No
WS-PP3	72.39	Yes	No
WS-PP4	47.77	Yes	No
WS-PP5	32.43	Yes	No
WS-RKS113	37.62	No	No
WS-RKS18	177.91	No	Yes

Table 1a. Wekiwa Springs State Park Management Zones			
Management Zone	Acreage	Managed with Prescribed Fire	Contains Known Cultural Resources
WS-RKS23	88.17	No	Yes
WS-RKS28/9	123.54	No	No

Table 1b. Rock Springs Run State Reserve Management Zones			
Management Zone	Acreage	Managed with Prescribed Fire	Contains Known Cultural
	400.14	Noo	Resources
RKS-001	423.14	Yes	
RKS-002	84.31	Yes	
RKS-003	142.97	Yes	
RKS-004	56.84	Yes	NO
RKS-005	146.87	Yes	NO No
RKS-006	61.27	Yes	NO
RKS-007	93.23	Yes	NO
RKS-008	106.84	Yes	NO
RKS-011	82.22	Yes	NO
RKS-012	1,493.75	Yes	Yes
RKS-014	140.44	Yes	No
RKS-015	119.04	Yes	No
RKS-016	130.33	Yes	No
RKS-017	1,278.97	Yes	Yes
RKS-018	1,566.68	Yes	Yes
RKS-023	393.20	Yes	No
RKS-024	591.35	Yes	No
RKS-025	123.28	Yes	No
RKS-026	312.31	Yes	No
RKS-027	136.44	Yes	No
RKS-028	905.25	Yes	No
RKS-051	31.08	Yes	No
RKS-052	18.07	Yes	No
RKS-053	51.99	Yes	No
RKS-054A	855.94	Yes	Yes
RKS-054B	165.99	Yes	Yes
RKS-054C	725.43	Yes	Yes
RKS-055	157.77	Yes	No
RKS-058	85.61	Yes	No
RKS-067	124.43	Yes	No
RKS-068	86.94	Yes	No
RKS-069	36.61	Yes	No

Table 1b. Rock Springs Run State Reserve Management Zones				
Management Zone	Acreage	Managed with Prescribed Fire	Contains Known Cultural	
			Resources	
RKS-070	24.83	Yes	Yes	
RKS-071	13.15	Yes	Yes	
RKS-072	55.68	Yes	Yes	
RKS-073	230.68	Yes	Yes	
RKS-075	43.83	Yes	Yes	
RKS-077	17.78	Yes	No	
RKS-079	45.09	Yes	No	
RKS-080	70.14	Yes	No	
RKS-081	132.35	Yes	No	
RKS-089	88.04	Yes	No	
RKS-090	400.87	Yes	No	
RKS-092	91.84	Yes	No	
RKS-093	61.08	Yes	No	
RKS-097	74.31	Yes	No	
RKS-098	83.30	Yes	Yes	
RKS-099	69.72	Yes	No	
RKS-100	9.86	Yes	No	
RKS-101	16.06	Yes	No	
RKS-102	25.14	Yes	No	
RKS-104	6.77	Yes	No	
RKS-105	28.67	Yes	No	
RKS-106	26.43	Yes	No	
RKS-107	126.73	Yes	No	
RKS-109	27.05	Yes	No	
RKS-110	17.58	Yes	No	
RKS-111	11.89	Yes	No	
RKS-112	138.91	Yes	No	
RKS-113	774.99	Yes	No	
RKS-116	258.10	Yes	No	
RKS-117	112.74	Yes	No	
RKS-118	136.96	Yes	No	
RKS-120	60.49	Yes	No	
RKS-KT1	63.51	Yes	No	
RKS-KT2	19.39	Yes	No	
RKS-KT3	50.07	Yes	No	
RKS-KT4	19.12	Yes	No	

Table 1c. Lower Wekiva River Preserve State Park Management Zones					
Management			Contains		
		Managed with	Known		
Zone	Acreage	Prescribed Fire	Cultural		
			Resources		
LW-01	20.34	Yes	No		
LW-02	10.37	Yes	No		
LW-03	73.26	Yes	No		
LW-04	41.60	Yes	No		
LW-05	161.86	Yes	No		
LW-07	64.94	Yes	No		
LW-08	84.63	Yes	No		
LW-10A	55.98	Yes	No		
LW-10B	95.68	Yes	No		
LW-11	24.24	Yes	No		
LW-12	250.53	Yes	Yes		
LW-13	27.19	Yes	No		
LW-14	499.93	Yes	Yes		
LW-15	18.69	Yes	No		
LW-16	346.49	Yes	Yes		
LW-18	86.58	Yes	No		
LW-19	237.94	Yes	Yes		
LW-20	1,635.25	Yes	Yes		
LW-21	1,129.22	Yes	No		
LW-23	396.70	Yes	No		
LW-24	385.40	Yes	No		
LW-26	844.31	Yes	No		
LW-27	1,088.47	Yes	No		
LW-28	1,041.98	Yes	Yes		
LW-29	1,064.74	Yes	Yes		
LW-30	381.02	Yes	NO		
LW-31	450.65	Yes	NO		
LW-32	4,722.36	Yes	Yes		
LW-33	6.18	No	Yes		
LW-34	11.07	No	No		
LW-J02	51.79	Yes	No		
LW-J03	25.23	Yes	No		
LW-J04	71.40	Yes	No		
LW-J05	639.52	Yes	No		
LW-J06	15.12	Yes	No		
LW-J07	52.17	Yes	No		
LW-J08	49.93	Yes	No		
LW-J09	37.04	Yes	No		
LW-J10	24.66	Yes	No		
LW-J11	73.01	Yes	No		
LW-J12	40.71	Yes	No		

Table 1c. Lower Wekiva River Preserve State Park Management Zones					
Management Zone	Acreage	Managed with Prescribed Fire	Contains Known Cultural Resources		
LW-J13	16.22	Yes	No		
LW-SK01	71.72	Yes	No		
LW-SK02	296.70	Yes	No		
LW-SK03	209.92	Yes	No		
LW-SK04	114.03	Yes	No		
LW-SK05	113.27	Yes	No		
LW-SK06	82.08	Yes	No		
LW-SK07	20.27	No	No		
LW-unmapped	156.24	N/A	N/A		

#### **Resource Description and Assessment**

#### Natural Resources

### Topography

Wekiwa Springs State Park (WSSP), Rock Springs Run State Reserve (RSRSR) & Lower Wekiva River Preserve State Park (LWRPSP) comprise the Wekiva River Basin State Parks (WRBSP) system located in the Central Lake District (Brooks 1981a). This district is described with uplifted limestone of the Floridan Aquifer that lies uniformly below the surficial sands. This region contains some of the most effective recharge areas for the Floridan Aquifer. The southern portions of the Lower Wekiva River Preserve State Park (LWRPSP) lie within the Casselberry-Oviedo-Geneva-Chuluota Hills sub-district that is described with hills of elevations of less than 95 feet that are separated by terraced flatwoods and river swamps (Brooks 1981a). Portions of Wekiwa Springs State Park and Rock Springs Run State Reserve are included in the Apopka Hills sub-district with residual sandhills modified by karst processes and seaward deposits of the Mount Dora Ridge (Brooks 1981a). The Mount Dora Ridge is nearly level to rolling, with slopes between zero and eight percent, except in areas near sinkholes where the soils have slopes of nearly 25 percent. This ridge may represent an erosional remnant of the Hawthorn Delta and a relatively mature karst surface (Soil Conservation Service 1989a). The Mount Dora Ridge is an area of thick unconsolidated sediments overlying limestone (Scott and Hajishafie 1980) and areas of high groundwater recharge (25-31 cm/year, Wilson 1988).

Portions of all units are within the St. Johns Offset sub-district. This sub-district is an ancient portion of the St. Johns River Valley partially filled with Pleistocene estuarine deposits. Eocene limestone is near the surface and solution has contributed to the development of the broad valley (Brooks 1981a). A small area within the northern areas of LWRPSP lies within the Ocala Scrub sub-district that is primarily a paleo sand dune field. The eastern portion typically has elevations below 85 feet (Brooks 1981a). Wekiwa Springs State Park lies within the central or mid-peninsular geomorphic zone (White 1970). This zone is characterized by discontinuous highlands forming subparallel ridges. The ridges roughly parallel the present coastline and are separated by broad valleys or plains (Soil Conservation Service 1989b, 1990).

The Wekiva Plain is a flat river valley lowland associated with the Wekiva River and its tributaries. Elevations throughout this area range from five to 35 feet above National Geodetic Vertical Datum (NGVD). The limestone is generally close to the land surface beneath the Wekiva River and is exposed in Wekiwa Springs. Recharge in the river valley lowland is poor to negative, and discharge occurs over much of the area (Wilson 1988).

The general topography of Wekiwa River Basin State Parks varies from high sandy hills to low-flooded areas along Rock Springs Run, Wekiwa Springs Run, the St. Johns River and the Wekiva River. Elevations within the unit vary from approximately 15 feet above NGVD along the Wekiva River to 99 feet above NGVD in the unit's sandhill community with the highest elevation of 140 feet above NGVD within the Pine Plantation property associated with Wekiwa Springs State Park.

The topographic condition is generally undisturbed with the exception of three small borrow pits, two man-made lakes, and many raised tram beds derived from a narrow-gauge railroad system and logging activities.

## Geology

The unit is underlain by three different geological formations (Cooke 1945). In order from youngest to oldest, these deposits are Pleistocene, Miocene, and Eocene. The Pleistocene deposits are sand and shell with silty sand, silt and clay representing lagoonal and estuarine facies. The Miocene deposits are Hawthorn formation, Statenville type consisting of sand, silty sand and clay with phosphorite pebbles, granules, clast concentrations and replacements. The Eocene deposits are Ocala Limestone and are made up of skeletons of fossils in silt to sand size matrix where skeletons which were originally as aragonite are now molds (Brooks 1981b).

## Soils

There are many different soil types occurring in WRBSP (see Soils Maps). The U.S. Department of Agriculture, Soil Conservation Service (SCS), compiled this soil survey. Addendum 4 contains complete descriptions of the unit's soil types. Management activities will follow generally accepted best management practices to prevent soil erosion and conserve soil and water resources on site. Natural communities have specific soil types in which the community is found. For example, both Candler fine sands and Tavares fine sand are soil types that support sandhill communities. This information becomes important when altered landcover types (ex: semi-improved pastures) are being considered for restoration.





# ROCK SPRINGS RUN STATE RESERVE

N 0.25 0.5 0 Florida Department of Environmental Protection Division of Recreation and Parks Date of aerial; 2011

# MANAGEMENT ZONES MAP



LOWER WEKIVA RIVER PRESERVE STATE PARK N 0 0.25 0.5 1 Mile

### MANAGEMENT ZONES MAP

Florida Department of Environmental Protection Division of Recreation and Parks Date of aerial; 2011

The soils help to determine what the target community should be (basically what are the target plant species to plant). The soils maps have been used to determine desired future conditions for all Altered landcover types determined

## Minerals

With the exception of sand fill material, there are no known minerals of commercial value within the Wekiva River Basin State Parks.

## Hydrology

The variety in topography, soil types, and underlying geology within each unit contributes to the diverse assemblage of hydrologic features and regimes. Best known are the numerous flowing springs within these parks. There are at least 30 known springs that feed into the Wekiva River system. Many of them are situated within the parks while some are on nearby private property or other conservation lands. All flow into the Wekiva River system via one of the many tributaries of the main river, which ultimately flows into the St. Johns River approximately 15.2 (Wekiva River Aquatic preserve Management Plan, 2014) miles from its headwaters at Wekiwa Springs. Other hydrologic features include rainwater sheet flows across flatwoods, tannic stained blackwaters of riverine floodplains and hydric hammocks, seepage streams, semi-permanent ponds and lakes, and ephemeral wetlands. The following discussion includes primarily those systems that are notable for their natural conditions or for the restoration work conducted or needed.

#### Wekiwa Springs State Park

Lake Prevatt is the largest lacustrine feature of Wekiwa Springs State Park. This natural lake is located on the southwestern edge of WSSP, and a small outcove of the lake lies outside the park boundary. Current information suggests it is not a spring-fed system, but instead is closely tied to precipitation and runoff. In fact, the majority of its watershed is classified as urban runoff. The lake receives most of its flow from surface water runoff from developed areas located to the west and south of the park. The effect this influent has on this system is mostly unknown. More research could determine the utility of stormwater ponds in those significant areas of urban input.

Currently, limited water quality data is available for Lake Prevatt with most of the data collected from 2008 to present associated with the development of a Minimum Flows and Levels (MFL) regime for the lake. Two St. Johns River Water Management District (SJRWMD) groundwater monitoring wells were installed along the lake's southeastern shore in 2008 and since 2009 have provided nearly daily groundwater level measurements. The SJRWMD also installed a staff gauge in 2009 and record lake surface water levels approximately quarterly.

It appears as though land use changes outside of WSSP have contributed to altered hydroperiods in Lake Prevatt as well as other wetland systems within the park. Generally speaking, surface water now, compared to historic conditions, enters the

park in larger quantities and much more quickly following storm events. For example, Lake Prevatt contained almost no surface water in early 2013 due to the extended drought. However, by August of 2013, surface water levels approached the maximum. Anthropogenic hydrologic alterations will undoubtedly have an immense impact to many ecosystems throughout the Wekiva Basin.

The recently-acquired Neighborhood Lakes property includes hydrologic features such as semi-permanent ponds and lakes, and ephemeral wetlands. The largest hydrological features are known as the Neighborhood Lakes and have been categorized under the Florida Natural Areas Inventory (FNAI) natural community classification system as marsh lakes. Two other marsh lakes along the Lake County boundary are part of the Neighborhood Lakes chain. With the exception of a lack of fire management, the lakes do not appear to have been altered in any way. There are also at least two sinkhole lake features on this section of property. All of these features will potentially be impacted by the footprint of the Wekiva Parkway, which is in the early phases of construction now. The DRP will continue to work with the Orlando-Orange County Expressway Authority (OOCEA), the Florida Department of Transportation (FDOT) and the SJRWMD throughout the road project to ensure that once complete, the parkway maintains the overall important hydrology of the Neighborhood Lakes property.

Historically a large storm water culvert, which drained surface runoff from Wekiva Springs Road and surrounding residential developments (the historic natural drainage area), has discharged into the southeast corner of WSSP (Management Zone WS-01). Trash, lawn chemicals, road runoff and exotic plant propagules typically entered the unit through this culvert. The result of the culvert, therefore, was heavy erosion problems for this area. In 2004, SJRWMD funded, designed and permitted construction of a storm water pond within WS-01 to address these inherent problems. Seminole County supervised the project. Hydrologic alterations associated with the construction and operation of this pond are thought to have contributed to a significant die-off event of mature longleaf pines in the adjacent area from about 2007-2009. There is also a persistent invasive exotic plant problem in the area near the pond. While the installation of the pond improved some storm water issues, it created some new problems and challenges at the same time.

Another unnamed creek that originates as a marsh lies just east of Rock Springs Road (State Road 435). This creek flows mostly on state lands. Upon entering the park, it passes through bayheads and pine flatwoods before exiting the park briefly into Wekiva Glen subdivision. The creek continues its track north and east back into the park, passing through sandhills and upland mixed forest before discharging into Lake Prevatt. The quality of the water in this creek is fully dependent on inputs from immediately outside the unit where it originates as a marsh.

Another contributing influent originates in Lake McCoy, a local area lake in Apopka. Water tracks from Lake McCoy to Lake Coroni, and under extremely high water conditions, Lake Coroni discharges through a culvert under Welch Road and into a small-unnamed pond on the McCall Tract in WS-47. This pond discharges through an artificially created ditch to Lake Prevatt under very high water conditions.


WEKIWA SPRINGS STATE PARK

0.5 Florida Department of Environmental Protection Division of Recreation and Parks Date of aerial; 2011

2 Miles

# SOILS MAP

Legend
Orange, Seminole & Lake counties
1-O -Arents, nearly level
1-L -Arents, nearly level
1-L -Sparr sand, 0 to 5 percent slopes
2-O -Archbold fine sand, 0 to 5 percent slopes
3-O -Basinger fine sand, depressional
3-L -Anclote fine sand
3-L -Basinger fine sand, depressional
4-L -Anclote and Myakka soils
4-O -Candler fine sand, 0 to 5 percent slopes
12-O -Emeralda and Holopaw fine sands, frequently flooded
12-L -Cassia sand
13-O -Felda line sand
14-0 -Felda line sand, occasionally hooded
17-0 -Floridana mucky fine sand depressional
17-0 - Arents
19-L -Bluff and Manatee soils frequently flooded
20-O -Immokalee fine sand
20-L -Immokalee sand
23-O -Malabar fine sand
23L-L -Nittaw, Okeelanta, and Basinger soils, frequently flooded
26-O -Ona fine sand
26-L -Manatee fine sand, depressional
28-L -Myakka sand
28-L -Pompano fine sand, occasionally flooded
29-L -Myakka and Placid sand, 2 to 8 percent slopes
31-L -Ocoee mucky peat
32-L -Oklawaha muck
34-O -Pomello fine sand, 0 to 5 percent slopes
34-L -Orlando fine sand, 0 to 5 percent slopes
34-L -Pomello fine sand, 0 to 5 percent slopes
35-L -Paola sand, 0 to 5 percent slopes
37-0 -St. Johns fine sand
37-L -St. Johns fine sand
30-L -Placid sand, depressional
41-0 -Samsula-Hontoon-Basinger association depressional
41-1 -Pomello sand 0 to 5 percent slopes
42-O -Sanibel muck
42-L -Pompano sand
43-L -St. Lucie sand. 0 to 5 percent slopes
44-O -Smyrna fine sand
44-L -Swamp
45-L -Tavares sand, 0 to 5 percent slopes
46-O -Tavares fine sand, 0 to 5 percent slopes
46-L -Orsino sand
47-O -Tavares-Millhopper fine sands, 0 to 5 percent slopes
48-L -Wabasso sand
49-L -Wauchula sand
50-L -Borrow Pits
53-O -Wauberg fine sand
6-O -Candler-Apopka fine sands, 5 to 12 percent slopes
8-L -Candler sand, 0 to 5 percent slopes
99-O -Water
THE REPORT OF TH



# ROCK SPRINGS RUN STATE RESERVE

bing

0.25 0.5 Florida Department of Environmental Protection Division of Recreation and Parks Date of aerial; 2011

SOILS MAP



### LOWER WEKIVA RIVER PRESERVE STATE PARK

0 0.25 0.5 1 Mile

SOILS MAP

Florida Department of Environmental Protection Division of Recreation and Parks Date of aerial; 2011

Although mapped on the (United States Geological Survey) USGS Quad sheet as a tributary from Lake Prevatt, Carpenter Branch is an on-site water source that receives large portions of its drainage from the sandhill area of the park and drains northeasterly to Mill Creek. Mill Creek drains in a southeasterly direction from its headwaters in management zone WS-29 at Witherington Spring, one of several springs found on the unit. Witherington Spring is a third magnitude spring with more than one pool. Its largest boil is about 60 feet in diameter and has an average discharge of approximately 4 cubic feet per second (cfs). Mill Creek then bisects the park's interior and empties into the lagoon below Wekiwa Springs.

Wekiwa Springs forms the largest spring pool in the unit. At an elevation of approximately 25 feet above sea level, the springs form the headwaters of the 15.2-mile long Wekiva River, a tributary of the St. Johns River. The springs are located at the base of a grassy hillside used by park visitors for picnicking and recreation. The spring pool is kidney-shaped, and it measures 105 feet in diameter (Scott et al. 2004). The main vent/fissure is situated in an east-west orientation in the southeastern portion of the pool (Scott et al. 2004). It is a 35-foot-long fissure in exposed limestone. Average depth over this vent is 13.7 feet (Scott et al. 2004). The secondary vent is located 100 feet to the east-northeast of the main vent, and it is approximately two feet high and 15 feet wide. The spring bottom is sandy and averages 5 feet deep. A sidewalk and small retaining wall with access steps for swimmers encompass the springs, and a wooden footbridge is located 200 feet downstream from the main pool. Although the Wekiva River supports a diverse plant community, the diversity of aquatic vegetation at the springs discharge location and in the spring swimming area is limited primarily due to flow regimes and recreational use and biomass fluctuates seasonally (Wekiva River Aquatic Preserve Management Plan, 2014).

Discharge at Wekiwa Springs has been measured and monitored by the USGS and the SJRWMD. Flow data for Wekiwa Springs exists from as early as 1932 to present and SJRWMD currently performs bimonthly discharge measurements. In addition, discharge is also interpolated continuously from stage elevation and water levels measured in a nearby Floridan Aquifer well. Over the period of record from 1932-2010, the maximum measured discharge of 92.00cfs (59.46mgd) occurred in October 1960; the minimum discharge of 29.36cfs (18.98mgd) occurred in November 1985. The lowest periods of discharge correspond to periods of below normal rainfall in Florida. The mean and median discharges for the period 1932-2010 are 66cfs (43.1mgd) and 66.51cfs (42.99mgd), respectively (SJRWMD 2013). Recent flow measurements have been well below long-term averages, at about 32mgd. Flow rates vary over the period of record but the overall general trend is a gradual decline in flow.

From the Wekiwa Springs pool, water flows northeast in a run approximately 60 feet wide (Rosenau *et al.* 1977). Floodplain swamp and hydric hammock border the run. The spring-run flows for approximately one-half mile before it intersects with Rock Springs Run, and these two spring-runs, together with several other smaller tributaries, form the Wekiva River.

The Wekiva River runs in a northeasterly direction for approximately 15.2 miles and discharges into the St. Johns River. The Wekiva River is a major route of surface water drainage and its flow is comprised of artesian spring flow, small creeks, and surface drainage and storm water runoff.

Located just north of the main park drive within management zone WS-12, in a low seepage area approximately 0.75 miles west of Wekiwa Springs, is a seep historically known as Barrel Spring (Rosenau *et al.* 1977). Recent repeated attempts have failed to locate a boil for Barrel Spring. Although named Barrel Spring, it is actually a seep. The SJRWMD measured discharge in 1995 and 1997 at 0.25cfs and 0.27cfs, respectively.

Sand Lake, a borrow pit constructed in the mid-1960s, occurs at Wekiwa Springs State Park in management zone WS-42. The pit was believed to have flooded when an artesian spring was uncovered although some evidence suggests that there may be one or more flowing artesian wells in the pond bottom that also provide some inflow. Additional inflow to the lake is primarily through groundwater seepage and surface runoff. Sand Lake drains into the Wekiwa lagoon through an unnamed creek just below the bridge across the main springs. Flow through this creek is intermittent at the outfall from Sand Lake and at times is completely dry. This suggests that the flow from the artesian spring and/or well(s) within the lake may be very low. Future work should be conducted to determine the exact source(s) and level of flows entering the lake and to evaluate the costs, benefits, and feasibility of capping the artesian flow. Currently, Sand Lake is a recreational area in the park where visitors can picnic, fish, or bird watch.

Funding provided by the Springs Initiative in 2004 helped to secure the design and permits necessary for placing the day-use portion of WSSP on the county sewer system. In the following few years, this project was implemented, as were several other similar projects such as connecting the district administration buildings, shop, and some residences to municipal sewer and water. The campground is comprised of two loops of thirty sites. In loop 1-30 the bathhouse and all sites have been connected to sewer while the bathhouse and 7 site in the other have been connected. A project to bring sewer facilities to all campsites is currently pending. Additional park facilities should be assessed for municipal sewer and water connection to be made as soon as funding becomes available.

With the exception of Wekiwa Spring, nearly all of the smaller contributing springs within the basin are somewhat poorly studied and would benefit from additional surveys and monitoring. The SJRWMD has added several of the basin's springs to their sampling schedule in recent years and we are now beginning to have some baseline data on water quality and flows. As future monitoring continues and is expanded, we will continue to learn more about the many springs within the basin.

In general terms, water quality remains good in the unit. This fact is reflected in biological and chemical water sampling on the Wekiva River by DEP. The Stream Condition Index (SCI) regularly rates between "Good" and "Excellent." However, in a recent study it was stated:

"In conclusion, nitrate-nitrite nutrient enrichment in Wekiwa Springs appeared to be the main stressor, as indicated by the moderately low quality of algal community present."

Generally, nitrate-nitrogen concentrations in groundwater are usually below 0.20 mg/L (Toth 1999). However, most of the springs within the Wekiva River Basin far exceed this concentration (Toth 1999), with some springs having reported concentrations as much as 480% higher than the 2008 Total Maximum Daily Load (TMDL) requirement of 0.28mg/L adopted for the three major springs in the Wekiva system (Gao 2008). Toth (1999) reported the following measurements for springs in the Wekiva system:

- Wekiwa Springs: 1.92 mg/L as nitrogen •
- Rock Spring: 1.62 mg/L as nitrogen •
- Seminole Springs: Sanlando Springs: 1.41 mg/L as nitrogen •
- 0.782 mg/L as nitrogen •
- Palm Springs: 0.793 mg/L as nitrogen •
- 0.447 mg/L as nitrogen Starbuck Spring: •

The Wekiva basin Management Action Plan, adopted in October 2014, provides strategies to reduce nutrient inputs to the Wekiwa Springs and the Wekiva system. (Wekiva River Aquatic preserve Management Plan, 2014). The source of nitrate differs by location and surrounding land uses. A 2007 study used various isotopes to quantify the relative contributions of different nitrate sources within the Wekiwa Springs Basin. This report identified 9 categories of nitrate sources with the following relative contributions: On-site sewage treatment and disposal systems (OSTDS) 26%, agricultural fertilizers 26%, residential fertilizers 15%, wastewater treatment facilities (WWTF, sewer) 12%, livestock 6%, natural or unattributed 6%, other fertilizers 5%, golf course fertilizers 2%, and atmospheric nitrogen deposition 2%. This study showed that although nitrogen sources are varied, fertilizers and septic and sewer wastewater systems contribute significant amounts of nitrate to the springshed of Wekiwa Springs (MACTEC 2007).

The introduction of excess nitrogen to Florida springs is the most obvious stressor to their ecology due of the profuse overgrowth of algae that occurs and the subsequent ecological imbalances that follow. Because of their unique ability to assimilate nutrients rapidly, macroalgae serve as excellent indicators of nutrient pollution in aquatic systems. Accumulations of macroalgal biomass prevent sunlight from reaching submerged aquatic vegetation, and respiration associated with macroalgal decay consumes all oxygen from the water column. Given adequate light and nutrient conditions, blooms of opportunistic macroalgae guickly decimate native faunal assemblages in aquatic systems, particularly those that are slowmoving or immobile. This can happen within a matter of hours or days. Surveys for algal distribution and biomass began in December of 2004 and continue by SJRWMD staff.

In addition to nitrate, phosphorus is another nutrient that is essential to aquatic systems. Concentrations in Wekiwa Springs are elevated, near 0.1mg/L, however phosphorous is found at relatively high concentrations in many springs due to its natural abundance in groundwater. The geologic unit known as the Hawthorn Group is naturally rich in phosphate and in many areas it is in contact with the limestone of the lower Floridan aquifer. As a result, groundwater and spring water in many of these areas have moderate to high concentrations of orthophosphate, the inorganic form of phosphorus found in these geologic materials. Research has shown that phosphorus levels do influence algal growth, particularly at concentrations above 0.9mg/L, however, the naturally elevated background levels in many springs make it difficult to determine if anthropogenic sources contribute appreciably to phosphorus levels in springs.

Another indicator of ecological change is the amount of dissolved salts in the water. The mineral content of the spring water is primarily calcium carbonate with minor amounts of magnesium, sodium, sulfate, and chloride (Wilson 1988). Recent data indicates an increased amount of dissolved salts in spring waters, which can be related to saltwater intrusion from excessive groundwater withdrawals. This is also heavily dependent on the type of bedrock being dissolved. Since Florida was once covered by the ocean, high mineral content is expected in these types of limestone. Therefore, further studies are needed to determine the types of limestone present in the caves and their respective rates of dissolution.

Some chemical characteristics of spring water can be used to evaluate the sources of discharge water and their potential vulnerability to contamination. Concentrations of analytes such as dissolved oxygen, calcium, sulfate, total dissolved solids, organic carbon, and fecal coliform bacteria can be used to identify springs that may at times have a surface water component (readily recharged by rainfall) as compared to springs which discharge from deeper, stable groundwater sources. Wekiwa Springs appears to be discharging water that includes a significant component of deeper, more mineralized water. However, the elevated nitrate concentrations indicate that the spring is still vulnerable to contamination from surface sources.

Due to urban development and increased groundwater withdrawals throughout the springshed, spring flows in the Wekiva River Basin have declined in recent years. These declines are strongly correlated with increased groundwater withdrawals (McGurk 2000; McGurk and Presley 2000; McGurk unpublished manuscript; O'Reilly *et al.* 2002). Trendline analyses demonstrate a decline in spring flow of over 19 cubic feet per second for Wekiwa Springs and 13 cubic feet per second for Rock Springs from 1969 to 1982 (Friends of the Wekiwa River 1985).

The SJRWMD established Florida's first Minimum Flows and Levels (MFL) in 1994, and it was for the Wekiva River Basin (Hupalo *et al.* 1994). Agency-level establishment of a MFL at Wekiwa was put in place to ensure adequate aquifer flow rates to prevent significant harm to the natural systems (Florida Water Resources Act, Chapter 373, FS; Hupalo, *et al.* 1994; Vergara 2000). It has become apparent to some officials that spring flow rates within the Wekiva River Basin will decrease (McGurk 2000). Although the MFL may have not yet been violated, recent model projections conducted by the SJRWMD indicate that flows may drop below the MFL

by the year 2020 (Rao and Clapp 1996; McGurk 2000). If the MFL is below or is expected to fall below the established level, SJWMD is required by Florida statute to implement a recovery or prevention strategy (Vergara 2000). Staff will remain heavily engaged in this process.

The Wekiva River surface watershed and the predominantly groundwater springshed have been determined by the SJRWMD and DEP, respectively. The SJRWMD has estimated the boundaries for each of these inputs, but further research is needed to refine these data as urbanization and development continue to encroach on Park boundaries. Increased construction in these areas means increased groundwater withdrawals for potable water supplies, which in turn leads to expansion of impervious surfaces, and the subsequent reduction of groundwater recharge.

Impacts to these watersheds are likely to contribute to significant changes in the natural systems throughout the entire Wekiva River Basin. The sustainable future for the natural systems is dependent upon numerous factors including responsible growth and the development of alternative water supplies. Growth management decisions are currently being made which will ultimately determine if the ecosystems, both aquatic and terrestrial, will remain ecologically viable in the future.

#### Rock Springs Run State Reserve

Rock Springs Run is the most distinctive hydrologic feature within RSRSR. The headwaters for Rock Springs Run are Rock Springs, located within Kelly Park, an Orange County recreation park that is adjacent to Wekiwa Springs State Park. The average flow for Rock Springs is approximately 60cfs, which equates to approximately 39mgd (Friends of the Wekiva River 1992). Water quality is generally good, with the exception of elevated nitrate concentrations averaging near 1.5mg/L (Harrington et al. 2008).

From Kelly Park, the Run flows along a meandering 8.0-mile course before it joins Wekiwa Springs Run to form the Wekiva River. Rock Springs Run forms a boundary between WSSP to the south and RSRSR to the north.

The Wekiva River and private residences delineate the east border of RSRSR. Although the upper reaches of the Wekiva River are bounded by floodplain swamp, uplands occur just past the banks of the Wekiva River in the area just south of State Road 46. This has led to some of these areas being developed before State acquisition.

Sulfur Spring is located on the Kitteridge Tract in management zone RKS-KT2. Some hydrological restoration was done in 2001 to restore the spring to its original condition and prevent further erosion at the site. The pool is oval in shape (20 feet by 40 feet) with clean, clear water flowing out from a sand boil about five feet in diameter (Osburn and Toth 2002). Depth in the spring ranges from several inches at the outflow to approximately 12 feet deep at the boil (Tysall 2004). The water is clean and clear with a strong sulfurous odor present. Very little data are available regarding water quality or flows from Sulfur Spring. In 2013, at the request of Wekiwa Springs State Park staff, the SJRWMD installed a permanent staff gauge in the spring pool with a wireless data-logger unit that will collect basic water quality parameters. This additional level of monitoring should greatly increase our knowledge of Sulfur Spring.

Tram Springs is a small spring system with three vents located in management zone RKS-028. The three vents flow together into a small unnamed stream that flows about 0.6mi into Rock Springs Run. There are currently no flow data or water quality data available for Tram Springs. Staff should seek opportunities to gather preliminary data on Tram Springs and encourage SJRWMD and/or USGS to conduct future measurements at regular intervals to increase our knowledge of this system.

Several other small-unnamed springs drain from the RSRSR south into Rock Springs Run. Within the unit north of Shell Mound Road, an old borrow pit now acts as an ephemeral wetland holding water during wetter periods. Aquatic vegetation has become established here as well as several species of fish. There are no plans to restore this pit.

Rock Springs Run State Reserve is the most hydrologically diverse unit within the WRBSP with its springs and over 200 acres of depression marsh communities. These isolated wetlands range from small (less than three acres) round ponds (which resemble sinkhole lakes) to large marshy systems. During the droughts, many of the ponds dry completely. A few of the ephemeral wetlands on RSRSR are known to be important breeding ponds for striped newts (*Notophthalmus perstriatus*) and gopher frogs (*Rana capito*).

Together, hydric hammock and floodplain swamp account for over 6,000 acres in the unit. Recent tram road removal projects are expected to significantly enhance the hydrology of many of these areas. A hydrologic study is needed to determine the impact of the remaining tram roads left at RSRSR and to prioritize future tram road removals in this basin.

#### Lower Wekiva River Preserve State Park

Over 75 percent of the Lower Wekiva River Preserve State Park (LWRPSP) is mapped as wetland natural communities, primarily floodplain swamp and hydric hammock. These community types are dependent upon the seasonal flood stages of the Wekiva and St. Johns Rivers. Other hydrologic features are found here including marshes, blackwater streams, and flatwoods lakes.

In the early to mid-1900s, several large tram roads were constructed within the northern sections of the LWRPSP to facilitate the harvest of large cypress trees. In areas, these earthen roads are over ten feet high and well over 20 feet wide. The tram roads are actually spoil piles, and adjacent to the roads are large ditches that are usually submerged. These tram roads have significantly altered the hydrology of the areas around them. In 2002, approximately one mile of large tram roads was

removed (a funded mitigation project), and another one and one-half miles were removed from April to December of 2004. In addition, culvert work was completed in 2004 at management zones LW-30 and 31.

Ditch blocks have been placed in areas where it is not feasible to remove the tram roads. The ditch blocks prevent the wetlands from draining at an unnaturally fast rate. However, several of them failed (blown out) within weeks of their installation and had to be re-engineered. Ditch blocks have only been shown to be effective in very low flow situations.

Also, the north end of the Fechtel Tract has extensive areas of flatwoods, scrubby flatwoods and scrub which are mostly inaccessible at this time due to damaged culverts at several water crossings (LW-30 and LW-31). New culverts are needed to restore passage and improve access for managing these sites properly.

Although located outside of the park boundary, there are three significant springs located just north of the SR46 bridge that contribute flow to the lower stretch of the Wekiva River that passes through this unit. Island Spring is a submerged spring located in the middle of the Wekiva River just north of the State Route 46 bridge. This spring vent has been surveyed to a depth of 65 feet upon which the system is no longer penetrable to divers. The main vent slopes down from the river bottom through sand, silt, and clay layers to a depth of 30 feet where a vertical shaft then continues through the fossilized dolostone to the bottom (Karst Environmental Services, Inc. 2004). Flow in this system is coming from two areas: vertical flow emanates from the bottom and lateral flow enters from a side vent located at 30 feet of depth (Karst Environmental Services, Inc. 2004). Quarterly measurements of discharge were conducted by SJRWMD from 2001-2010. Average discharge from this spring during that time was approximately 8.3cfs. Water flowing out of Island Spring has a very high salt concentration (presumably from an ancient connate deposit), and populations of mullet (Mugil cephalus) and blue crab (Callinectes sapidus) are seen frequenting the vent.

Nova Spring is a submerged spring located in a slough to the eastern side of the main channel just north of the State Route 46 bridge. This system is characterized by a large sand boil with clear flowing water emerging at about 15 feet of depth (Tysall 2004). Anecdotal accounts suggest that this spring is likely man-made, having been created during the digging of a canal across its location, but that has not yet been verified. Very little water quality data exists for Nova Spring but discharge and basic water quality parameters were measured by the SJRWMD in 2005. At that time, flow was measured at 8.52cfs (SJRWMD 2013).

Harden Spring flows from the bottom of a man-made pool on private property adjacent to the eastern shore of the Wekiva River, between Nova and Island Springs. Harden Spring appears to be a small spring with relatively low flows, however, no flow data or water quality data are currently available for this spring (SJRWMD 2013). The management measures related to all three units' hydrology involve monitoring water quality and quantity which are affected by factors outside the parks. Water quality should be monitored for as many of the waters in the parks as possible, with priority given to the many springs. Flow measurements should be recorded as often as possible for each of the springs within the parks. Currently, SJRWMD staff performs bimonthly discharge measurements of Wekiwa and Rock Springs, and the smaller springs within the basin are sampled less frequently. Additional staff gauges should be installed at Sand Lake to help gain a better understanding of its hydrology. Readings need to be continued regularly on the staff gauge at Wekiwa Springs and the recently installed gauges at Witherington Springs and Sulfur Springs. Storm water runoff is degrading water guality in Lake Prevatt while nutrient enrichment is the most significant challenge to the Wekiva River with additional impacts from stormwater runoff in some areas along the river flow (Wekiva River Aquatic Preserve Management Plan, 2014). Appropriate monitoring should continue to document changes and aid to formulate possible solutions. In addition to revisiting the Minimum Flows and Levels, comprehensive, long-term strategies for assuring the maintenance of spring flows should be formulated and implemented. These issues need to be addressed beyond the park level. All involved permitting agencies are aware of the problems and have been on-site for several visits. The Wekiva Basin Management Action Plan, was adopted in October 2014. This Plan provides strategies to reduce nutrient inputs to the Wekiwa Springs and Wekiva River systems (Wekiva River Aquatic Preserve Management Plan, 2014). Additional funds need to be allocated to adequately address these two problem areas.

#### **Natural Communities**

This section of the management plan describes and assesses each of the natural communities found in the state park. It also describes of the desired future condition (DFC) of each natural community and identifies the actions that will be required to bring the community to its desired future condition. Specific management objectives and actions for natural community management, exotic species management, imperiled species management and population restoration are discussed in the Resource Management Program section of this component.

The system of classifying natural communities employed in this plan was developed by the Florida Natural Areas Inventory (FNAI). The premise of this system is that physical factors such as climate, geology, soil, hydrology and fire frequency generally determine the species composition of an area, and that areas that are similar with respect to those factors will tend to have natural communities with similar species compositions. Obvious differences in species composition can occur, however, despite similar physical conditions. In other instances, physical factors are substantially different, yet the species compositions are quite similar. For example, coastal strand and scrub--two communities with similar species compositions-generally have quite different climatic environments, and these necessitate different management programs. Some physical influences, such as fire frequency, may vary from FNAI's descriptions for certain natural communities in this plan. When a natural community within a park reaches the desired future condition, it is considered to be in a "maintenance condition." Required actions for sustaining a community's maintenance condition may include; maintaining optimal fire return intervals for fire-dependent communities, ongoing control of non-native plant and animal species, maintaining natural hydrological functions (including historic water flows and water quality), preserving a community's biodiversity and vegetative structure, protecting viable populations of plant and animal species (including those that are imperiled or endemic), and preserving intact ecotones that link natural communities across the landscape.

The park contains 23 distinct natural communities as well as 12 altered land cover types (see Natural Communities Map). A list of known plants and animals occurring in the park is contained in Addendum 5.

#### Mesic Flatwoods

Desired future condition: Mesic flatwoods is characterized by an open canopy of tall pines typically longleaf pine (Pinus palustris) and/or south Florida slash pine Pinus elliottii), with the addition of pond pine (Pinus serotina) where the flatwoods are found in more hydric conditions, and a dense, low ground layer of low shrubs, grasses and forbs. Native herbaceous groundcover is over 50 percent of the area and is less than three feet in height. The saw palmetto/shrub component comprises no more than 50 percent of total shrub species cover, and are also less than three feet in height. Saw palmetto (Serenoa repens) will generally be present but not overly dominant. Other shrub species may include gallberry (*Ilex glabra*), fetterbush (Lyonia lucida), runner oak (Quercus elliottii), dwarf live oak (Quercus *minima*), shiny blueberry (*Vaccinium myrsinites*), and dwarf huckleberry (Gaylussacia dumosa). The herbaceous layer is primarily grasses, including wiregrass (Aristida stricta var. beyrichiana), dropseeds (Sporobolus curtissii, S. floridanus), panicgrasses (Dicanthelium spp.), and broomsedge (Andropogon spp.). This community has minimal topographic relief and the soils contain a hardpan layer within a few feet of the surface which impedes percolation. Due to these factors, water can saturate the sandy surface soils for extended periods during the wet season but lengthy droughts also commonly occur during the dry season. The Optimal Fire Return Interval for this community is 2-4 years.

*Description and assessment:* Within the Wekiva River Basin State Parks, there are 5,321.02 acres of mesic flatwoods. The mesic flatwoods have an open canopy of slash pine, pond pine and or longleaf pine with a ground cover of saw palmetto, gallberry, and fetterbush. Dispersed among the palmetto there is also a component of wiregrass (*Aristida stricta var. beyrichiana*) and other herbaceous species. Much of this community type was logged in the 1930s and 1940s; accordingly, there are few, if any, old growth pines throughout the WRBSP. In many locations where the logging has occurred the slash/longleaf pine canopy has been replaced by pond pine through natural recruitment. This is especially apparent where mesic flatwoods is adjacent to hydric hammocks. The mesic flatwoods have experienced several wildfires in the past and fire plow lines were cut throughout the area for wildfire suppression. The plow lines are being allowed to fill in naturally. These plow scars need a professional assessment to establish how the presence of these disturbances

has affected the surrounding habitat community and hydrology. The assessment should also include potential solutions to any impacts caused by the existence of the scars. The habitat condition of the mesic flatwoods throughout the basin varies greatly primarily due to the burn history of each burn unit.

At Wekiwa Springs State Park, there are 719.66 acres of mesic flatwoods that occur in a relatively continuous block in the central portion of the park and extend into RSRSR and LWRPSP. Most of the community within the park is in good to excellent condition. In addition to the extensive logging, other disturbances are evident. For example, in management zone WS-34 sometime in the past, most likely in the 1930s or 1940s, a ditch was dug in the zone near Camp Cozy. The ditch, which is approximately 500 feet long and up to eight feet deep, was dug to facilitate draining of the flatwoods for timber harvesting. In order to improve the topographic and hydrologic integrity of the community, a method for filling the ditch while minimizing surrounding site disturbance needs to be devised.

All of the mesic flatwoods in WSSP have been burned numerous times since 1980. There is considerable variation in the mesic flatwoods related to burn frequency and intensity. The large mesic flatwoods area in the center of WSSP is variable in fuel concentrations. Low saw palmettos and gallberry, with widely scattered pines and a ground cover of grasses characterize the southern portion. The northern areas have a much denser canopy of pine and saw palmetto and fewer grasses.

The mesic flatwoods in management zone WS-20 in the southwest corner of the park and within the Markham Woods property are in fair condition with very high fuel loads of saw palmetto reaching up to eight feet in height in some areas. These areas also have a high accumulation of duff on the ground. Due to the high fuel loads, caution must be exercised during prescribed fires. Old plow lines are evidence of past wildfires. More than 20 acres are slated for mechanical treatment resulting in community improvement and the ability for safe application of prescribed fire before December 2017

At Rock Springs Run State Reserve, there are 3,095.02 acres of mesic flatwoods. The central portion of the park contains a north-south band of mesic flatwoods. Prescribed fire has been used within a majority of these flatwoods which has resulted in a good condition. Pond pine also occurs in these areas in high concentrations also due to historic selective logging activities (hydrated right before purchase). Longleaf pine planting would be required periodically in these zones to restore the historic pine overstory.

At Lower Wekiva River Preserve State Park, there are 1,507.29 acres of mesic flatwoods that occur mainly in the southern portions of LWRPSP, east of the Wekiva River. These flatwoods are also considered to be in good condition, with the exception of management zone LW-14. This zone is in fair condition and has a very high fuel load and accumulation of duff on the ground due to an extended period of fire exclusion. There have been several wildfires within this zone over the last ten years resulting in pockets of reduced fuel load along with high pine mortality.



WEKIWA SPRINGS STATE PARK



## NATURAL COMMUNITIES EXISITING CONDITIONS MAP



ROCK SPRINGS RUN STATE RESERVE

0.25 Florida Department of Environmental Protec Division of Recreation and Parks Date of Aerial: 2011

NATURAL COMMUNITIES MAP EXISTING CONDITIONS

# FS BST FS FS HH FN FS MEH FS ΗН MEH FM Legend UNC - UnMapped Natural Community\* - 156.24 ac. MF - Mesic Flatwoods - 1507.29 ac. MEH - Mesic Hammock - 729.22 ac. SH - Sandhill - 196.02 ac. SC - Scrub - 46.90 ac. 00 MF FM SCF - Scrubby Flatwoods - 117.22 ac. WF - Wet Flatwoods - 347.27 ac. FS XH - Xeric Hammock - 72.67 ac.



BG -Baygall - 105.46 ac. DM - Depression Marsh - 81.44 ac. DS - Dome Swamp - 2.97 ac. FM - Floodplain Marsh - 605.05 ac. FS - Floodplain Swamp - 7480.03 ac. HH - Hydric Hammock - 3941.27 ac. FPLK - Flatwoods/Prairie Lake - 122.25 ac. BST - Blackwater Stream - 558.06 ac. AP - Artificial Pond - 0.59 ac. CD - Canal/ditch - 35.73 ac. AFP - Abandoned Field/Abandoned Pasture - 832.21 ac. DV - Developed - 13.68 ac. PSI - Pasture -semi-improved - 40.24 ac. SA - Spoil Area - 13.28 ac. SHF - Successional Hardwood Forest - 351.52 ac. UC - Utility Corridor - 19.62 ac.

LOWER WEKIVA RIVER PRESERVE STATE PARK Florida Department of Environmental Protection Division of Recreation and Parks Date of aerial; 2011

# NATURAL COMMUNITIES EXISTING CONDITIONS MAP

Mesic flatwoods also occur in the northern parcels – the Jung and Skinner tracts where it would be considered to be in fair condition because of the lack of fire. There is an extensive pine canopy that may require pine thinning to reduce the basal area within these areas. In 2011 there was a wildfire that burned through management zones LW-SK01, LW-SK03 and LW-SK04 that removed 80-90% of the standing pines within this community.

*General Management Measures:* The long-term restoration of this community will require the regular application of prescribed fire on a 2-4 year burn rotation, the thinning or removal of pond pine, and the planting of longleaf pine. The mesic flatwoods at all three parks could benefit from timber thinning in order to reduce the total basal area in each stand. Firebreaks may need to be widened and fuel heights reduced along these lines prior to burning. Management zones that have no burn history or have been out of rotation for an extended period of time will require prescribed fire applied on a 1-3 year rotation to reduce fuels gradually over time.

Adequate duff moisture should exist before burning in an effort to remove fuel accumulations slowly over time in order to reduce tree mortality. Monitoring and treatment of exotic plants will continue.

#### Mesic Hammock

Desired future condition: Mesic hammock is a well-developed everyreen hardwood and/or palm forest which can occur, with variation, through much of peninsular Florida. The often dense canopy will typically be dominated by live oak (Quercus virginiana) with cabbage palm (Sabal palmetto) mixed into the understory. Southern magnolia (Magnolia grandiflora) and pignut hickory (Carya *glabra*) can be common components in the subcanopy as well. The shrubby understory may be dense or open, tall or short, and will typically be composed of saw palmetto, beautyberry (Callicarpa americana), American holly (Ilex opaca), gallberry and sparkleberry (*Vaccinium arboreum*). The groundcover may be sparse and patchy but generally contains panicgrasses (Panicum spp.), switchgrass (Panicum virgatum), sedges, as well as various ferns and forbs. Abundant vines and epiphytes will occur on live oaks and cabbage palms and other subcanopy trees. Pine trees, particularly slash pine or loblolly pine (P. taeda), may form a sparse emergent layer. Mesic hammocks will generally contain sandy soils with organic materials and may have a thick layer of leaf litter at the surface. Mesic hammocks will rarely be inundated and not considered to be fire-adapted communities and will typically be shielded from fire.

Mesic hammock can arise in pyrogenic, naturally pine-dominated areas when shielded from fire as a result of human activities, a common example being old home sites overgrown with oaks and cabbage palms. Once mature, oaks and cabbage palms can provide shade and leaf litter that subsequently "fire-proof" an area and allow for the establishment of other hammock species (Craighead 1971; Davis 1943). Hardwoods will also invade from the edges of natural hammocks into pine-dominated communities in the absence of fire. These invaded hammocks are generally lacking in species compared to natural hammocks in the understory and often have an emergent pine canopy (USFWS 1999). Even in areas that are often burned, frequent cool season burning regimes may still allow oak regeneration in pine-dominated communities and lead to hammock development.

*Description and assessment:* Within the Wekiva River Basin State Parks, there are 2,202.65 acres of mesic hammock. These hammocks occur in scattered ecotonal areas grading toward the more hydric regions of the park. The existence of mesic hammocks may be a result of a historic "fire shadow" effect from the adjacent wet habitat and from fire exclusion. This community is well established and does not require special management efforts other than exotic species removal.

At Wekiwa Springs State Park, there are 346.41 acres of mesic hammock. This community occurs in the south and west portions of the park. A large portion of mesic hammock can be found around Lake Prevatt, extending west into the McCall tract property (WS-47). Management zones WS-22 and WS-30 both have a portion of mesic hammock along the western perimeter of these zones. The location of this community in both locations may be a natural occurrence or may be due to a fire shadow effect. Because these areas are located in the urban interface, the park conducts prescribed burns with the same wind direction each time, resulting in this mesic hammock strip of habitat. In management zone WS-12, mesic hammock exists with the transition area between mesic flatwoods and hydric hammock.

At Rock Springs Run State Reserve, there are 1,082.63 acres of mesic hammock. Most of the acreage in the park exists as a transition between the mesic flatwoods and the hydric hammock. These transitions are located in the southeastern and western areas of the park. In management zones RKS-072 and RKS073, this community occurs around the edges of a large depression marsh. This community can also be found in the transitional areas of the West BMK portion of Rock Springs Run and around Lake Bartho, a marsh lake located in management zone RKS-112.

At Lower Wekiva River Preserve State Park, there are 773.61 acres of mesic hammock. In the lower portion of the park south of the Wekiva River, there is a linear tract of 16 acres of mesic hammock in management zone LW-16. This area forms an ecotone between mesic flatwoods and hydric hammock. The rest of the acreage is located north of the Wekiva River. There are 94 acres found within the Jung property in management zones LW-J03, LW-J0, LW-J08 and along the south boundary of the property in zones LW-J10 and LW-J11. Mesic hammock is scattered throughout the rest of the LWRPSP property in small habitat islands. Again, these hammocks appear to exist along the ecotone as the habitat grades down into hydric hammock.

*General Management Measures:* Monitoring and treatment of exotic plants will continue for this area as well as the removal of exotic hogs. These areas will also need to be monitored for erosion caused by recreational use on trails and roads.

#### Sandhill

*Desired Future Condition:* The dominant pine of sandhill, depending on the region of state, will usually be longleaf pine (*Pinus palustris*) and/or south Florida slash pine (*Pinus elliottii*). For sandhill within the WRBSP, longleaf pine is the desired

canopy species. Herbaceous cover will be very dense, typically wiregrass (*Aristida stricta* var. *beyrichiana*) and other herbaceous species, and low in stature. Most of the plant diversity is contained in the herbaceous layer including other three-awns (*Aristida* spp.), pineywoods dropseed (*Sporobolus junceus*), lopsided Indiangrass (*Sorghastrum secundum*), bluestems (*Andropogon* spp.) and little bluestem (*Schizachyrium scoparium*). In addition to groundcover and pines, there will be scattered individual trees, clumps, or ridges of onsite oak species [usually turkey oaks (*Quercus laevis*), sand post oak (*Quercus margaretta*), and blue-jack oak (*Quercus incana*)]. In old growth conditions, sand post oaks will commonly be 150-200 years old, and some turkey oaks will be over 100 years old. The Optimal Fire Return Interval for this community is 1-3 years.

*Description and assessment:* Within the Wekiva River Basin State Parks, there are 1,892.66 acres of sandhill. Sandhill occupies the unit's higher elevations. The longleaf pine trees in the sandhills were logged and turpentine extracted in the 1930s and 1940s. Aerial photographs from 1947 show very few remaining longleaf pines. However, sufficient numbers of pines were left to facilitate adequate pine regeneration throughout the sandhills. Before acquisition by the State, it appears that hunt club members used fire at WSSP on a limited basis. However, it seems that the fire return interval was too long or the time of year that burns were conducted was inappropriate because oaks became dominant in many areas. An active lightning season burn program has restored many sandhill areas, but several areas still require additional restoration burns or other techniques to reach a restored status.

At Wekiwa Springs State Park, there are 1,246.45 acres of sandhill located in the western and southern portions of the park. Most of the sandhill is in excellent condition due to the park's active burn program. There are portions of the sandhill community that are in fair to poor condition as an artifact of burning techniques due the proximity of the urban interface.

Several types of sandhill restoration projects are currently being conducted and evaluated at WSSP. Considerable oak girdling was conducted in a number of management zones in addition to prescribed fire. In addition to girdling of the oaks there has also been the application of herbicide (Velpar) to restore these areas. In 2002, approximately 60 acres of Velpar treatments were applied (WS-23b and WS-17/18). An additional 40 acres were treated in 2004 in WS-47 and the southern section of WS-30. Due to a dosing error by the contractor, the treatment killed numerous non-target species including mature longleaf pines, wiregrass, and other shrubs and herbs. Ecological progress is evident but additional spring burns may be necessary to knock back growing oaks. The canopy has been significantly reduced and the understory grasses and herbs have responded well. However, most of the volunteering understory species are weedy species and little wiregrass has appeared. In addition, cogon grass (*Imperata cylindrica*) and rose Natalgrass (*Melinis repens*) have invaded these locations.

A limited number of exotic plants, largely from adjacent private yards, have invaded the sandhills on the Wekiwa Springs' perimeter. Cats (*Felis catus*) are

another exotic problem in the sandhill community. Small mammal abundances within sandhill communities statewide are not high (Stout and Corey 1995). Cats can have a very deleterious effect on small mammal populations because they are very efficient predators (Churcher and Lawton 1989). House cats are occasionally observed hunting in sandhill areas near the Wekiwa Springs' perimeter.

At Rock Springs Run State Reserve, there are 450.19 acres of sandhill located in the northern portions of the park and adjacent to County Road 433. Most of the sandhill is in poor to fair condition with the exception of management zones RKS-068 and RKS-75; the majority of the sandhill within these two zones are in good to excellent condition. As part of a mitigation project in October and November of 2001, the roadsides along CR 433 that were historically planted with bahiagrass were re-contoured and replanted with longleaf pine trees and wiregrass to mimic the adjacent sandhill community.

The state acquired the Kittredge Tract which borders the southern boundary of the West BMK portion of RSRSR. A majority of this parcel was historically sandhill but was cleared many years ago. Oak, persimmon, and other hardwoods now dominate. The groundcover is comprised of sparse grasses and herbs but wiregrass was all but extirpated. In 2002, a significant effort was made to replant longleaf pine trees (15,000 tubelings installed) and wiregrass (5,000 tubelings installed). Rose Natal grass is an invasive exotic grass which has become established in many areas of this parcel. Dogs from the adjacent housing roam freely as well. Bears visit the parcel often, stealing garbage from these same homes and dragging it onto the park property to be picked through. An 8 to 10-foot fence has been proposed for this border to minimize these undesirable interactions, but as of 2004, it has not yet been installed.

At Lower Wekiva River Preserve State Park, there are 196.02 acres of sandhill that is located near the southern boundary, just north of State Road 46. This sandhill is in poor to fair condition that will require some mechanical treatment along with prescribed fire. Prescribed fire was last applied in this location was in February, 2003.

*General Management Measures:* Monitoring and treatment of exotic plants will continue for this community along with repeated prescribed fire on a 1-3 year rotation with an emphasis on growing season burns. Areas that are in poor to fair condition will need the addition of mechanical treatment along with prescribed fire.

#### <u>Scrub</u>

*Desired future condition:* Within the scrub community, the dominant plant species include scrub oak (*Quercus inopina*), sand live oak (*Quercus geminata*), myrtle oak (*Quercus myrtifolia*), Chapman's oak (*Quercus chapmanii*), saw palmetto, and rusty staggerbush (*Lyonia ferruginea*). There will be a variety of oak age classes/heights between different scrub patches. There will be scattered openings in the canopy with bare patches of sand that support many imperiled and/or endemic plant species; these species will be regularly flowering and replenishing their seed banks. Sand pine (*Pinus clausa*), where present, will usually not be dominant in

abundance, percent cover, or height. Some areas of mature sand pine may occur. The Optimal Fire Return Interval for this community will be regionally variable; typically, 5-15 years when aiming to achieve a mosaic of burned and unburned areas.

*Description and assessment:* Within the Wekiva River Basin State Parks, there are 552.92 acres of scrub. Dramatic changes to the WRBSP scrub community have taken place since 1998. In 1998, extensive scrub restoration began by harvesting all of the sand pines from this habitat throughout all three parks (see Timber Management section). Fire had been restricted from these areas for many years and because of this, fuel loads were high in many areas. After restoration efforts were completed, fire has been applied at the recommended fire return interval within most of these previously unburned zones. Currently much of the scrub is considered to be in fair to good condition. However, recent restoration activities have made future management much easier and it is expected the scrub communities at the parks will improve in quality in the coming years.

Florida scrub-jays (Aphelocoma coerulescens) and Florida mice (Podomys floridanus), which are imperiled Florida endemics species that depend on scrub habitat and associated communities to survive. Both species depend on open scrub habitat with many bare ground openings. The optimal shrub height should be 1.5 to 2 meters tall. Florida scrub-jays will generally leave an area when vegetation height becomes too tall (Fitzpatrick et al. 1991). This could be one possible reason to explain the complete disappearance of the Florida scrub-jays (FSJ) in all three units over the past 20 years (unpublished park data 1994-2013). Since scrub enhancement activities have taken place, scrub jays have been seen occasionally in numerous locations, but for only a short period of time. Seminole State Forest to the north of Rock Springs Run State Reserve manages a large population of scrub jays that are reproducing that is with dispersal range of the park. Scrub jays most likely will return to these treated scrub areas since the habitat is much more suitable now compared to what it was in the past. Additional oak reduction and the creation of bare ground openings are needed in order to sustain a viable population of scrub jays at the park while bringing the scrub community into a more desirable state.

At Wekiwa Springs State Park, there are 100.77 acres of scrub. The largest patch of scrub in this unit occurs west of Sand Lake, adjacent to Main Park Drive (WS-45). Restoration began in 2002 after the southern pine beetle infestation killed pockets of pines which initiated a timber harvest to remove affected trees, sand pines, and large oaks. After the harvest, a dense monoculture of sand pines grew back, making management difficult. In 2011 the park conducted a prescribed burn which successfully reduced the sand pine cover by 60% in this area. There is also an area of scrub located in an isolated pocket of zone WS-12 that contains a stand of mature sand pines which will require mechanical treatment most likely with a timber harvest. Accessing this area with timber equipment may be difficult.

At Rock Springs Run State Reserve, there are 405.24 acres of scrub. This community is distributed in three disjunct areas, two of which dominate the central

portion of the park. One smaller portion of scrub (RS-118) is located in the western RSRSR known as West BMK (WBMK). The largest contiguous patch of scrub at RSRSR is known as Spear's Scrub (RS-26). Spear's Scrub contains 320 acres of scrub which has been enhanced since 2007. Virtually all of Spear's Scrub was timbered in 2002 to remove mature sand pines and overgrown oaks except for a 30-acre buffer around interior ponds where striped newts (*Notopthalmus perstriatus*) were found. Pine regeneration after the harvest was dense forming a monoculture canopy of pine with very little bare ground. Since 2011, all of Spear's Scrub has been successfully burned under prescription except for 20 acres in the center of the zone, this was followed up with mechanical removal using a bulldozer and roller chopper. New mineral fire lines were also installed to divide the unit into six smaller and more manageable sections in order to manage these units more effective for the Florida scrub-jay

At Lower Wekiva River Preserve State Park, there are 46.91 acres of scrub. Currently there is only a single mapped area that contains scrub habitat within this park (LW-04). This entire area is currently overgrown with scrub oaks and sand pines; mechanical treatment to enhance this area will be needed.

General Management Measures: Monitoring and treatment of exotic plants will continue within this community along with continual prescribed fire on a 5-15 year rotation with a focus on growing season burns. If scrub jays are not present with a management zone, then prescribed fire will be applied between mid-March through April because it appears that this is the most effective time of the year to burn scrub to meet the future desired conditions. Where scrub habitat is found within habitat that has a more frequent fire return interval, such as mesic flatwoods or scrubby flatwoods, fire will be applied to these areas on a more frequent basis. It is acceptable in this case that the scrub within these units will not burn every time fire is applied, but may only burn every other time. Areas that are in poor to fair condition will need to be mechanically treated in addition to prescribed fire. Within areas where extremely poor conditions exist with the addition of oversized mature oaks and sand pines the application of a timber contract may be the appropriate initial treatment. The fire return interval will be reduced to a 3-6 year rotation in stands where sand pine density is high in order to reduce this density and contend with the seedbank left behind after an initial fire application. Scrub will be managed in order to produce a mosaic of differing structure classes, with a preference given to early succession scrub that can support a viable population of scrub jays. Firebreaks around the zone may need to be widened and fuel heights adjacent to firebreaks reduced. Timber removal will be utilized on an as needed basis in order to keep fuel loading low prior to burning.

#### Scrubby Flatwoods

*Desired future condition:* The dominant tree species of the interior of scrubby flatwoods will usually be longleaf pine and slash pine in northern and central Florida and south Florida slash pine south of Lake Okeechobee. Mature sand pines will typically not be present. There will be a diverse shrubby understory often with patches of bare white sand. A scrub-type oak "canopy" will contain a variety of oak age classes/heights across the landscape. Dominant shrubs will include sand live

oak, myrtle oak, Chapman's oak, saw palmetto, rusty staggerbush, and tarflower (*Bejaria racemosa*). Cover by herbaceous species will often be low to moderately dense. The Optimal Fire Return Interval for this community will be regionally variable; typically, 5-15 years when aiming to achieve a mosaic of burned and unburned areas.

*Description and assessment:* Within the Wekiva River Basin State Parks, there are 891.17 acres of scrubby flatwoods that are typically burned on a 3-7 year fire return interval, depending on the condition and context of the habitat patch. The distribution of this habitat throughout the three units generally follows closely to that of the scrub community, but differs in that it occurs as "islands" within a mesic flatwoods matrix. RSRSR has the largest amount of scrubby flatwoods of the three units. Many of the burn zones which have this habitat type are very difficult to burn because of the dense overgrown scrub oak species, therefore, much of this community is highly degraded throughout the parks. The severe consequence of this fact is that most scrub jays have subsequently abandoned their previous territories that were once well established within scrubby flatwoods. Another main concern of unburned scrubby flatwoods habitats is that high fuel accumulations create a significantly higher potential for wildfires and more intense prescribed fires. In 2002, 2003, 2007, 2009 and 2011 prescribed fire was used in conjunction with firebreaks and mechanical treatment at all three parks to enhance this habitat.

At Wekiwa Springs State Park, there are 126.16 acres of scrubby flatwoods that occur in small pockets within a larger matrix of mesic flatwoods in management zones WS-19, 27, 32 and 33. In March of 2012, scrub jays were found within the small pocket of habitat in management zone WS-19 and have seen from time to time in that area. Florida mice are also present within this community but their range at the park has not been determined. A significant patch of scrubby flatwoods also occurs in the Markham Woods tract of WSSP within management zones WS-MW04, WS-MW05, WS-MW09 & WS-MW14.

At Rock Springs Run State Reserve, there are 563.67 acres of scrubby flatwoods. The largest contiguous area of this community is 169 acres in management zones RKS-008, 051, and 052 and along portions of RKS-053, 055 and 058. The scrubby flatwoods in RKS-008, 051 and 052 contained a mature stand of sand pines with an overgrown understory of oaks. The sandpines and overgrown oaks were removed from RKS-008 during the 2002 Spear's Scrub timber harvest (see scrub section above), but no treatments were initiated in any of the other zones. Zones RKS-51 & RKS-52 also contained approximately 50 acres of mature sand pine which has also been removed during a 2015 sand pine harvest. However, the WBMK portion of RSRSR represents another significant Florida Scrub-jay territory that is considerably disjunct from the central region of RSRSR. A recent survey for the scrub jays in 2011 and 2012 confirmed that this species is no longer present in the WBMK property, which can be attributed to habitat degradation. The scrubby flatwoods in this area most closely resemble xeric hammock. Intensive mechanical treatment techniques followed up with prescribed fire would be needed in order to restore these areas into a more desirable state.

At Lower Wekiva River Preserve State Park, there are 201.34 acres of scrubby flatwoods in several small widely scattered islands either within or adjacent to mesic flatwoods.

*General Management Measures:* Monitoring and treatment of exotic plants will continue for this community along with continual prescribed fire on a 5-7 year rotation with mechanical fuel reduction such as mowing, roller chopping, or timber removal to reduce fuel heights adjacent to firebreaks and within the zone itself. Areas with extremely poor conditions and oversized mature oaks and sand pines the application of a timber contract may be the appropriate initial treatment. This is especially important where the fuels have become overgrown and the community condition has degraded to a condition where prescribed fire cannot be implemented safely or at all.

#### <u>Sinkhole</u>

*Desired future condition:* Sinkholes are characterized by cylindrical or conical depressions with limestone or sand walls. Sinkholes do not contain standing water for long periods of time as do sinkhole lakes. Depending upon the age of the sinkhole, the vegetation of sandy sinkholes may represent a well-developed forest including southern magnolia, sweetgum (*Liquidambar styraciflua*), wax myrtle (*Myrica cerifera*), grape vines (*Vitis* spp.), Virginia creeper (*Parthenocissus quinquefolia*), water oak (*Quercus nigra*) and pignut hickory. Sinkholes with vertical limestone walls may be covered by a variety of mosses, liverworts, ferns and small herbs. Sinkholes will generally have a very moist microclimate due to seepage and being buffered by the lower elevation and a tree canopy. Desired future conditions include limiting unnatural erosion and protecting the microclimate from disturbance.

Description and assessment: Within the Wekiva River Basin State Parks, there are 13 known sinkholes. Eleven are in WSSP and two are in LWRPSP. There are no known sinkholes in RSRSR. Most of the sinkholes are located in sandhill. The largest sinkhole is in WS08A next to the recreation hall in the youth camp. In management zone WS30 there is a sinkhole off of the white trail that has formed between 10-15 years ago. One distinguishing feature of this sinkhole is that there is a mature longleaf pine growing along the edge with the taproot fully exposed. Over the years of exposure, a full layer of bark has grown along the root. This sinkhole has a number of issues associated with it. Due to the sinkhole being located close to a heavily-used trail, there is evidence of visitors entering the sinkhole, causing some significant erosion along the access points. Along with this issue, two invasive species, cogongrass (Imperata cylindrical) and tuberous sword fern (Nephrolepis cordifolia) have formed infestations along the walls and at the bottom. The newest sinkhole to form in the park was in management zone WS-18 west of the primitive youth camp. A split rail fence has been erected around the perimeter as a measure to keep visitors out. The two know sinkholes found in LWRPSP are located in management zones LW-04 and LW34 near the southern boundary of the park. General Management Measures: Monitoring and treatment of exotic plants will continue for this area. This area will also need to be monitored for erosion that may occur due to recreational use on trails and roads. Though it may not be feasible to

secure/isolate the sinkholes from direct public access in a number of locations, all efforts should be made to dissuade the public from entering in remote location off of hiking trails. This may be as simple as posting a sign describing the feature and explaining how fragile of community that is contained within along with the potential impacts that entering the feature may have on the community.

#### Wet Flatwoods

*Desired future condition:* Within a wet flatwoods community the dominant pines species will usually be longleaf pine, slash pine, and pond pine. The species composition within a location will be determined by drainage and periods of higher moisture content. Pond cypress (*Taxodium ascendens*) may reach the canopy in some locations. The canopy will be open, with pines being widely scattered and of variable age classes. Native herbaceous cover is dense and includes pitcher plants (*Sarracenia* spp.) and other plants such as terrestrial orchids may be present and abundant in some areas. Common shrubs will include sweet pepperbush (*Clethra alnifolia*), fetterbush (*Lyonia lucida*), large gallberry (*Ilex coriacea*), titi (*Cyrilla racemiflora*), and wax myrtle. The Optimal Fire Return Interval for this community is 3-5 years.

Description and assessment: Within the Wekiva River Basin State Parks, there are 1,484.63 acres of wet flatwoods. There is a great deal of variation between different areas of wet flatwoods. Pond pine is the dominant pine throughout the community; slash, loblolly, and longleaf pines also occur in varying amounts. Some areas mapped as wet flatwoods have a cabbage palm component. The understory is variable with some areas dominated by gallberry, shiny lyonia, saw palmetto and grasses while others are clearly dominated by saw palmetto. Pine density also varies greatly throughout the parks. Areas near Rock Springs Run are dense with small diameter pines while other areas towards the center of the park have more widely spaced, larger diameter pines. Many of these vegetative differences are probably related to differences in burn histories and logging practices.

At Wekiwa Springs State Park, there are 994.51 acres of wet flatwoods. Most of the community is found adjacent to hydric hammock in the eastern half of the park. There is a narrow strip of wet flatwoods along the west side of the park separating the sandhill community from the center portion of hydric hammock. The occurrence of this strip is due to a significant elevation and soil change between the sandhill and hammock. Due to long-term fire exclusion from the wet flatwoods at Markham Woods, fuel levels are very high. A prescribed fire was conducted in March of 2008 which reduced some of the fuel loading but many more inches of duff still exists. This burn also caused some minor smoke management issues with the surrounding community due to prolonged residual smoke from smoldering duff that persisted for many days.

At Rock Springs Run State Reserve, there are 142.86 acres of wet flatwoods. Pond pine dominates much of the canopy of these wet flatwoods at RSRSR. However, pond pine may be dominant only because of historical selective harvesting and altered fire return intervals. Anecdotal conversations with retired loggers indicate that longleaf pine trees dominated this area but were clear-cut out. Specifically, EK Ranch (the area between CR433 and the boundary with the New Garden Coal property) was logged just prior to state acquisition. This site, therefore, would be an excellent candidate for a longleaf pine reintroduction. Some mechanical treatment of saw palmetto using a roller chopper may be needed to enhance survivorship of longleaf pines and to encourage growth of grasses

At Lower Wekiva River Preserve State Park, there are 347.26 acres of wet flatwoods. It occurs as an isolated island (in management zone LW-20) within the hydric hammock community. Three aerial ignition burns have been conducted within this unit in 1998, 2005 and 2011, but the habitat did not burn well because of its remoteness and difficultly of access.

General Management Measures: Monitoring and treatment of exotic plants as well as the removal of exotic hogs will continue for this community along with continual prescribed fire on a 3-5 year rotation with mechanical fuel reduction such as mowing and/or roller chopping to reduce fuel heights adjacent to firebreaks and within the zone itself. This is especially important where the fuels have become overgrown and the condition has degraded to a point where prescribed fire cannot be implemented safely or not at all.

Restoration will be a slow meticulous process in areas with poor to fail condition, beginning with fuel reduction burns and progressing towards restoration burns. These burns could be conducted on a 1-3 year rotation until the community health improves to good condition. If ground water levels continue to decline, the use of prescribed fire becomes much more difficult. A decline in ground water levels affects the moisture in the upper organic layers of the soil. As these layers dry out, the potential for them to burn increases producing two main problems. First, the roots of vegetation will also burn causing plant mortality. Second, smoke management becomes an issue because large amounts of smoke are produced that tends to find its way out onto roads and urban development.

#### Xeric Hammock

Desired future condition: Typically considered a late successional stage of scrub or sandhill that generally occurs in small isolated patches on excessively well drained soils. Vegetation will consist of a low closed canopy dominated by live oak (*Quercus virginiana*) which provides shady conditions. Typical plant species may also include Chapman's oak, and laurel oak. Sand pine, slash pine, or longleaf pine may also be a minor component. Understory of species will include saw palmetto, fetterbush, myrtle oak, yaupon holly (*Ilex vomitoria*), Hercules' club (*Zanthoxylum clavaherculis*), and Florida rosemary (*Ceratiola ericoides*). A sparse groundcover layer of wiregrass and other herbaceous species may exist but will typically be absent. A continuous leaf litter layer may be present. Overgrown scrub in need of fire and/or mechanical treatment should not be confused with true xeric hammock.

*Description and assessment:* Within the Wekiva River Basin State Parks, there are 309.95 acres of xeric hammock. These areas are self-maintaining communities that have fluctuated in size in response to burning in the fire-type communities which surround them. The plant species composition within the xeric hammocks is quite

variable due to surrounding communities and historic use. Although certain natural xeric oak hammocks should maintain themselves, hammocks that form from poor land management should be restored, if possible to either sandhill or scrubby flatwoods. Differentiating between the two conditions is often difficult and will be done on a case-by-case basis.

Wekiwa Springs State Park contains approximately 56.40 acres, RSRSR contains approximately 180.88 acres and the LWRPSP contains approximately 72.67 acres of xeric hammock. Virtually all of the xeric hammock appear to be overgrown sandhill plant community.

*General Management Measures:* Monitoring and treatment of exotic plants will continue for this community. Areas that have been determined to be severely degraded sandhill will need restoration efforts to return the community to historic conditions. This may require removal of the mature trees and then mowing or roller-chopping of the understory fuels combined with fire. If possible the consideration of allowing fire to be a long-term These methods would only be conducted in areas that have been determined to be degraded sandhill and not true xeric hammock.

#### Baygall

*Desired future condition:* Baygall consists of a wet densely forested, peat filled depression typically near the base of a slope. Seepage from adjacent uplands will maintain saturated conditions. Medium to tall trees will mainly consist of sweetbay, loblolly bay (*Gordonia lasianthus*), and/or swamp bay (*Persea palustris*). Occasionally sparse pines may also exist. A thick understory consisting of gallberry, fetterbush, dahoon holly, titi, and red maple (*Acer rubrum*) will be typical with climbing vines such as greenbriar and muscadine grape will usually be abundant. The dominant baygall species are fire intolerant indicating an infrequent Optimal Fire Return Interval of 25-100 years. Frequent fires from adjacent communities should be allowed to enter baygall ecotone however, being aware of the problems associated with peat fires.

*Description and assessment:* Within the Wekiva River Basin State Parks, there are 525.25 acres of baygall. The highest concentration can be found in Rock Springs Run State Reserve. Baygalls are extremely dependent upon seepage flow and high groundwater levels. Continued quantities of water are necessary to maintain this community. Hydrologic impacts due to the groundwater reductions from the surrounding urban development may be observed first in baygalls.

At Wekiwa Springs State Park, there are 149.09 acres of baygall. The largest contiguous area of baygall is in management zone WS-23. Carpenter Branch feeds into this baygall from Lake Prevatt and then flows back out into Mill Creek. There is a baygall community in management zone WS-20 that extends out beyond the park property onto private land. The creek that runs through it receives storm water runoff from the surrounding developed areas. The baygall acts as a filter for this water as it flows into the park. This area functions naturally but depends on seepage flow from the higher elevations in the zone.

On the Markham Woods property portion of Wekiwa Springs State Park, there is a large area of baygall in the eastern portion of the property. Similar to management zone WS-20, the community extends out of the property boundary onto private properties. Altered hydrology has allowed slash pine densities to increase in most of these baygall communities. Baygalls at Markham Woods are mixed with dense pond pine trees due to the exclusion of fire as well.

At Rock Springs Run State Reserve, there are 270.71 acres of baygall. Baygall is most extensive in the Lake County regions of RSRSR. West of Old McDonald Road, several acres of baygall were affected by wildfire and three feet or more of peat/muck was burned off in March, April, and May of 2002. Many of the trees were killed and the canopies were opened significantly.

At Lower Wekiva River Preserve State Park, there are 105.45 acres of baygall. Only two baygalls are mapped within the LWRPSP. One is within the Jung Tract and one is within the Skinner Tract

*General Management Measures:* Monitoring and treatment of exotic plants will continue for this area as well as the removal of exotic hogs. Prior to prescribed fire in the surrounding communities, baygalls should be checked for moisture levels because of the increased fuel loads and the potential for muck fires (see wet flatwoods section above for muck fire issue). Water quality and quantity inputs into the WS-20 baygall should be investigated to determine if a feasible solution exists. This community is determined to be in good condition.

#### Depression Marsh

*Desired future condition:* Depression marsh is characterized as containing low emergent herbaceous and shrub species which will be dominant over most of the area and include open vistas. Trees will be few and if present, will occur primarily in the deeper portions of the community. There will be little accumulation of dead grassy fuels due to frequent burning; one can often see the soil surface through the vegetation when the community is not inundated. Dominant vegetation in basin marsh and depression marsh may include maidencane (*Panicum hemitomon*), panic grasses (*Panicum* spp.), cutgrass (*Leersia* sp.), common reed (*Phragmites australis*), pickerelweed (*Pontederia cordata*), arrowheads (*Sagittaria* sp.), buttonbush (*Cephalanthus occidentalis*), St. John's wort (*Hypericum fasciculatum*), and coastal plain willow (*Salix caroliniana*). The Optimal Fire Return Interval for this community is 2-10 years depending on fire frequency of adjacent communities.

*Description and assessment:* Within the Wekiva River Basin State Parks, there are 427.86 acres of depression marsh. There are numerous small depression marshes scattered throughout the mesic flatwoods community with the parks. Many of these water bodies often have no standing water during majority of the time and the integrity of this wetland community is highly dependent upon its ephemeral nature. These wetlands are important breeding habitat for listed species, such as the stripped newt, gopher frog (*Rana capito*) and the Florida sandhill crane (*Grus canadensis*).

At Wekiwa Springs State Park there are 82.60 acres of depression marsh. Many of the ponds show signs of prolonged stress due to the drought and/or a reduction in the water table height. There is an 11-acre depression in management zones WS-21 and 22 which is contained in a low-lying area within sandhill that is fed by Carpenter Branch as it flows out of Lake Prevatt. A prescribed burn conducted in January 2011 produced a muck fire within the depression marsh area which continually smoldered and burned for several months. This fire caused minimal issue for the park, but there was a significant positive ecological effect on the natural community with the removal of accumulated organic material that had built up over time.

On the Neighborhood Lakes property, most of the depression marsh acreage occurs on the periphery of the Marsh lakes occurring within the property.

At Rock Springs Run State Reserve there are 263.82 acres of depression marsh. These marshes vary in size and are widely scattered throughout the park; however, they dominate several burn zones in the Lake County portion of the property. The largest marsh is located in management zone RKS-73. Depression marsh most frequently occurs with the mesic flatwoods communities but some are located within scrub and scrubby flatwoods in management zones RKS-3 and RKS-67.

At Lower Wekiva River Preserve State Park there are 81.44 acres of depression marsh. These marshes are small, isolated and scattered and can be found within flatwoods on the southern portion of the property, south of the Wekiva River and in a few isolated ponds in the abandoned fields/pastures north of the river in management zones LW-23, LW-24 and LW-28. One marsh system at LWRPSP remains somewhat unique because of its close association with one of two dome swamps found in the parks. The integrity of this community, as with other hydric communities, is dependent upon natural water level fluctuations. Because of groundwater withdrawals, these marshes may be in jeopardy.

*General Management Measures:* Recent droughts (2006-2008 and 2011-2013) in combination with any artificially lowered groundwater level, may result in drastic vegetative changes to this wetland community. What effect the basin-wide lowering of the water table has on these ponds is unknown. Park staff will monitor community changes over the long-term.

In addition, an active burn program in the fire-type communities surrounding these marshes will also help maintain their integrity. Historically, fire occasionally consumed the accumulated organic material in the bottom of these ponds, typically when they were dry. Fire will increasingly play an important role if water levels continue to decline. Shrubs around the marsh edge can be reduced by regular burning or mowing. The individual marshes should be burned on the same rotation as the surrounding upland communities.

Monitoring and treatment of exotic plants will continue for this area as well as the removal of exotic hogs.

#### Dome Swamp

Desired future condition: Dome swamp is an isolated, forested, depressional wetland occurring within a fire maintained matrix such as mesic flatwoods. The characteristic dome appearance will be created by smaller trees that grow on the outer edge (shallower water and less peat) and larger trees that grow in the interior. Pond cypress (*Taxodium ascendens*) will typically dominate, but swamp tupelo (Nyssa sylvatica vara biflora) may also form a pure stand or occur as a codominant. Other subcanopy species may include red maple, dahoon holly, swamp bay, sweetbay, and loblolly bay. Shrubs may be absent to moderate (a function of fire frequency) and can include Virginia willow (Itea virginica), fetterbush, buttonbush (Cephalanthus occidentalis), wax myrtle, and titi. An herbaceous component may range from absent to dense and include ferns, maidencane, sawgrass (Cladium jamaicense), sedges (Carex spp.), lizards tail (Saururus cernuus), and sphagnum moss. Vines and epiphytes will be commonly found. Maintaining the appropriate hydrology and fire frequency is critical for preserving the structure and species composition of the community. Dome swamps should be allowed to burn on the same frequency as the adjacent fire type community, allowing fires to naturally burn across ecotones. Fires should be appropriately planned to avoid high severity fuel consumption within the dome swamp.

*Description and assessment:* Within the Wekiva River Basin State Parks, there are 35.41 acres of dome swamp, in two locations. There is one dome swamp located in southern portion of Markham Woods in management zone WS-MW10 and one located at Lower Wekiva River Preserve State Park in management zone LW-28 along its western border with Seminole State Forest.

*General Management Measures:* Monitoring and treatment of exotic plants will continue for this area as well as the removal of exotic hogs. Prior to prescribed fire in the surrounding communities, dome swamps should be checked for moisture levels will require special attention to the potential for muck fires.

#### Floodplain Marsh

*Desired future condition:* Floodplain marsh can be characterized as emergent low herbaceous and shrub species which are dominant over most of the area, and there is an open vista. Trees will be few and if present, will occur primarily in the deeper portions of the community. There will be little accumulation of dead grassy fuels due to frequent burning; one can often see the soil surface through the vegetation when the community is not inundated. Dominant vegetation in floodplain marsh will include sand cordgrass, sawgrass, maidencane, panicgrasses, cutgrass (*Leersia* sp.), common reed, pickerelweed, arrowheads, buttonbush, St. John's wort, and coastal plain willow. The Optimal Fire Return Interval for this community is 2-10 years depending on fire frequency of adjacent communities.

*Description and assessment:* Within the Wekiva River Basin State Parks, there are 658.32 acres of floodplain marsh. Within the managed parks, floodplain marsh occurs primarily along the St. Johns River within the northern portion of Lower Wekiva River Preserve State Park across the river from Blue Spring State Park and in the southern portion of the park near the mouth of the Wekiva River. Both
occurrences encompass 605.04 acres in LWRPSP. There is an additional 53.28 acres found within Rock Springs Run State Reserve adjacent to Rock Springs Run in management zone RLK-23. The condition of this community is fair. Past fire suppression has allowed woody species such as wax myrtle, button bush, Carolina willow, sweetgum, and red maple to invade and become established. Recent efforts to burn this community have been met with mixed results.

*General Management Measure:* The continued efforts to restore this community must emphasize prescribed fire. Mechanical treatments or herbicide applications may also be needed for restoration efforts to remove the hardwoods. Monitoring and treatment of exotic plants will continue for this area as well as the removal of exotic hogs.

### Floodplain Swamp

*Desired future condition:* Floodplain swamp will be a frequently or permanently flooded community in low lying areas along streams and rivers. Soils will consist of a mixture of sand, organics, and alluvial materials. The closed canopy will typically be dominated by bald cypress but commonly includes tupelo species as well as water hickory, red maple and overcup oak (*Quercus lyrata*). Trees bases are typically buttressed. Understory and groundcover will typically be sparse.

Description and assessment: Within the Wekiva River Basin State Parks, there are 8455.18 acres of floodplain swamp. Floodplain swamp is the second most prevalent community type and occurs at all three parks adjacent to the primary rivers and creeks. The majority of this community acreage occurs at Lower Wekiva River Preserve State Park. Presently, the spring runs and river rarely overflow their banks; accordingly, this community was not classified as a floodplain forest. In the past, the floodplain swamp and the hydric hammock communities flooded with much higher frequency. Loggers speak of harvesting hardwoods in standing water in what has now been classified as hydric hammock (Thomson). Area wide declines in water levels have caused this shift from what was once an extensive floodplain swamp to more of a hydric hammock or floodplain forest appearance. Logging was extensive throughout both of these communities. While wetland hardwoods regenerated, bald cypress did not. Reforestation of bald cypress needs to be considered for the unit possibly as a mitigation project. While hydrological changes have made the hydric hammock unsuitable for bald cypress reintroduction, the floodplain swamp community may still provide appropriate hydrology for bald cypress although the established canopy may not allow sufficient light for small trees.

At Wekiwa Springs State Park, there are 292.30 acres of floodplain swamp. This community occurs only as a narrow band on the edges of Rock Springs Run. Approximately 3,000 bald cypress trees were planted along the south side of Rock Springs Run, primarily west of Big Buck campsite in 2001.

At Rock Springs Run State Reserve, there are 681.95 acres of floodplain swamp. Due to topography, floodplain swamp is a significantly wider band on the north shore of Rock Springs Run and on much of the west shore of the Wekiva River. All of the tram roads north of Rock Springs Run have been restored and planted with cypress saplings as of 2002.

At Lower Wekiva River Preserve State Park, there are 7,480.93 acres of floodplain swamp. Roughly half the acreage can be found within management zone LW-32. Floodplain swamp is extremely prevalent along the west shore of the Wekiva River and the St. Johns River. Recent mitigation/restoration work has removed large tram roads, deep within the swamps, enhancing the hydrologic regime. Approximately 2,000 bald cypress seedlings (bareroot) were installed in the footprint of the tram roads in 2002.

*General Management Measures:* Monitoring and treatment of exotic plants will continue for this area as well as the removal of exotic hogs. Prior to prescribed fire in the surrounding communities, floodplain swamps should be checked for moisture levels before burning because the increased fuel loads increase the potential for muck fires (see wet flatwoods portion).

### Hydric Hammock

*Desired future condition:* Hydric hammock is characterized with a closed canopy, evergreen hardwood and/or palm forest with a variable understory dominated by palms, with sparse to moderate ground cover of grasses and ferns. Typical canopy species will include laurel oak, cabbage palm, live oak, sweetbay, swamp tupelo, American elm, red maple and other hydrophytic tree species. Soils will be poorly drained but only occasionally flooded. Hydric hammock should occasionally burn by allowing fires to naturally burn across ecotones from fires originating in adjacent upland natural communities.

*Description and assessment:* Within the Wekiva River Basin State Parks, there are 11,942.89 acres of hydric hammock. This community is the most prevalent community found within the Wekiva River Basin State Parks and is distributed throughout in low, flat areas. This community contains large hardwood trees and numerous cabbage palms. As mentioned previously, the process of plant community succession due to water level declines appears to be evident in this community. Logging for cypress and wetland hardwoods in the 1930s and 1940s was extensive in the hydric hammock and floodplain swamp communities. Although the hardwoods have regenerated, the bald cypress regeneration has been extremely limited throughout the entire Wekiva Basin. Management considerations include using prescribed fire along the edges to maintain the ecotone. In addition, agencies and municipalities are becoming more aware of the importance of groundwater levels and the effects on natural lands.

At Wekiwa Springs State Park, there are 3,166.80 acres of hydric hammock. Minimal faunal and floristic surveys have been conducted in this vast ecosystem. Approximately 300 cypress trees were planted along Mill Creek in 2002. Southern pine beetles affected much of this area from May to September of 2001 due to the predominance of old loblolly pine trees. At Rock Springs Run State Reserve, there are 4,834.85 acres of hydric hammock. This community encompasses two separate continuous areas of park property located in management zones RKS-12, 17 and 18 near the Wekiva River and along the north portion of Rock Springs Run in management zones RKS-1, 28, 90 and 113. The latter portion of hydric hammock is contiguous with hydric hammock occurring in the adjacent property (New Garden Coal Mitigation Bank). Hand ferns (*Ophioglossum palmatum*), a known listed species, are known to occur epiphytically on cabbage palms in the southern portions of RSRSR. Recent population surveys have not been conducted.

At Lower Wekiva River Preserve State Park, there are 3,941.24 acres of hydric hammock. Similar to the other two units, hydric hammock is extensive within LWRPSP. This community is found adjacent to floodplain swamp. Minimal biological surveys have been conducted in these areas.

*General Management Measures:* Monitoring and treatment of exotic plants will continue for this area as well as the removal of exotic hogs. Prior to prescribed fire in the surrounding communities, hydric hammocks should be checked for moisture levels will require special attention to increased fuel loads and the potential for muck fires (see wet flatwoods portion).

### Clastic Upland Lake

*Desired future condition:* Clastic upland lakes are shallow to relatively deep, irregular-shaped depressions or basins occurring in uplands on clay substrates. They are lentic water bodies with surface inflows but often without significant outflows. Water is generally dissipated through evaporation and transpiration, but it may also disappear, especially during prolonged droughts, through sinks that connect with the aquifer.

Description and assessment: Within the Wekiva River Basin State Parks, there is only one clastic upland lake, Lake Prevatt. The lake is in the sandhill community located in the south portion of Wekiwa Springs State park. The immediate community type surrounding the lake is mesic hammock which may be attributed to both natural processes and fire shadow effect in addition to the proximity of the lake to the urban landscape surrounding the lake, both inside and outside the park boundary. The area of the lake accounts for roughly 85.23 acres. There are two known sinkholes within the lake basin. In 1990 and in 2002, the lake dried up due to local drought conditions. Since 2006, every one to two years the lake has dried out completely except for at least one of the sinkholes. This may be due to a combination of seasonal droughts conditions and the increase of water consumption from urban development and increased groundwater withdrawals throughout the surrounding springshed. Over time and specifically during drier time periods, shrubs such as willow, buttonbush, saltbush, and dog fennel can invade the edges of Lake Prevatt. During recent prolonged drought events the entire basin has been invaded by dog fennel. This may be an ongoing problem if the lake continues with this cycle.

A number of native plant and animal species are found in and around Lake Prevatt. Along with these species there are a number exotic species that have also been observed. At least four exotic fish species have been found in the lake basin, armored catfish (*Pterygoplicththys disjunctivus*), brown hoplo (*Hopolosternum littorale*) blue tilapia (*Oreochromis aureus*) and walking catfish (*Clarias batrachus*). Along the north boundary of the lake there is a healthy stand of mature chinese tallow trees (*Sapium sebiferum*). This stand has been treated through a grant from Florida Fish and Wildlife Conservation Commission (FWC) between 2011 and 2012. This stand had existed in this location for a long time without any treatment, resulting in the spread of the species along the shores and surrounding communities of Lake Prevatt. Pockets of torpedograss have been located and treated on the lake shore and in the shallow areas of the lake.

*General Management Measure:* Currently, surface water runoff from Welch and Thompson Roads is directly entering Lake Prevatt on its south side. This water receives no treatment before it enters the lake; accordingly, road pollutants and silt are being transported into the lake. This situation is unacceptable due to the Outstanding Florida Water status of Lake Prevatt. When grant money becomes available, a feasibility study needs to be conducted to determine what type of stormwater system is appropriate to treat off-site stormwater runoff.

A study conducted by Orange County, City of Apopka and the SJRWMD examining the water quantity and quality of the Lake McCoy-Coronia-Prevatt system failed to adequately address stormwater issues for Lake Prevatt. As residential and commercial development has increased and will continue to increase in the uphill areas in this three lake system, the increased impervious surfaces in developed areas results in unnaturally rapid water level changes in Lake Prevatt. Prior to 1994 Lake Prevatt has had water levels high enough to discharge only 3 times (Musser 1995). With the increased runoff from uphill areas, Lake Prevatt discharged almost continuously from the fall of 1994 until January of 1997.

Monitoring and treatment of exotic plants will continue along with the removal of exotic fish. In order to reduce encroachment of shrubby species, and to control the bio-accumulation of organic matter into the benthic portions of the lake, mowing and burning should be considered though prescribed fire may not be a feasible option due to the surrounding urban landscape.

#### Flatwoods/Prairie Lake and Marsh Lake

Desired future condition: Flatwoods/prairie lakes and marsh lakes are often associated with depression marshes and are characterized as shallow, generally round or elliptical depressions, vegetated with concentric bands of aquatic vegetation. Depending upon the depth and slope of the depression, an open water zone, with or without floating plants, may occur at the center. The open water zone will be considered to be a marsh lake if it is small in comparison to the surrounding marsh. Otherwise, the system will be considered to be a flatwoods lake or a prairie lake, depending upon the surrounding community. The hydrosoil will typically be acidic sand with some peat and occasionally a clay lens. Although water levels may fluctuate significantly, water will typically be present year-round. *Description and assessment:* Within the Wekiva River Basin State Parks, there are 217.98 acres of flatwoods lakes and 240.36 acres of marsh lakes. Both wetland communities are naturally occurring shallow lakes with a broad zone of emergent plants and are found associated with a number of uplands communities. Water levels have fluctuated seasonally and long periods of dry conditions have occurred with prolonged periods of droughts. The lakes may even completely dry up at times. Weather conditions such as the most recent droughts (2006-2008 and 2011-2013), in combination with any artificially lowered groundwater level, have caused more extensive drying periods. These occurrences may have result in drastic vegetative changes to this wetland community. What effect the basin-wide lowering of the water table has on these ponds is unknown. Park staff will monitor community changes over the long-term. These systems within the park have certain anthropogenic challenges to overcome as will be defined below

There are scattered flatwoods lakes occurring throughout the WRBSP. At Wekiwa Springs State Park, there are 18.08 acres of flatwoods lake. There are two areas where this community is found. One is located in management zones WS-33 and WS-34 in the mesic flatwoods close to the northwest boundary near Kelly Park. The other concentration is located near the boundary between management zones WS-32 and WS-33 with most of the lake being located in WS-32. There are additional lakes scattered at the main park and at the Markham Woods property in management zones WS-MW3, 8B, 9 and 14.

At Rock Springs Run State Reserve, there are 77.65 acres of flatwoods lake. These lakes are located in flatwoods and scrubby flatwoods communities in the park. There is a large concentration of this community in zones RKS-3 and RKS-81. There is another large isolated lake in zone RKS-55 just south of the pastures in RKS-54B.

At Lower Wekiva River Preserve State Park, there are 122.25 acres of flatwoods lakes. The highest concentration of this community is located in two separate locations. One location is southeast of the Wekiva River in management zones LW-10A, 10B, 12 and 15 with more scattered throughout. The other concentration of lakes is located north of Blackwater Creek in management zone LW-24. In this location the surrounding community is abandoned field /abandoned pasture.

There are three currently recognized marsh lake systems that occur within the WRBSP.

At Wekiwa Springs State Park there are 176.92 acres of marsh lake, all located within the Neighborhood Lakes property. This is the dominant wetland community on the property. The Neighborhood Lakes are the largest continuous block of marsh lake and extend to the west onto neighboring property managed by Lake County. Over time and specifically during drier time periods, shrubs such as willow, buttonbush, saltbush, and dog fennel can invade the lake's littoral zone. These species have been found around the perimeter of most of the marsh lakes within the property. Some exotic woody species like Chinese tallow and a number of grass species such as cogongrass and to a lesser degree, torpedograss occur in infestations in several locations on the edges of these marsh lakes. Water quality

within the lakes may have been affected by past agricultural uses of the property. There may be a continual effect on water quality from the adjacent residential areas to the west and northwest and also from run-off from SR 435.

Lake Lerna in the southwest corner of the property is also a marsh lake. Presumably, it has been subjected to the same stress both historically and currently as the Neighborhood Lakes. There is a neighborhood directly south and southeast of the lake; and it is also near SR 435. Around the edges of Lake Lerna the shrubby species discussed above are more prolific with the addition of blackberry (*Rubus* spp.), grape vine and climbing aster (*Aster carolinianus*). A number of these shrubby species have grown to heights of 10-15ft and many areas are dense with vegetation. Additionally, a duckweed species (species or genus unknown) was observed growing on the water surface.

At Rock Springs Run State Reserve, there are 63.44 acres of marsh lake. This wetland system is referred to as Lake Bartho and is located in management zone RKS-112. The lake is within close proximity to the Neighborhood Lakes system. The west portion of the lake is bound by abandoned field/abandoned pasture. Similar to the Neighborhood Lakes system, Lake Bartho may have been subject to the same stresses. Periodically, this wetland community has dried out during drought conditions. This dry condition, however, may be the result of some extreme ditching on its east boundary. Hydrological restoration of this disturbed area of the wetland is needed. In February 2007, the park conducted a prescribed burn in RKS-112 that resulted in a muck fire at the south end of the lake. Due to the isolated location within the park there were no issues caused by this occurrence.

*General Management Measures:* Recurring weather conditions such as the most recent drought (2006-2008), in combination with any artificially lowered groundwater level, may result in drastic vegetative changes to these wetland communities. What effect the basin-wide lowering of the water table has on these lakes is unknown; however, long-term community changes will be monitored by park staff.

In addition, an active burn program in the fire-type communities surrounding these small wetlands will help maintain their integrity. Historically fire occasionally consumed the accumulated organic material in the bottom of these lakes, especially when they were dry. As a management tool, fire should be used as a means to maintain this community. This is especially important if water levels continue to decline. The location of the future Wekiva Parkway may impede the ability to use fire safely as a management tool due to potential smoke management and containment issues associated with the road. These wetlands are important habitat for wading birds, such as the Florida sandhill crane.

A hydrological assessment is needed. In the interim exotic species should be treated with an appropriate herbicide for wetland communities and shrubs around the lake edge can be reduced by regular burning.

## Sandhill Upland Lake

*Desired future condition:* Sandhill upland lake can be described as shallow sandybottomed lake formed in shallow depressions within sandhill upland communities. Water levels may fluctuate dramatically, including completely drying up only during extreme droughts. Typical vegetation will include emergent, submerged aquatic plants and transitional species along the shoreline. Species include water lilies, sawgrass, pickerelweed, meadow beauty (*Rhexia* spp.), St. John's wort, yellowedeyed grass (*Xyris* spp.), hatpins (*Syngonanthus flavidulus*), and spikerushes (*Eleocharis* spp.). Impacts such as altered water table or disturbances in adjacent uplands that would cause artificial erosion and an increase in turbidity should be restored.

Description and assessment: Within the Wekiva River Basin State Parks, there are 17.04 acres of sandhill upland lakes. This community occurs only in Wekiwa Springs and Rock Springs Run State Reserve. In Wekiwa Springs State Park there is 9.04 acres of sandhill upland lake. One of these lakes is located in the main park in Northeast corner of management zone WS-30. Located within the Wekiwa sandhill community, the area around the lake has changed to an uplands xeric hammock. This may be due it fire shadow effect and the location on a corner boundary of the management zone. At the Neighborhood Lakes property there is one other location for sandhill upland lakes community along the south boundary. The surrounding habitat is abandoned pasture and successional hardwood forest, though based on the soil maps the area historically was most likely sandhill community.

At Rock Springs Run State Reserve, there are 8 acres of sandhill upland lakes. There is a group of 3 lakes in management zone RKS-026. These small water bodies are located within a large continuous area of scrub. In this location these water bodies play an important role of providing amphibians with a fish-free area to reproduce. Both the striped newt and the Florida gopher frog have been documented in this community. Recent drought conditions have prevented both these species and many other species of amphibians from successfully breeding. Although amphibian populations appear to be stable, it is very important for managers to burn frequently. Recent studies suggest that burn intervals for prescribed fires be carefully considered by managers due to potential negative effects on certain amphibian populations (Means and Campbell 1981; Schurbon and Fauth 2003). It is important for land managers to consider burning through ephemeral ponds during seasonal droughts in order to consume the accumulated organic matter.

*General Management Measure:* Weather conditions such as the most recent droughts (2006-2008 and 2011-2013), in combination with any artificially lowered groundwater level, may result in drastic vegetative changes to this wetland community. What effect the basin-wide lowering of the water table has on these ponds is unknown. Park staff will monitor community changes over the long-term. In addition, an active burn program in the fire-type communities surrounding these small wetlands will also help maintain their integrity. Historically fire occasionally consumed the accumulated organic material in the bottom of these ponds,

especially when they were dry. Fire will increasingly play an important role if water levels continue to decline.

A hydrological assessment, completed by a hydrologist is needed. In the interim exotic species should be treated with an appropriate herbicide for wetland communities and shrubs around the marsh edge can be reduced by regular burning or mowing. The individual marshes should be burned on the same rotation as the surrounding upland communities.

Monitoring and treatment of exotic plants will continue for this area as well as the removal of exotic hogs.

#### Sinkhole Lake

*Desired future condition:* Sinkhole lakes can be described as a relatively permanent and typically deep lake characterized by clear water with a high mineral content formed in depressions within a limestone base. Vegetative cover may range from being completely absent, consist of a fringe of emergent species, or be completely covered with floating plants. Typical plant species may include smartweed (*Polygonum hydropiperoides*), duckweed, bladderwort (*Utricularia* spp.), and rushes (*Juncus* spp.). Desired conditions include minimizing disturbances that cause unnatural erosion and minimizing pollution to the connected aquifer system.

*Description and assessment:* Within the Wekiva River Basin State Parks, there are two sinkhole lakes, which encompass approximately 3 acres. The two lakes are located at the north end of The Neighborhood Lakes property of Wekiwa Springs State Park. Both lakes are adjacent to the footprint of where the Wekiva Parkway will pass through the property, and may be affected by location of the road.

*General Management Measures:* With both lakes, a hydrological assessment is needed. The primary concern for these two features is on the effects of water quality due to storm-water run-off from the road and erosion along the edges. Measures should be taken and enforced to prevent contamination and ensure the health of both lakes. Species composition is unknown for both lakes and a survey should be conducted for this information. Monitoring water quality should be conduct during road construction and after the construction has been completed. In addition, the lakes should have a permanent system set up for monitoring water quality and exotic species. Exotic plants should be treated with an appropriate herbicide for wetland communities and shrubs around the lake edge can be reduced by regular burning. When treating for exotic plants around the lakes, extra caution should be used due to the direct connection the lakes have to the aquifers.

### Blackwater Stream

*Desired future condition:* Blackwater stream can be characterized as perennial or intermittent watercourses originating in lowlands where extensive wetlands with organic soils collect rainfall and runoff, discharging it slowly to the stream. The stained waters will be laden with tannins, particulates, and dissolved organic matter derived from drainage through adjacent swamps resulting in sandy bottoms overlain by organic matter. Emergent and floating vegetation [including golden club

(*Orontium aquaticum*), smartweeds, grasses and sedges] may occur but is often limited by steep banks and dramatic seasonal fluctuations in water levels. Desired conditions include minimizing disturbance and alterations and preserving adjacent natural communities.

*Description and assessment:* Within the Wekiva River Basin State Parks, there are 835.02 acres of blackwater stream. Blackwater streams and creeks differ from spring-run streams significantly. Whereas spring-run creeks are comprised primarily of groundwater discharge, blackwater streams are comprised primarily of surface water runoff. The Wekiva and Rock Springs Runs mix with surface water runoff, resulting in the tannic conditions of blackwater streams.

At Wekiwa Springs State Park, there is 144.93 acres of blackwater stream. Within WSSP blackwater stream pertains to the unnamed stream that flows into Lake Prevatt and to Carpenter Branch. Input to the Lake Prevatt streams is from surface runoff and nursery irrigation. Carpenter branch flows out from Lake Prevatt north through the sandhill. This blackwater stream forms the boundary between WS-21, 22, 23 and 25 before it merges with Mill Creek at the north boundary of zone WS-29. In addition to both streams named above Mill Creek is another blackwater stream, though the initial source of the stream is Witherington Springs (see Springrun stream below) located in the hydric hammock where zones WS-29, 31 and 32 converge. Mill Creek also forms the boundary between WS-29 and WS32 the stream flows through WS-24 and then through WS-42 before converging with Rock Springs Run. The stream flows through hydric hammock and so most of the streams water source is from surface runoff through the hammock, the flow from Witherington is minimal in comparison. The park has one other unnamed blackwater stream the flows out from Sand Lake through hydric hammock and converging with Wekiwa Springs Run in the lagoon. This flow of this stream has not been mapped.

At Rock Springs Run State Reserve, there are 132.90 acres of blackwater streams mapped within this unit. Rock Springs Run runs the entire length of the north and east boundary of Wekiwa Springs State Park. Though the River is named "Rock Springs Run" it is actually a black water stream. The source of the stream is Rock Springs located in Kelly Park and so is a spring run portion of the river (managed by Orange County Parks and Recreation). Though the stream is located within the boundary of Wekiwa Springs State Park it is used as a reference feature for separating the park the boundary of Rock Springs Run State Reserve. There are two other known streams in the park that need to be mapped one stream flows into the park from an adjacent neighboring property through RKS-54C merging with the Wekiva River. The other stream creates the boundary between management zones RKS-5 and RKS-7. This stream has an unknown origin and it is also unknown where it flows to beyond the two zones mentioned.

At Lower Wekiva River Preserve State Park, there are over 557.19 acres of blackwater streams mapped within this unit. The entire lower reach of the Wekiva River (below Rock Springs Run) is mapped as blackwater stream and all portions of the St. Johns River within the park boundaries are similarly labeled. Also, the lower reaches of Blackwater Creek flow from Seminole State Forest through the Lower Wekiva River Preserve State Park and merges with the Wekiva River about 1.5 miles before the Wekiva merges with the St. Johns River. In the park, Blackwater Creek creates the boundary between management zones LW-20 and LW-21. In the northeast corner of Lower Wekiva River Preserve State Park, the Hontoon Dead River and Snake Creek pass into the park

*General Management Measures:* Generally, the blackwater streams are in basic maintenance, though water quality should be monitored and general surveys should be conducted on aquatic species. Also, exotic plant species should be monitored and treated in and around this community.

### Spring-Run Stream

*Desired future condition:* Perennial water courses which derive most, if not all, of their water from limestone artesian openings from the underground aquifer. The waters will be typically cool, clear, and circumneutral to slightly alkaline. These factors allow for optimal sunlight penetration and minimal environmental fluctuations which promote plant and algae growth. However, the characteristics of the water can change significantly downstream as surface water runoff becomes a greater factor. Areas of high flow will typically have sandy bottoms while organic materials concentrate around fallen trees and limbs and slow moving pools. Typical vegetation will include eel grass (*Valisneria americana*), arrowheads (*Sagittaria* spp.), southern naiad (*Najas guadalupensis*), and pondweeds (*Potamogeton* spp.).

*Description and assessment:* Within the Wekiva River Basin State Parks, there are .049 acres of spring-run stream all located within the Wekiwa Springs State Park. The flow of spring-run streams is comprised primarily of groundwater from the aquifer and is therefore clear and cool with a neutral to basic pH. Several factors can affect this ecosystem including spring flow reductions, water quality degradation and heavy recreational use. Wekiwa Springs Run is the portion of the Wekiva River that is considered spring-run stream. This portion of the river starts at the spring head and changes to blackwater stream where Rock Springs Run merges with the Wekiva. There is one other spring-run stream located in the park and that would be a portion of Mill Creek. As stated above, the source of Mill Creek is Witherington Springs. Most of Mill Creek has been designated a blackwater stream, but the initial outflow from the spring (100-200 feet) would technically be considered a spring-run stream.

The stream from Wekiwa Springs to the concrete bridge just west of the Wekiwa Marina and the upper end of Rock Springs Run receives a high amount of recreational use. Accordingly, swimmers and canoeists disturb the bottom vegetation. This disturbance is probably more of a problem in Rock Springs Run because it is much shallower than Wekiwa Springs Run. Bank disturbance due to people climbing out of canoes is also a problem in very localized areas near the mouth of Rock Springs Run. Most of the recreational use of the spring-run is generated from marinas outside of the unit. Accordingly, the unit has little control over the number of people on the spring-run. Motorboats are prohibited from Wekiwa Springs Run and Rock Springs Run.

Exotic plants, mostly wild taro (*Colocasia esculentum*), are a major problem along Rock Springs Run and the Wekiva River. The run is infested and serves as a source of infestation for the entire Wekiva River. Control measures, hand pulling and herbiciding, are regularly implemented. The Florida Fish and Wildlife Commission conducts annual surveys and treatments for exotic plant on the Wekiva River, Rock Springs Run, and the Little Wekiva River. Control measures include hand removal and herbicide spraying. The lagoon area of Wekiwa Springs and the run have concentrations of hydrilla (Hydrilla verticillata), water hyacinth (Eichhornia crassipes) and water lettuce (Pistia stratiotes). This concentration serves as a potential source of infestation to the entire Wekiva River. The staff of the Wekiva River Aquatic Preserve assist FWC with the exotic surveys. They also conduct aquatic turtle and bird surveys. An herbicide treatment program was implemented in 2003 for hydrilla removal in the Wekiwa Lagoon, and has continued annually. The initial treatment should be effective for three to five years. Since the initial treatment the hydrilla has become more resistant and required a treatment every two years. Since 2008 the treatments are now on an annual schedule.

The U.S. Army Corps of Engineers (USACE) has historically been responsible for control of water hyacinths on the Wekiva River. However, USACE has transferred responsibility for control of water hyacinths and water lettuce to FWC Invasive Plant Management, Orlando District. The aquatic preserves Park Service Specialist will serve as the field coordinator for exotic plant inspections and herbicide monitoring and reporting to FWC.

*General Management Measures:* Park staff is considering de-mucking the bottom of the Wekiwa Lagoon. Up to, and perhaps exceeding, eight feet of organic floc have accumulated on the lagoon bottom. This build-up is primarily due to the seasonal abundance and decay of hydrilla and algae. During summer months, a tremendous amount of hydrilla can virtually fill the lagoon. Over the years, it has been appropriately treated with herbicides. However, dead biomass falls to the bottom and accumulates, ultimately reducing oxygen levels in the water column as this biomass is decomposed.

### Aquatic and Terrestrial Caves

Desired future condition: Aquatic and terrestrial caves are characterized as cavities below the ground surface in karst areas. A cave system may contain portions classified as terrestrial caves and portions classified as aquatic caves. The latter will vary from shallow pools highly susceptible to disturbance, to more stable, totally submerged systems. Because all caves develop under aquatic conditions, terrestrial caves can be considered essentially dry aquatic caves. Near the cave entrance, the vegetation may be typical of the surrounding natural community. Within the cave, illumination levels and therefore vegetation densities will drop rapidly. Species of mosses, algae, liverworts, may be present. Plants may be absent or limited to a few inconspicuous species of fungi that grow on guano or other organic debris. Cave systems are extremely fragile. Desired future conditions include protecting against alterations that may affect light penetration, air circulation, microclimate, or increase pollution in aquatic systems.

Description and assessment: The only known aquatic caves are located within the WSSP unit. The aquatic cave community is not well understood due to the technical difficulties associated with conducting research in this environment. There are two cave entrances in Wekiwa Springs - the main vertical fissure and a horizontal vent on the southeastern corner of the basin. The Orlando cave crayfish (*Procambarus* acherontis) and several unknown species of cave isopods have been documented here (Morris 2005). The other vent, which resembles a horizontal bedding plane, has less flow and approximately 600 feet of passage was surveyed in 1999 (Tysall 2004). The interior of this conduit is covered by several different species of bacteria, and several fossils have been documented inside. Both passages harbor armored catfish populations. Nothing is known about the system beneath Witherington Spring. Since 2005, extensive cave survey work has been performed by the Cambrian Foundation. These surveys have included the mapping of cave passages at several of the springs within the Wekiva River Basin including Wekiwa Springs, Island Springs and Witherington Springs, biogeochemical analyses, nutrient analyses, bedrock delineation, bacterial growth rate studies, and identification of and quantification of cave fauna.

*General Management Measures:* Continual monitoring of Minimum Flows and Levels (MFLs) and water quality from the cave entrances where water outflow occurs along with all species found within the cave/spring community. In addition, all exotics, specifically armored catfish will be monitored and removed from the cave passages and surrounding watershed.

# Altered Landcover Types

FNAI recognizes that not all habitats and landscapes in Florida are in natural condition. Some have been completely converted from their historic natural community (e.g., agriculture, pasture) while others have been severely altered by human impacts such as fire suppression or silvicultural activities. These altered habitats do not fit into FNAI's Natural Community Classification. For these reasons FNAI recognizes the following altered landcover types to describe the most common non-natural habitats observed on conservation lands in Florida.

# Abandoned field/abandoned pasture

Old fields, fallow pastures, early successional areas formerly grazed or in agriculture without recent activity to maintain the area as pasture or planted field. These areas are often dominated by weedy natives such as blackberry and wax myrtle and non-native species such as hairy indigo (*Indigofera hirsuta*). In old pastures, generally designated when weedy cover from woody is greater than 20 percent.

*Desired future condition:* Most of the abandoned field/abandoned pasture within the Wekiva River Basin State Parks will require extensive restoration. General restoration measures for pasture areas within all properties are discussed below and specific measures are discussed in the restoration objectives in the Resource Management Program section of this plan. The desired future condition of the improved pasture would be restoration of the native habitat communities. There are

multiple communities that had existed within this acreage prior to alterations. Based on soil type, hydrology and the existing communities that bound the pastures we can determine the appropriate location of the community. The soil type that dominates the area at the Neighborhood Lakes property is Candler fine sands. This sand type is typically found in sandhill communities at the Markham Woods property. Most of the soil types where the abandoned field/abandoned pasture is located are typical of sandhill communities. In Lower Wekiva River Preserve State Park the soils are typical of a flatwoods community, due to the more hydric nature of the pastures within management zones LW23 and LW-24 the community may have been a wet flatwoods community instead of mesic flatwoods.

Description and assessment: Within the Wekiva River Basin State Parks, there are 1,783.24 acres of abandoned field/abandoned pasture. This is the most prevalent altered community in the WRBSP. The majority of the abandoned field/abandoned pasture acreage is found within the Neighborhood Lakes tract which is part of the Wekiwa Springs State Park. Historically these pasture lands were used for citrus production and cattle grazing prior to the property being acquired by the state. The major plant species found within the pasture is bahiagrass (Paspalum notatum). There are various other species (both native and non-native) found within these pastures, with a number of the exotic species being Category I and II invasives (see exotic species section below). There is also the remnant of a citrus grove that predates recent cattle grazing activities. What remains of the citrus groves are some of the original trees and subsequent generations that have sprouted since the grove was replaced by pasture. The old irrigation system also remains and the property is littered with black PVC pipe used to irrigate the trees. Some of the piping is exposed and some is still buried. It is unknown if there was any fire applied to either property prior to state acquisition. Abandoned field/abandoned pasture also occurs at the Markham Woods property which is also a part of the WSSP in management zones WS-MW01/02, 3, 4 and 5.

The second largest area of abandoned field/abandoned pasture occurs in the western portion of Lower Wekiva River Preserve State Park north of Blackwater Creek extending north to the north boundary of the park, in management zones LW-23, 24, 27, 28 and 29. It also occurs at the Skinner property in management zones LW-SK3 and 6.

*General Management Measures:* Monitoring and treatment of exotic plants will continue for this community as well as the removal of exotic hogs. Prescribed fire will also be conducted in management zones containing abandoned fields/abandoned pastures. Other management measures will include limited restoration efforts designed to minimize the effect of the pasture on adjacent natural areas. Cost-effectiveness and consideration of other higher priority restoration projects within the park will determine the extent of restoration measures.

### Artificial Pond/impoundment

*Desired future condition*: Artificial pond/impoundment will be maintained in its current conditions. The artificial pond/impoundment areas within the park will be

managed to minimize the effect of the Artificial Pond/impoundment areas on adjacent natural areas. Priority invasive plant species (FLEPPC Category I and II species) along with non-category exotic species will be removed from in and around the perimeter of the artificial pond/impoundment areas. Other management measures include development guidelines that are compatible with prescribed fire management in adjacent natural areas.

Description and assessment: Within the Wekiva River Basin State Parks, there are 3.23 acres of artificial pond, found in three separate locations. In Wekiwa Springs State Park there is a stormwater pond that was installed in 2004 in management zone WS-01 adjacent to Wekiwa Springs Road. The stormwater runoff brings in trash from the road which settles into and around the pond. This pond has also been a source of CAT I and II exotic species. The primary species found around the pond and spreading into the surrounding sandhill community are cogon grass, natal grass and air potato. In addition to these species, there are also non-category exotic species found around the pond. At the Markham Woods property, a stormwater pond has been installed at the south end of the Seminole County hiking trailhead facility on the north side of the property. This feature doesn't hold water: water drains into the depression from the facility and quickly drains into the soil. On the Skinner Tract at Lower Wekiva River Preserve State Park, there is an artificial pond adjacent to the old pole barn in the north portion of management zone LWSK3 near State Road 42. This was an old cattle pond that was installed by the previous owners of the property.

*General Management Measures:* Monitoring and treatment of exotic plants will continue for this community as well as the removal of exotic hogs. This community will also need to be monitored for erosion that may occur due to exotic removal efforts and proximity to roads.

### Borrow area

*Desired future condition*: Borrow pit areas will be maintained in current conditions. There is only one location within the WRBSP, Sand Lake. The borrow pit areas within the park will be managed to minimize the effect of the borrow pit areas on adjacent natural areas. Priority invasive plant species (FLEPPC Category I and II species) along with non-category exotic species will be removed from in and around the perimeter of the borrow pit areas. Other management measures include development guidelines that are compatible with prescribed fire management in adjacent natural areas.

*Description and assessment:* Within the Wekiva River Basin State Parks, there are 10.64 acres of borrow area. Sand Lake located at Wekiwa Springs State Park in management zone WS-42 is a borrow pit. This feature was constructed on the property when it was under the ownership of a private hunt club between 1934 and 1969. The soil was removed from the pit for the process of making concrete. The owners of the property installed an artesian well and then flooded the pit forming an artificial pond. In 2005 the park had the well capped with the intention drying out the pond filling in the borrow pit and restoring the natural community. This action has not caused the lake to dry up, which may be due to seepage from where

the pipe from the well was installed into the aquifer. Inflow to the lake is primarily through the artesian spring and surface runoff. Sand Lake drains into the Wekiva River system by means of an unnamed creek that flows during periods of high precipitation through management zones WS-12 and WS-42 and empties into the Wekiwa Lagoon just below the bridge across the main springs. There is one other borrow pit located at the Neighborhood Lakes property in management zone WS-NL3, just south of where the Wekiwa Parkway will be built adjacent to SR 435. The history of this feature is unknown.

*General Management Measures:* Monitoring and treatment of exotic plants will continue for this area. This area will also need to be monitored for erosion that may occur due to exotic removal efforts public use in the surrounding area and proximity to roads. Sand lake has become a popular location for public uses like fishing and picnicking and will be maintain in its current state due to these factors. Due to these recreational uses Sand lake has historically become a location where the park has had problems with nuisance American alligators (*Alligator mississippiensis*). Given this conflict, nuisance species may need to be removed.

### Canal/ditch

Desired future condition: Internal discussions/speculations have been made that filling in the canals would help with restoring some of the sheet-flow in the adjacent flood-plain swamp and hydric hammocks to the west of the St. Johns River, but no tangible plans has been developed (a full comprehensive study on the hydrology of the canals and surrounding area should be conducted to determine the negative impact of the canals and the potential benefits of removing the canals). If it was determined that removal of the canals was beneficial and the process was to occur, at least one of the canals, the third from the north, would remain undisturbed to the high amount of boat traffic between the St. Johns River and the Hontoon Dead River.

*Description and assessment:* Within the Wekiva River Basin State Parks, there are 35.80 acres of canal/ditch. These features are located within Lower Wekiva River Preserve State Park in management zone LW-32. There are 6 canals in all varying in length with the longest one extending beyond the east boundary of LW-32 and forming a portion of the boundary between management zones LW-27 to the south and LW-28 to the north. The canals were installed and used during the cypress logging period between 1884 and 1944 as a means to float cypress logs out of the surrounding palustrine communities and into the St. Johns River to be transported downriver to a mill for processing.

*General Management Measures:* The canals are cooperatively managed by the Wekiva River Basin State Parks, the Wekiva River Aquatic Preserve, FWC and the USACE. Currently there are no management procedures being conducted for the any of the canals except for the canal near light beacon 83 along the St. Johns River. This canal is used as a navigable system connecting the St. Johns with the Hontoon Dead River. FWC and the USACE monitor and remove exotic species along with the removal any debris that may impede watercraft navigation along this canal. None of the other 5 canals are navigable.

## **Developed**

Check stations, parking lots, buildings, maintained lawns (as part of recreational, business, or residential areas), botanical or ornamental gardens, campgrounds, recreational, industrial, and residential areas.

Desired future condition: Developed areas will be maintained in current conditions. The developed areas within the park will be managed to minimize the effect of the developed areas on adjacent natural areas. Priority invasive plant species (FLEPPC Category I and II species) along with non-category exotic species will be removed from all developed areas. Other management measures include proper stormwater management and development guidelines that are compatible with prescribed fire management in adjacent natural areas.

*Description and assessment:* Within the Wekiva River Basin State Parks, there are 126.23 acres of developed area. At Wekiwa Springs State Park there are 97.51 acres of developed area. Most of the acreage is divided between the parks' shop and administration facilities, main use area, the youth camp and the family campgrounds. In addition, there are 7 residences, the horse corral, and parking area near Sand Lake. At Rock Springs Run State Reserve there are 15.04 acres of developed. 5.95 acres are at the main use area which incorporates the horse stables horse barn and pasture, restrooms and camping area. There is another 7.46 acres at the hammock house facilities. The additional acres are spread out through the park. There are three residences and two cultural sites, the Ethel House and Ethel Cemetery. At Lower Wekiva River Preserve State Park there is 13.68 acres of developed. The developed areas of the park are divided into two locations, 6.18 acres at the Katie's Landing property and 7.5 acres which incorporates the horse stable and corrals, a restroom facility and areas for camping in the north portion of the park.

*General Management Measures:* The developed areas within the park will be managed to minimize the effect of the developed areas on adjacent natural areas. Priority invasive plant species (EPPC Category I and II species) will be removed from all developed areas. Other management measures include proper stormwater management and development guidelines that are compatible with prescribed fire management in adjacent natural areas.

### Pasture – Improved

Dominated by planted non-native or domesticated native forage species and evidence of current or recent pasture activity and/or cultural treatments (mowing, grazing, burning, fertilizing; Agro-Ecology Grazing Issues Working Group 2009). Improved pastures have been cleared of their native vegetation. Most improved pastures in Florida are planted with bahiagrass and to a lesser extent with Bermudagrass (*Cynodon dactylon*) or pangolagrass (*Digitaria eriantha*). Weedy native species are often common in improved pastures in Florida and include dogfennel, many species of flatsedge (*Cyperus* spp.), carpetgrasses (*Axonopus* spp.), crabgrasses (*Digitaria* spp.), and rustweed (*Polypremum procumbens*) among many others. Lawns or turf areas that are being maintained by mowing for human/recreational-use should be classified as developed (see above). *Desired future condition:* Most of the pasture - improved within the Wekiva River Basin State Parks will require extensive restoration. General restoration measures for pasture areas within all properties are discussed below and specific measures are discussed in the restoration objectives in the Resource Management Program section of this plan. The desired future condition of the improved pasture would be restoration of the native habitat communities. There are multiple communities existed within this acreage prior to alterations. Based on soil type, hydrology and the existing communities that bound the pasture we can determine the appropriate location of communities. In management zone RKS-54A there is a large pocket of Myakka sand and pomello sand both are typical flatwoods community soils. Along the southwest portion of the zone is St. Lucie sand this soil type is typical of scrub communities. Much of RKS-54B has Candler fine sand which a typical sandhill soil type along with Tavares sand which is a typical flatwoods soil type. See respective community types in the above section of natural communities for descriptions of the full desired conditions.

*Description and assessment:* Within the Wekiva River Basin State Parks, there are 940.32 acres of pasture - improved. The majority of the pasture improved acreage is found within Rock Springs Run State Park in within management zones RKS-54A and RKS-54B located in the northeast corner of the park.

*General Management Measures (interim):* The pasture - improved areas with RKS-54A and RKS-54B were maintained by John Deere between the months of November through March for an interim period from 2004 to 2012. The use of the area was for training exercises and testing of new equipment. Currently the park maintains the acreage by bi-annually mowing the pastures, this effort will continue until restoration efforts have been established. In addition to these management activities monitoring and treatment of exotic plants will continue for this community as well as the removal of exotic hogs. Other management measures will include limited restoration efforts designed to minimize the effect of the pasture on adjacent natural areas. Cost-effectiveness, return on investment and consideration of other higher priority restoration projects within the park will determine the extent of restoration measures.

### Pasture - Semi-Improved

Dominated by a mix of planted non-native or domesticated native forage species and native groundcover, due to an incomplete conversion to pasture, not regeneration. Semi-improved pastures have been cleared of a significant percentage of their native vegetation and planted in non-native or domesticated native forage species, but still retain scattered patches of native vegetation with natural species composition and structure (most often small areas of mesic flatwoods) among the pastured areas. The planted areas are usually dominated by and can resemble improved pastures. Seeding of bahiagrass can also occur within areas of native groundcover. This category should apply regardless of recent pasture maintenance.

Desired future condition: Most of the pasture – semi-improved within the Wekiva River Basin State Parks will require extensive restoration. General restoration measures for pasture areas within all properties are discussed below and specific measures are discussed in the restoration objectives in the Resource Management Program section of this plan. The desired future condition of the improved pasture would be restoration of the native habitat communities. There are multiple communities existed within this acreage prior to alterations. Based on soil type, hydrology and the existing communities that bound the pastures we can determine the appropriate location of the communities. The soil type that dominates the area at Neighborhood Lakes property is Candler fine sands. This sand type is typically found in sandhill communities. There is a large pocket of St. Lucie sand in the pasture-semi-improved that is a typical soil type found in scrub communities. In RKS-54C most of the soil types are typical of flatwoods communities, due to the more hydric nature of the pastures within the zone the community may have been a wet flatwoods community instead of mesic flatwoods. The other pockets of flatwoods scattered throughout the parks have similar soils and are located amongst flatwoods communities.

*Description and assessment:* Within the Wekiva River Basin State Parks, there are 553.92 acres of pasture – semi-improved. The two largest blocks are located in Wekiwa Springs State Park, at the north end of the Neighborhood Lakes property and in Rock Springs Run State Reserve in zone RKS-54C. There are smaller blocks scattered throughout Rock Springs Run State Reserve and an additional small block located in Lower Wekiva River Preserve State Park at the Skinner property in management zone LW-S01.

*General Management Measures:* The pasture – semi-improved areas with RKS-54c were maintained by John Deere between the months of November through March for an interim period from 2004 to 2012. The use of the area was for train exercises and testing of new equipment. Monitoring and treatment of exotic plants will continue for this community as well as the removal of exotic hogs. Other management measures will include limited restoration efforts designed to minimize the effect of the pasture on adjacent natural areas. Cost-effectiveness and consideration of other higher priority restoration projects within the park will determine the extent of restoration measures.

### Pine plantation

Areas altered by silvicultural activities. These include lands where either 1) planted pines are having or will have an ongoing detrimental effect on native groundcover, 2) the history of planted pines has damaged groundcover to the point where further restoration beyond thinning and burning is required, and/or 3) the method of planting (e.g. bedding) has severely impacted groundcover. Pine plantations in Florida are often dominated by even-aged loblolly, sand, or slash pine. Dense pine plantations typically have sparse to absent herbaceous vegetation as a result of shading or a cover of deep pine needle duff. These plantations may be very shrubby or vine-dominated or open at ground level. The groundcover in most cases has been severely impacted by mechanical site preparation, such as roller chopping and bedding. However, while perennial grasses such as wiregrass may be greatly reduced, many components of the native groundcover persist even though the relative abundance is altered. Groundcover can be partially restored by thinning and/or frequent burning, although some planting of perennial grasses such as wiregrass may be required. With activities such as thinning and burning, plantations with intact native groundcover can be restored to the former natural community. Within the Wekiva River Basin State Parks, there are 323.16 acres of pine plantation.

*Desired future condition:* The desired future condition of the pine plantation would be restoration of the native sandhill community.

Description and assessment: The only location where pine plantation is found in the Wekiva River Basin State Parks is at the Pine Plantation property which is part of Wekiwa Springs State Park. Which consist of planted northern slash pines (Pinus elliottii), Prior to state acquisition of this property it was managed as a tree farm. Periodically pine needle straw was harvested in the past. The tree stands within the property are all in the same stage of development. The stand varies in age from 25 to 30 years in age with a basal area of 120 to 130 trees per acre. As of March 2017, the stand is being thinned to about 60 trees per acre basal are with a predicted completion of mid-summer2017. This will be followed with a fire line construction project. the property perimeter will have 30 feet wide perimeter lines constructed with an addition of three interior 15 feet wide firelines constructed. The two projects are the initial phase to restoration of the property back to native sandhill. The next part of this initial phase will be to conduct a post timber harvest exotic plant removal followed by the introduction of prescribed fire during the winter months of 2017-2018. The number of rotations that the stands have gone through is currently unknown. The groundcover consists of a two to four-inch layer of needle cast, there are a few scattered patches of bahia grass. The property does not have an understory layer. In addition to past pine needle harvesting, fire may have also been used to manage the undergrowth and fuel loads under the tree canopy in the stands. A number of exotic plant species have been found on the property with the most notable being Brazilian pepper (Schinus terebinthifolius). This is the first occurrence of this species within the Wekiva River Basin.

*General Management Measures:* The former pine plantation will be managed to remove priority invasive plant species (FLEPPC Category I and II species). A plan is being developed for the restoration of the property through soil evaluation park staff have been able to determine that the acreage on the Pine Plantation property historically was sandhill. Timber management for restoration purposes should be considered for this property. The replacement stand should consist of longleaf pine for the canopy. Cost-effectiveness and consideration of other higher priority restoration projects within the park will determine the extent of restoration measures.

### Spoil area

Area where dredge or spoil material is deposited, may be re-colonized by plants Within the Wekiva River Basin State Parks, there are 13.28 acres of spoil area. *Desired future condition:* The desired future condition of the spoil area would be to restoration to native flood plain marsh.

Description and assessment: The spoil area is located at Lower Wekiva River Preserve State Park in management zone LW-18. This management zone is located along the southeast bank of the Wekiva River where the river empties into the St. Johns River. The spoil area was the result historic dredging operations conducted at the mouth of the Wekiva River into the St. Johns River. The spoil area is covered with a canopy of cabbage palms there is not much of an understory or ground cover. The lack of an understory and ground cover may be the result of the area being heavily used by hogs. The heavy use from the hogs has also brought in some exotic plant species, like Caesarweed (*Urena lobata*). The seeds from this species are easily carried in the hogs' fur.

*General management measures:* Monitoring and treatment of exotic plants will continue for this area as well as the removal of exotic hogs.

#### Successional hardwood forest

Closed-canopied forest dominated by fast growing hardwoods such as laurel oak, water oak, and/or sweetgum, often with remnant pines. These forests are either invaded natural habitat (i.e., mesic flatwoods, sandhill, upland pine, upland mixed woodland) due to lengthy fire-suppression or old fields that have succeeded to forest. The subcanopy and shrub layers of these forests are often dense and dominated by smaller individuals of the canopy species. Successional hardwood forests can contain remnant species of the former natural community such as turkey oak, saw palmetto, gallberry, and infrequently wiregrass. Additionally, species such as beautyberry (*Callicarpa americana*), muscadine, and sparkleberry are common. Restoration of these forests includes mechanical tree removal and reintroduction of fire. Where characteristic herbaceous species (e.g., wiregrass) have been lost, reintroduction via seed or plants may be necessary to restore natural species composition and community function. Within the Wekiva River Basin State Parks, there are 1,048 acres of successional hardwood forest.

Desired future condition: Most of the successional hardwood forest within the Wekiva River Basin State Parks will require extensive restoration. General restoration measures within all properties are discussed below and specific measures are discussed in the restoration objectives in the Resource Management Program section of this plan. The desired future condition of the successional hardwood would be the restoration of the native habitat communities. There are multiple communities that had existed within this acreage prior to alterations. Based on soil type, hydrology and the existing communities that bound the altered community we can determine the appropriate location of the historic community matrix.

*Description and assessment:* Within the Wekiva River Basin State Parks, there are 1,093.63 acres of successional hardwood forest. Most of the acreage is located in areas of the parks that have had a history of community alterations due to some form of agricultural practices or there has been a history of fire suppression. Within

most of the WRBSP properties successional forest has an association with the pasture type altered communities. For example, at Wekiwa Springs State Park, the Neighborhood Lakes property, portions of the pasture that have become overgrown with large hardwoods and have the appearance of a successional hardwood forest. This same pattern can be found at Markham Woods and within the pastures at Rock Springs Run State Reserve. Within Wekiwa Springs State Park there are pockets of successional hardwood forest that occur in portions of sandhill due to a fire shadow effect and a history of fire suppression in these locations. This is most apparent is in the southern portion of the park around the main use area and the park offices.

*General Management Measures:* Monitoring and treatment of exotic plants will continue for this community, along with the removal or feral hogs. Aggressive restoration efforts will be needed to return the community to a natural condition. This would require the removal of mature trees and/or the addition of mowing/roller-chopping understory fuels along with the application of prescribed fire.

### Utility corridor

Desired future condition: Utility corridors will be maintained according to current conditions; the corridors are rights-of-way for the utility companies/agencies whose conduits use the land. The utility corridors within the park will be managed to minimize the effect of the corridors on adjacent natural areas. Priority invasive plant species (FLEPPC Category I and II species) along with non-category exotic species will be removed from all developed areas. Other management measures include proper stormwater management and development guidelines that are compatible with prescribed fire management in adjacent natural areas. Certain management activities may need to be coordinated with the company/agency associated with the corridor.

*Description and assessment:* Within the Wekiva River Basin State Parks, there are 35.65 acres of utility corridor. In Wekiwa Springs State Park, there is a gas line that runs through the southwest corner of the park between management zones WS-19 and WS-20. There is also another gas line that runs along the south end of WS-PP1 and WS-PP3 at the Pine Plantation property.

There are three locations where there are high tension electrical power lines. There is a line that passes through the northeast corner of WS-PP3 at the pine plantation property and then continues through the property separating WS-PP4 from WS-PP5. The second location for the high tension power lines is at Markham Woods. This line passes along the east boundary adjacent to WS-MW11, 12 and 15. The third location for these power lines is found within the Skinner Tract which is part of the Lower Wekiva River Preserve State Park. This corridor passes through LW-SK1 and LW-SK3.

*General management measures*: Control of invasive plant species in utility corridors will be on going. These corridors are easements managed by the utility companies. They mechanically maintain these corridors and the equipment they use may be an

ongoing source of exotics brought into these locations. Caution may need to be used when conducting prescribed burns in adjacent management zones.

## **Imperiled Species**

Imperiled species are those that are (1) tracked by FNAI as critically imperiled (G1, S1) or imperiled (G2, S2); or (2) listed by the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service (USFWS), Florida Fish and Wildlife Conservation Commission (FWC) or the Florida Department of Agriculture and Consumer Services (FDACS) as endangered, threatened or of special concern.

The Wekiva River Basin State Parks currently have 63 imperiled species found within the park boundaries. There are 19 imperiled plant species and 44 imperiled animal species; the majority of the animal species are birds. This high number of listed species is a reflection of the biologically diverse nature of the Basin. Twenty-three of the species have been observed in all three parks. The following discussion highlights some of these species and their management concerns.

There are 19 imperiled plant species within the WRBSP. Discussion of the plants will be limited to two endangered species: star anise (*Illicium parviflorum*) and hand fern (*Ophioglossum palmatum*), and two other sensitive species: the giant orchid (*Pteroglossaspis ecristata*) and the hooded pitcher plant (*Sarracenia minor*). In addition, the Wekiva River Basin State Parks have a number of plant species considered distinctive. None are currently listed as designated species, nor are they rare or in decline, but their presence in the Wekiva Basin is considered unusual. Many of these plants have distributions which are more closely tied to the Appalachian Mountains than to central Florida. Some of the occurrences represent southern or near southern range limits while others are disjunct populations. These distinctive species include red buckeye (*Aesculus pavia*), Florida leucothoe (*Agarista populifolia*), hornbeam (*Carpinus carolineana*), chinquapin (*Castanea alnifolia*), flowering dogwood (*Cornus florida*), witch hazel (*Hamamelis virginiana*), tulip poplar (*Liriodendron tulipifera*), wafer ash (*Ptelea trifoliata*), Carolina basswood (*Tilia caroliniana*), and poison sumac (*Toxicodendron vernix*).

Wekiwa Springs State Park has the distinction of having the state's largest known population of star anise (*Illicium parviflorum*). Star anise occurs throughout the hydric hammock/floodplain swamp communities along Rock Springs Run. It is particularly abundant along the hiking trail that runs from the park main use area to Sand Lake and from Sand Lake to Camp Cozy. The Nature Conservancy has registered the park in their habitat conservation program, recognizing the park's significance to this rare plant species. However, at least one large population of star anise was secondarily impacted by logging activities that occurred to ameliorate the effects of the southern pine beetle. This population needs to be remapped, monitored, and the recovery followed. An additional population has been discovered in the northern portion of Lower Wekiva River Preserve State Park in the hydric hammock along the St. Johns River. This population has yet to be mapped for population density and acreage. Hand ferns (*Ophioglossum palmatum*) are only known to grow in the bootjacks of cabbage palm petioles. The fern prefers areas near streams with high humidity and heavy shading. While a few ferns have been located on private property in Seminole Springs, the closest known large population of hand ferns occurs at Tosohatchee State Wildlife Management Area, over 25 miles away. Hand ferns are very sensitive to fire, since the community in which they live rarely experiences fire. They are also very sensitive to changes in canopy cover resulting in a lowering of the relative humidity and an increase in exposure to sunlight. Frequently, fire-type communities are found adjacent to the wetter areas preferred by hand ferns. Prescribed burning plans for adjacent fire-type communities need to take into account how far the fire will penetrate into areas colonized by hand ferns. Areas with hand ferns should not be exposed to regular fires under drought conditions if they are known to be present at a site. Research investigating fire frequency, fire intensity and the distance to hand ferns and hand fern survivorship should be conducted.

Hand ferns have only been found within two of the parks in the basin. At Rock Springs Run State Reserve, this species has been found in several places in the southern portion of the park. Hand ferns have also been observed at Lower Wekiva River Preserve State Park in the hydric hammocks on the portions of the property north of the Wekiva River. These observations were made by park staff prior to the previous management plan in 2005 with the exception of one new location discovered in Rock Springs Run State Reserve 2013Surveys should be undertaken to assess the status of the known populations and locate any new populations; locations should be recorded using GPS.

The giant orchid (*Pteroglossaspis ecristata*) is typically found within sandhill communities in the WRBSP. A larger population is located in the sandhill around the Wekiwa Springs State Park youth camp facilities. This species responds well to prescribed burning and an increase in the population size has been noted by park staff during the growing season after a fire. Giant orchids are the only imperiled plant species found within the Pine Plantation property. The addition of fire into the Pine Plantation property would be an effective tool to increase the orchid population in this unit. A more thorough survey is needed for a full population assessment throughout all potential habitat within WRBSP.

A number of small isolated populations of hooded pitcher plants (*Sarracenia minor*) occur throughout the Wekiva River Basin State Parks. Rock Springs Run State Reserve contains numerous populations of hooded pitcher plants. These populations can often be seen along ditches adjacent to service roads. It is not known if these populations occur there because of the modified hydrology, sparse canopy adjacent to the road, or other factors. At Lower Wekiva River Preserve State Park pitcher plants have been found at both the Skinner and Jung properties. Both populations occupy habitat at the ecotonal boundary between a baygall and the adjacent flatwoods. Systematic surveys are required to estimate the current population size within RSRSR and LWRPSP. No records currently exist for hooded pitcher plants at Wekiwa Springs State Park. A 2001 Resource Management Evaluation provided information on status and management criteria for several species of pitcher plants

statewide (Johnson 2001). Park staff should develop a management plan for the Wekiva Basin populations of pitcher plants in order to enhance this species' survival.

There are 44 imperiled animal species within the WRBSP. The Wekiwa hydrobe (*Aphaostracon monas*) and the Wekiwa siltsnail (*Floridobia wekiwae*) are two invertebrates discovered in and near the WSSP main spring area in the 1960s (Thompson 1968; Thompson and Auffenberg 1994). They were found on vegetation and in sand in the spring boil and in the very upper reaches of the spring-run. Vegetation is seasonally limited in the spring boil; however, there is still some year-round vegetation near the bridge area where the boil enters the lagoon area.

During a survey of crustaceans in May 2002, Dick Franz collected and forwarded samples of hydrobiid snails to Dr. Fred Thompson at the Florida Museum of Natural History for identification. It was determined that both species of aquatic snails were still present in Wekiwa Springs. In 2010 both species were petitioned for federal listing by the Center of Biological Diversity (CBD) to the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service (Center for Biological Diversity 2010; Warren and Bernatis 2015)

The Orlando cave crayfish (Procambarus acherontis) is an invertebrate restricted to groundwater sites associated with six or seven spring cave systems of the lower Wekiva River Basin (Hobbs 1942; Franz *et al.* 1994). Within the Wekiva River Basin State Parks, this species has only been recorded from WSSP; it is periodically seen in the spring boil at the mouth of the underwater cavern. This species is a candidate for listing by the United States Fish and Wildlife Service (Wood 1991). Mr. Franz did not find *P. acherontis* during the May 2002 surveys. Another survey was conducted in January 2005 but no invertebrates were captured (Giannotti pers. comm. 2004). Information is needed regarding successful resource management over the long-term for these invertebrate species.

Florida burrowing owls (*Athene cunicularia*) have only been observed at the Neighborhood Lakes unit of Wekiwa Springs State Park. Between 2007 (when the state acquired this unit) through 2009, park staff and volunteers had conducted surveys to search, locate and monitor the Florida burrowing owls in the pastures. Eighteen burrows were found, but the population size was unknown. During the first two surveys park staff located burrows and mowed a 20-foot perimeter with a push mower and weed eater around each burrow; they also placed a T-stand perch approximately 3 feet from the burrow entrance for the owls to use. This was in direct response to the plant growth that was occurring due to the removal of cattle from the property; cattle grazing had been maintaining a desirable grass height for the owls. As more burrows were located the mowing did not seem to be feasible, but park staff were still able to place the T-stand perches by the burrow entrances. The locations of the known burrows have been recorded using GPS.

In preparation for the construction of the Wekiwa Parkway through the property the FDOT had surveys conducted to establish the locations of owl nests on the property. Once the population size and burrow sites were determined, owls were removed from the footprint of the parkway and relocated to locations elsewhere on the unit

in suitable habitat near where other owls were located; all activities were conducted by a private consultant with permits from the FWC. As part of the relocation process starter burrows were installed along with T-perches near the entrance to the burrows. In 2013 park staff conducted casual surveys of the unit. The older burrows were located as well as the new starter burrows. Only two owls were observed on location, but this may have been due to the time of day. One disturbing observation that was made was the occurrence of several coyote (*Canis latrans*) burrows within the burrowing owl colony. The current population status of the burrowing owls on this unit is unknown. This will require a new extensive survey to be conducted within the near future to assess the effects of the nearby road construction. The survey should be followed up with regular monitoring of the colony. A more thorough survey is needed for the entire property to establish if there are other locations where the species has established a colony.

The gopher tortoise (*Gopherus polyphemus*) is a known "keystone" species and is located in nearly all units throughout the WRBSP. As a keystone species, many other species, including a number of listed species, depend on the gopher tortoise's burrow (Breininger *et al.* 1988; Dodd *et al.* 1990). Recent genetic work on tortoises in the southeastern United States has revealed that five distinct groups are known throughout its range in Florida (Schwartz and Karl 2000). Gopher tortoises utilize upland communities and have been subjected to relatively extensive survey efforts (Breininger *et al.* 1994). The tortoise population is often used indirectly as a gauge of management success in upland fire-type communities.

Tortoise burrow surveys and occupancy rates are standard monitoring measures used by biologists to understand population size (Breininger *et al.* 1991). Burrow surveys were implemented in 1989 at LWRPSP, in 1990 at WSSP, and 1992 at RSRSR. Burrow measurements were also used to extrapolate size and age structure of the populations (unpublished data). This is the current survey method used by park staff for gopher tortoise population estimates. These surveys are conducted on a sporadic basis, usually as part of post-burn analysis after a prescribed burn has been conducted in a management zone. The data from these early park surveys has not been analyzed. In addition, a gopher tortoise survey was completed on the Orange County-owned portion of the Neighborhood Lakes property to obtain a current population assessment in 2009. This survey confirmed the presence of numerous tortoises on the property.

Upper respiratory tract disease (URTD) is known to be present on public lands in Florida (Berish *et al.* 2000). In 1997, URTD was confirmed in tortoises at Wekiwa Springs State Park (Berish *et al.* 2000). Animals were tested as part of an on-going FWC project.

Park staff cooperated with the University of Florida Veterinary College in a basinwide analysis of the tortoise population. The objectives of this study were primarily to determine the prevalence and distribution of the URTD. The research has been ongoing and the results will be communicated to the appropriate agencies and interested parties. In August 2001, 125 dead tortoises were discovered in several management zones at Rock Springs Run State Reserve (Rabatsky and Blihovde 2002). It has been speculated by park staff that the die-off did not occur simply because the habitat was in poor condition at the time. Park staff has put forth the following factors that may have contributed to the multiple deaths:

- 1. Severe drought from 1998-2001.
- 2. Tortoise drop-offs documented by FWC staff.
- **3.** Inability to burn frequently due to drought and smoke management concerns.
- 4. Tortoise handling by park visitors and other stresses.

In 2001 along the southern boundary of Lower Wekiva River Preserve State Park gopher tortoises were relocated on-site due to the Florida Gas Pipeline going through part of the LWRPSP near State Road 46. Prior to relocation, the tortoises went through URTD testing and none were positive. In addition, none of the tortoises tested so far at LWRPSP have shown signs of URTD. This is very positive news and park staff will do everything possible to keep the disease out of this property.

The staff should continue to expand their public education of URTD problems through interpretation and outreach. Division policy disallowing the drop-off of tortoises from outside of the park should continue to be followed.

Striped newts (*Notophthalmus perstriatus*) are xeric-adapted amphibians principally inhabiting upland communities represented by former shoreline ridges of past geologic times (Franz and Smith 1999; Johnson 2002). Striped newt reproduction occurs only in "fishless" temporary ponds found adjacent to their upland habitats, specifically sandhill and scrub communities (Moler and Franz 1988; Johnson 2002; Johnson and Owen 2005).

The southernmost known locations of striped newts occur within a number of wetlands of Rock Springs Run State Reserve. This species as well as gopher frogs (*Rana capito*) were first documented in 1994 after many years of sampling by various biologists. It is of special interest that this population is one of two extant populations within the southern range limits for this species (Franz and Smith 1999). The previous southernmost range limit was on the campus of the University of Central Florida (UCF) (Owen 2004). This site has since been encroached upon by development and the wetland encircled by a highly traveled paved road; the UCF population is presumed to be extirpated for these reasons.

The presence within only four known ponds at RSRSR highlights the importance of the historic ephemeral nature of upland marsh communities throughout the entire basin. Striped newts were documented in ephemeral ponds during surveys in 1994, 2005, 2006, and 2010. Surveys should continue in known locations, and additional surveys should be conducted to locate other ponds where newts may exist.

The gopher frog is one of many species that are closely associated with burrows created by the gopher tortoise (Breininger et al. 1988; Dodd et al. 1990). This amphibian is also state-listed, primarily due to loss of habitat (Fogerty 1978; Cox and Kautz 2000). Surveys were conducted for gopher frogs during the same surveys conducted for striped newts at RSRSR in 2005, 2006 and 2010. Surveys should continue in known locations, and additional surveys should be conducted throughout the Wekiva River Basin State Parks.

Like the Florida gopher frog, the Florida mouse (*Podomys floridanus*) is a highly specialized Florida endemic closely allied with tortoise burrows (Layne and Ehrhart 1970). It is the only mammal genus endemic to Florida. It has one of the narrowest habitat ranges of any Florida mammal (Layne 1992). It has historic populations in all three units. Surveys conducted in 2004 and 2009 confirmed the presence of Florida mice at Wekiwa Springs State Park and Lower Wekiva River Preserve State Park; another small mammal survey in 2011-12 verified the continuing presence of Florida mice at WSSP (Catano and Stout 2015). A bio-blitz conducted in 2012 also confirmed the species' presence in Rock Springs Run State Reserve. Small mammal surveys should be conducted periodically throughout the WRBSP for this species in order to determine its status. Survey and monitoring efforts for Florida mice should continue to target scrub, scrubby flatwoods, and sandhill communities throughout the WRBSP.

Another designated species of great interest at the park is the Florida scrub-jay (*Aphelocoma coerulescens*) (FSJ). The FSJ is endemic to Florida and exclusively uses oak scrub and scrubby flatwoods communities throughout its life history. Its closest relative (western scrub-jay, *A. californica*) is located in the western United States. Both species have adapted to similar harsh dry natural communities, developing a complex social structure to benefit its survival in these communities (Woolfenden and Fitzpatrick 1984). Florida scrub-jays are cooperative breeding birds, occupying a single territory with all siblings acting as a family unit. The FSJ has a relatively narrow tolerance of scrub oak height and density (Woolfenden and Fitzpatrick 1984). Successful nest building only occurs within a relatively narrow height range. Once the vegetation height and density becomes unsuitable, the jay's reproductive success declines but the birds rarely completely abandon even unsuitable sites.

There have been historic populations of FSJ in all three units of the Wekiva River Basin State Parks (Cox *et al.* 1994). Initial surveys at Wekiwa Springs State Park were conducted by the Orange Audubon Society in 1977. Additional surveys for the FSJ in WRBSP began in 1983, and more consistent data collection began in 1992 (McMurtray 1992). One study in the basin found that jays were not sedentary within the individual park units, and it appeared there was some movement of the birds between units (Small 1997). A brief analysis of the trends in overall family numbers (territories) from past to present suggested a drastic decline from 1992-2004 (Owen 2004). An important consideration from past research was that all known territories throughout the basin likely represented a single metapopulation (Stith 1999; Breininger *et al.* 2002). Conservation of this group within the entire Wekiva Basin, therefore, is inherently important to understand the specific FSJ status within the WRBSP. Any decline in FSJ territories should not be taken lightly by park management.

At Wekiwa Springs State Park monitoring to document the presence of FSJ has been ongoing since the initial surveys in 1977. There was a single territory that was occupied by a small number of FSJ individuals until 2007. In 2004 blood samples were taken for genetic analysis by staff from Archbold Biological Station and most of the FSJ were banded. After Hurricane Wilma in October 2005, two members of the FSJ family (a breeding adult and a helper) disappeared; it is unknown what happened to these two individuals. In 2007 the remaining adult moved to another management zone within the park; it later disappeared and was found in Seminole State Forest the following year. The bird paired up and has successfully reproduced at Seminole State Forest. A new, un-banded pair of FSJ was discovered in management zone WS19 adjacent to the gas line corridor in 2011; There has been no recorded successful breeding by the pair. The pair disappeared 2013, though as of 2014 one bird continues to be observed at the location. It has not been determined if this individual was one of the pair that was discovered in 2011.

At Rock Springs Run State Reserve intensive scrub-jay monitoring took place from 2002 through 2005. In 2004, RSRSR had the largest number of occupied FSJ territories compared to WSSP and LWRPSP. In 2004 at least two FSJ that had territories at RSRSR dispersed to Seminole State Forest and set up new territories there (Lyon 2004). This highlights the need for multi-agency coordination concerning conservation of this species in the basin. Surveys in 2005 confirmed the presence of FSJ at the WBMK parcel of RSRSR; by 2011, however, no FSJ were present on the unit. A survey conducted in 2012 confirmed the absence of FSJ at RSRSR. This highlights the urgency of continued habitat restoration of scrub and scrubby flatwoods in RSRSR. Since 2007 park staff have initiated aggressive restoration efforts using a combination of roller-chopping and prescribed fire to return the scrub and scrubby flatwoods to a condition that would be optimal for FSJ. Currently 785 acres have been treated and are at varying stages of restoration. 2015 a pair of scrub-jay were discovered inhabiting a a portion of a 300 acres contiguous block of restored scrub centrally located in the reserve. During a survey in June 2017 there were three birds present at the location with an additional one vocalizing in the distance. The pair had successfully reproduced, it needs to be determined if the offspring are from 2017 or from previous years since inhabiting the location. It will also need to be determined if the bird calling in the distance is a bird from a different family with new territory.

Additionally, Rock Springs Run State Reserve has been chosen by Florida Fish and Wildlife Conservation to be a reciprocal site for scrub-jay relocation. The tentative plan will be re-introducing 2 to 4 family groups on site from another location that has a successful breeding population. The current site that the reserve will be receiving jays from is the Ocala National Forest. The reserve may be receiving the jays as soon as September 2017. Once on location the birds will be heavily monitored for success by FWC staff, park staff and volunteers. The focus will be on whether the families take to the location and reproductive success.

Lower Wekiva River Preserve State Park had historically supported up to three families of FSJ in the south portion of the park east of the Wekiva River (Small 1997). No FSJ have been observed at the park in annual surveys since 2005. Foraging activities of FSJ from adjacent populations (Seminole State Forest and Yankee Lake Wastewater Treatment Facility) may have occurred in this unit.

Since FSJ depend on fire-dependent communities, it is important for park staff to maintain suitable habitat conditions for this species using prescribed fire and/or habitat restoration techniques following current research and allowing for adaptive management. It is essential to finalize and implement a WRBSP FSJ habitat management plan for future management decisions for this species in the parks. Continued study of scrub-jay populations within the basin should further expand multi-agency efforts to understand the future conservation needs of this species. Monitoring of FSJ should include surveys targeting all suitable scrub and scrubby flatwoods habitats throughout the WRBSP, especially those undergoing extensive restoration efforts. Currently FSJ are monitored as part of the statewide Jay Watch program.

Sherman's fox squirrels (*Sciurus niger shermani*) occur in all three parks in the Basin. Sherman's fox squirrels have been largely isolated to public lands due to habitat fragmentation and destruction. The primary communities where the species occurs are sandhill and pine flatwoods dominated by longleaf and/or slash pines (Kantola and Humphrey 1990). Fox squirrels prefer these communities due to the more open understory, which allows for easier movement and foraging; during some parts of the year they may shift their activities to more mesic communities (Weigl *et al.* 1989). The natural process of fire burning through these communities maintains the open understory. In the WRBSP prescribed fire is used to mimic this natural process and keep natural communities in a maintenance condition.

Population surveys were conducted by park staff during the 1980s and early 1990s. Since then no systematic surveys have been conducted and the population status is unknown; reproduction has been occurring. Individuals have been noted at various locations within WSSP, both in areas of optimal and suboptimal habitat. Mortality through road-kill has been an ongoing problem along the park boundary as well as within the park. It should also be noted that this species also occurs on the Pine Plantation property, but a population census has been conducted on this site. This property is isolated from any other sandhill site and it exists as a self-contained population. The property is slated for restoration to sandhill which will benefit the fox squirrel population on property. Restoration efforts begun on property March 2017 with a reduction of 130 basal area to 60 basal area per acre of northern slash pine (*Pinus elliottii*).

At Rock Springs Run State Reserve and Lower Wekiva River Preserve State Park the population density may not be as robust as WSSP. Within the WRBSP, Sherman's fox squirrels appear to favor sandhill communities over flatwoods. At WSSP the sandhill is burned on a more regular rotation and it's in better condition than at either RSRSR or LWRPSP. Most of the sandhill at RSRSR and LWRPSP has deteriorated due to a lack of consistent application of fire on a regular basis. In these two parks the amount of optimal sandhill acreage may not be sufficient to support many fox squirrels. These communities need to be brought back into a more frequent burn rotation and maintained at an appropriate return interval.

Additional survey work to determine the distribution and population size of Sherman's fox squirrels within the WRBSP needs to be conducted.

The Florida black bear (*Ursus americanus floridanus*) is one of the most prominent of the designated species in the Wekiva Basin. Black bears occur throughout the three parks. Wekiva Basin bears occur within the southern range limits of the Ocala/St. Johns metapopulation, one of six remaining strongholds for the species throughout Florida. Multi-agency land acquisition efforts for this flagship species in the basin have attempted to secure connectivity to source populations in the Ocala National Forest (Roof and Wooding 1996; Eason 2003; Walker and Baber 2003). Previous radio-tracking work conducted within the Wekiva Basin suggested that bear densities are higher in this region than in any other parts of the state (Siebert 1993; Wooding and Hardisky 1994; Seibert *et al.* 2003). Unfortunately, the roads cutting through the Wekiva Basin also have the distinction of having the highest rate of vehicle mortality on bear populations in the state (Roof and Wooding 1996).

In December 1994, the Florida Department of Transportation completed a wildlife underpass at the north boundary of RSRSR and beneath S. R. 46 to facilitate safe bear crossings between RSRSR and Seminole State Forest. Bears continue to use the underpass, but because they also cross S. R. 46 in numerous locations, bears continue to be killed on the road, as well as on S.R. 44 and S.R. 42. No bears have been killed within the wildlife fencing area associated with the underpass. Due the success of the initial bear underpass, a second S.R 46 underpass was constructed in the western portion of RSRSR, just west of Old McDonald Road. This second project began in June 2003 and completed in February 2004. Continued restoration of the habitat adjacent to the fence will aid in funneling animals towards the underpass at this site. Currently there is a black bear population study being conducted in the basin. The study was started in 2015 and is being conducted by Florida Fish and Wildlife Commission and the University of Central Florida.

Staff from the Wekiva River Aquatic Preserve conducts bird surveys along the Wekiva River with assistance from volunteers and park staff when available. These surveys have been conducted on a quarterly basis and should continue. While these surveys may potentially cover all avian species, wading birds (e.g., herons and egrets) are targeted. Some of the more notable species documented during these surveys are woodstork (*Mycteria americana*) and limpkin (*Aramus guarauna*).

No other organized surveys or monitoring activities are currently being conducted on the remainder of the species listed.

The bald eagle (*Haliaeetus leucocephalus*), formerly listed as a threatened species was de-listed by USFWS in August 2007 and was de-listed by the FWC in April 2008. The change in status under the Endangered Species Act and state law does not enable arbitrary disturbance of the birds or their nesting habitats in Florida.

Nesting and migrating bald eagles are protected under the federal Bald and Golden Eagle Protection Act and Migratory Bird Treaty Act. The FWC has adopted USFWS guidelines for eagle nest protection - the 660-foot buffer rule – in the 2008 Bald Eagle Management Plan.

Bald eagle nests are known from two of the three units in the WRBSP. These nests have been intermittently monitored by park staff since 1987. FWC staff also conducts annual bald eagle nest surveys throughout the state. Their records for the WRBSP nests have been documented since 1998 and are part of an online database posted from their website. The last known bald eagle nesting activity within WSSP was in 2012; there is also an active nest at the Markham Woods property. There have been five known eagle nests at Lower Wekiva River Preserve State Park. Aerial surveys by FWC since 1998 have confirmed their nearly continuous use and reproductive success. There have not been any known eagle nests at Rock Springs Run State Reserve.

Although it isn't a listed species, the purseweb spider (*Sphodros abboti*) is rare and known from only two locations within the parks. This species is characterized by a unique tube-like web that they spin at the base of trees in mesic woods (Wallace and Edwards 1994). It was discovered in Wekiwa Springs State Park by park staff and confirmed by a Florida Fish and Wildlife Conservation Commission employee in 2002. Following the discovery of the WSSP population an additional population was discovered in Lower Wekiva River Preserve. This population constitutes the southernmost range limit for this species, extending its currently recognized distribution from the Ocala National Forest into the Wekiva Basin. Further surveys throughout the basin are needed.

Table 2 contains a list of all known imperiled species within the park and identifies their status as defined by various entities. It also identifies the types of management actions that are currently being taken by DRP staff or others, and identifies the current level of monitoring effort. The codes used under the column headings for management actions and monitoring level are defined following the table. Explanations for federal and state status as well as FNAI global and state rank are provided in Addendum 6.

Table 2. Imperiled Species Inventory							
Common and Scientific Name	Imperiled Species Status				anagement tions	onitoring vel	
	FWC	USFWS	FDACS	FNAI	βĞ	Le M	
PLANTS							
Pinewoods bluestem (WS) Andropogon arctatus	N/A	N	LT	G3, S3	1, 2, 10	Tier 1	

Table 2. Imperiled Species Inventory							
Common and Scientific Name	Imperiled Species Status				anagement ctions	onitoring evel	
Many flowered grasspink	FWC	USFWS	FDACS	FNAI	ΣĂ	Σĭ	
(LWR, WS) <i>Calopogon multiflorus</i>	N/A	Ν	LT	G2G3, S2S3	1, 2, 10	Tier 1	
Chapman's sedge (LWR) Carex chapmanii	N/A	Ν	LT	G3, S3	1, 2, 10	Tier 1	
Sand butterfly pea (RS, WS) <i>Centrosema arenicola</i>	N/A	Ν	LE	G2Q, S2	1, 2, 10	Tier 1	
Garberia (RS, WS) <i>Garberia heterophylla</i>	N/A	Ν	LT	N/A	1, 2, 10	Tier 1	
Star anise (LWR, WS) Illicium parviflorum	N/A	Ν	LE	G2, S2	1, 2, 10	Tier 1	
Nodding pinweed (WS) Lechea cernua	N/A	Ν	LT	G3, S3	1, 2, 10	Tier 1	
Florida spiny-pod (WS) <i>Matelea floridana</i>	N/A	Ν	LE	G2, S2	1, 2, 10	Tier 2	
Angularfruit milkvine (WS, RSR) <i>Matelea gonocarpus</i>	N/A	N	LT	G2, S2	1, 2, 10	Tier 2	
Sandhill spiny-pod (WS) <i>Matelea pubiflora</i>	N/A	Ν	LE	N/A	1, 2, 10	Tier 2	
Florida beargrass (WS) Nolina atopocarpa	N/A	Ν	LT	G3, S3	1, 2, 10	Tier 1	
Hand fern (RSR) <i>Ophioglossum palmatum</i>	N/A	Ν	LE	G4, S2	2, 10	Tier 2	
Swamp plume polypody (LWR) <i>Pecluma ptilodon</i>	N/A	N	LE	G5, S2	2, 10	Tier 1	
Blueflower butterwort (RS) <i>Pinguicula caerulea</i>	N/A	N	LT	N/A	1, 2, 10	Tier 1	
Yellow-flowered Butterwort (WS) <i>Pinguicula lutea</i>	N/A	Ν	LT		1, 2	Tier 2	
Snakemouth orchid (WB) Pogonia ophioglossoides	N/A	N	LT	N/A	1, 2, 10	Tier 1	

Table 2. Imperiled Species Inventory							
Common and Scientific Name	Imperiled Species Status				anagement stions	onitoring vel	
	FWC	USFWS	FDACS	FNAI	Ξĕ	Le M	
Pteroglossaspis ecristata	N/A	N	LT	G2G3, S2	1, 2, 10	Tier 3	
Florida willow (WS) <i>Salix floridana</i>	N/A	N	LE	G4T2, S1	2, 10	Tier 1	
Giant air-plant/Giant wild pine (RS) <i>Tillandsia utriculata</i>	N	N	LE	N/A	2, 10	Tier 1	
INVERTEBRATES							
Wekiwa hydrobe (WS) Aphaostracon monas	N	N	N/A	G1, S1	4, 13	Tier 2	
Florida cave isopod (WS) Caecidotea hobbsi	N	N	N/A	G2G3, S2	4, 13	Tier 2	
Hobbs' cave amphipod (WS) Crangonyx hobbsi	N	N	N/A	G2G3, S2S3	4, 13	Tier 2	
Florida pearly eye (LWR) Enodia portlandia floralae	N	N	N/A	G4TU, S2S3	10	Tier 1	
Berry's skipper (RS) <i>Euphyes berryi</i>	N	Ν	N/A	G1G3 S1S2	1, 2	Tier 1	
Wekiwa siltsnail (WS) <i>Floridobia wekiwae</i>	N	N	N/A	G1, S1	4, 13	Tier 2	
Eastern Meske's skipper (RS) <i>Hesperia meskei straton</i>	N	Ν	N/A	G3G4T 3S2/S 3	1, 2	Tier 1	
Little Oecetis longhorned caddisfly (LWR) <i>Oecetis parva</i>	N	Ν	N/A	G2, S2	4, 10	Tier 1	
Orlando cave crayfish (WB) Procambarus acherontis	N	N	N/A	G1, S1	4, 13	Tier 2	
FISH							
Bluenose shiner (WS) Pteronotropis welaka	LS	Ν	N/A	G3G4, S3S4	4	Tier 2	
AMPHIBIANS							

Table 2. Imperiled Species Inventory							
Common and Scientific Name	Imperiled Species Status				anagement :tions	onitoring vel	
	FWC	USFWS	FDACS	FNAI	₩ A A	Γe	
Striped newt (RSR) Notopthalmus perstriatus	Ν	С	N/A	G2G3, S2S3	1, 2, 7	Tier 3	
Gopher frog (RSR) <i>Rana capito</i>	LS	Ν	N/A	G3, S3	1, 2, 7	Tier 3	
REPTILES							
American alligator (WB) Alligator mississippiensis	LS	T (S/A)	N/A	G5, S4	10	Tier 1	
Eastern indigo snake (WB) Drymarchon couperi	LT	т	N/A	G3, S3	1, 6, 7, 10, 13	Tier 1	
Gopher tortoise (WB) Gopherus polyphemus	LT	С	N/A	G3, S3	1, 6, 7, 8, 10,1 3	Tier 3	
Short-tailed snake (WS) Lampropeltis extenuata	LT	N	N/A	G3, S3	1, 6, 10	Tier 1	
Florida pine snake (WB) Pituophis melanoleucus mugitus	LS	N	N/A	G4T3, S3	1, 6, 10	Tier 1	
Sand skink (WB) Plestiodon reynoldsi	LT	Т	N/A	G2, S2	1, 6, 7, 10	Tier 1	
BIRDS							
Roseate spoonbill (WS) ( <i>Ajaia ajaja</i> )	LS	N	N/A	G5, S2	4, 10	Tier 1	
Florida scrub-jay (WS) Aphelocoma coerulescens	ST	т	N/A	G2, S2	1, 2, 7, 10, 13	Tier 3	
Limpkin (WB) Aramus guarauna	LS	N	N/A	G5, S3	2, 4, 10, 13	Tier 1	
Florida burrowing owl (WS) Athene cunicularia floridana	LS	Ν	N/A	G4T3, S3	1, 2, 7, 14	Tier 2	

Table 2. Imperiled Species Inventory							
Common and Scientific Name	Imperiled Species Status				anagement tions	onitoring vel	
	FWC	USFWS	FDACS	FNAI	Ma Ac	L B	
Short-tailed hawk (RS, WS) <i>Buteo brachyurus</i>	N	N	N/A	G4G5, S1	1	Tier 1	
Little blue heron (WB) Egretta caerulea	LS	N	N/A	G5, S4	4, 10	Tier 1	
Snowy egret (WB) <i>Egretta thula</i>	LS	N	N/A	G5, S3	4, 10	Tier 1	
Tricolored heron (WB) Egretta tricolor	LS	N	N/A	G5, S4	4, 10	Tier 1	
Swallow-tailed kite (WB) Elanoides forficatus	N	N	N/A	G5, S2	1	Tier 1	
White-tailed kite (WB) Elanoides leucurus	N	N	N/A	G5, S1	1	Tier 1	
White ibis (WB) <i>Eudocimus albus</i>	LS	N	N/A	G5, S4	4, 10	Tier 1	
Merlin (WB) Falco columbarius	N	N	N/A	G5, S2	1	Tier 1	
Peregrine falcon (WB) <i>Falco peregrinus</i>	N	N	N/A	G4, S2	1	Tier 1	
Southeastern American kestrel (WB) <i>Falco sparverius paulus</i>	ST	N	N/A	G5T4, S2	1	Tier 1	
Whooping Crane (WS) Grus americana	LE	E	N/A	N/A	1	Tier 1	
Florida sandhill crane (WB) Grus canadensis pratensis	ST	N	N/A	G5T2T 3/S2S 3	1	Tier 1	
Worm-eating warbler (WB) Helmitheros vermivorum	N	N	N/A	G5, S1	1	Tier 1	
Wood stork (WB) Mycteria americana	LE	E	N/A	G4, S2	4, 10	Tier 1	
Brown Pelican (WS) Pelecanus occidentalis	LS	N	N/A	G4, S3		Tier 1	
Louisiana waterthrush (WB) Seiurus motacilla	N	N	N/A	G5, S2	4, 10	Tier 1	

Table 2. Imperiled Species Inventory							
Common and Scientific Name	Imperiled Species Status				anagement tions	onitoring vel	
	FWC	USFWS	FDACS	FNAI	βĞ	μĔ	
American redstart (WB) Setophaga ruticilla	N	N	N/A	G5, S2	1	Tier 1	
MAMMALS							
Florida mouse (WB) Podomys floridanus	LS	Ν	N/A	G3, S3	1, 7, 8, 10	Tier 1	
Sherman's fox squirrel (WB) Sciurus niger shermani	LS	N	N/A	G5T3, S3	1, 8, 10	Tier 1	
West Indian (Florida) manatee Trichechus manatus	E	E	N/A	G2, S2	10, 13	Tier 1	
Florida black bear (WB) Ursus americanus floridanus		Ν	N/A	G5T2, S2	1, 10, 13	Tier 3	
Florida Panther (RS) Puma concolor coryi	LE	N	N/A	G5/S1	1,10	Tier 1	

\*Wekiva River Basin (WB), Wekiwa Springs State Park (WS), Rock Springs Run State Reserve (RS), Lower Wekiva River Preserve State Park (LWR)

#### Management Actions:

- 1. Prescribed Fire
- 2. Exotic Plant Removal
- Population Translocation/Augmentation/Restocking
  Hydrological Maintenance/Restoration
- 5. Nest Boxes/Artificial Cavities
- 6. Hardwood Removal
- 7. Mechanical Treatment
- 8. Predator Control
- 9. Erosion Control
- 10. Protection from visitor impacts (establish buffers)/law enforcement
- 11. Decoys (shorebirds)
- 12. Vegetation Planting
- 13. Outreach and Education
- 14. Other
#### Monitoring Level:

Tier 1.	Non-Targeted Observation/Documentation: includes documentation of species presence through
	casual/passive observation during routine park activities (i.e. not conducting species-specific
	searches). Documentation may be in the form of Wildlife Observation Forms, or other district
	specific methods used to communicate observations.
Tier 2.	Targeted Presence/Absence: includes monitoring methods/activities that are specifically intended
	to document presence/absence of a particular species or suite of species.
Tier 3.	Population Estimate/Index: an approximation of the true population size or population index
	based on a widely accepted method of sampling.
Tier 4.	Population Census: A complete count of an entire population with demographic analysis, including

Tier 5. Other: may include habitat assessments for a particular species or suite of species or any other specific methods used as indicators to gather information about a particular species.

Detailed management goals, objectives and actions for imperiled species in this park are discussed in the Resource Management Program section of this component and the Implementation Component of this plan.

#### **Exotic and Nuisance Species**

Exotic species are plants or animals not native to Florida. Invasive exotic species are able to out-compete, displace or destroy native species and their habitats, often because they have been released from the natural controls of their native range, such as diseases, predatory insects, etc. If left unchecked, invasive exotic plants and animals alter the character, productivity and conservation values of the natural areas they invade.

Exotic animal species include non-native wildlife species, free ranging domesticated pets or livestock, and feral animals. Because of the negative impacts to natural systems attributed to exotic animals, the DRP actively removes exotic animals from state parks, with priority being given to those species causing the greatest ecological damage.

In some cases, native wildlife may also pose management problems or nuisances within state parks. A nuisance animal is an individual native animal whose presence or activities create special management problems. Examples of animal species from which nuisance cases may arise include venomous snakes or raccoons and alligators that are in public areas. Nuisance animals are dealt with on a case-by-case basis in accordance with the DRP's Nuisance and Exotic Animal Removal Standard.

Detailed management goals, objectives and actions for management of invasive exotic plants and exotic and nuisance animals are discussed in the Resource Management Program section of this component.

At the time this plan was written, there were over 394 acres infested with exotic plants within 743 infestations in the Wekiva River Basin State Parks with new infestation found periodically during treatments, surveys, and at random during daily activities. These locations have been continually treated by park staff with additional assistance by contractors for larger areas through DRS resource management budget and/or FWC exotic species removal grant

There are at least 90 exotic plant species that are known to be found within the parks' boundaries with 30 of these know species to be either a Category I or Category II invasive species. Some of the most invasive exotic plant species that occur within the parks are rosary pea (*Abrus precatorius*), coral ardisia (*Ardisia crenata*), camphor tree (*Cinnamomum camphora*), air potato (*Dioscorea bulbifera*), cogon grass (*Imperata cylindrica*), Japanese climbing fern (*Lygodium japonicum*), rose Natalgrass (*Melinis repens*), tuberous sword fern (*Nephrolepis cordifolia*), sewervine (*Paederia cruddasiana*), skunkvine (*Paederia foetida*), torpedograss (*Panicum repens*), Chinese tallowtree (*Sapium sebiferum*) Brazilian pepper (*Schinus terebinthifolius*) and Caesarweed (*Urena lobata*).

The two species that cause the worst ecological issues within the park are cogongrass and rose Natalgrass. Cogongrass has invaded some of the park's best sandhill communities in Wekiwa Spring State Park (see below for management zones). Rose Natalgrass may be a more serious problem than cogongrass; the total acreage is more extensive than cogongrass though many of the infestations are found within the Neighborhood Lakes property. This species has also infested well-maintained natural communities. The source of the infestations is from the outside the park but it has spread through the park by vehicles and equipment. Park staff have noticed that rose Natalgrass has occasionally replaced cogongrass in locations where it has been treated. The infestations of rose Natalgrass need to be treated frequently throughout much of the year.

Chinese tallow tree exhibits varying degrees of infestation. The largest infestation is located within Rock Springs Run State Park in management zones RKS-054A and RKS-054C. This infestation was discovered during a 2010 survey and has been treated multiple times since then. 2016-2017 fiscal year these locations were treated using a DRP contractor. Another location where Chinese tallowtree has been a serious problem is along the north side of Lake Prevatt in Wekiwa Springs State Park. This site was aggressively treated between 2010 and 2013 using both an FWC contactor and in-house staff, but it will require ongoing monitoring and treatment, as well as surveys of the surrounding area.

In 2001 a wetland restoration project involving the removal of nine old logging tram roads was conducted at Rock Springs Run State Reserve; the purpose of the restoration was to improve hydrology by restoring sheet flow in the hydric hammock. Although the project did accomplish its hydrological objectives, a number of exotic plant species were inadvertently brought into the location, including cogongrass and tuberous sword fern. These locations were treated by an FWC exotic species contractor in the 2011 -2012 and again 2013-2014 fiscal years. The area was once again treated 2016-2017 under a DPR contractor. The location is slated again to be treated 2017-2018 fiscal year again using a an FWC contractor this time the treatment will extend beyond the tram road into the surrounding hydric hammock and flood plain swamp. The contractor will be treating 160 acres of Tuberous sword fern. Tuberous sword fern is also a problem in wetlands and riparian areas along Rock Springs Run and the Wekiva River; there are scattered infestations of varying sizes.

Various exotic aquatic plant species can be found in the Wekiva River and its associated creeks and spring runs. These include hydrilla (*Hydrilla verticillata*), wild taro (*Colocasia esculenta*), common water-hyacinth (*Eichhornia crassipes*) and water-lettuce (*Pistia stratiotes*).

In the fall of 2002, the lagoon within Wekiwa Springs State Park was severely choked with hydrilla. Since then the species has spread into the spring-run stream. Since 2002 the Wekiva River Aquatic Preserve and FWC staffs have chemically treated the infestations (see spring-run stream for additional information).

Wild taro is found within WSSP, throughout Rock Springs Run, and along the Wekiva River and is a significant exotic problem. It occurs as scattered plants, as dense floating mats, and as densely rooted clumps. Hand removal is only practical for scattered individual plants; the dense infestations require chemical treatment. FWC treats this species along with hydrilla.

Common water-hyacinth occurs within Wekiwa State Park, in several places along Rock Springs Run, and within the Wekiva River. It occurs in relatively low concentrations but can form very large mats which sometimes block boat traffic along the river. In areas where concentrations of common water-hyacinth are relatively low, the plants can be removed by hand; large concentrations of waterhyacinth along Rock Springs Run and the Wekiva River may be too extensive for hand removal. The use of biological control methods should be explored.

Water-lettuce occurs in the lagoon area within WSSP and along both Rock Springs Run and the Wekiva River. The plant forms dense mats in areas where water flow is minimal; it is removed from the lagoon by hand. Once water-lettuce and waterhyacinths are removed, canoe access should be restricted from the area currently populated by spatterdock (*Nuphar lutea*). This protection from disturbance, combined with the elimination of competition for light, should encourage this native species to spread into more of the lagoon area.

Caesarweed can be found extensively but heavy infestations are localized. Coral ardisia is restricted to certain zones; these infestations have the potential to become difficult to control if park staff do not continue ongoing treatments. Other species that pose the same issues for park staff are air potato, skunkvine, rosary pea, torpedograss, and Japanese climbing fern.

Park staff conduct regular visits to all portions of the parks, and all infestations are noted during these visits and recorded with GPS. Additionally, staff members are being trained to recognize the most serious exotic plants and their desirable native look-alikes, and any sightings by these staff members are reported as soon as possible. As these sightings are confirmed, the populations are assessed and prioritized for control. The park also has had members of the Florida State AmeriCorps service program working on resource management-based projects. From 2008 to the present WRBSP has had one or two member(s) dedicated to resource management with exotic species removal as the primary priority. Most of the known infestations within the three parks have been treated multiple times within the last five years. This has been accomplished by park staff, AmeriCorps, and/or by FWC contractors. In addition, volunteers have assisted with exotic removals when appropriate. Since 2009 the park has participated in annual air potato removal.

Table 3 contains a list of the Florida Exotic Pest Plant Council (FLEPPC) Category I and II invasive, exotic plant species found within the park (FLEPPC, 2011). The table also identifies relative distribution for each species and the management zones in which they are known to occur. An explanation of the codes is provided following the table. For an inventory of all exotic species found within the park, see Addendum 5.

Table 3. Inventory of FLEPPC Category I and II Exotic Plant Species				
Common and	FLEPPC	Distribution	Management	
Scientific Name	Category		Zone(s)	
PLANTS				
Rosary pea	1	1	WS-PP2, WS-PP4,	
Abrus precatorius			WS-PP5, WS-02,	
			RKS-054A,	
		2	WS-PP1, WS-PP2,	
			WS-NL7, WS-04A,	
			WS-02,	
		4	WS-NL1	
Mimosa	I	1	WS-NL3, LW-J09	
Albizia julibrissin				
		2	WS-20, WS-PP3,	
			WS-PP5	
Coral ardisia	I	1	WS-02	
Ardisia crenata				
		2	WS-12, WS-20, WS-	
			01, WS-47	
		3	RKS-112, RKS-113,	
			RKS-KT2, RKS-KT4	
Sprenger's asparagus-fern	1	2	WS-PP2	
Asparagus aethiopicus				
Camphor-tree		1	WS-20, WS-22, WS-	
Cinnamomum camphora			PP5, RKS-054A, LW-	
			33	

Table 3. Inventory of FLEPPC Category I and II Exotic Plant Species				
Common and Scientific Name	FLEPPC Category	Distribution	Management Zone(s)	
		2	WS-PP4, WS-NL2, WS-NL4, WS-NL6, WS-NL7, WS-PP1, WS-PP3, WS-NL3, WS-PP5, WS-NL1, WS-PP2, WS-03, WS-47, WS-NL5, WS-02, WS-04A, WS-04B, WS-08B, WS-12, WS-16, WS- 19, WS-20, WS-22, RKS-098, RKS-KT2, RKS-098, RKS-KT2, RKS-120, RKS-112, RKS-099, RKS-097, RKS-055, RKS-054C, RKS-054B, RKS- 054A, RKS-107, LW- 33	
		3	WS-NL6, WS-NL3	
		6	WS-38, WS-NL4	
Wild taro <i>Colocasia esculenta</i>	1	2	WS-42, WS-RKS18, WS-RKS23, RKS- 018, LW-33	
		3	RKS-120	
		6	WS-28, WS-RKS113, WS-46, WS-42, WS- 34, RKS-012, RKS- 018, RKS-054C	
Wild taro <i>Dioscorea bulbifera</i>	I	1	WS-42, RKS-054A,	
		2	WS-38, WS-47, WS- 40, WS-20, WS-19, WS-17, WS-02, WS- 01, WS-42, RKS- 069, RKS-054B, LW- 34	
		3	WS-17, WS-47, WS- 48, RKS-069	
		4	WS-NL3, WS-01, WS-47	
		5	WS-47, RKS-097, RKS-099	
		6	WS-19, RKS-KT1	

Table 3. Inventory of FLEPPC Category I and II Exotic Plant Species					
Common and Scientific Name	FLEPPC Category	Distribution	Management Zone(s)		
Common water-hyacinth Eichhornia crassipes	1	2	WS-RKS23, RKS-018		
Hydrilla Hydrilla verticillata	1	2	WS-42, WS-RKS18		
		5	WS-02		
Cogon grass Imperata cylindrica	I	1	WS-11		
		2	WS-38, WS-PP5, WS-45, WS-37, WS- 30, WS-28, WS-24, WS-23, WS-14A, WS-12, WS-11, WS- 07B YC, WS-01, WS- 06, WS-03, WS-NL7, WS-20, RKS-017, RKS-054C, RKS- 054B, RKS-028, RKS-098, RKS-008, RKS-005, RKS-054A, LW-21, LW-J02, LW- 29, LW-26, LW-14, LW-27		
		3	WS-18, WS-PP4, WS-29, WS-05, WS- 04A, WS-03, WS- PP1, RKS-093, RKS- 102, RKS-113, RKS- 054A, RKS-028, RKS-001, RKS-018, LW-29		
		4	WS-MW08C, WS- PP1, WS-NL7, WS- NL6, WS-NL5, WS- NL4, WS-23, WS- NL2, WS-PP3, WS- MW18, WS-MW08D, WS-07B, WS-37, WS-07B, WS-37, WS-MW08A, WS-06, WS-14A, WS-21, WS-30, WS- MW01/02, WS-		

Table 3. Inventory of FLE	Table 3. Inventory of FLEPPC Category I and II Exotic Plant Species				
Common and Scientific Name	FLEPPC Category	Distribution	Management Zone(s)		
			MW03, WS-MW07, WS-02, RKS-112, RKS-012, RKS-017, RKS-018, RKS-054A, RKS-054C, LW-27, LW-03, LW-21		
		5	WS-01, WS-14A, WS-20, WS-34, RKS-107, RKS-054A, LW-J02, LW-21		
		6	WS-37, LW-31		
Lantana <i>Lantana camara</i>	1	1	WS-47		
		2	WS-NL5, WS-PP5, WS-PP4, WS-PP3, WS-PP2, WS-PP1, WS-02, WS-NL6, WS-NL4, WS-NL3, WS-NL2, WS-NL1, WS-29, WS-NL7, LW-33		
Japanese climbing fern <i>Lygodium japonicum</i>	1	1	WS-30, WS-NL4, WS-04A, RKS-018		
		2	WS-18, WS-36, WS- 48, WS-31		
		3	RKS-120		
		4	WS-02, RKS-054C		
		6	WS-17		
Old World climbing fern		3	WS-20		
Lygodium Microphyllum		4	WS-20		
Cat's claw vine <i>Macfadyena unguis-cati</i>	1	4	WS-47		
		5	WS-06		

Table 3. Inventory of FLEPPC Category I and II Exotic Plant Species				
Common and Scientific Name	FLEPPC Category	Distribution	Management Zone(s)	
Chinaberry Melia azedarach		1	WS-NL2, WS-02, WS-47, RKS-120, LW-33	
Rose Natalgrass Melinis repens	1	1	WS-44, WS-09	
		2	WS-47, WS-01, WS- 04A, WS-06, WS- 14B, WS-46, WS- 14A, WS-PP1, WS- 41, WS-15, RKS- 054A, RKS-069, RKS-113, RKS-KT3, RKS-KT2, RKS-KT1, RKS-068, LW-21	
		3	WS-08A, WS-08B, WS-07B, WS-06, WS-01, WS-23, RKS-067, RKS-026, RKS-070, RKS-097	
		4	WS-11, WS-PP2, RKS-KT1	
		5	LW-05, RKS-079	
		6	WS-04A, WS-05, WS-03, WS-04B, RKS-KT1	
Heavenly bamboo Nandina domestica	1	2	WS-01	
Tuberous sword fern	1	1	RKS-024	
Nephrolepis cordifolia		2	WS-RKS113, WS-30, WS-28, WS-12, WS- 38, WS-40, WS-31, WS-46, WS-42, RKS-018, RKS-112, RKS-113, LW-33	
		3	WS-47, WS-34, WS- 02, WS-01, RKS- KT2, RKS-001, RKS- 018, RKS-028, LW- 33	

Table 3. Inventory of FLEPPC Category I and II Exotic Plant Species				
Common and Scientific Name	FLEPPC Category	Distribution	Management Zone(s)	
		4	WS-01, WS-42, WS- 34, WS-NL6, WS-27, WS-12, WS-02, WS- NL7, RKS-018, LW- 28, LW-27	
		5	WS-02, WS-PP4, WS-PP1, WS-47, WS-PP3, RKS-018, RKS-012, LW-27	
		6	WS-02, WS- RKS28/9, WS- RKS23, WS-RKS18, WS-RKS113, WS-40	
Sewer vine Paederia cruddasiana	1	4	WS-02	
Skunk vine Paederia foetida	I	2	WS-01, WS-02	
		4 5	WS-02, WS-20 WS-12, WS-02, WS-	
Torpedo grass Panicum repens	1	3	WS-06	
		5	WS-48	
Water-lettuce Pistia stratiotes	1	2	WS-02, WS-42, WS- RKS23, RKS-018	
Mexican petunia <i>Ruellia tweediana</i>	1	5	LW-33	
Chinese tallowtree <i>Triadica sebiferum</i>	1	1	WS-18, WS-15, WS- 16, WS-NL2, RKS- 017, LW-J09	
		2	WS-20, WS-PP5, WS-PP3, WS-PP2, WS-PP1, WS-NL7, WS-47, WS-01, WS- 21, WS-19, WS-17, WS-16, WS-11, WS- 08B, WS-08A, WS-	

Table 3. Inventory of FLEPPC Category I and II Exotic Plant Species				
Common and	FLEPPC	Distribution	Management	
	Category			
			30, RKS-054A, RKS-	
			0540	
		3	WS-17, WS-18, WS-	
			48. RKS-054A	
Brazilian pepper	1	2	WS-PP2 WS-PP3	
Schinus terebinthifolius	-	_	WS-PP4, WS-PP5.	
			WS-PP1	
Tropical soda apple	1	1	WS-47	
Solanum viarum	-			
Arrowhead vine	1	2	WS-02	
Syngonium podophyllum				
		3	WS-38	
Caesarweed	1	2	WS-01, WS-18, WS-	
Urena lobata			06, WS-19, WS-20,	
			WS-47, WS-NL4,	
			WS-17, RKS-120,	
			RKS-113, RKS-054C,	
			RKS-054B, RKS-018,	
			RKS-028, LW-16,	
			LW-34	
		3	WS-47, RKS-054A,	
			RKS-120	
		4	WS-47, WS-PP1,	
			LW-18	
		6	WS-PP5, WS-20,	
			WS-47, WS-PP4,	
			RKS-023	
Guinea grass		2	VVS-38, VVS-20, VVS-	
Panicum maximum			47	
		2		
		3	WS-04A, WS-47	
		4		
		0	NS-17, NS-41, NS- N3	
Senegal date palm	11	2	WS-03	
Phoenix reclinata				

Table 3. Inventory of FLEPPC Category I and II Exotic Plant Species						
Common and FLEPPC Distribution Management						
Scientific Name	Category		Zone(s)			
Chinese wisteria	11	2	RKS-098			
Wisteria sinensis						

#### Distribution Categories:

- 0 No current infestation: All known sites have been treated and no plants are currently evident.
- 1 Single plant or clump: One individual plant or one small clump of a single species.
- 2 Scattered plants or clumps: Multiple individual plants or small clumps of a single species scattered within the gross area infested.
- 3 Scattered dense patches: Dense patches of a single species scattered within the gross area infested.
- 4 Dominant cover: Multiple plants or clumps of a single species that occupy a majority of the gross area infested.
- 5 Dense monoculture: Generally, a dense stand of a single dominant species that not only occupies more than a majority of the gross area infested, but also covers/excludes other plants.
- 6 Linearly scattered: Plants or clumps of a single species generally scattered along a linear feature, such as a road, trail, property line, ditch, ridge, slough, etc. within the gross area infested.

Exotic animal species include non-native wildlife species, free ranging domesticated pets or livestock, and feral animals. Because of the negative impacts to natural systems attributed to exotic animals, DRP actively removes exotic animals from state parks, with priority being given to those species causing the ecological damage.

Nine-banded armadillos (*Dasypus novemcinctus*) and wild hogs (*Sus scrofa*) occur within the WRBSP; both species pose a significant threat to both natural and cultural resources. Their rooting in native soils disturbs a significant amount of acreage in a number of well-maintained natural communities including mesic and hydric hammock, floodplain marsh, depression marsh, and mesic and wet flatwoods. In addition, they also disturb cultural sites, including middens and burial mounds.

The Wekiva River Basin State Parks have had an ongoing history of exotic animal removal. During the current plan cycle both park staff and a USDA contractor have been involved in exotic animal removal in all units.

Feral cats (*felis* catus) and dogs (*Canis familiarus*) can occasionally be found, and should be removed immediately. Black rats (*Rattus rattus*) are found in the shop area and around the horse barn at Rock Springs Run State Reserve, and are removed when necessary.

European starlings (*Sturnus vulgaris*) occur throughout the park in small numbers. Greenhouse frogs (*Eleutherodactylus planirestris*) and Cuban treefrogs (*Osteopilus septentrionalis*) are also found within WRBSPs. Brown anoles (*Anolis sagrel*) are common in areas adjoining private residences and in the main use area. Incidental control of brown anoles has taken place in the past. Red imported fire ants (*Solenopsis invicta*) also occur within WRBSPs. Fire ants which occur in public use areas are treated with pesticides approved for use by DEP.

Vermiculated sailfin catfish (*Pterygoplichthys disjunctivus*) have been seen in large numbers in Wekiwa Springs, Witherington Springs and Sulfur Springs. In the area of the main spring the fish congregate at the side spring, especially during cold weather, and can take advantage of the low oxygen conditions in the caves. They feed on small crustaceans, carrion, and occasionally algae. Their powerful burrowing habits have weakened the retaining wall around the main spring; this has caused the wall to collapse in two locations in the swimming area. Staff and volunteers have been involved in removal efforts over the years. Although vermiculated sailfin catfish numbers were reduced following these efforts, populations are established throughout the St. Johns River. Brown hoplo (*Hoplosternum littorale*) have been found in three locations in WRBSP; they have been confirmed present in Sulfur Springs (Kitteridge Tract) in 2001, in Mill Creek in 2003, and in Rock Springs Run in 2005.

Laurel wilt is a plant disease caused by a fungus (*Raffaellea lauricola*) that infects plant vascular tissue. The disease targets a number of plant species within the Lauraceae family; both red bay (*Persea borbonia*) and scrub bay (*P. humilis*) have been affected by it. The fungus has a symbiotic relationship with the redbay ambrosia beetle (*Xyleborus glabratus*), an exotic beetle native to the Asian continent. Laurel wilt was detected in the Wekiva River Basin State Parks in 2010. By 2013 the disease was detected in all areas of the WRBSPs. Park staff and the USDA are monitoring the spread of the disease within the basin.

Problem species are defined as native species whose habits create specific management problems or concerns. Occasionally, problem species are also a designated species, such as alligators. The Division will consult and coordinate with appropriate federal, state and local agencies for management of designated species that are considered a threat or problem.

Gray squirrels (*Sciurus carolinensis*) and raccoons (*Procyon lotor*) are common problem species within the unit. Squirrels are a persistent problem in the day-use area while raccoons are an intermittent problem related to their population levels. All of the trash receptacles are in bear-proof containers which exclude bears, squirrels, and raccoons. Park visitors are the major source of food and encouragement to these animals. Interpretation, both through signage and personal contacts, may reduce the feeding of these animals but will not eliminate it. When populations of either species become so high that the species becomes a persistent nuisance, animals are removed from the area.

Florida black bears have also been a significant problem in past years. Bear-proof dumpsters and trashcans were installed in WSSP in 2003 and have been very effective. The potential still remains for bears to become a nuisance in the campground area, though. A black bear hazing program is in place as a non-lethal method when necessary to re-educate and potentially change the bears' habits. When a black bear is deemed a nuisance bear the Florida Fish and Wildlife Conservation Commission is contacted to assist with the issue.

#### **Special Natural Features**

Wekiwa Springs is a special natural feature. It is a second magnitude spring (Rosenau *et al.* 1977) with a flow rate of 44 million gallons per day, the spring exhibits exposed limestone from the Hawthorn Formation just below the surface of the water. It is located in the southern portion of the park in the area of the park main use. The spring-flow empties into a large public swimming area, which then proceeds to form the Wekiwa spring-run before merging with Rock Springs Run to form the Wekiva River.

The Wekiva River, together with Wekiwa Springs Run, Rock Springs Run and Black Water Creek were designated by the United States Congress as a National Wild and Scenic River in October 2000. The Wekiva River System is one of only two rivers in the state of Florida with this designation. Five Outstandingly Remarkable Values (ORVs) have been identified for the river system: scenic, recreation, wildlife and habitat, historic and cultural, and water quality and quantity. In accordance with the Wild and Scenic Rivers Act, these ORVs, the river system's free flow characteristics, and its immediate environment "shall be protected for the benefit and enjoyment of present and future generations" (section 1(b) Wild and Scenic Rivers Act). Unlike most rivers in the National Wild and Scenic River System that are managed exclusively by either a federal or state agency, the Wekiva River System is considered a "Partnership Wild and Scenic River." This means that it is jointly managed by a consortium of local stakeholder groups, referred to as the Wekiva River System Advisory Management Committee (AMC), with oversight and coordination provided by the National Park Service. The AMC approved a Wekiva Wild and Scenic River System Comprehensive River Management Plan in May 2012.

The sandhills at WSSP are also a special natural feature. Comprising approximately 1,246 acres, these are one of the largest holdings of sandhill community in the state park system. Through a frequent summer burn schedule, much of this sandhill acreage has been restored to very good condition. The sandhills at Wekiwa Springs are acknowledged statewide as an example of sandhill restoration and maintenance. Protection of this community's integrity should be given the highest priority.

The Wekiva River Basin State Parks has three champion tree, two of which are challengers for state designations and the other is a national co-champion. The two state challenger trees are located at Lower Wekiva River Preserve State Park in the southern portion of LW-27 100 – 200 feet off of the tram road along the zone boundary. The first tree is a bald cypress (*Taxodium distichum*). At the base, this tree has a trunk diameter of 72.9 inches (circumference of 229 inches) and a height of 80 feet; the total points, which includes crown spread, was 329 points. The cypress tree is hollow in the center, and park staff have been able to fit five full grown adults in the base of the tree. The second tree in LWRPSP which is 1,000 feet west of the first is a live oak (*Quercus virginiana*). At the base, this tree has a diameter of 69.4 inches (circumference of 218 inches) and a height of 115 feet; the total points, which includes crown spread, was 351 points. The remarkable thing about this live oak is that the tree has grown straight and tall. Both trees were

measured by Christopher Otermba, a Lake county forester for the Florida Forest Service and then registered as state champions September 2012.

The national co-champion tree is a loblolly bay (*Gordonia lasianthus*). This tree is located in Wekiwa Springs State Park in management zone WS12. At the base this tree has a diameter of 40.42 inches (circumference of 127 inches) and a height of 97 feet; the total points, which includes crown spread, was 237 points. The tree was measured by William Blozen and then registered as a national co-champion in August 2013.

#### Cultural Resources

This section addresses the cultural resources present in the park that may include archaeological sites, historic buildings and structures, cultural landscapes and collections. The Florida Department of State (FDOS) maintains the master inventory of such resources through the Florida Master Site File (FMSF). State law requires that all state agencies locate, inventory and evaluate cultural resources that appear to be eligible for listing in the National Register of Historic Places. Addendum 7 contains the FDOS, Division of Historical Resources (DHR) management procedures for archaeological and historical sites and properties on state-owned or controlled properties; the criteria used for evaluating eligibility for listing in the National Register of Historic Places, and the Secretary of Interior's definitions for the various preservation treatments (restoration, rehabilitation, stabilization and preservation). For the purposes of this plan, significant archaeological site, significant structure and significant landscape means those cultural resources listed or eligible for listing in the National Register of Historic Places. The terms archaeological site, historic structure or historic landscape refer to all resources that will become 50 years old during the term of this plan.

#### **Condition Assessment**

Evaluating the condition of cultural resources is accomplished using a three-part evaluation scale, expressed as good, fair and poor. These terms describe the present condition, rather than comparing what exists to the ideal condition. Good describes a condition of structural stability and physical wholeness, where no obvious deterioration other than normal occurs. Fair describes a condition in which there is a discernible decline in condition between inspections, and the wholeness or physical integrity is and continues to be threatened by factors other than normal wear. A fair assessment is usually a cause for concern. Poor describes an unstable condition where there is palpable, accelerating decline, and physical integrity is being compromised quickly. A resource in poor condition suffers obvious declines in physical integrity from year to year. A poor condition suggests immediate action is needed to reestablish physical stability.

#### Level of Significance

Applying the criteria for listing in the National Register of Historic Places involves the use of contexts as well as an evaluation of integrity of the site. A cultural resource's significance derives from its historical, architectural, ethnographic or archaeological context. Evaluation of cultural resources will result in a designation of NRL (National Register or National Historic Listed or located in an NR district), NR (National Register eligible), NE (not evaluated) or NS (not significant) as indicated in the table at the end of this section.

There are no criteria for determining the significance of collections or archival material. Usually, significance of a collection is based on what or whom it may represent. For instance, a collection of furniture from a single family and a particular era in connection with a significant historic site would be considered highly significant. In the same way, a high quality collection of artifacts from a significant archaeological site would be of important significance. A large herbarium collected from a specific park over many decades could be valuable to resource management efforts. Archival records are most significant as a research source. Any records depicting critical events in the park's history, including construction and resource management efforts, would all be significant.

The following is a summary of the FMSF inventory. In addition, this inventory contains the evaluation of significance.

#### Prehistoric and Historic Archaeological Sites

*Desired future condition:* All significant archaeological sites within the park that represent Florida's cultural periods or significant historic events or persons are preserved in good condition in perpetuity, protected from physical threats and interpreted to the public.

*Description:* There are seventy-eight (78) Archaeological sites recorded in the FMSF for the Wekiva River Basin State Parks. The sites consist of one (1) prehistoric village site, four (4) Indian mounds, seven (7) lithic scatter sites, thirty (30) shell middens identified from the Early Archaic, Orange, St. Johns I, St. Johns II, and modern periods, and twenty-five (25) recently identified cultural sites dating from the early 19<sup>th</sup> century through the 1960s. Twin Mounds Archaeological District, is the National Register combination of two adjacent snail middens.

The WRBSP fall within the East and Central Lake Archaeological Region, as defined by Drs. Jerald Milanich and Charles Fairbanks (1980). The area around Wekiwa Springs, Rock Springs Run and the Wekiva River was occupied and utilized by Native Americans during the full sequence of Pre-Columbian cultural periods, beginning with the Paleo Indian, and continuing through the Archaic, Mount Taylor, Orange, and St. Johns Periods (Blackman 1973; Barton 1981).

The known archaeological record of the park demonstrates human inhabitation of the region occurred as early as 12,000 B.C.E. with significant shell fishing occurring in the area as early as 7500 years ago. Eleven mounds or middens exist for which reliable volume figures can be produced (Weisman 1993), of which Twin Mounds and Rollins are the largest and best excavated. These mounds demonstrate habitation from the Orange through the St. Johns II period with scattered individual finds dating to the Archaic.

The Wekiva River Basin is an area little studied by archaeologists (Weisman 1993), therefore large gaps exist in the archaeological and historic record pertaining to the Native Americans who inhabited the WRB prior to European contact. In previous Unit Management Plans it was thought that the Timucuan tribe set up villages in the WRB, but historical documentation suggests that the Mayaca, Jorroro, or Rinconada tribes were the likeliest Native Americans to have settled the area (Milanich 2004). More research is required to make any definitive conclusions. The archaeological and historical record for the park becomes clearer starting about 150 years ago at the conclusion of the Seminole Wars and American Civil War when the area was used for farming and milling by white and African American settlers.

One significant archaeological find in the park since the previous UMP is the headstone of Anthony Frazier, an African American soldier and former slave who fought for the Union during the Civil War and later settled near the WRBSP. The gravestone was found 1,500 feet from the construction of the new Wekiva Parkway on the Neighborhood Lakes property of the park. The gravestone is thought to be in the vicinity of the actual grave of Anthony Frazier, but further investigation utilizing ground penetrating radar or other modern equipment would be necessary prior to moving the gravestone from its current location underneath a stand of trees where it is protected from the elements.

Other recent finds include a tree stand from the Apopka Sportsmen's club (the group who managed much of WSSP prior to acquisition by the state), a human made mill pond, a cement mixer, a gutter, a late 1940's trash pile, a water control feature in the spring run, a dock at the end of a tram road, remains of a 1936 international pick up, an early 1960's bus site, a railroad marker, the start of a turpentine railroad bed, a turpentine railroad marker, a dairy complex. These finds reinforce previous knowledge of the WRB as a thriving tourist destination and turpentine farm in the early to mid-20<sup>th</sup> century. They also provide new clues and further pathways for future research into the African American history and conservation and preservation activities that took place in the mid to late 20<sup>th</sup> century.

The Markham woods property of WSSP was once a vibrant African American Community in the 1880's. Pinnie Ridge Cemetery and the Oak Grove Missionary Church remains are two notable archaeological features of the area. Pinnie Ridge Cemetery is thought to contain between 24 and 75 burial sites and the Church is thought to have burned down around 1928. Today there is no visible evidence for either of these sites. Further investigations of both historical documentation and archaeological remains could yield further information about the little known but fascinating African American community of Markham.

The WRBSP presents archaeologists with a rare opportunity to explore a relatively untapped gold mine of Paleo-Indian and early Florida historical artifacts. According to Dr. Asa Randall, greater amounts of St. Johns II period pottery and other significant artifacts likely exist within the boundaries of the park. The primary reason these artifacts remain to be discovered is that the Wekiwa region has received little to no archaeological attention in comparison with other sites in the middle St. Johns. To provide the public with an accurate portrait of the rich Native American and early cultural history of the WRBSP; further excavations, comprehensive archaeological surveys, and historical research must be conducted.

*Condition Assessment:* Most sites are listed in fair to poor condition, but a number of sites are preserved in good condition. Among the sites in good condition are many of the recent 20<sup>th</sup> century finds added to the FMSF, the Anthony Frazier Gravestone, and numerous shell middens.

Late 19<sup>th</sup> and early 20<sup>th</sup> century archaeological excavations, the actions of prospectors, miners, looters, and individual treasure hunters, all contributed to the current poor or fair condition of many sites in the region. Nevertheless, most of the middens and mounds within the park have remained undisturbed since the destruction of the late 19<sup>th</sup> and early 20<sup>th</sup> century through the preservation efforts of previous and current land managers (i.e. the Apopka Sportsman's Club and the Department of Environmental Protection). Thus although very few archaeological sites in the WRBSP are undisturbed, many are thought to still hold significant archaeological artifacts at lower strata.

The cultural resources within the WRBSP has been subjected to and is still threated by a number of issues. Some of these threats are natural like overgrown vegetation, damage by both native and /or exotic animal species or being inundated by high water periods. Alternatively, a number of threats to the resources have been anthropogenic in nature. For example, damage from bulldozers or other heavy equipment, digging, vandalism, looting, archeological excavation residential development and recreational activities.

Another possible threat that deserves mention is development along the proposed Wekiva Parkway. Specifically in danger of complete destruction are the Rock Springs Dairy Barn (8LA04425) and a railroad grade and markers (8LA04505). The Anthony Frazier gravestone is also precariously close to the construction and could potentially be affected by future development. The priorities of the Park are to protect these and all other cultural resources from future development.

*General Management Measures:* Archaeological surveys of particular areas have been conducted, but there is no park-wide survey for three units; un-surveyed areas should be surveyed, and also conduct an archaeological reconnaissance survey of the Wekiva River Basin State Parks. The park should conduct further documentary and archaeological research on past inhabitants of the area, especially pre-Colombian natives. The park should also have ground disturbing activities conducted in accordance with DHR guidelines. The park should also look to improve public awareness and encourage protection and stewardship of cultural and natural resources through education and enforcement of agency rules and regulations.

#### Historic Structures

*Desired future condition:* All significant historic structures and landscapes that represent Florida's cultural periods or significant historic events or persons are

preserved in good condition in perpetuity, protected from physical threats and interpreted to the public.

*Description:* Twelve (12) historic structures/sites/landscapes exist within the WRBSP. One (1) historic building, two (2) historic shipwrecks, (1) historic cemetery, three (3) historic structures, and five (5) historic building sites/building remains are recorded on the FMSF.

The majority of the building remains and remaining historic structures within the WRBSP date from the mid-19<sup>th</sup> century to mid-20<sup>th</sup> century and represent the tourism, logging, and hunting activities that occurred within the three properties of the park prior to state acquisition. Also represented are possible former homesteads and an African American settlement in Markham Woods.

Settlers began colonizing the WRB in the early 1800s causing one of the only battles of the Seminole Wars to be fought in the swamps of Wekiva on July 29, 1840. It was not until after the Civil War that large numbers of white and African American settlers descended upon the area to set up farms, mills, and homesteads. Wekiwa Springs was first known as Clay Springs in the 1880's and was a popular tourist destination complete with a hotel, sanitarium, cabins, bathhouse, boathouse, boat docks, and a rail toboggan ride down the slope into the springs. Thus, Wekiwa Springs can lay claim to being the first amusement park in the state of Florida. In 1906 Clay Springs was renamed Wekiwa Springs and has remained so ever since.

The Wekiva ferryboat operated in the 1920s and brought supplies and mail to areas like Zellwood and Winter Park. The ferryboat remains as a shipwreck, but is listed in poor condition. In 1923 a Detroit entrepreneur M.E. Miller bought Wekiwa Springs, cut three streets, and built miles of sidewalk in the hopes of selling plots of land. After only selling two by the end of the 1920s he gave up and nature reclaimed the land. In the 1930's the Wilson Cypress Company built a narrow gauge railroad into the swamp and logged old growth cypress and longleaf pine from the area. The Wilson Cypress Company owned the land until 1941 when it was purchased by the Apopka Sportsmen club. The Sportsmen club operated the land that is now WSSP for recreational use before selling it to the state in 1969. Rock Springs Run State Reserve was purchased by the State in 1983 under the Conservation and Recreation Land (CARL) program for habitat preservation, watershed protection, and limited recreational use. Notable historic structures include the Ethel House and Ethel Cemetery, which is the oldest known cemetery in Lake County, FL.

The Lower Wekiva River Preserve State Park was purchased by the state under the Environmentally Endangered Lands program to protect portions of the lower Wekiva River while allowing limited recreational use. In the early 1900s several large tram roads were built within the northern sections of the park to facilitate the harvest of cypress trees. In areas, these earthen roads are over 10 feet high and 20 feet wide. Notable recent historic structure finds include three windmill refuse sites.

*Condition Assessment:* The Ethel House is listed in good condition. All other sites are listed in fair to poor condition due to deterioration and prolonged exposure to the elements. Many of the sites prove difficult to access for much of the year when access roads are inundated with water. Most sites are difficult for looters to access and all sites are contained within a fence line. No sites are currently planned for demolition. Detailed GPS surveys and more field visits should be conducted to better map and correlate known sites.

*General Management Measures:* The Ethel House should be preserved from further deterioration; there is no interpretive need to restore the building. The Ethel Cemetery should be stabilized and preserved in its current condition and the fence line surrounding the cemetery should continue to be maintained by park staff. Field visits should be conducted at least yearly on all sites to ensure accuracy and monitor for deterioration.

#### **Collections**

*Desired future condition:* All historic, natural history and archaeological objects within the park that represent Florida's cultural periods, significant historic events or persons, or natural history specimens are preserved in good condition in perpetuity, protected from physical threats and interpreted to the public.

*Description:* The park has accumulated a modest collection of cultural objects since its inception in 1970. These objects have been kept in boxes and on display at the nature center for interpretive purposes. Few have been logged for date or location of discovery. Some were donated in 1988 by Ms. Shirley Rielly while others represent the Florida Fossil Hunters collection donated in 1995. The remaining artifacts represent the Chris Lee collection and miscellaneous artifacts held by the park for an unspecified number of years before being officially documented between 2004 and 2006.

Most collections held by the park are from the Rielly collections and are stored in two (2) approximately seven (7) cubic foot containers in a storage closet in the park administrative complex. The nature center holds around 100 artifacts from the Chris Lee, Florida Fossil Hunters, and Rielly collections. The artifacts consist of fossilized bones and teeth from various large animals that existed in the park boundaries during the Miocene epoch including Rhino bones, mastodon teeth, camel bones, a Bison tooth, and sabretooth cat bones. Some Native artifacts (small arrow points, clay pottery fragments and shards) representative of the Orange and St. Johns I and II periods are also on display at the nature center.

The park nature center also holds fourteen taxidermy animals preserved between 1994 and 2004. These animals are representative of common species encountered within the park including Florida black bears, great blue heron, bobcat, red-shouldered hawk, and the river cooter among others.

*Condition Assessment:* All artifacts in the park's collections are in good condition and are maintained in climate controlled environments with minimal to no exposure to the elements. Artifacts contained in boxes are rarely handled (perhaps every few years), and artifacts in the nature center are located on display shelves out of reach of the general public. All buildings where artifacts are stored are monitored and treated regularly for pest control.

*General Management Measures:* The Scope of Collections Statement for the Park reads as follows: Wekiva River Basin State Parks has accumulated a modest collection of cultural objects and artifacts since its inception in 1970. These objects have been kept for interpretive purposes and few if any have been logged for date or location of discovery. The Scope of Collections for Wekiva River Basin State Parks should be limited to within the geographic boundary and the history of these land parcels. Objects found on or about the property of anthropological or historical significance are to be included. Those objects that have no date or location of discovery are to be dated as of 22 March 2005.

Collection Process: The process for making future collection objects should continue with those started on 22 March 2005. All objects of historical or archaeological significance should be bagged as individual items. The date found (by year) should be the first number accorded to the item on the bag as well as the listing in the Collections binder. The second number should be a grouping (location, date, or like item). The final number is an item number based on the actual item (first, second, etc. of an object). The description should include the exact date of location, which property it was found on and an educated guess as to what it is. If it was found on an archaeological site, add that site number from the Archaeological Site Files. The process followed is according to National museum standards for listing, numbering, and bagging collections.

Two inventory binders are kept at the administrative offices of the Park. One binder is maintained in the management office and another with the boxed collections. A Scope of Collections Statement and Collections process statement are contained in each binder. The staff is trained in proper reporting and collecting procedures.

A new interpretive nature pavilion is currently under construction and will be opened to the public in 2014. This new nature center will be an outdoor pavilion with a climate controlled island containing live animals and some interpretive artifacts. Other artifacts will be maintained in sealed display cases along the perimeter walls of the pavilion. All artifacts will continue to be displayed in accordance with prescribed policies and procedures.

Detailed management goals, objectives and actions for the management of cultural resources in this park are discussed in the Cultural Resource Management Program section of this component. Table 4 contains the name, reference number, culture or period, and brief description of all the cultural sites within the park that are listed in the Florida Master Site File. The table also summarizes each site's level of significance, existing condition and recommended management treatment. An explanation of the codes is provided following the table.

Table 4. Cultural Sites Listed in the Florida Master Site File							
Site Name and FMSF #	Culture/Period	Description	Significance	Condition	Treatment		
	Wekiwa Spring	gs State Park					
Neighborhood Lakes 8LA03353	St.Johns II: unknown	Archaeological Site	NS	G	Ρ		
Rock Springs Run 1 (EW 1) 80R00447	Orange. Prehistoric with pottery	Archaeological Site	NE	Ρ	Ρ		
Rock Springs Run 2 (EW 2) 80R00448	St. Johns; St. Johns I; St. Johns II	Archaeological Site	NE	Ρ	Ρ		
Rock Springs Run 3 (EW 3)/HAYSTACK 80R00449	St. Johns; St. Johns I	Archaeological Site	NE	Ρ	Ρ		
Rock Springs Run 4 (EW 4) 80R00450	St. Johns; St. Johns I	Archaeological Site	NE	NA	Р		
Love's Cabin (EW 10) 80R00454	Prehistoric	Archaeological Site	NE	NA	Ρ		
Rock Springs Run 6 (EW 11) 80R00455	Unknown	Archaeological Site	NE	NA	Ρ		
Wekiwa Springs #2 80R00456	Unknown	Archaeological Site	NE	Ρ	Ρ		
Rock Springs Mound 80R02089	Unknown	Archaeological Site	NE	NA	Ρ		

Table 4. Cultural Sites Listed in the Florida Master Site File							
Site Name and FMSF #	Culture/Period	Description	Significance	Condition	Treatment		
Big Buck Hunting Camp 1 80R02220	St. Johns	Archaeological Site	NE	Р	Ρ		
Big Buck Hunting Camp 2 80R02221	St. Johns	Archaeological Site	NE	G	Ρ		
Cozy Camp Middens 80R02222	St. Johns	Archaeological Site	NE	Р	Р		
Witherington Hunting Cabin 80R02229	Twentieth century American, 1900-present	Homestead/Building remains	NS	Ρ	Ρ		
Anthony Frazier Gravestone 80R09251		Historic cemetery/Single Gravesite	NS	G	Ρ		
Wekiva Springs 8SE00027	St. Johns; St. Johns II; Nineteenth century American, 1821-1899	Archaeological Site	NE	Ρ	Р		
Plantation Indian Midden Mound 8SE00564	St. Johns	Archaeological Site	NS	Ρ	Ρ		
Plantation Lithic Scatter 8SE00565	Prehistoric	Archaeological Site	NE	NA	Ρ		
Plantation Hotel/Mitchell Farm House 8SE00566	Nineteenth century American, 1821-1899; Twentieth century American, 1900- present; African- American	Archaeological Site	NE	Ρ	Р		

Table 4. Cultural Sites Listed in the Florida Master Site File							
Site Name and FMSF #	Culture/Period	Description	Significance	Condition	Treatment		
Pinnie Ridge Cemetery 8SE00567	Nineteenth century American, 1821-1899; Twentieth century American, 1900- present; African- American	Historic cemetery	NR	F	RS		
Oak Grove Missionary Baptist Church 8SE00568	Nineteenth century American, 1821-1899; Twentieth century American, 1900- present; African- American	Archaeological Site	NS	Ρ	Ρ		
Overstreet Midden 8SE00582	St. Johns I	Archaeological Site	NE	NA	Р		
Markham Pond 8SE01095	St. Johns I	Archaeological Site	NE	NE	Р		
Wekiva Scatter 8SE01096	Prehistoric	Archaeological Site	NE	NE	N/A		
Sand lake/ borrow pit 80R10848	Twentieth century American, 1900- present	Archaeological Site	NE	G	N/A		
Apopka Sportsman Club Hunting tree 80R10849	Twentieth century American, 1900- present	Archaeological Site	NR	G	Ρ		
WS12 1940s Trashpile 80R10845	Twentieth century American, 1900- present	Archaeological site	NE	G	Ρ		
Submerged cut logs in spring run 80R10850	Twentieth century American, 1900- present	Archaeological Site	NE	F	Ρ		
Mill Pond 80R10846	Twentieth century American, 1900- present	Archaeological Site	NE	G	Р		
Sand funnel from Sandlake 80R10847	Twentieth century American, 1900- present	Archaeological Site	NE	G	R		

Table 4. Cultural Sites Listed in the Florida Master Site File					
Site Name and FMSF #	Culture/Period	Description	Significance	Condition	Treatment
Metal gutter 80R10851	Twentieth century American, 1900- present	Archaeological Site	NE	F	R
Unknown automobile WS01 8SE02821	Twentieth century American, 1900- present	Archaeological object	NE	F	Ρ
Tram leading to river 8SE02822	Twentieth century American, 1900- present	Linear feature	NE	G	Ρ
	Rock Springs Ru	n State Reserve			
Bear Crossing 8LA00532	St. Johns	Archaeological Site	N/A	N/A	N/A
Bear Crossing 2 8LA00538	Unknown	Archaeological Site	NE	F	Р
Bear Crossing 3 8LA00539	Unknown	Archaeological Site	NE	F	Р
Bear Crossing 4 8LA00540	Unknown	Archaeological Site	NE	N/A	N/A
Bear Crossing 5 8LA00541	Unknown	Archaeological Site	NE	N/A	N/A
Bear Crossing 6 8LA00542	Unknown	Archaeological Site	NE	N/A	N/A
Ethel Cemetery 8LA01135	Twentieth century American, 1900- present;	Historic Cemetery	NE	G	Ρ
Wekiva Ferryboat 8LA02127	Nineteenth century American, 1821-1899;	Historic Shipwreck	NE	Р	Р
Cassia Station 8LA02760	Twentieth century American, 1900- present	Resource group- Linear feature	NE	NE	Ρ
WRMB 6 8LA03542	Prehistoric	Archaeological Site	NS	NE	Р
Rock Springs Run Site 8LA03585	Prehistoric	Archaeological Site	NS	NE	Р
Wekiva 4 (EW 8) 80R00451	Prehistoric	Archaeological Site	NE	F	Р

Table 4. Cultural Sites Listed in the Florida Master Site File					
Site Name and FMSF #	Culture/Period	Description	Significance	Condition	Treatment
Rollins Island/Wekiva 5/Shell Island 80R00452	St. Johns I; St. Johns II	Archaeological Site	NR	Ρ	Р
Wekiva 6 (EW 9)/EW #9/DNR Cabin 7 80R00453	St. Johns Archaeological Site		NE	Р	Р
Wekiva 7 (PB 1) 80R00457	St. Johns I	Archaeological Site	NR	F	Р
Wekiva 8 80R00459	St. Johns; St. Johns I	Archaeological Site	NR	F	Р
Cypress Stump Midden 80R02226	St. Johns; St. Johns II	Archaeological Site	NE	G	Р
Pennel's Cabin 80R02227	St. Johns	Archaeological Site	NE	Р	Р
Pappy's Cabin 80R02228	St. Johns	Archaeological Site	NE	Ρ	Р
Wekiva River Barge 80R09987	Twentieth century American, 1900- present	Historic Shipwreck	NE	NE	Ρ
Town of Ethel 8LA04422	Twentieth century American, 1900- present	Archaeological Site	NE	F	Ρ
WBMK Homestead 8LA04421	Twentieth century American, 1900- present	Archaeological Site	NE	F	Ρ
Harden's Dairy complex 8LA04425	Twentieth century American, 1900- present	Archaeological Site	NE	G	Ρ
Lewis House 8LA04419	Twentieth century American, 1900- present	Historic structure	NR	G	RS
Amphibious vehicle 80R10856	Twentieth century American, 1900- present	Archaeological object	NE	G	Р

Table 4. Cultural Sites Listed in the Florida Master Site File					
Site Name and FMSF #	Culture/Period	Description	Significance	Condition	Treatment
Ethel Windmill 8LA04420	Twentieth century American, 1900- present	Historic structure	NE	F	Р
1936 international pick-up truck 8LA04418	Twentieth century American, 1900- present Archaeological object		NE	Р	Ρ
1960's bus 80R10855	Twentieth century American, 1900- present Archaeological object		NE	G	Р
Railroad bed with 3 railroad markers 8LA04505	Twentieth century American, 1900- present	Resource group linear feature	NE	G	Р
WBMK Fenceline 8LA04504	Twentieth century American, 1900- present	Resource group- linear feature	NE	G	Ρ
Lo	wer Wekiva River	Preserve State Park			
South Of Crow's Bluff 8LA00046	Prehistoric	Archaeological Site	NE	NE	Ρ
Banana River Mound 8LA00193	Prehistoric; Historic; American, 1821- present	Archaeological Site	NE	F	Ρ
Wekiva River 8LA00510	Prehistoric	Archaeological Site	NE	G	Р
Otter Mound 8LA02022	St. Johns	Archaeological Site	NE	Р	Р
Bush Island 8LA02023	St. Johns	Archaeological Site	NE	G	Р
Logging trail 8LA02024	Twentieth century American, 1900- present	Resource group- linear feature	NE	F	Р
Windmill 8SE00081	Twentieth century American, 1900- present	Historic structure	NE	Р	Р
DNR Mound 8SE00083	Prehistoric; St. Johns II	Archaeological Site	NE	NA	N/A

Table 4. Cultural Sites Listed in the Florida Master Site File					
Site Name and FMSF #	Culture/Period	Description	Significance	Condition	Treatment
Orange Tree 8SE00577	Prehistoric	Archaeological Site	NE	F	RH
Hollow Tree 8SE00578	St. Johns	Archaeological Site	NE	F	R
Katie's Landing 8SE01177	St. Johns; St. Johns I; St. Johns II	Archaeological Site	NE	Р	Ρ
Burch 8SE01686	St. Johns II	Archaeological Site	NE	G	Ρ
Cypress Culvert/logging road 8LA04413	Twentieth century American, 1900- present	Archaeological - object	NE	G	Ρ
Fectel windmill #1 8LAO4411	Twentieth century American, 1900- present	Historic structure	NE	F	Ρ
Fectel windmill #2 8LA04412	Twentieth century American, 1900- present	Historic structure	NE	F	Ρ

#### **Significance**

NRL – National Register Listed NR – National Register Eligible NE – Not Evaluated NS – Not Significant

#### **Condition**

G – Good F – Fair P – Poor NA – Not Accessible NE – Not Evaluated

#### **Recommended Treatment**

RS – Restoration RH – Rehabilitation ST – Stabilization P – Preservation R – Removal N/A – Not Applicable

#### **Resource Management Program**

#### Management Goals, Objectives and Actions

Measurable objectives and actions have been identified for each of the DRP's management goals for the Wekiva River Basin State Parks. Please refer to the Implementation Schedule and Cost Estimates in the Implementation Component of this plan for a consolidated spreadsheet of the recommended actions, measures of progress, target year for completion and estimated costs to fulfill the management goals and objectives of this park.

While the DRP utilizes the ten-year management plan to serve as the basic statement of policy and future direction for each park, a number of annual work plans provide more specific guidance for DRP staff to accomplish many of the resource management goals and objectives of the park. Where such detailed planning is appropriate to the character and scale of the park's natural resources, annual work plans are developed for prescribed fire management, exotic plant management and imperiled species management. Annual or longer- term work plans are developed for natural community restoration and hydrological restoration. The work plans provide the DRP with crucial flexibility in its efforts to generate and implement adaptive resource management practices in the state park system.

The work plans are reviewed and updated annually. Through this process, the DRP's resource management strategies are systematically evaluated to determine their effectiveness. The process and the information collected is used to refine techniques, methodologies and strategies, and ensures that each park's prescribed management actions are monitored and reported as required by Sections 253.034 and 259.037, Florida Statutes.

The goals, objectives and actions identified in this management plan will serve as the basis for developing annual work plans for the park. The ten-year management plan is based on conditions that exist at the time the plan is developed. The annual work plans provide the flexibility needed to adapt to future conditions as they change during the ten-year management planning cycle. As the park's annual work plans are implemented through the ten-year cycle, it may become necessary to adjust the management plan's priority schedules and cost estimates to reflect these changing conditions.

#### Natural Resource Management

#### Hydrological Management

## Goal: Protect water quality and quantity in the park, restore hydrology to the extent feasible and maintain the restored condition.

The natural hydrology of most state parks has been impaired prior to acquisition to one degree or another. Florida's native habitats are precisely adapted to natural drainage patterns and seasonal water level fluctuations, and variations in these factors frequently determine the types of natural communities that occur on a particular site. Even minor changes to natural hydrology can result in the loss of plant and animal species from a landscape. Restoring state park lands to original natural conditions often depends on returning natural hydrological processes and conditions to the park. This is done primarily by filling or plugging ditches, removing obstructions to surface water "sheet flow," installing culverts or low-water crossings on roads, and installing water control structures to manage water levels.

## Objective A: Conduct/obtain an assessment of the park's hydrological restoration needs.

Action 1 Formulate a plan for erosion control for multiple locations throughout the parks.

As described above, a good deal of research and assessment work related to hydrological restoration of the parks' springs and other water bodies has already occurred. Many projects have already been implemented. Staff should thoroughly assess the need for and feasibility of remaining hydrological restoration projects and formulate an implementation plan. This would include such projects as backfilling of remaining ditches, removal of remaining tram roads, and erosion control measures for problem areas such as the canoe beach and slope at Wekiwa Springs. It should also include assessing hydrological restoration needs of newly acquired property such as the Neighborhood Lakes and Pine Plantation parcels.

Action 2 Continue working with county, city and other local officials along with other agencies on continuing to educate businesses and residents within the spring and river basins on the effects of nutrient loading based on fertilizer and septic tank issues.

The Wekiva River system is a true natural treasure deserving of protection for the resource and for current and future generations to enjoy. Water quality and quantity are of utmost importance to the system. Several agencies are involved in monitoring and protecting the water resources including, several divisions within DEP, SJRWMD, USGS, and local counties. As managers of the property, the Division of Recreation and Parks staff should continue to work closely with partner agencies to foster relationships, share information, and support ongoing and future research and monitoring efforts that help to document and protect the park's water resources. The major threats to the Wekiva Basin and its unique water resources include threats to water quality and water quantity (spring flow) as detailed in the hydrology section above.

The SJRWMD is the lead agency charged with protection of water quantity related to the Wekiva River system and associate springs. They issue consumptive use permits for water withdrawal and formulate district water supply plans. They are also responsible for developing a Minimum Flow and Level (MFL) regime for several of the springs in the basin and the Wekiva River. As described above, the Wekiva MFL was the first adopted MFL and is due for periodic re-evaluation. Division staff should remain heavily involved as stakeholders in that process. In connection with the MFL process, an MFL violation Prevention/Recovery Strategy will be developed to identify measures required to prevent levels from declining beyond the values set by the MFL for Lake Prevatt. Division Staff should also remain involved as stakeholders in that process.

The DEP is the main agency responsible for protection of water quality in the Wekiva River system. Overall, water quality in the system is good with the exception of elevated levels of nitrate as described above. The elevated nitrate levels have caused excessive algal growth and prompted the development of a Total Maximum Daily Load (TMDL) threshold value for nitrate. The TMDL process called for the development of a Basin Management Action Plan (BMAP) to identify measures required to obtain the target nitrate reduction. The BMAP was recently completed and division staff were heavily involved as stakeholders in that process.

The Division should also stay informed regarding land use planning in the surrounding area. Properties in the spring's recharge basin should be acquired when possible to protect important recharge areas and spring water quality. The Division staff should continue to participate in local springs working groups to encourage projects that will benefit the health of the springs. The FPS District staff should continue to review all consumptive use permits submitted to the SJRWMD within the vicinity of Wekiwa and Rock Springs and their springsheds and provide comments to SJRWMD regarding issues that could negatively impact the resources of the springs and the Wekiva River. The SJRWMD monitors surface and groundwater levels on and around the Wekiva Basin. Water quality information is collected periodically and made available.

# *Objective B: Restore natural hydrological conditions and functions to approximately 600 acres of hydric hammock, 130 acres of mesic flatwoods, and 110 acres of wet flatwoods communities.*

- Action 1 Install 11 low-water crossings or culverts along tram roads and park service roads within the WRBSP.
  - 3 at Lower Wekiva River Preserve State Park South of Wekiva River along the main north to south tram road.
  - 4 at Wekiwa Springs State Park, there are two locations where hydric hammock community cross over main service roads, one where a stream flows over the service road and one on the Markham Woods property where a bay community crosses over the service road.
  - 4 at Rock Springs Run State Reserve. one is located where a bay gall drains into a hydric hammock the other two are located where two separate depression marshes drain into hydric hammock and one is where the service road runs through the hydric hammock.

Action 2 Rehabilitate 44,939 feet of plow scars. within the WRBSP

- In RSRSR: 19,573 feet divided between two wildfires that both occurred in the southern hydric hammock of the park.
- In WSSP: 5,143 feet in the north flatwoods of the main park and 8,607 feet at the Markham woods property in wet flatwoods and hydric hammock
- In LWRPSP: 11,616 feet in wet flatwoods and hydric hammock in the portion of the park south of the Wekiva river (NW of the Yankee lake property.

Historical activities have had significant impacts on the natural hydrologic regime of the unit. Particularly, the construction of tram roads in the early 1900s to facilitate logging has altered the natural flow of water. Although several tram roads have

been removed as mitigation projects, many more exist. Although tram roads can have negative impacts on the hydrology, they are often excellent recreational trails. A detailed study is needed to determine which tram roads need to be removed and which need to be culverted. This study would allow the park management to maximize recreation while restoring the natural hydrologic regime to the maximum amount practical. This type of study is needed in all three parks. Many existing service roads were constructed for logging purposes. Often, these roads are mounded and impede the natural flow of surface waters. This impediment alters the natural hydrology of the surrounding area, potentially affecting the natural plant community and the wildlife species dependent upon them.

## *Objective C: Restore sheet-flow to hydric hammocks and floodplain swamp in Lower Wekiva River Preserve State Park*

- Action 1 Preliminary analysis should be conducted to determine the costs and feasibility of filling in the old logging canals off of the St. Johns River into Lower Wekiva River Preserve State Park.
- Action 2 Install 6 low-water crossings or culverts along main tram roads a within the LWRPSP.
  - 4 crossings north portion of road in management LW-27 the main north to south tram road.
  - 2 crossings south portion of road in management LW 27

There are six canals located in the west portion of Lower Wekiva River Preserve State Park. All six canals total an approximate length of 6 miles with each canal varying in length. The southernmost canal is approximately 1.8 miles in length while the other 5 vary in length, each between 0.7 to 1 mile in length. The depth of each canal is unknown. A significant number of tram road have been removed associated with the canals for the purpose of restoring sheet-flow. The results of these efforts have not been determined, and so an analysis of the effects of the tram removals has been effective is needed. Along with this study a preliminary study should be conducted on the effectiveness of removing the canals along with a cost analysis and realistic feasibility of these action and an analysis of the what the ecological effect and environmental impacts to the surrounding communities. On the LWRPSP property there is a main north-south service road within the Fecthal Tract. This road is main road used to maneuver through the property. The natural sheet flow on the property is from west to east towards the St John's River. There are several wet crossings and elevated roads that need attention including the slough areas just north and south of burn zone LW-27. Each area needs to be evaluated and addressed, as appropriate. Potential solutions include, but are not limited to, low water crossings or the installation of culverts.

#### **Natural Communities Management**

#### Goal: Restore and maintain the natural communities/habitats of the park.

The DRP practices natural systems management. In most cases, this entails returning fire to its natural role in fire-dependent natural communities. Other

methods to implement this goal include large-scale restoration projects as well as smaller scale natural communities' improvements. Following are the natural community management objectives and actions recommended for the state park.

#### Prescribed Fire Management

Prescribed fire is used to mimic natural lightning-set fires, which are one of the primary natural forces that shaped Florida's ecosystem. Prescribed burning increases the abundance and health of many wildlife species. A large number of Florida's imperiled species of plants and animals are dependent on periodic fire for their continued existence. Fire-dependent natural communities gradually accumulate flammable vegetation; therefore, prescribed fire reduces wildfire hazards by reducing these wild land fuels.

All prescribed burns in the Florida state park system are conducted with authorization from the FDACS, Florida Forest Service (FFS). Wildfire suppression activities in the park are coordinated with the FFS.

## *Objective A: Within 10 years, have 12,080 acres of the park maintained within the optimum fire return interval.*

Action 1	Develop/update annual burn plan
Action 2	Manage fire dependent communities by burning between 2,200
	- 5,900 acres annually.
Action 3	Establish 6.5 miles of new fire breaks (2 miles along perimeter of Pine Plantation property, 4.5 miles along perimeter of Wekiva
	Parkway in Neighborhood Lakes property.

Table 5 contains a list of all fire-dependent natural communities found within the park, their associated acreage and optimal fire return interval, and the annual average target for acres to be burned.

Table 5. Prescribed Fire Management				
Natural Community	Acres	Optimal Fire Return Interval (Years)		
Sandhill	1,892.66 acres	1-3		
Mesic Flatwoods	5,321.97 acres	2-5		
Scrub	552.92 acres	7-15		
Scrubby Flatwoods	891.17 acres	3-7		
Wet Flatwoods	1,484.63 acres	2-5		
Depression Marsh	427.86 acres	2-5		
Annual Target Acreage	2,243-5,892			
	acres			

Prescribed fire is planned for each burn zone on the appropriate interval. The park's burn plan is updated annually because fire management is a dynamic process. To provide adaptive responses to changing conditions, fire management requires careful planning based on annual and very specific burn objectives. Each annual

burn plan is developed to support and implement the broader objectives and actions outlined in this ten-year management plan.

The parks contain six fire type communities (see Table 5 above and the natural community section for a description of each). Each community has a specific burn interval in order to maintain that community in an optimal maintenance condition.

Based upon the fire return intervals and acreage for the natural communities within the park, optimally the minimum of 2,243 acres should be burned each year to maintain the natural communities within their target fire return intervals. This amount would be based on all the fire type acres being in an ecological maintenance condition and none of the acres are overly out of rotation. Taking these factors into consideration the park should be pushing to burn more acreage, closer to the maximum interval of 5,892acres to account for acreage that has fallen out of rotation and is in sub-optimal condition. Park staffing, funding and weather conditions will influence the ability of the park to keep natural communities within their optimal fire return intervals. Not all zones will be burned within the maximum recommended fire return intervals, while others may be burned more frequently. Some fire type acres will be unavailable for burning until conditions within the management zone allow.

In order to completely restore a natural community which may have been previously overgrown due to a history of fire suppression, land management must include prescribed burning. Any natural community that reaches complete restoration should then be held at that state in what land managers call a 'maintenance phase". Most upland ecosystems in Florida require fire to keep them in this phase, with the difference among them related to the temporal timing of the fire event (Ahlgren 1974). The objectives of prescribed burning are to create those conditions that are most natural for a particular community, and to maintain ecological diversity within the unit's natural communities. To meet these objectives, the three parks are partitioned into burn zones, and burn prescriptions are implemented for each zone (see individual park Burn Zones Maps).

Overall, the Wekiva River Basin has a successful prescribed burning program. The staff includes some of the best trained burners in the State. A determined effort is being made to prevent burn units in maintenance condition from deteriorating. Even with a conscious effort, the fire return interval of these restored areas has been lengthened. In addition, new properties are not put into the burn rotation as quickly as they should be.

In the past, the park already uses aerial ignition to burn large acreage. Since 2001, the National Interagency Prescribed Fire Training Center (PFTC) has been used to assist with prescribed burns. This saved some of the park staff to complete other tasks. The park utilizes staff from other parks as well as providing its staff and equipment to burn in other units.

The parks system contains 4,694.27 acres of altered landcover that historically were fire type communities. Under optimum maintenance condition the altered land

cover types would resemble the proper habitat communities that they have been determined to be (see Desired Future Conditions Map). Once restored to maintenance conditions, an additional 900 to 2,300 acres need to be included in the burn plan each year. Until restoration efforts can be initiated in these locations fire will be used as a management tool in the interim with no set fire interval assigned.

There are two burn seasons: spring/summer or growing season and fall/winter dormant season. Spring/summer is defined as April-August and fall/winter as December-March. Very little burning is done under a pine tree canopy from September-November due to the greater potential for pine tree mortality, though the possibility for burning during this seasonal period is still practiced where pine mortality is not an issue or when crews are able to control the fire intensity.

In order to track fire management activities, the DRP maintains a statewide burn database. The database allows staff to track various aspects of each park's fire management program including individual burn zone histories and fire return intervals, staff training and experience, backlog, etc. The database is also used for annual burn planning which allows the DRP to document fire management goals and objectives on an annual basis. Each quarter the database is updated and reports are produced that track progress towards meeting annual burn objectives.

#### Natural Community Restoration

In some cases, the reintroduction and maintenance of natural processes is not enough to reach the desired future conditions for natural communities in the park, and active restoration programs are required. Restoration of altered natural communities to healthy, fully functioning natural landscapes often requires substantial efforts that may include mechanical treatment of vegetation or soils and reintroduction or augmentation of native plants and animals. For the purposes of this management plan, restoration is defined as the process of assisting the recovery and natural functioning of degraded natural communities to desired future condition, including the re-establishment of biodiversity, ecological processes, vegetation structure and physical characters.

Examples that would qualify as natural community restoration, requiring annual restoration plans, include large mitigation projects, large-scale hardwood removal and timbering activities, roller-chopping and other large-scale vegetative modifications. The key concept is that restoration projects will go beyond management activities routinely done as standard operating procedures such as routine mowing, the reintroduction of fire as a natural process, spot treatments of exotic plants, and small-scale vegetation management.

Following are the natural community/habitat restoration and maintenance actions recommended to create the desired future conditions in the sandhill, mesic flatwoods, wet flatwoods and scrub natural communities (see Desired Future Conditions Map).



WEKIWA SPRINGS STATE PARK



### NATURAL COMMUNITIES DESIRED FUTURE CONDITIONS MAP


0.25 0.5 1 Miles Florida Department of Environmental Protection Division of Recreation and Parks Date of Aerial: 2011

ROCK SPRINGS RUN STATE RESERVE

NATURAL COMMUNITIES MAP DESIRED FUTURE CONDITIONS



LOWER WEKIVA RIVER PRESERVE STATE PARK

N 0 0.25 0.5 1 Mile \_\_\_\_ Florida Department of Environmental Protection Division of Recreation and Parks Date of aerial; 2011

#### NATURAL COMMUNITIES DESIRED FUTURE CONDITIONS MAP

#### *Objective B: Conduct habitat/natural community restoration activities on* 750 acres of sandhill, 560 acres of mesic/wet Flatwoods and 156 acres of scrub/scrubby flatwoods natural communities

Action 1 Develop/update site specific restoration plan

- finalize restoration plan for altered land cover (pasture type communities) in RSRSR (management zones RKS-054A, 054B and 054C)
- develop plan for restoration of pine plantation property
- develop plan for restoration of altered land cover on Neighborhood Lakes property (management zones WS-NL2, WS-NL3 and WS-NL4)

#### Action 2 Initiate/Implement restoration plans

- Action 3 Conduct hardwood removal on 661 acres.
  - 214 acres on LWRPSP including the Skinner and Jung properties
  - 172 acres on WSSP including the Markham woods
    property
  - 275 acres on RSRSR including the west BMK and Kitteridge properties

In the Wekiva River Basin State Parks there is a total of 1,466ac of restoration to be scheduled for the 10-year duration until the next management plan revision. This acreage does not constitute the entire amount of acreage that would need restoration within the park boundaries.

A plan for general restoration of all locations within the parks in addition to two other specific plans for the restoration of altered land covers found within Rock Spring Run State Reserve, Neighborhood lakes and for Pine Plantation. The general restoration efforts will require a variety of treatments. The locations for these activities are within communities that have deteriorated in extreme sub-optimal condition. These locations will either require mowing, roller-chopping or hardwood removal. Groundcover restoration will also be needed. This will require the application of mechanical and/or chemical treatments to remove the current groundcover species on location. This will be followed up with monitoring and additional treatments. Planting of native species will be included in the process along with additional monitoring.

There are 661 acres of hardwood removal required within WRBSP. In all four habitat communities discussed above. WSSP will require 172 acres of removal, RSRSR will require 275 acres of removal and finally LWRPSP will require 214 acres of removal. The removal of these hardwood will allow for the park to follow behind with prescribed fire and if need the planting native species as part of the restoration effort. Smaller area of hardwood removal may be accomplished in-house with park staff and AmeriCorps members. Larger area will require that use of an outside contractor. The proceeds from these efforts will be used for continual restoration efforts.

The Pine Plantation unit is a former planted northern slash pine community that will require removal of off-site tree species from the northern slash pine community and planting of native longleaf pine and wiregrass seedlings. The initial step will be the removal of exotic plant species and a timber management assessment would need to be conducted for the purpose of pine removal. The appropriate tree species (primarily longleaf pine) should be made available from a native species resource within the WRBSP as part of the restoration efforts.

The plan for restoration of the large portion of altered land cover in RSRSR will be a long-term project. Initially the plan will be to re-forest a 200-acre section of improved pasture with long-leaf pines. Due to the expense of conducting full restoration of bahia grass pasture to native communities. Re-forestation is a lot more cost effective.

#### Natural Community Improvement

Improvements are similar to restoration but on a smaller, less intense scale. This typically includes small-scale vegetative management activities or minor habitat manipulation. Following are the natural community/habitat improvement actions recommended at the park.

# Objective C: Conduct natural community/habitat improvement activities on 255 acres of sandhill, 425 acres of mesic/wet flatwoods and 485 acres of scrub/scrubby flatwoods natural communities.

Action 1	Thinning of oaks and other hard woods in each of the three
	parks, (fuel wood reduction, roller-chopping, and/or mowing)
	totaling 1,165 acres
Action 2	Removal of 10-20 year stage class sand pines in sandhill and
	scrub/scrubby flatwoods communities
Action 3	Minor roller-chopping/lay down fuels 2 to 3 dozer/tractor widths
	along overgrown edges of management zones
Action 4	Minor roller-chopping/lay down fuels 1 to 2 dozer/tractor widths
	through overgrown fuels in select management zones.

In the Wekiva River Basin State Parks there is approximately 1,165 acres of habitat/community improvements needed. At WSSP there is 115acres of sandhill, 20 acres of flatwoods and 145 acres of scrub/scrubby flatwoods. At RSRSR there is 75 acres of sandhill, 80 acres of flatwoods and 275 acres of scrub/scrubby flatwoods and 85 acres of scrub/scrubby flatwoods.

In all locations there are oaks need thinning in order to effectively restore this habitat and effectively apply prescribed burning to it. Along with the oak removal there are patches of sand pines that either need thinning or removal. Prescribed fire alone will likely not carry well through this area unless under extreme conditions. Mechanical measures such as using a combination of chain sawing the larger trees and tree cutting the smaller trees should be pursued. Minor roller-chopping may also be required along edges of overgrown areas and paths through the overgrown patches to channel fire through the location. Soil disturbance should be minimized and equipment checks for exotic plant material should be conducted prior to equipment entering the site. Areas where soil disturbance may be an issue the fuels should be mowed instead of chopped. The mechanical measures should be followed up with prescribed burning this section. Photopoints should be established in the project area to monitor project success over time.

#### **Imperiled Species Management**

### Goal: Maintain, improve or restore imperiled species populations and habitats in the park.

The DRP strives to maintain and restore viable populations of imperiled plant and animal species primarily by implementing effective management of natural systems. Single species management is appropriate in state parks when the maintenance, recovery or restoration of a species or population is complicated due to constraints associated with long-term restoration efforts, unnaturally high mortality or insufficient habitat. Single species management should be compatible with the maintenance and restoration of natural processes, and should not imperil other native species or seriously compromise park values.

In the preparation of this management plan, DRP staff consulted with staff of the FWC's Imperiled Species Management or that agency's Regional Biologist and other appropriate federal, state and local agencies for assistance in developing imperiled animal species management objectives and actions. Likewise, for imperiled plant species, DRP staff consulted with FDACS. Data collected by the USFWS, FWC, FDACS and FNAI as part of their ongoing research and monitoring programs will be reviewed by park staff periodically to inform management of decisions that may have an impact on imperiled species at the park.

Ongoing inventory and monitoring of imperiled species in the state park system is necessary to meet the DRP's mission. Long-term monitoring is also essential to ensure the effectiveness of resource management programs. Monitoring efforts must be prioritized so that the data collected provides information that can be used to improve or confirm the effectiveness of management actions on conservation priorities. Monitoring intensity must at least be at a level that provides the minimum data needed to make informed decisions to meet conservation goals. Not all imperiled species require intensive monitoring efforts on a regular interval. Priority must be given to those species that can provide valuable data to guide adaptive management practices. Those species selected for specific management action and those that will provide management guidance through regular monitoring are addressed in the objectives below.

### *Objective A: Develop/Update baseline imperiled species occurrence inventory lists for plants and animals.*

### *Objective B: Monitor and document 19 selected imperiled animal species in the park.*

Action 1	Develop monitoring protocols for 3 selected imperiled animal
	species including Sherman's Fox Squirrel, Florida Mouse and
	Florida burrowing Owl.
Action 2	Implement monitoring protocols for 16 imperiled animal species
	including those listed in Action 1 above and gopher tortoise,
	striped newt, gopher frog, Florida scrub-jay, swallow-tail kite,
	and imperiled wading birds.
Action 3	Conduction regular bird surveys at a monthly or quarterly basis.

This should capture a number of other bird species for example swallow-tailed kites. This survey becomes critical for migratory specie that may only be accounted for during spring and fall migrations like Worm-eating warbler, Louisiana water thrush and American Redstart. In addition, this survey would also capture winter residents like Merlins. Alligators will be counted with the wading bird surveys conducted on the river.

19 species have been listed for monitoring in the park boundaries. Only three of total number will need new protocols. Even though we've conducted surveyed the burrowing owls in the past no set protocols were established. The purpose was to find out where they were and estimate how many burrows. This population may require a tier 4 level of monitoring. If this level cannot be achieved than a tier 3 should be implemented, especially with the current and future conditions that the colony will be living under. The two other species, Florida mouse and Sherman's fox squirrel have had monitoring conducted in the past. Due to the time since this was last conducted both species need new protocol for monitoring since conditions may have changed in the time frame.

Gopher tortoise monitoring consists of conducting burrow surveys and assessing whether the burrows are active inactive or abandoned. This protocol is good enough to gain a population assessment. This monitoring process easiest to conduct after a management zone has been burned. Man-power and time become the only issues with conducting this survey on a regular basis.

Striped newt and gopher frogs will be monitored on an annual basis using the protocol set-up during the 2005 and 2006 surveys. These surveys will be conducted at a tier 2 level. The bird surveys that the Aquatic preserve conducts along the Wekiva river will continue on a quarterly basis this survey will continue to account for little blue and tricolored herons, snowy egrets, limpkins, woodstorks, white-ibis and swallow-tailed kites (only during spring and summer months) and in addition to American Alligators will also be counted on the surveys. Park staff will assist with these surveys. These will be tier 1 level surveys. Woodstorks and Limpkins may need to be surveyed at a tier 2 separate from the other birds.

### *Objective C: Monitor and document 10 selected imperiled plant species in the park.*

Action 1	Develop monitoring protocols for 7 selected imperiled plant species including hand fern, garberia, blue flower butterwort, sand butterfly pea and the three milk vine species (see table 2
	for species info).
Action 2	Implement monitoring protocols for 7 imperiled plant species
	including those listed in Action 1
Action 3	Continue with the monitoring efforts for hooded pitcher-plants,
	yellow-flowered butterwort and giant ground orchid.

Of the 10 species listed for monitoring efforts there are five species that park staff has knowledge of the location. These species are the giant ground orchid, yellow butterwort, hooded pitcher-plant, garberia and hand fern (currently a small number of plants has been found in one location). In addition to these five species all three milk vine species have been located, but determining which species has been found at a specific location can only be determined when the plant is either flowering or fruiting. Three of the five species have had some recent monitoring efforts initiated. These three species are the yellow flowered butterwort, giant ground orchid and the hooded pitcher plant. These monitoring methods have documented the species at a tier 2 level. To gain a population census of any species it should be monitored at a tier 2. For each of the species it would be helpful to monitor them during growing season. For giant ground orchids the plant only grows between the months of May through August in the park with flowering occurring mid-early through early August. Yellow butterworts flower between mid-February to mid-May. All species would require an annual survey preferable during flowering or fruiting/seeding for easy identification. A number of the species listed are fire adaptive species and a continued existence of a number of these species and continual population growth would be a positive testament to the parks burn program.

Hand ferns have been documented at both RSRSR and LWRPSP. The locations are unknown for where the plants were located at either park unit. July 2015 during an exotic species survey a number plants were located in the southern hydric hammock areas in RSRSR. A tier 2 monitoring protocol will be used with a focus on documentation of the existence on property.

The hooded pitcher-plant will also be monitored. The last efforts for monitoring this species were 2007-2008. Using the monitoring protocols from this study. The species should be monitored at a tier 2 level. This species is not a listed species but the existence of the hooded pitcher-plants is important for the parks because we can use it as an indicator of the health of our flatwoods and depression marsh communities. The plant is a fire-dependent species. We know where the species populations occur on property. Like the giant ground orchid for sandhill, the hooded pitcher-plant can be used by park staff as an indicator of the health of the parks flatwoods communities.

The other two species would require locating the where they may occur. For two of the species, blue flower butterwort and sand butterfly pea we have past FNAI data

for park locations. The Sand Butterfly pea was found in two locations at Wekiwa Springs in 2007 and the blueflower butterwort was found in one location at Rock Springs Run State Reserve in 2008. For both species a tier 2 monitoring protocol will be developed and implemented. Initially park staff will need to visit the last known locations for both species and determine if the plants still exist at those locations and how many individuals are on site. A search for other plants can be initiated based on habitat conditions where the plants are found.

The park will require the assistance of district staff along requesting assistance from other agencies and experts in the field, in locating sand butterfly pea, blueflower butterwort and hand fern. This may become critical in species identification, for example sand butterfly pea resembles the more common species of butterfly pea.

#### **Exotic Species Management**

### Goal: Remove exotic and invasive plants and animals from the park and conduct needed maintenance control.

The DRP actively removes invasive exotic species from state parks, with priority being given to those causing the ecological damage. Removal techniques may include mechanical treatment, herbicides or biocontrol agents.

#### Objective A: Annually treat 15-35 acres of exotic plant species in the park.

- Action 1 Annually develop/update exotic plant management work plan.
- Action 2 Implement annual work plan by treating 15-35 acres in park, annually, and continuing maintenance and follow-up treatments, as needed.
- Action 3 conduct surveys of existing infestations along with searching other locations on property for new infestations.
- Action 4 park staff member will continue to participate as a board member for the Central Florida CISMA (Cooperative Invasive Species Management Areas)

The Wekiva River Basin State Parks has an approximate known total of 207 acres infested with exotic plant species. The park will continually treat these infestations and monitors the effectiveness of the treatments. Infestations are prioritized based on ecological impact and not on severity of the species. For example, large areas of Neighborhood lakes (abandoned field/pasture) are infested with natal grass. This species is high on the parks priority list and is highly invasive, though the species in this location has been placed low on the priority list for treatment due to the location in an altered community. In management zone WS-01 a non-category species sweet tanglehead has invaded from outside the park boundary and is continually pushing further into the sandhill in the management zone. Though this exotic grass species is not as high of a priority as natal grass, the infestation in management zone WS-01 is a much higher priority than the infestation of natal grass at Neighborhood Lakes.

Park staff will be tasked with the process of exotic removal, along with the assistance of park volunteers and other agencies, the park should have the ability to achieve these goals. The park will continually pursue grants for exotic removal as an additional resource to achieve and possibly surpass the annual goals. The park has been able to hire additional staff dedicated to exotic removal, as long as funding is available this will continue. The park will also continue to pursue the assistance of the Florida State Parks AmeriCorps service program members assigned to the park continuing with exotic removal as a priority.

### *Objective B: Implement control measures on 5 exotic animal species in the park.*

- Action 1 Removal of feral hogs, 9-band armadillos, coyotes and feral cats.
- Action 2 Reinstate removal plan for Cuban tree frogs

Park staff will continue to focus on hog removal focusing on locations where heavy hog activity has been observed along with areas that are both ecologically or culturally sensitive. The park currently has two hog traps, one for LWRPSP and the other for RSRSR. The traps have been extremely successful (sometimes catching up to 24 hogs at a time) a component of this success is to constantly move the traps to different location after 1 to 3 successful trappings. As of April of 2016 the park acquired the services of a private contractor to remove hogs on the RSRSR and LWRPSP properties. Annul goals should be between 150-250 hogs.

Both nine banded armadillos and coyotes will continue to be removed on a passive basis. Feral cats will be removed with the assistance of county animal control. Traps are set at locations within the park boundary where staff are aware of cat activity. The local animal control removes that cats from park property.

In 2004 park staff developed and implemented a Cuban tree frog removal plan. The plan was successful in the removal of 352 individuals. The park would like to reinstate this removal procedure. The 2004 removal was a partial study and there were individuals dedicated to the process. The staff dedication may not be at the same level as the 2004 effort. With that being said the removal goals will be set at 100 to 200 annually.

#### Cultural Resource Management

Cultural resources are individually unique, and collectively, very challenging for the public land manager whose goal is to preserve and protect them in perpetuity. The DRP will implement the following goals, objectives and actions, as funding becomes available, to preserve the cultural resources found in the Wekiva River Basin State Parks.

#### Goal: Protect, preserve and maintain the cultural resources of the park.

The management of cultural resources is often complicated because these resources are irreplaceable and extremely vulnerable to disturbances. The advice of

historical and archaeological experts is required in this effort. All activities related to land clearing, ground disturbing activities, major repairs or additions to historic structures listed or eligible for listing in the National Register of Historic Places must be submitted to the FDOS, Division of Historical Resources (DHR) for review and comment prior to undertaking the proposed project. Recommendations may include, but are not limited to concurrence with the project as submitted, pretesting of the project site by a certified archaeological monitor, cultural resource assessment survey by a qualified professional archaeologist, modifications to the proposed project to avoid or mitigate potential adverse effect. In addition, any demolition or substantial alteration to any historic structure or resource must be submitted to the DHR for consultation and the DRP must demonstrate that there is no feasible alternative to removal and must provide a strategy for documentation or salvage of the resource. Florida law further requires that DRP consider the reuse of historic buildings in the park in lieu of new construction and must undertake a cost comparison of new development versus rehabilitation of a building before electing to construct a new or replacement building. This comparison must be accomplished with the assistance of the DHR.

## *Objective A: Assess and evaluate 53 of 71 recorded cultural resources in the park.*

Action 1 Complete 47 assessments/evaluations of archaeological sites.

Action 2 Complete 6 Historic Structures Reports (HSRs) for historic buildings and cultural landscape. Prioritize stabilization, restoration and rehabilitation projects.

The park intends to have 47 recorded sites evaluated and condition assessments updated during the plan period. Park staff will attempt to locate the sites and provide information to include but not limited to any threats to the site's condition such as natural erosion; vehicular damage; horse, bicycle or pedestrian damage; looting; construction including damage from firebreak construction; animal damage; plant or root damage or other factors that might cause deterioration of the site. Site assessments should be documented on appropriate forms and a copy sent to the Division of Historical Resource to be filed in the specific WRBSPs park master files for that site's location. A copy of this information should also be maintained at the park and district offices. The park will prioritize preservation projects identified by the assessments/evaluations. Top priority will be placed on recently registered sites. There are 23 new sites on file between the three parks. There are 3 at LWRPSP, 11 at RSRSR and 9 at WSSP. There are two site that have been recommended to be moved, both at WSSP, the cement funnel and metal gutter. The cement funnel is currently located at the Mill pond site. This structure was associated with Sand lake when it was a borrow pit. When the structure was removed from the Sand Lake location it was dumped at the mill pond site. The park would like to remove the funnel from the Mill Pond Site and try to reconstruct it at Sand lake as an interpretive site for park visitors. This would need assessment for safety environmental impacts and feasibility.

### *Objective B: Compile reliable documentation for all recorded historic and archaeological resources.*

- Action 1 Ensure all known sites are recorded or updated in the Florida Master Site File.
- Action 2 Complete a predictive model for high, medium and low probability of locating archaeological sites within the park/Conduct Level 1 archaeological survey for all priority areas identified by predictive model or other previous study.

The park has not had a full comprehensive level 1 archaeological survey for all three parks but has had an archaeological predictive model developed (USF 2010). This model provides for high, medium and low areas or probability for the occurrence of pre-historic sites. The model will provide guidance for future development as well as Phase 1 surveys. Any areas targeted for future park development will be priority areas for future surveys.

A Scope of Collections will need to be developed should the park acquire any collection items. An administrative history is needed for the park that will help interpret the history of the park. Oral histories of local historians and park staff need to be done to help document the park's history.

Any newly discovered or undocumented historic or archaeological sites will be surveyed and documented on the appropriate forms. A copy of the document will be submitted to the Division of Historical Resource to be registered and then filed in the specific master files for the appropriate park that site was located. A copy of this information should also be maintained at the park and district offices. For example, in the northern portion of Lower Wekiva River Preserve State Park a number of old tram roads have been opened/restored for hiking and horseback riding in the park. Along these roads the park anticipates locating some new cultural sites based on the historic uses of the park.

The general objective for the management of the cultural resources of the Wekiva River Basin State Parks is to protect, preserve and interpret the prehistoric and historic resources. As the composition of park staff changes over time, efforts should be made to insure that there is always at least one staff member who is a certified archaeological monitor. Management should ensure that park personnel are adequately trained in cultural resource management and establish a park library to support the training. Unit staff will ensure that any ground disturbing activities shall be conducted in accordance with DHR guidelines and monitored by appropriately trained personnel. Management should try to develop professional relationships with area university archaeologists, Water Management District land managers and area law enforcement officials to discuss cultural resource management issues and opportunities.

#### *Objective C: Bring 28 of 71 recorded cultural resources into good condition.*

- Action 1 Design and implement regular monitoring programs for 53 cultural sites
- Action 2 Create and implement a cyclical maintenance program for each cultural resource
- Action 3 Survey and document all new cultural site within park boundaries

A cyclical maintenance plan should be developed and implemented to help guide the park with needed preservation of its sites. Park staff should develop and implement a preservation and maintenance plan for all cultural resources. Management measures for cultural resources should include development of a phased plan for managing the currently identified recorded sites in the context of their surroundings. The plan should outline approved methodologies for executing the plan and training staff and volunteers in managing the cultural resources of the park. Management should arrange for a Level I survey in all areas planned for development and utilize development project funds to accomplish the survey. Though only232 site have been listed to be brought into good condition, the number of sites that park staff are capable of surveying is set at 53. For all other sites that cannot be restored the current condition should be maintained and not allowed to further deteriorate if possible. Several cultural sites are metallic and are rusting in the corrosive environment, if some form of preservation is not developed then these artifacts will continue to corrode causing continued deterioration in condition. Shell mounds/middens suffer damage from both native and exotic animals on property. Exotic species should be removed from the area. Native species will need to be assess for the level of damage along with determining if the species is a listed species. If so and the relocation is warranted for the site, the removal process will need to be expedited through the proper permitting process from the Florida Fish and Wildlife Conservation Commission.

#### **Special Management Considerations**

#### Timber Management Analysis

Chapters 253 and 259, Florida Statutes, require an assessment of the feasibility of managing timber in land management plans for parcels greater than 1,000 acres if the lead agency determines that timber management is not in conflict with the primary management objectives of the land. The feasibility of removing timber at this park during the period covered by this plan was considered in context of the Division's statutory responsibilities and an analysis of the park's resource needs and values. The long-term management goal for forest communities in the state park system is to maintain or re-establish old-growth characteristics to the degree practicable, with the exception of early successional communities such as sand pine scrub and coastal strand.

The Wekiva River Basin State Parks needs to have a full timber analysis conducted before any of the proposed work could be conducted.

Wekiwa Springs State Park has only one location that would require timber management as a component of restoration efforts, the Pine Plantation property. This property is a 333-acre stand of northern slash pines. A forestry consultant will be needed for an official assessment of stage class and basal area. Initially the park would like to start with a pine stand thinning following a forester-recommended management practice. A second thinning would occur 3-4 years later. The replacement stand would consist of longleaf pine.

Rock Springs Run State Reserve had a stand of fully mature sand pines in management zones RKS-051 and RKS-052 removed in 2015. The combined acreage is roughly 50 acres. The procedure was used as an alternative to the use of prescribed fire as a natural process for sand pine removal. The major consideration for choosing timber removal was due to fact that park staff could not burn the management zones safely with the mature sand pine stand.

At Lower Wekiva River Preserve State Park, a timber removal should be considered at the Skinner property for management zone LW-SK02 and LW-SK05. These management zones need a thinning of basal area to occur. This again would require the services of a forestry consultant to conduct a basal area assessment. Again, the park would follow the forester-recommended best management practice. After a wildfire that occurred on property February 2011 the entire pine stand in LW-SK04 was lost. The park should have considered timber removal not long after that fire occurred. There is currently a full stand of dead pines in the zone, this poses major safety issues and smoke management issues for prescribe fire activities.

In addition to the proposed activities above the park should consider the use of timber management is an effective tool for removal of dead or dying pine stands. 2005 there was a wild fire at RSRSR in management zone RKS-027. This was a 136 acre stand of mixed pine species (Long leaf slash and pond pines). After a wildfire that occurred on property February 2011 the entire pine stand in LW-SK04 was lost, 114 acres. The park should have considered timber removal not long after that fire occurred. There is currently a full stand of dead pines in both management zones, this poses major safety issues and smoke management issues for prescribe fire activities.

As a result of a major drought in 2001 and 2002 the WRBSP had a southern pine beetle outbreak in several locations. Although the Southern pine beetle is native to Florida, many foresters believe that the expansion of loblolly pines has allowed the SPB to become more prevalent in Florida. It is also thought that the 2001 SPB infestation was an indirect result of the prolonged drought in Central Florida. Pine trees were under stress from the dry environmental conditions and more susceptible to beetle attacks.

May of 2001 park management (in conjunction with the DOF, had a contracted timber crew working in the vicinity of Sand Lake (WS-42 and 45). Cutting for the SPB continued for ca. 12 months, however most of the harvest was done in the first 4 months. During that time park staff was forced to contract with three different logging companies before finding a company that could remove enough trees

quickly enough to prevent the beetles from spreading. The timber crews clear-cut approximately 490 acres at WSSP. The SPB eradication cutting took place in burn zones WS-24, 25, 27, 28, 30, 33, 34, and 42.

Despite the high levels of Southern pine beetles at WSSP, RSRSR was almost unaffected by the insects. The only beetle spot that required mechanical treatment was in zone RS-18 just north of Buffalo Tram. The spot was approximately 25 acres in size. Other than the spot in RS-18, only individual trees were found with beetles and therefore timber crews were not needed. Timber located on approximately 150 acres was also removed on the West BMK portion of RSRSR. After a reburn/wildfire in April, 2002, a timber crew was sent into zones RS- 97, 98, 99, and 102 to remove the stressed pines that were being infested with Southern pine beetles. Primarily pond pines and loblolly pines were removed and the work was successful in saving almost all of the longleaf pines

In the fall of 2002, timber removal was initiated on the Fecthal tract of LWRPSP. This timbering was a clear-cut operation to remove Southern pine beetles. The beetles had been in the area for over a year, and despite a wet summer, the beetles were still infesting new trees. Unit staff made the decision to harvest the remote area so the beetles would not infest the Seminole State Forest. Cooperation between the DOF and DEP allowed the timber crew to gain quick access to the beetle trees. The project took approximately three months to complete. In the end approximately 150 acres were clear-cut and approximately 100 acres were thinned (to prevent future pine beetle outbreaks) all in burn zones LW-21 and 23.

#### Arthropod Control Plan

All DRP lands are designated as "environmentally sensitive and biologically highly productive" in accordance with Ch. 388 and Ch. 388.4111 Florida Statutes. If a local mosquito control district proposes a treatment plan, the DRP works with the local mosquito control district to achieve consensus. By policy of DEP since 1987, aerial adulticiding is not allowed, but larviciding and ground adulticiding (truck spraying in public use areas) is typically allowed. The DRP does not authorize new physical alterations of marshes through ditching or water control structures. Mosquito control plans temporarily may be set aside under declared threats to public or animal health, or during a Governor's Emergency Proclamation.

#### **Resource Management Schedule**

A priority schedule for conducting all management activities that is based on the purposes for which these lands were acquired, and to enhance the resource values, is located in the Implementation Component of this management plan.

#### Land Management Review

Section 259.036, Florida Statutes, established land management review teams to determine whether conservation, preservation and recreation lands titled in the name of the Board of Trustees are being managed for the purposes for which they

were acquired and in accordance with their approved land management plans. The considered recommendations of the land management review team and updated this plan accordingly.

The Wekiva River Basin State Parks were subject to a land management review on July 2015 The review team made the following determinations:

- The land is being managed for the purpose for which it was acquired.
- The actual management practices, including public access, complied with the management plan for this site.

#### LAND USE COMPONENT

#### Introduction

Land use planning and park development decisions for the state park system are based on the dual responsibilities of the Florida Department of Environmental Protection (DEP), Division of Recreation and Parks (DRP). These responsibilities are to preserve representative examples of original natural Florida and its cultural resources, and to provide outdoor recreation opportunities for Florida's citizens and visitors.

The general planning and design process begins with an analysis of the natural and cultural resources of the unit, and then proceeds through the creation of a conceptual land use plan that culminates in the actual design and construction of park facilities. Input to the plan is provided by experts in environmental sciences, cultural resources, park operation and management. Additional input is received through public workshops, and through environmental and recreational-user groups. With this approach, the DRP objective is to provide quality development for resource-based recreation throughout the state with a high level of sensitivity to the natural and cultural resources at each park.

This component of the unit plan includes a brief inventory of the external conditions and the recreational potential of the unit. Existing uses, facilities, special conditions on use, and specific areas within the park that will be given special protection, are identified. The land use component then summarizes the current conceptual land use plan for the park, identifying the existing or proposed activities suited to the resource base of the park. Any new facilities needed to support the proposed activities are expressed in general terms.

#### **External Conditions**

An assessment of the conditions that exist beyond the boundaries of the unit can identify any special development problems or opportunities that exist because of the unit's unique setting or environment. This also provides an opportunity to deal systematically with various planning issues such as location, regional demographics, adjacent land uses and park interaction with other facilities

The Wekiva River Basin State Parks are comprised of three individual parks (from south to north): Wekiwa Springs State Park, Rock Springs Run State Reserve, and Lower Wekiva River Preserve State Park,. There three parks are located within Lake, Seminole, and Orange Counties, about 20 miles north of Orlando in the central part of the state.

The counties of Lake, Seminole, and Orange have a combined population of approximately 1,865,000 (U.S. Census Bureau 2010). By 2030, the combined population of these three counties is projected to be nearly 2,634,000 (BEBR, University of Florida 2016). For Lake County, 18% of residents identify as black,

Hispanic or Latino, or another minority group, while Seminole and Orange County have minority communities that represent 22% and 37% of the total population, respectively (U.S. Census Bureau 2010). Of the three counties, Orange County has the highest working age population (16 to 65) percentage at 71%, followed by Seminole County at 70%, and Lake County at 59%. (U.S. Census Bureau 2010). All three counties are below Florida's average per capita income (\$42,737) with Seminole County having the highest of the three at \$41,806, then Orange County at \$38,007, and Lake County at \$35,786 (U.S. Bureau of Economic Analysis 2014).

As designated by Visit Florida, the Wekiva River Basin State Parks are located in the Central Vacation Region. The Central region, which includes Lake, Seminole, and Orange County, is the top domestic tourist destination in Florida. The Central region accounts for 34.7% of domestic visitors traveling to Florida, with the Southeast region coming in second at 17.3% (Visit Florida! 2013). These visitors stimulate the regional economy by spending about \$170 per day and a majority stay in the region for over 4 nights (Visit Florida! 2013). Along with tourists from around the United States, the Central region also attracts the largest number of Florida residents traveling for leisure purposes.

There are several outdoor recreation opportunities surrounding the Wekiva River Basin State Parks. The Wekiva-Ocala Greenway connects a series of conservation lands managed by local, state, and federal agencies. From south to north, the greenway comprises Wekiwa Springs State Park, Rock Springs Run State Reserve, Seminole State Forest, Lower Wekiva River Preserve State Park, Hontoon Island State Park, Blue Spring State Park, and extends north through the Ocala National Forest with the Cross Florida Greenway on the northern boundaries of the national forest. Recreation opportunities on these conservation lands include camping, picnicking, wildlife viewing, hiking, biking, and water related activities such as canoeing, kayaking, and fishing. In the state and national forest, hunting is allowed and under the regulatory authority of the Florida Fish and Wildlife Conservation Commission.

#### Existing Use of Adjacent Lands

The Wekiva River Basin State Parks stretch along the St. Johns and Wekiva rivers in one of the fastest growing regions of the state, with portions of the parks extending into northern Orange County, western Seminole County, and southeastern Lake County.

#### Wekiwa Springs State Park

The southernmost of the three parks, Wekiwa Springs State Park (WSSP) is less than 15 miles from downtown Orlando. The western portion of WSSP is located within Orange County, while the eastern part of the park that borders the Wekiva River is within Seminole County. Given the proximity to Orlando, the existing land uses surrounding the western and southern borders of WSSP can be described as medium-to-high density suburban residential. The City of Apopka, which is west of the park, is one of the many suburbs of Orlando. In addition to residential housing near the boundaries of WSSP, there are agricultural land uses in operation that include citrus production. Kelly Park, a 237-acre parcel of conservation land managed by Orange County, is adjacent to WSSP and contains the headspring for Rock Springs Run. Rock Springs Run State Reserve bounds the eastern border of WSSP and separates the western portion of WSSP from the smaller part of the park that is contained within Seminole County. This smaller parcel is surrounded by the Markham Woods neighborhood, which is another suburban neighborhood with residential and institutional land uses.

#### Rock Springs Run State Reserve

In the heart of the Wekiva River Basin State Parks, Rock Springs Run State Reserve (RSRSR) is almost entirely surrounded by conservation lands. The western border of RSRSR is shared with WSSP, and Rock Springs Run creates a natural boundary between the two parks. In the northern portion located within Lake County, the Seminole State Forest and Wekiva River Mitigation Bank are adjacent to the reserve. The Wekiva River Mitigation Bank is a privately owned conservation easement where the owners offer environmental and engineering consulting services that include hydrological/ecological restoration and resource management (Mitigation Resources, LLC 2016). On the eastern side of the reserve, the Wekiva River, as well as the Orange and Seminole County boundary, separates RSRSR and the Wekiva River Buffer Conservation Area; the St. Johns River Water Management District (SJRWMD) owns this conservation area. South of the Wekiva River Buffer Conservation Area and on the southeastern boundary of RSRSR, the Florida Audubon Society owns the 650-acre Sabal Point Sanctuary. Combined with the SJRWMD conservation area, this sanctuary provides resource-based recreational opportunities and protects the confluence of the Wekiva and Little Wekiva rivers. In the portions of RSRSR where the reserve is adjacent to developed areas, these land uses are similar to WSSP in that they are low-to-medium density residential and agricultural uses.

#### Lower Wekiva River Preserve State Park

In reference to WSSP and RSRSR, the Lower Wekiva River Preserve State Park (LWRPSP) is the northernmost with the southern portion of LWRPSP straddling Lake and Seminole County. The southern piece of LWRPSP that is within Seminole County is adjacent to State Road 46. South of SR 46, Seminole County manages Wilson's Landing, a local park with access to the Wekiva River in addition to other resource-based recreational opportunities. Along with public institutional and residential uses near LWRPSP in northwest Seminole County, the County manages a 1,645-acre Black Bear Wilderness Area adjacent to LWRPSP. The St. Johns River, which also serves as the boundary between Lake and Volusia County, runs along the eastern border of LWRPSP. The land to the east of the St. Johns River is relatively undeveloped and acts as a buffer between the park and medium-to-high density residential housing in the City of

Debary. In the northern portion of LWRPSP, the park is bordered by Blue Springs State Park, Hontoon Island State Park, and the Hollywood Pines Tract owned by the Nature Conservancy. Land uses adjacent to the western boundary of LWRPSP consist of low-density residential and agriculture. These land uses fall in between the Seminole State Forest to the west and the Ocala National Forest to the north.

#### Planned Use of Adjacent Lands

Lake County, in which some portion of each park is located, has the Wekiva River Protection Area incorporated into its future land use plan. As such, a majority of future development around WSSP, RSRSR, and LWRPSP will be low density. Future land use designations adjacent to the parks include rural and agriculture. The rural designation allows for 1 dwelling unit per 5 acres, while there are two agriculture designations that allow for between 1 dwelling unit per 20 acres and 1 dwelling unit per 40 acres (Lake County 2030 Future Land Use 2014). The Mt. Plymouth/Sorrento neighborhood, adjacent a northern portion of WSSP, is the exception to low density development. Within the special community designation, this neighborhood has two distinctions that allow 2 dwelling units per acre and 5.5 dwelling units per acre.

WSSP and RSRSR are located almost entirely within Orange County, although RSRSR is mostly insulated from developable adjacent land given that WSSP borders a majority of the reserve's western boundary. Similar to Lake County, Orange County's future land use plan also has a Wekiva River protection zone. The future land use designations in the immediate vicinity of WSSP include rural 1 (1 DU/acre), rural 5 (1 DU/5 acres), low density residential (4 DU/acre), and low-medium density residential (10 DU/acre). Within Orange County and the joint planning area boundary, the City of Apopka is growing steadily near the western boundary of WSSP. However, around the park boundary the future land use designations retain the City's low-density and rural character.

In Seminole County, future land use designations for properties adjacent to WSSP are suburban estate (1 DU/acre) and planned development. For the planned development designation, high-density development is allowed with a minimum residential density of 20 dwelling units per acre and a maximum of 50 dwelling units per acre. However, a majority of the developments located within this designation has already been built out at high densities, and there are few opportunities for infill development that would increase the density of the residential neighborhoods. In addition to these high-density planned developments, there are pockets of low-density residential designations that allow 4 dwelling units per acre. LWRPSP, the southern tip of which falls within Seminole County, also has these future land use designations surrounding its perimeter.

Along with residential and other land uses that are planned for the adjacent properties surrounding the Wekiva River Basin State Parks, the Wekiva Parkway is a major transportation development being designed and constructed to complete the northwestern segment of the Central Florida Beltway that connects Orlando and neighboring jurisdictions. Beginning at U.S. 441, the Wekiva Parkway will travel north through Apopka before turning east slightly north of Kelly Park. Once the parkway crosses into Lake County, it continues through the Neighborhood Lake portion of WSSP to connect with State Road 46. This segment of the parkway is already completed. Future construction of the Wekiva Parkway will occur along State Road 46 adjacent to the Seminole State Forest, RSRSR, and LWRPSP until it ultimately intersects with Interstate 4.

#### Florida Greenways and Trails System

The Florida Greenways and Trails System (FGTS) is made up of existing, planned and conceptual non-motorized trails and ecological greenways that form a connected, integrated statewide network. The FGTS serves as a green infrastructure plan for Florida, tying together the greenways and trails plans and planning activities of communities, agencies and non-profit organizations throughout Florida. Trails include paddling, hiking, biking, multi-use and equestrian trails. The Office of Greenways and Trails maintains a priority trails map and gap analysis for the FGTS to focus attention and resources on closing key gaps in the system.

In some cases, existing or planned priority trails run through or are adjacent to state parks, or they may be in close proximity and can be connected by a spur trail. State parks can often serve as trailheads, points-of-interest, and offer amenities such as camping, showers and laundry, providing valuable services for trail users while increasing state park visitation.

There are several trails, both existing and planned, that crisscross through and along the borders of the Wekiva River Basin State Parks. The Florida National Scenic Trail (FNST) is one of eleven nationally designated scenic trails and is approximately 1,300 miles long, stretching from the Big Cypress National Preserve in the Everglades to the Gulf Islands National Seashore south of Pensacola. The FNST traverses through the Ocala National Forest, Seminole State Forest, and the southern tip of Lower Wekiva River Preserve State Park.

Another notable trail that has been designated as a priority land trail by the FGTS is the Seminole Wekiva trail in Seminole County. This paved trail is nearly 14 miles long, and its northern segment is a part of the FNST. Seminole County has proposed to extend the trail north along Longwood Markham Road. The proposed trail would extend from Markham Road, adjacent to Wekiva Springs State Park, north to State Road 46, which forms the southern boundary of LWRPSP. South of Markham Road, the Seminole Wekiva trail branches off to form the Cross Seminole Trail and continues along the FNST. Currently, the Seminole Wekiva Trail ends at a southern trailhead west of Altamonte Springs, but a planned trail extension to the south would continue the trail to the Seminole-Orange County border.

In Orange County, the existing Pine Hill Trail would connect with the proposed southern extension of the Seminole Wekiva Trail. According to the Orange County Trails Master Plan, planned additions to the Pine Hill Trail would allow for the trail to continue south and intersect with the Clarcona/Ocoee Connector Trail. Travelling west, this connector trail would then run into the 22-mile multi-use West Orange Trail. Extending from south of Lake Apopka near Winter Garden to Apopka, the West Orange Trails offers numerous recreational opportunities that include hiking, biking, picnicking, and equestrian activities. Orange County has proposed to extend the West Orange Trail north along County Road 435 to Kelly Park, continuing along the western boundary of Wekiva Springs State Park and the Wekiva Parkway on its way to the Lake-Orange County border. The proposed addition to the West Orange Trail would also extend east along the southern boundary of WSSP with a potential trailhead at the entrance to the state park.

According to the Lake County Trail Master Plan, Lake County has proposed developing the Lake Wekiva Trail. The Lake Wekiva Trail will be a 16.5-mile multi use trail that runs along State Road 46 and will eventually connect with the Seminole Wekiva Trail. From the south, the planned West Orange Connector will link the West Orange Trail in Orange County with the Lake Wekiva Trail. The West Orange Connector will run along the segment of the Wekiva Parkway that travels through WSSP's recently acquired Neighborhood Lakes parcel. Portions of the Lake Wekiva Trail will use sections of State Road 46 that will be abandoned as a result of the construction of the Wekiva Parkway. Through multi-jurisdictional coordination, planned trails in Seminole, Orange, and Lake County will eventually encircle the Wekiva River Basin State Parks, creating region wide recreational opportunities with the state parks as a focal point and hub of activities.

#### **Property Analysis**

Effective planning requires a thorough understanding of the unit's natural and cultural resources. This section describes the resource characteristics and existing uses of the property. The unit's recreation resource elements are examined to identify the opportunities and constraints they present for recreational development. Past and present uses are assessed for their effects on the property, compatibility with the site, and relation to the unit's classification.

#### **Recreational Resource Elements**

This section assesses the park's recreational resource elements, those physical qualities that, either singly or in certain combinations, can support various resource-based recreation activities. Breaking down the property into such elements provides a means for measuring the property's capability to support potential recreational activities. This process also analyzes the existing spatial factors that either favor or limit the provision of each activity.

#### Land Area

#### Wekiwa Springs State Park

A majority of the developed recreational use areas at WSSP are located around the park's southern boundary. This area is conducive to recreational uses related to the springhead at Wekiwa Springs and the developed camping areas nearby. Trails traverse through sandhill in the western portion of the park before looping back around toward the developed areas and winding through the hydric hammock and mesic flatwoods that make up large swaths of land on the western banks of Rock Springs Run in the eastern section of WSSP. Trails and other primitive recreational opportunities exist at the Markham Woods, Neighborhood Lakes, and Pine Plantation tracts.

#### Rock Springs Run State Reserve

The areas adjacent to Rock Springs Run and the Wekiva River are mostly comprised of hydric hammock that does not easily lend itself to recreational activities. However, the flatwoods in the main use areas and the West BMK tract are ideal for equestrian-related activities. Hiking, biking, and primitive camping are also suitable recreational uses in these areas of RSRSR.

#### Lower Wekiva River Preserve State Park

For LWRPSP, the largest natural communities are floodplain swamp and hydric hammock. These natural communities are not particularly well suited for recreational activities, and most land-based recreation opportunities are concentrated along the western and southwestern boundaries of the park. The mesic flatwoods and sandhill natural communities near Katie's Landing in the southern portion of the park represent areas for recreational opportunities such as hiking, biking, and primitive camping.

#### Water Area

#### Wekiwa Springs State Park

The main attraction at WSSP is Wekiwa Springs, a second magnitude spring that maintains a constant temperature of 72 degrees year round. This springhead is ideal for swimming and provides a refreshing experience on hot summer days. The area around Wekiwa Springs is developed with facilities to encourage daylong activities that are meant to complement the swimming area. Other water bodies including Sand Lake and Rock Springs Run encourage water-based recreational uses like fishing and paddling.

#### Rock Springs Run State Reserve

Although Rock Springs Run and the Wekiva River flow along the boundaries of RSRSR, there are few water-based recreational opportunities at the reserve.

The wetland-type natural communities that create a buffer between the water bodies and the rest of the park limit accessibility to areas around the water.

#### Lower Wekiva River Preserve State Park

The recreational opportunities at LWRPSP are largely directed toward waterbased activities. Katie's Landing facilitates access to the Wekiva River and associated paddling trails. Branching off from the Wekiva River, the navigable Blackwater Creek represents an opportunity to expand paddling activities at the park.

#### **Natural Scenery**

#### Wekiwa Springs State Park

The park entrance opens up to the sandhill natural communities and directs visitors to the viewshed around the springhead at Wekiwa Springs. From the campgrounds nearby, visitors can access several different trails that meander through WSSP's diverse natural communities. Hiking, biking, and equestrian trails are offered at WSSP, with some trails being multi-use and others being single use. These trails often traverse through multiple natural communities, giving visitors an opportunity to interpret natural scenery ranging from the expansive views of the sandhill and canopied trails associated with the park's hammocks.

#### Rock Springs Run State Reserve

Similar to WSSP, RSRSP has large expanses of hydric hammock, mesic flatwoods, and mesic hardwoods natural communities. In addition to these, scrubby flatwoods are concentrated around RSRSR's main recreational use areas. Open pastures, flatwoods extending into the skill, and canopy trails create viewsheds that inspire equestrians, hikers, and bikers alike.

#### Lower Wekiva River Preserve State Park

For LWRPSP, the largest natural communities are floodplain swamp and hydric hammock. These natural communities are not particularly well suited for recreational activities, and most land-based recreation opportunities are concentrated along the western and southwestern boundaries of the park. Paddling the Wekiva River offers visitors the opportunity to interpret one of the region's most significant natural features.

#### Significant Habitat

#### Wekiwa Springs State Park

The star anise, an endangered plant species, relies on WSSP's hydric hammock natural community for habitat. This natural community supports the largest

population of star anise in the state. Another imperiled plant species, the giant orchid, can be found near the youth camp facilities at WSSP. In addition to these rare plant species, WSSP provides significant habitat for Florida burrowing owls, gopher tortoises, and Sherman's fox squirrel. WSSP's network of single and multi-use provide excellent opportunities for plant and wildlife viewing. The park is also a part of the Great Florida Birding and Wildlife Trail.

#### Rock Springs Run State Reserve

In the early 2000s, RSRSR had the largest population of Florida scrub-jays of the three Wekiva River Basin State Parks. Scrub and scrubby flatwood natural communities serve as a crucial habitat for the Florida scrub-jay, a federallydesignated threatened species, and of the three parks, RSRSR has the largest acreages of these two natural communities. Although the Florida scrub-jay has not been sighted in the reserve for some years, RSRSR's trails allow visitors to venture out in search of the elusive bird, as well as other wildlife.

#### Lower Wekiva River Preserve State Park

Given that the park shares an extensive border with the Seminole State Forest, the forest and LWRPSP provide large, contiguous habitat for the Florida black bear. The Wekiva-Ocala Greenway has been a multi-agency effort to improve the wildlife corridor from the Wekiva River Basin State Parks to the Ocala National Forest, with the Florida black bear being one of the biggest potential beneficiaries of such efforts. LWRPSP boasts five active bald eagle nesting sites, and along with its additional wildlife diversity, the park is ideal for wildlife enthusiasts.

#### **Natural Features**

#### Wekiwa Springs State Park

Within WSSP, several natural features represent recreational opportunities for park visitors. Wekiwa Springs is one of the main attractions for the park. Originating at the springhead in the Orange County managed Kelly Park, Rock Springs Run flows along the eastern boundary of WSSP. Accessed by hiking, biking, and equestrian trails, visitors can set up primitive campsites at locations along the run, as well as enjoy the waterway via a paddling trail that continues onto the Wekiva River.

#### Rock Springs Run State Reserve

Rock Springs Run flows along the western border of RSRSR, and the confluence of Rock Springs Run and the Wekiva River takes place at the southern tip of the reserve. Two primitive campsites in RSRSR can be accessed by canoe, kayak, or trail. Both in the southern portion of RSRSR, the Indian Mound campsite is located on the eastern side of Rock Springs Run, and the Buffalo Tram campsite is situated along the western shores of the Wekiva River. These campsites can be accessed by the hiking, biking, and equestrian trails in RSRSR or by the paddling trail that originates in WSSP.

#### Lower Wekiva River Preserve State Park

The Wekiva River and St. Johns River flow within LWRPSP, and the two rivers converge in the southern portion of the park. Along with the Blackwater Creek, these three waterways form the Wekiva River Aquatic Preserve. The goals of the aquatic preserve are to protect the system's ecological integrity, restore areas to their natural condition, and encourage sustainable recreational use (FDEP 2014). In addition to the aquatic preserve designation, the Wekiva River, as well as Rock Springs Run and Blackwater Creek, is classified as a National Wild and Scenic River. Park visitors can interpret this unique river by launching a canoe or kayak at Katie's Landing near the southern entrance to the park.

#### Archaeological and Historical Features

There are 58 archaeological site and 12 historic structures within the boundaries of the Wekiva River Basin State Parks. The park's history spans from the time Native Americans occupied the areas around the springheads, spring runs, and rivers during the full sequence of the Pre-Columbian cultural periods through to the historic periods in which settlers in the early 1800s began to set up farms and homesteads.

#### Wekiwa Springs State Park

Numerous shell middens are located along the banks of the Wekiva River, Rock Springs Run, and Wekiwa Springs. Many of these sites have limited interpretive value due to poor condition or inaccessibility. However, the Markham Woods property presents potential interpretive value. The Pinnie Ridge Cemetery and Oak Grove Missionary Church archaeological sites highlight a once vibrant African American community during the 1880s. Additionally, the gravestone of Anthony Frazier, an African American soldier who fought for the Union during the Civil War, was discovered during the construction of the Wekiva Parkway on the Neighborhood Lakes property. With further investigation and research, these historic sites represent an opportunity for the park to develop interpretive exhibits that explore the African American legacy on the surrounding area.

#### Rock Springs Run State Reserve

Similar to WSSP, shell middens and lithic waste scatter sites can be found along the banks of Rock Springs Run and the Wekiva River in the interior of the reserve. RSRSR also includes historic sites such as the Ethel House and Ethel Cemetery, the oldest known cemetery in Lake County. However, accessibility issues limit the interpretive opportunities of these sites.

#### Lower Wekiva River Preserve State Park

Archaeological and historic sites in LWRPSP consist of shell middens, shell mounds, and a former logging trail. Similar to RSRSR, however, these sites are often inaccessible due to high water and heavy vegetation and therefore do not represent interpretive opportunities.

#### Assessment of Use

All legal boundaries, significant natural features, structures, facilities, roads and trails existing in the unit are delineated on the base map (see Base Map). Specific uses made of the unit are briefly described in the following sections.

#### Past Uses

Native Americans, the Timucuans, used the streams and uplands of the Wekiva River Basin State Parks for hunting and gathering foods. The numerous middens and mounds along the Wekiva River and Rock Springs Run are the visible remains of the Timucuans' former presence. Historic uses of the property have included farming, ranching, small commercial milling operations, shipping, turpentine extraction, extensive logging of timber, hunting, and other recreational activities. Logging occurred on all units of the Wekiva River Basin State Parks, removing what were possibly the park's largest cypress, pine, and wetland hardwood trees. These activities left elevated tram beds and ditches that have altered the natural hydrology.

#### Wekiwa Springs State Park

From the 1880s to 1980s, tourism facilities located at Wekiwa Springs included a hotel, sanitarium, cabins, bathhouse, boat docks, and a rail toboggan ride. The Markham Woods property was the site of a turpentine settlement around the 1880s. The WSSP property was used as a private hunting club from 1934 until the state purchased the property in 1969.

#### Rock Springs Run State Reserve

Parts of the park were used for ranching (EK Ranch) and as a hunting club prior to purchase by the state. The town of Ethel is reported to have been located east of County Road 433 on what is now park property. An historic cemetery remains as evidence of the settlement.

#### Lower Wekiva River Preserve State Park

Other than the historic uses of logging and agriculture, a six-acre parcel along the Wekiva River operated as a fish camp. Katie's Landing was privately owned and operated from the late 1940s until the time of joint purchase by the state and Seminole County in 2001.

#### Future Land Use and Zoning

The DRP works with local governments to establish designations that provide both consistency between comprehensive plans and zoning codes and permit typical state park uses and facilities necessary for the provision of resourcebased recreation.

In Orange County, WSSP and RSRSR fall within two future land use designations: conservation and parks and recreation. According to the Orange County Comprehensive Plan, conservation refers to lands designated for conserving natural resources, while parks and recreation refers to undeveloped or developed lands for passive and active parks (2015). Additionally, Orange County has also established the Wekiva Protection Area which includes WSSP and RSRSR within its boundary. Regulations within this area are meant to protect natural resources and water quality by promoting Low Impact Development (LID).

For Seminole and Lake County, the future land use designations for WSSP, RSRSR, LWRPSP have different names that essentially achieve the same result. In Seminole County, WSSP and LWRPSP are classified as preservation/managed lands, while in Lake County, WSSP and RSRSR are designated as conservation lands. The conservation classification in Lake County allows permitted activities that shall be limited to resource-based passive recreation (Lake County Comprehensive Plan 2010). The Seminole County preservation/managed lands designation provides discretion to governmental agencies in that the County allows uses and activities in these areas that are consistent with the agency's management plan (Seminole County Comprehensive Plan 2011).

#### **Current Recreational Use and Visitor Programs**

#### Wekiwa Springs State Park

The numerous recreational uses at WSSP include swimming, picnicking, hiking, biking, horseback riding, camping, fishing, and interpretation. The springhead day use area is the most utilized portion of the park because of the swimming area at Wekiwa Springs. Picnicking facilities, play areas, and a paddling launch are around the swimming area, and 60 RV campsites are a short distance from the spring. Single and multi-use trails that accommodate hiking, biking, horseback riding, and paddling can be accessed from the RV campground. North of the campground, Sand Lake offers fishing opportunities, and primitive campsites are provided a short hike from there along Rock Springs Run.

Wekiwa Springs State Park recorded 404,651 visitors in FY 2014/2015. By DRP estimates, the FY 2014/2015 visitors contributed \$35,288,694 in direct economic impact, the equivalent of adding 565 jobs to the local economy (FDEP 2015).

#### Rock Springs Run State Reserve

Recreational uses at RSRSR are largely geared toward equestrian-related activities. The reserve has 17 miles of equestrian trails, in addition to primitive equestrian campsites that include a barn, stable, and horse trailer parking. Several miles of the trails at RSRSR are multi-use trails that encourage hiking and biking along with horseback riding. The Hammock House near the Wekiva River offers park visitors an upscale cabin rental opportunity.

On select weekends between September and January, RSRSR is co-managed with the Florida Fish and Wildlife Conservation Commission for limited hunting. During these times, hunting is allowed only in designated areas, and some trails are closed for visitor safety.

Rock Springs Run State Reserve recorded 9,402 visitors in FY 2014/2015. By DRP estimates, the FY 2014/2015 visitors contributed \$867,478 in direct economic impact, the equivalent of adding 14 jobs to the local economy (FDEP 2015).

#### Lower Wekiva River Preserve State Park

Similar to RSRSR, LWRPSP has several equestrian-related recreational uses that include trails for horseback riding and primitive equestrian campsites. Picnic pavilions are also offered at the day use area. Another popular recreational use at LWRPSP is paddling, and a launch is provided at Katie's Landing.

Lower Wekiva River Preserve State Park recorded 10,324 visitors in FY 2014/2015. By DRP estimates, the FY 2014/2015 visitors contributed \$872,690 in direct economic impact, the equivalent of adding 14 jobs to the local economy (FDEP 2015).

Lower Wekiva River Preserve State Park one of nine Florida State Parks that contains a certified segment of the Florida National Scenic Trail (FNST). Formerly the Florida Trail, the FNST was designated in the park in June 2005, as part of the three-party certification agreement between DRP, the U.S. Forest Service and the Florida Trail Association. As prescribed by the agreement, the DRP and the FTA coordinate all programs and activities related to the trail.

#### Other Uses

#### Wekiva Springs State Park

The Florida Park Service District 3 Headquarters is located at WSSP. Five office trailers, equipment storage buildings, and parking facilities are situated near the park entrance off Wekiva Springs Road at the southern park boundary.

Camp Thunderbird was formerly a facility developed and operated by the Florida Department of Children and Family Services for outdoor recreation for physically and mentally challenged persons. The camp is now managed by Quest Inc. for the same purposes and offers multi-day overnight group camping opportunities. The camp parcel, containing approximately 20 acres, is located near the southeast corner of WSSP.

#### **Protected Zones**

A protected zone is an area of high sensitivity or outstanding character from which most types of development are excluded as a protective measure. Generally, facilities requiring extensive land alteration or resulting in intensive resource use, such as parking lots, camping areas, shops or maintenance areas, are not permitted in protected zones. Facilities with minimal resource impacts, such as trails, interpretive signs and boardwalks are generally allowed. All decisions involving the use of protected zones are made on a case-by-case basis after careful site planning and analysis.

At Wekiva River Basin State Park all wetlands and floodplain as well as scrub, baygall, hydric hammock, depression marsh, dome swamp, floodplain swamp, floodplain marsh, flatwood/prairie lake, sandhill upland lake, marsh lake, blackwater stream, spring-run stream natural communities, and known imperiled species habitat have been designated as protected zones. The park's current protected zone is delineated on the Conceptual Land Use Plan.

#### **Existing Facilities**

#### Wekiva Springs State Park

The recreational facilities at WSSP are mostly concentrated around the southern entrance to the park. The park's main attraction, Wekiwa Springs, is a popular swimming area, and complementary facilities such as picnic pavilions, a bathhouse, and playground are congregated near the spring. A canoe and kayak launch is also located just north of the swimming area, with a nearby concessionaire offering canoe and kayak rentals. There are three camping areas in the southern portion of the park: a RV campground, developed group camp, and primitive group camp. The park's single and multi-use trails extend north toward Rock Springs Run, where visitors can meander through the western portion of the park, fish at Sand Lake, or set up camp at one of the primitive campsites along Rock Springs Run. Along with the District 3 Headquarters, support facilities for park administration and operations are situated off the park's main road near the entrance station.

#### **Recreation Facilities**

Day Use Area Swimming Area Canoe/Kayak Launch Picnic Pavilion (2) Restroom Playground Concession Bathhouse

<u>RV Campground</u> Campsite (60) Bathhouse (2)

<u>Trails</u> Hiking/Nature (14 miles) Biking (9 miles) Equestrian (8 miles) Paddling (16 miles)

#### Support Facilities

District Administration Area Office Trailer (7) Ranger Residence (6) Parking (30 spaces)

<u>Shop Area</u> Pole Barn Shop Building (4) Shed

#### **Rock Springs Run State Reserve**

Hiking, biking, and equestrian-related activities are the main recreational uses at RSRSR. Visitors enter the park from State Road 46, and from the entrance station, the network of single and multi-use trails can be accessed. The support facilities are found west of the entrance station, while the barn, stable, horse camp, and other equestrian facilities are to the east of the entrance station. Near the Wekiva River, a four-bedroom house that was a part of the original acquisition of RSRSR has been converted into a resort rental lodge known as the Hammock House. In addition, there are two primitive campsites on the property, although the sites can only be accessed by canoe or kayak. During the times of the year that RSRSR allows hunting, recreational use at certain areas and facilities is restricted.

Developed Group Camp Area Cabins (19) Bathhouse (2) Dining Hall Recreation Hall Ballfield Restroom Office

<u>Primitive Camping</u> Primitive Youth Campsite (3) Primitive Campsite (4)

#### **Recreation Facilities**

<u>Trails</u> Hiking/Nature (14 miles) Biking (15 miles) Equestrian (17 miles) Multi-Use (32 miles)

<u>Lodge Area</u> Hammock House Barn

#### **Support Facilities**

Entrance Area Residence Volunteer Campsite Restroom Trailer Parking (13 spaces) Primitive Equestrian Campsite (4)

Primitive Campground Campsite (2)

Equestrian Use Area

Horse Barn

Residence/Shop Area Residence Shop

#### Lower Wekiva River Preserve State Park

A majority of the eastern half of LWRPSP is inaccessible due to the floodplain swamp and floodplain marsh natural communities that comprise over 8,000 acres adjacent to the park's waterways. The park can be accessed from State Road 44, and most of the recreational opportunities are clustered around the main park road along the western boundary. This main use area includes a barn and stable for equestrian activities, primitive campsites, and picnic pavilions. In the southern portion of LWRPSP, a canoe and kayak launch is located at Katie's Landing. Access to Katie's Landing is from State Road 46. The support facilities for the park, as well as the office for the Wekiva River Aquatic Preserve, are also located in this area.

#### **Recreation Facilities**

Equestrian Use Area Stable (2) Barn Picnic Pavilion Bathhouse Primitive Equestrian Campsite

<u>Trails</u> Hiking/Nature (7 miles) Equestrian (15.5 miles) Paddling (6.5 miles)

Katie's Landing Canoe/Kayak Launch

#### **Support Facilities**

Residence Area Residence Volunteer RV Campsite Vehicle Storage Office



Legend		
	Park Boundary	
	County Road	
	Park Road Paved	
	Park Road Stabilized	
	Park Road Unstabilized	
	Biking/Equestrian Trail	
	Equestrian Trail	
	Hiking Trail	
	Hiking/Biking Trail	
	Hiking/Biking/Equestrian Trail	
	Paddling Trail	
	Structures	
	Parking Lot	
•	Camping Sites	
	Springs	

.

MARKHAM RD

Sec.

☉ Harris Corp, Earthstar Geographics LLC Earthstar Geographics SIO ☉ 2017 Microsoft

### BASE MAP


# ROCK SPRINGS RUN STATE RESERVE

0.25 0.5 . . . Florida Department of Environmental Protectio Division of Recreation and Parks Date of aerial; 2011



# **Conceptual Land Use Plan**

The following narrative represents the current conceptual land use proposal for this park. The conceptual land use plan is the long-term, optimal development plan for the park, based on current conditions and knowledge of the park's resources, landscape and social setting (see Conceptual Land Use Plan). The conceptual land use plan is modified or amended, as new information becomes available regarding the park's natural and cultural resources or trends in recreational uses, in order to adapt to changing conditions. Additionally, the acquisition of new parkland may provide opportunities for alternative or expanded land uses. The DRP develops a detailed development plan for the park and a site plan for specific facilities based on this conceptual land use plan, as funding becomes available.

During the development of the conceptual land use plan, the DRP assessed the potential impact of proposed uses or development on the park resources and applied that analysis to determine the future physical plan of the park as well as the scale and character of proposed development. Potential resource impacts are also identified and assessed as part of the site planning process once funding is available for facility development. At that stage, design elements (such as existing topography and vegetation, sewage disposal and stormwater management) and design constraints (such as imperiled species or cultural site locations) are investigated in greater detail. Municipal sewer connections, advanced wastewater treatment or best available technology systems are applied for on-site sewage disposal. Creation of impervious surfaces is minimized to the greatest extent feasible in order to limit the need for stormwater management systems, and all facilities are designed and constructed using best management practices to limit and avoid resource impacts. Federal, state and local permit and regulatory requirements are addressed during facility development. This includes the design of all new park facilities consistent with the universal access requirements of the Americans with Disabilities Act (ADA). After new facilities are constructed, park staff monitors conditions to ensure that impacts remain within acceptable levels.

# Potential Uses

# Public Access and Recreational Opportunities

#### Goal: Provide public access and recreational opportunities in the park.

The existing recreational activities and programs of this state park are appropriate to the natural and cultural resources contained in the park and should be continued. New and improved activities and programs are also recommended and discussed below.

# *Objective A: Maintain the parks current recreational carrying capacity of 5,108 users per day.*

# Wekiwa Springs State Park

The recreational carrying capacity of WSSP is 3,220 users per day, and the major recreational activities to be maintained include swimming and camping. The main day use area consists of facilities that complement the recreational use of the springhead and swimming area. A canoe and kayak launch, picnic pavilions, and play space located around the swimming area encourage visitors to spend an extended period of time at the park. Camping is also a popular recreational use for park visitors. WSSP offers several camping options that include a RV campground, primitive youth camp, developed group camping area, and primitive campsites along Rock Springs Run. In addition to swimming and camping activities, the park will continue to maintain its network of trails that provide ample hiking, biking, equestrian, and paddling opportunities.

#### Rock Springs Run State Reserve

The recreational carrying capacity of RSRSR is 1,220 users per day. In terms of recreational uses, RSRSR has historically been utilized for equestrian-related activities, and equestrian-based recreation will continue to be a focal point for the reserve. Trails, in general, are the main attraction for visitors. In total, RSRSR has 46 miles of trail, and the reserve will continue to maintain these hiking, biking, and equestrian trails. Primitive camping options and a resort rental lodge provide park visitors with an opportunity to explore RSRSR's trails during the day and then set up camp to stay overnight.

#### Lower Wekiva River Preserve State Park

The recreational carrying capacity of LWRPSP is 668 users per day. A majority of the park is largely inaccessible due to the floodplain natural communities along the western banks of the Wekiva and St. Johns Rivers. Given this inaccessibility, the recreational uses at LWRPSP are concentrated around the main park entrance off Swift Road and Katie's Landing in the southern reaches of the park. Equestrian-related and camping activities occur north of the Wekiva River along the western boundary of LWRPSP, while water-related and picnicking activities tend to be the most popular recreational uses of the area around Katie's Landing.

# *Objective B: Expand the park's recreational carrying capacity by 734 users per day.*

# Wekiwa Springs State Park

The recreational carrying capacity of WSSP is proposed to be expanded by 306 users per day. As identified by SCORP, there is a demand for biking, hiking, equestrian, and primitive camping recreational activities in the Central region (FDEP 2013). The Markham Woods, Neighborhood Lakes, and Pine Plantation tracts represent opportunity areas at which the expansion of these types of recreational uses are possible. These tracts, while disconnected from the main

WSSP property, are crucial to connecting the park's trail network with trails planned for Lake, Seminole, and Orange County. It will be important for DRP to coordinate with these respective counties to ensure a cohesive effort to develop a well-integrated regional trail system. Along with additional single and multiuse trails, the day use area will be redesigned in order to improve traffic circulation, enhance the access to and viewshed of the swimming area, and better integrate the recreational activities around the springhead at Wekiwa Springs.

#### Rock Springs Run State Reserve

The recreational carrying capacity of RSRSR is proposed to be expanded by 240 users per day. The portion of the reserve west of the mitigation bank parcel has the potential to be enhanced for increased recreational uses. These uses near the West BMK tract include hiking, biking, and multi-use trails, as well as primitive campsites. Recreational activities in this portion of RSRSR will be conducive to creating connections with the Neighborhood Lakes and Pine Plantation use areas at WSSP, in addition to the Orange County-managed Kelly Park that contains the headspring of Rock Springs Run.

## Lower Wekiva River Preserve State Park

The recreational carrying capacity of LWRPSP is proposed to be expanded by 188 users per day. Until the northern Jung and Skinner tract are contiguously linked with the rest of the park, recreational use areas will not be proposed to in these northern sections. As such, the proposed recreational uses will be congregated around Katie's Landing. These activities will include expanded primitive camping opportunities and improved picnicking facilities. In addition, the entrance area near Katie's Landing will be redesigned to increase access to the canoe and kayak launch. An additional paddling trail will also be developed at the Blackwater Creek.

# *Objective C: Continue to provide the current repertoire of 3 interpretive, educational and recreational programs on a regular basis.*

# Wekiwa Springs State Park

The Nature Center at WSSP is an interpretive exhibit that educates visitors about the wildlife and historical artifacts at the Wekiva River Basin State Parks. The all-volunteer staff at the Nature Center handle wildlife such as snakes, turtles, and an alligator. Public awareness campaigns like Bear Awareness are showcased for a region in Florida that frequently has human-bear interactions.

#### Rock Springs Run State Reserve

A concessionaire at RSRSR offers guided horseback trail rides. These tours highlight the miles of trails that are available for equestrian enthusiasts. The

horse stable and primitive campsites are complementary facilities that allow park visitors to interpret the natural communities and wildlife at the reserve.

# Lower Wekiva River Preserve State Park

The Discover Wekiva festival is held at Katie's Landing in the southern portion of LWRPSP. The festival is a daylong event that includes family-friendly activities such as canoe races and interpretive canoe paddling adventures that allow park visitors to interpret the Wekiva River. In addition to these waterbased activities, live animals, guest speakers, music, and food trucks are also a part of the day's festivities.

# *Objective D: Develop 1 new interpretive, educational and recreational program.*

At the Markham Woods tract of WSSP, the Pinnie Ridge Cemetery and Oak Grove Missionary Church were historical sites that once stood on this property and pointed to the existence of a vibrant African-American community from the 1880s. With further investigation, the Markham Woods property could potentially offer an interpretive exhibit that displays the African-American historical legacy in the region.

# Proposed Facilities

# **Capital Facilities and Infrastructure**

# Goal: Develop and maintain the capital facilities and infrastructure necessary to implement the recommendations of the management plan.

The development concept for the Wekiva River Basin State Parks places emphasis on improving integration between the parks, as well as with the surrounding communities. All three parks currently have several miles of single and multi-use trails that attract visitors from around the Orlando metropolitan area and beyond. In order to leverage these existing assets, it is necessary to develop connections that allow visitors to embark on multi-day trips to explore all of the parks. The three surrounding counties (Lake, Seminole, and Orange) also have ambitious trail master plans that seek to connect with park facilities and create a regional trail network. The DRP should coordinate its efforts with those of the three counties to ensure that park facilities are highlighted as recreational opportunities for users of the regional trail network. Additional park developments will focus on improving access to existing park use areas and increasing recreational use at underutilized areas of the parks.

The existing facilities of this state park are appropriate to the natural and cultural resources contained in the park and should be maintained. New construction, as discussed further below, is recommended to improve the quality and safety of the recreational opportunities, to improve the protection of park resources, and to streamline the efficiency of park operations. The

following is a summary of improved and new facilities needed to implement the conceptual land use plan for the Wekiva River Basin State Parks:

# Objective A: Maintain all public and support facilities in the park.

All capital facilities, trails and roads within the park will be kept in proper condition through the daily or regular work of park staff and/or contracted help.

# Objective B: Improve/repair 8 existing facilities and 1.5 miles of road.

Major repair projects for park facilities may be accomplished within the ten-year term of this management plan, if funding is made available. These include the modification of existing park facilities to bring them into compliance with the Americans with Disabilities Act (a top priority for all facilities maintained by DRP). The following discussion of other recommended improvements and repairs are organized by use area within the park.

## Wekiwa Springs State Park

*Entrance Area:* On peak visitation days during the spring and summer months, the entrance area becomes significantly congested. The current park entrance road and turn lane on North Wekiwa Springs Road have a limited amount of space for cars stacking at the entrance. Following the consideration of several different traffic alleviation options, the DRP should work with Orange and Seminole County to extend the right turn lane on North Wekiwa Springs Road. Currently, the turn lane is approximately 200 feet. This plan suggests that the turn lane should be extended by nearly 2,250 feet. Extending the turn lane would allow for an estimated 135 additional cars to stack in the entrance road, while also allowing for cars to leave the line, if desired.

*Springhead Area:* The day use area around the springhead at WSSP is in need of a redesign that will better integrate the area's recreational uses. This use area is particularly popular for swimming, paddling, and picnicking, but these uses are disconnected from each other. In addition, the viewshed of the springhead is impaired and should be restored in order to fully interpret the second magnitude spring. The redesign of the day use are should also prioritize alleviating traffic congestion and increasing the amount of parking. Determining an alternative location for the concession and education center will be an additional emphasis.

*Group Camp/Training Area:* The group camp and training area to the west of the RV camping area should be improved. This area can be reserved for large group camp programs, but it is also used for Ranger Academy training and Florida Park Service conferences. As such, a new training/conference facility should be constructed in the existing footprint of the adjacent parking area. In addition, the parking situation should be improved to increase the parking capacity of this area. Areas of sandhill restoration should be avoided for this redevelopment.

*Sand Lake Area:* The Sand Lake use area is relatively underutilized, and improvements should be made to attract more visitors to this area of the park. First, an open-air multi-use pavilion that supports a wide range of activities including interpretive programs, meetings, and other special events should be developed. Improvements to the existing park drive and parking area will be necessary to accommodate a bus or vehicle drop off and offer parking for approximately 30 cars and 4 buses or RVs. The Sand Lake Trail should be an accessible trail around Sand Lake that includes interpretive panels and overlooks. Trailhead improvements will include additional interpretive panels and orientation signage adjacent to the current parking area to highlight existing hiking, biking and equestrian trails and opportunities for nature study. Additional site enhancements such as native landscaping, benches, trash receptacles, and bike racks should be considered for inclusion.

#### Rock Springs Run State Reserve

*Entrance Area:* The main entrance to RSRSR will be relocated to Wekiva River Road, about two miles east of its current location at CR 433. Traveling south on Wekiva River Road, the new park entrance will be half a mile from the Wekiva Parkway currently under development. On RSRSR property, an existing unstabilized park road will be converted into a paved park entrance road. This entrance road will be a mile long and will include a park entrance sign, gate, fencing, and landscaping. The entrance station currently located on CR 433 will also need to be relocated at some place along the new park entrance road. Once the new park entrance road is completed, the drive into the park will foster a sense of arrival at the road's endpoint, the equestrian day use area.

*Equestrian Day Use Area:* Given the relocation of the park entrance road, the development of a trail hub at the existing equestrian day use area should be explored. This area has facilities such as a barn and stable, restroom, and equestrian campsite, making this use area a natural location for a centralized trail hub that connects with the reserve's existing trails. Additionally, the existing parking situation at the equestrian day use area is on an ad-hoc basis, and there is a need for formalized parking located near these equestrian-related facilities.

#### Lower Wekiva River Preserve State Park

*Equestrian Day Use Area:* The existing day use area at LWRPSP is in need of a large picnic pavilion. The pavilion will include between 8 to 12 picnic tables for day use visitors and large parties. Improved wayfinding that allows visitors to navigate the park's trails is also needed.

*Katie's Landing:* The Katie's Landing use area will be enhanced in order to improve access to the paddling launch and increase visitor use through new recreational facilities. There are low density residential units along the Wekiva River on the north and south side of the Katie's Landing, and Wekiva Park Drive separates Katie's Landing from the southern portion of LWRPSP. As such, it is necessary to improve access to Katie's Landing from the portion of LWRPSP that is on the east side of Wekiva Park Drive. A pedestrian crossing will be implemented from Katie's Landing across Wekiva Park Drive to an existing trailhead as a means to improve access to the paddling launch. The DRP will coordinate with Seminole County to establish such a crossing on the county road. The pedestrian crossing will also facilitate access to the primitive campsites that will be established on the east side of Wekiva Park Drive.

# **Objective C: Construct 5 new facilities and 18.5 miles of trail.**

# Wekiwa Springs State Park

*Markham Woods:* A trailhead for the Seminole Wekiva Trail is currently located in the northern portion of the Markham Woods use area. Given the demand for off-road biking opportunities, up to five miles of biking trails are proposed for Markham woods. These trails can be multi-use to include hiking and will branch off from the trailhead in the north of the property. Wayfinding signage and trail markers will need to accompany this development. In addition to the multi-use trail, a mile-long hiking trail is proposed for the area around the environmental education center in the eastern portion of the Markham Woods tract.

*Neighborhood Lakes:* In order to offset the impacts from the construction of the Wekiva Parkway through the Neighborhood Lakes property, a trail corridor easement has been established that will connect the proposed extension of the West Orange trail and the Lake Wekiva trail. This trail corridor runs parallel to the Wekiva Parkway starting in Orange County and ending in Lake County. Two trailheads will connect the corridor, with one in Orange County on CR 435 and another at SR 46 in Lake County. The DRP will coordinate with these two counties on the implementation of the trailheads in order to be consistent with the trail master plans in each county. In Orange County, the West Orange trail will extend north to connect with the trailhead at Neighborhood Lakes, and the Lake County trailhead will be a stop along the Lake Wekiva Trail. While the trail corridor developments will be on the western side of the Wekiva Parkway, another proposal is to develop up to two miles of equestrian and hiking trails on the eastern side of the Parkway. These trails will extend into RSRSR and connect with the proposed trail developments in the reserve.

*Pine Plantation:* Approximately one and a half miles of multi-use trails are proposed for the eastern portion of the Pine Plantations tract. The trail segments will accommodate hiking and equestrian uses. A trailhead is proposed for the northern portion of Pine Plantation near Haas Road. The trailhead will include regular parking and parking for horse trailers. The DRP will coordinate with Orange County to determine the feasibility of connecting the Pine Plantation's trailhead with the proposed West Orange trail northern extension.

#### Rock Springs Run State Reserve

*West BMK:* East of the private mitigation bank on the West BMK portion of RSRSR, there are recreational opportunities that include primitive camping and multi-use

trails. With the development of multi-use trails in the Neighborhood Lakes portion of WSSP, it is feasible that recreational use will increase in the western segments of the Wekiva River Basin State Parks. To facilitate this increase in recreational use, up to five miles of multi-use trails (equestrian, biking, and hiking) are proposed for the West BMK tract of RSRSR. Unstabilized park roads in this area can be converted into multi-use trails, and these trails should connect with the proposed trails in Neighborhood Lakes. The West Orange trail proposed extension will run along the Kittredge tract, and the DRP will coordinate with Orange County to enable trail connectivity. In addition to trails, two primitive campsites that can both accommodate up to ten people each is proposed for the West BMK tract.

## Lower Wekiva River Preserve State Park

*Trails:* Currently, the Katie's Landing canoe and kayak launch is one of the starting points for the Wekiva River paddling trail. Branching off to the west of the Wekiva River, the Blackwater Creek has been discovered to be navigable by canoe or kayak, and it is proposed that four miles of paddling trail be developed on this water body. Paddlers could reach the new paddling trail from the existing Wekiva River paddling trail, or the option of an additional launch from the paved park road to the north of the Blackwater Creek could be explored.

## Facilities Development

Preliminary cost estimates for these recommended facilities and improvements are provided in the Ten-Year Implementation Schedule and Cost Estimates (Table 7) located in the Implementation Component of this plan. These cost estimates are based on the most cost-effective construction standards available at this time. The preliminary estimates are provided to assist DRP in budgeting future park improvements, and may be revised as more information is collected through the planning and design processes. New facilities and improvements to existing facilities recommended by the plan include:

#### Wekiwa Springs State Park

<u>Entrance Area</u> Extend Park Entrance Turn Lane	<u>Springhead Area</u> Redesign Use Area Increase Parking
<u>Group Camp/Training Area</u>	<u>Markham Woods</u>
New Training Facility	Biking Trail (up to 5 miles)
Improved Parking Area	Hiking Trail (1 mile)
<u>Neighborhood Lakes</u>	<u>Pine Plantation</u>
Shared-Use Trail (up to 2 miles)	Shared-Use Trail (up to 1.5 miles)
Trailhead (2)	Trailhead





1,000

rida Department of Environmental Prote Division of Recreation and Parks Date of aerial; 2011

500

2,000 Feet

WEKIWA SPRINGS STATE PARK

CONCEPTUAL LAND USE MAP - PAGE 2



ROCK SPRINGS RUN STATE RESERVE

N 0.25 0.5 1 Miles 1 1 ida Department of Environmental Protec Division of Recreation and Parks Date of aerial; 2011

Formalized Pai

CONCEPTUAL LAND USE PLAN



Katie's Landing Pedestrian Crossing Extend Trails East of Launch Area

O Harris Corp, Earthstar Geographics LLC

LOWER WEKIVA RIVER PRESERVE STATE PARK

bing

Florida Department of Environmental Protection Division of Recreation and Parks Date of aerial; 2011

N

1 Miles

0 0.25 0.5

CONCEPTUAL LAND USE PLAN

#### **Rock Springs Run State Reserve**

Entrance Area New Park Entrance Road Equestrian Day Use Area Trail Hub Formalized Parking Area

<u>West BMK</u> Shared-Use Trail (up to 5 miles) Primitive Campsite (2)

#### Lower Wekiva River Preserve State Park

<u>Katie's Landing</u> Pedestrian Crossing Extend Trails East of Launch Area Equestrian Day Use Area Large Picnic Pavilion

<u>Trails</u> Paddling Trail (up to 4 miles)

#### **Recreational Carrying Capacity**

Carrying capacity is an estimate of the number of users a recreation resource or facility can accommodate and still provide a high quality recreational experience and preserve the natural values of the site. The carrying capacity of a unit is determined by identifying the land and water requirements for each recreation activity at the unit, and then applying these requirements to the unit's land and water base. Next, guidelines are applied which estimate the physical capacity of the unit's natural communities to withstand recreational uses without significant degradation. This analysis identifies a range within which the carrying capacity most appropriate to the specific activity, the activity site and the unit's classification is selected (see Table 6).

The recreational carrying capacity for this park is a preliminary estimate of the number of users the unit could accommodate after the current conceptual development program has been implemented. When developed, the proposed new facilities would approximately increase the unit's carrying capacity as shown in Table 6.

Table 6 Recreational Carrying Capacity								
	Exis Capa	Existing Capacity*		Proposed Additional Capacity		Future Capacity		
Activity/Facility	One Time	Daily	One Time	Daily	One Time	Daily		
<u>Wekiwa Springs State Park</u>								
Trails								
Hiking	140	280	10	20	150	300		
Biking	90	360	50	200	140	560		
Equestrian	64	128	28	56	92	184		
Paddling	256	512			256	512		
Picnicking	100	200			100	200		
Swimming	500	1000			500	1000		
Camping								
Primitive	40	40	30	30	70	70		
RV Campground	480	480			480	480		
Primitive Youth Camp	60	60			60	60		
Developed Group Camp	160	160			160	160		
TOTAL	1,890	3,220	118	306	2,008	3,526		
Rock Springs Run State Reserve								
Trails								
Hiking	140	280			140	280		
Biking/Multi-Use	150	600	50	200	200	800		
Equestrian	136	272			136	272		
Camping								
Primitive	20	20			20	20		
Primitive Group Camp			40	40	40	40		
Primitive Equestrian	40	40			40	40		
Lodge	8	8			8	8		
TOTAL	494	1,220	90	240	584	1,460		
Lower Wekiva River Preserve State Park								
Trails								
Hiking	70	140			70	140		
Equestrian/Multi-Use	124	248			124	248		
Paddling	104	208	64	128	168	336		
Picnicking	16	32			16	32		
Camping								
Primitive			60	60	60	60		
Primitive Equestrian	40	40			40	40		
TOTAL	354	668	124	188	478	856		

\*Existing capacity has been revised from approved plan to better follow DRP carrying capacity guidelines.

# **Optimum Boundary**

The optimum boundary map reflects lands considered desirable for direct management by the DRP as part of the state park. These parcels may include public or privately owned land that would improve the continuity of existing parklands, provide the most efficient boundary configuration, improve access to the park, provide additional natural and cultural resource protection or allow for future expansion of recreational activities. Parklands that are potentially surplus to the management needs of DRP are also identified. As additional needs are identified through park use, development, and research, and as land use changes on adjacent property, modification of the park's optimum boundary may be necessary.

Identification of parcels on the optimum boundary map is intended solely for planning purposes. It is not to be used in connection with any regulatory purposes. Any party or governmental entity should not use a property's identification on the optimum boundary map to reduce or restrict the lawful rights of private landowners. Identification on the map does not empower or suggest that any government entity should impose additional or more restrictive environmental land use or zoning regulations. Identification should not be used as the basis for permit denial or the imposition of permit conditions.

#### Wekiwa Springs State Park

There are six parcels, totaling approximately 150 acres, identified for the optimum boundary of WSSP. Two of these parcels are in the northwestern portion of the park, near Kelly Park and the springhead for Rock Springs Run. The inclusion of these two parcels on the optimum boundary are for the purposes of spring and waterway protection. Another parcel on the optimum boundary for WSSP is a strip of land to the west of Lake Prevatt and will assist park staff with water quality improvement efforts. To the east of the springhead at Wekiwa Springs, nearly 40 acres has been added to the optimum boundary to ensure the protection of Wekiwa Springs. Two parcels along the Wekiva River at the Markham Woods tract are also identified on the optimum boundary in order to ensure protection of the river while promoting visitor access.

At this time, no lands are considered surplus to the needs of the park.

#### Rock Springs Run State Reserve

For RSRSR, there are 10 parcels, nearly 2,000 acres, that have been identified on the optimum boundary. The largest of these parcels the Wekiva River Mitigation Bank property that totals over 1,600 acres. Given the size of this property, it is included on the optimum boundary because of important considerations related to habitat connectivity. Along with two smaller parcels on the east and west of the mitigation bank property, these properties would be useful to the park for resource management purposes. Another parcel on the optimum boundary includes the easement dedicated to CR 433. With the development of a new park entrance, this easement is necessary for park staff to manage visitor access to RSRSR. Related to this point, a parcel on the eastern border of the reserve off Wekiva River Road has been identified for the optimum boundary and will facilitate the development of the new park entrance. In addition to other parcels that would be useful for the purposes of resource management, a 100-acre property along the Wekiva River could potentially be used to provide park visitors with access to the river.

At this time, no lands are considered surplus to the needs of the park.

### Lower Wekiva River Preserve State Park

Four parcels totaling approximately 3,400 acres have been identified for the optimum boundary of LWRPSP. These parcels include large swaths of land between the Skinner and Jung tracts in the northern portion of LWRPSP to the west of Hontoon Island State Park. The Skinner and Jung tracts are currently disconnected from the rest of LWRPSP, and adding the properties identified on the optimum boundary would ensure habitat connectivity. Additionally, resource management and the protection of vital waterways such as the St. Johns and Wekiva River are important considerations.

At this time, no lands are considered surplus to the needs of the park.



WEKIWA SPRINGS STATE PARK

0.5 2 Miles Florida Department of Environmental Protection Division of Recreation and Parks Date of aerial; 2011

OPTIMUM BOUNDARY MAP



ROCK SPRINGS RUN STATE RESERVE

0.25 0.5 lorida Department of Environmental Protec Division of Recreation and Parks Date of aerial; 2011

OPTIMUM BOUNDARY MAP



# IMPLEMENTATION COMPONENT

The resource management and land use components of this management plan provide a thorough inventory of the park's natural, cultural and recreational resources. They outline the park's management needs and problems, and recommend both short and long-term objectives and actions to meet those needs. The implementation component addresses the administrative goal for the park and reports on the Division of Recreation and Parks (DRP) progress toward achieving resource management, operational and capital improvement goals and objectives since approval of the previous management plan for this park. This component also compiles the management goals, objectives and actions expressed in the separate parts of this management plan for easy review. Estimated costs for the ten-year period of this plan are provided for each action and objective, and the costs are summarized under standard categories of land management activities.

## **Management Progress**

Since the approval of the last management plan for the Wekiva River Basin State Parks in 2005, significant work has been accomplished and progress made towards meeting the DRP's management objectives for the park. These accomplishments fall within three of the five general categories that encompass the mission of the park and the DRP.

## Park Administration and Operations

- During the last ten years, park volunteers contributed over 142,724 hours of volunteer service.
- The park's Citizen Support Organization (CSO), the Wekiva Wilderness Trust, Inc., has provided the park with:
  - o funding for education, research, and specialty publications
  - specialized equipment for park operations/resource management, including computer and safety equipment, golf carts and other needed equipment
  - o funding for new Nature Center
- The CSO has also holds an annual 5/10k race and Plein aire painting week (with formal diner and art auction) at the park to raise funds for some of the items listed above.

#### Resource Management

#### Natural Resources

- Prescribed fire management conducted on all three parks = 22,000 acres
- Participated with the district Backlog Abatement Team each year since the project started to assist with burn objectives district wide
- 800 infested acres invasive exotic plants treated on over 2,000 gross acres of parkland

- Restoration of scrub & scrubby-flatwoods:
  - o 781 acres in Rock Springs Run State Reserve
  - o 2 acres in Lower Wekiva River Preserve State Park
  - o 21 acres in Wekiwa Springs State Park
- Natural return of Florida Scrub-jays in restored areas of Rock Springs Run State reserve and Wekiwa Springs.
- Endangered hand fern (*Ophioglossum palmatum* L.) has been located in the hydric hammock in Rock Springs Run State Reserve, only 50 known populations remain in Florida.
- 18 burrowing owl burrows were located on the Neighborhood Lakes property portion of Wekiwa Springs State Park, during a survey after the property was acquired.
- 2012 park basin-wide Bio-blitz, a joint agency and citizens organizations (i.e., Audubon Society, etc.), was conducted to account for and up-date the basin species list
- Over 750 feral hog removed from basin
- New hog trapping contract put in place this year.

# Cultural Resources

- 22 new cultural sites have been added to the master site file.
- Site of the Town of Ethel was located in Rock Springs Run State Reserve and studies are ongoing with Florida Public Archaeology Network and the Eastlake Historical Society
- Restoration of the Anthony Frazier gravesite is ongoing with the Sons of Union Veteran's and Eastlake Historical Society
- Archaeological Resource Sensitivity Modeling completed for parks.

# **Recreation and Visitor Services**

- Wekiwa Springs concessionaire (NSPC)
  - o continues to provide rental services for park visitors
  - o expanded its food operation during the summer season
  - o withdrew its operations from Rock Springs Run State Reserve
- Cactus Jack Trail Rides established new concession at Rock Springs Run State reserve, providing:
  - o guided horseback trail rides
  - rental of the Hammock House for vacations and special event
  - limited horse boarding for visitors and campers
  - canoe and kayak rental at Katie's Landing in Lower Wekiva River Preserve State Park
- Volunteers, CSO members and limited park staff provide guided walks and discover hour programs scheduled on Saturdays and Sundays throughout the year at the Nature Center.
- CSO members and volunteers take care of the animals and static displays at the Nature Center; the CSO fully supports the cost of running the Nature Center.

- Park Rangers provide interpretative programs in the park and at local schools on request throughout the year.
- The Youth Camp continues to be a focal point of park operations
  - The Florida Federation of Garden Clubs host an annual camp for children during June and July
  - Ranger Academy is conducted twice a year to acclimate new park service staff to department policies.
  - state and national fire trainings are conducted during the year at the facility by park staff, other state and federal agencies.
  - DEP (central district and FPS) hosts a number of meetings annually at the facility
  - rental of the Youth Camp serves to host many weddings, private events and family gatherings
- The Wekiwa Spring Run, Rock Spring Run and the Wekiva River are Federally listed as part of the National Wild and Scenic River System
- The park is listed as a site on the Great Florida Birding Trail

# Park Facilities

- Katie's Landing renovation at Lower Wekiwa River Preserve State park
  - o removed several derelict structures from park
  - o removed and replaced failing river side walkway with new bulkhead
  - o constructed new restroom facility at park
  - o constructed structure to protect well head at park
  - stabilized and regraded park drive
- Youth Camp renovations at Wekiwa Springs State Park
  - renovated derelict Seminoli cabin for use by Florida Federation of Garden Club executives, state and division staff.
  - o replace roofs on
    - Seminoli and 14 of 28 other cabins
    - Rec Hall
    - South communal restroom
  - repaired leaking dormer windows on dining hall
  - o made repairs to pool
  - o added ADA access for pool
  - replaced carpet in Rec Hall (2005 and 2015)
- Convert 90% of park residents and infrastructure from septic to sewer in Wekiwa Springs State Park
- Repaired rotted wall structure and interior of high side (site 31-60) campground loop bathroom
- Repaired Spring-Lagoon Bridge
- Repaired Canoe Beach Boardwalk
- ADA lift installed at Spring
- Paved district office driveway
- Stabilized roadway from CR433 to shop at Rock Sparing Run State Reserve
- Replaced perimeter fences at Wekiwa Springs State Park
  - o 0.76 mile along Wekiwa Springs Road
  - o 1.76 miles along Welch Road

- o 1.6 miles along CR435
- Replace roof on Hammock House (a rental structure) at Rock Springs Run State Reserve.
- Worked with local off-road bicycle group (SORBA) to develop trails at Markham Wood's parcel at Wekiwa Springs State Park.
- Project to repair failing infrastructure at spring in progress
- Project to upgrade campground electric service to 50 Amp in progress

# Management Plan Implementation

This management plan is written for a timeframe of ten years, as required by Section 253.034 Florida Statutes. The Ten-Year Implementation Schedule and Cost Estimates (Table 7) summarizes the management goals, objectives and actions that are recommended for implementation over this period, and beyond. Measures are identified for assessing progress toward completing each objective and action. A time frame for completing each objective and action is provided. Preliminary cost estimates for each action are provided and the estimated total costs to complete each objective are computed. Finally, all costs are consolidated under the following five standard land management categories: Resource Management, Administration and Support, Capital Improvements, Recreation Visitor Services and Law Enforcement.

Many of the actions identified in the plan can be implemented using existing staff and funding. However, a number of continuing activities and new activities with measurable quantity targets and projected completion dates are identified that cannot be completed during the life of this plan unless additional resources for these purposes are provided. The plan's recommended actions, time frames and cost estimates will guide the DRP's planning and budgeting activities over the period of this plan. It must be noted that these recommendations are based on the information that exists at the time the plan was prepared. A high degree of adaptability and flexibility must be built into this process to ensure that the DRP can adjust to changes in the availability of funds, improved understanding of the park's natural and cultural resources, and changes in statewide land management issues, priorities and policies.

Statewide priorities for all aspects of land management are evaluated each year as part of the process for developing the DRP's annual legislative budget requests. When preparing these annual requests, the DRP considers the needs and priorities of the entire state park system and the projected availability of funding from all sources during the upcoming fiscal year. In addition to annual legislative appropriations, the DRP pursues supplemental sources of funds and staff resources wherever possible, including grants, volunteers and partnerships with other entities. The DRP's ability to accomplish the specific actions identified in the plan will be determined largely by the availability of funds and staff for these purposes, which may vary from year to year. Consequently, the target schedules and estimated costs identified in Table 7 may need to be adjusted during the ten-year management planning cycle.

NOTE: TH	E DIVISION'S ABILITY TO COMPLETE THE OBJECTIVES OUTLINED BY INT ON THE AVAILABILITY OF FUNDING AND OTHER RESOURCES FO	THE MANAGEMEN	T PLAN IS S.	5
Goal I: Provid	de administrative support for all park functions.	Measure	Planning Period	Estimated Manpower and Expense Cost* (10-years)
Objective A	Continue day-to-day administrative support at current levels.	Administrative support ongoing	С	\$315,700
Objective B	Expand administrative support as new lands are acquired, new facilities are developed, or as other needs arise.	Administrative support expanded	С	\$45,500
Goal II: Prote maintain the	ct water quality and quantity in the park, restore hydrology to the extent feasible, and restored condition.	Measure	Planning Period	Estimated Manpower and Expense Cost* (10-years)
Objective A	Conduct/obtain an assessment of the park's hydrological needs.	Assessment conducted	ST or LT	\$67,000
Action 1	Formulate a plan for erosion control for multiple locations throughout the park		UFN	\$58,500
Action 2	Continue working with county, city, and other local officials along with other agencies to educate businesses and residents within the spring and river basins on the effects of nutrient loading based on fertilizer and sentic tank issues		UFN	\$8,500
Objective B	Restore natural hydrological conditions and function to approximately 600 acres of hydric hammock, 130 acres of mesic flatwoods, and 110 acres of wet flatwoods natural communities	# Acres restored or with restoration underway	UFN	\$201,200
Action 1	Install 11 low-water crossings or culverts along tram roads and park service roads	# Crossings/culverts	UFN	\$70,000
Action 2	Rehab 44,939 feet of plow scars at Wekiwa Springs, Lower Wekiva Preserve and Rock Springs Run	# Miles of ditches filled	UFN	\$131,200
Objective C	Restore sheet flow to hydric hammocks and floodplain swamp in Lower Wekiva River Preserve State Park		ST, LT	\$100,900
Action 1	Preliminary analysis should be conducted to determine the costs and feasibility of filling in the old logging canals off of the St. Johns River into Lower Wekiya Preserve State Park		ST or LT	\$58,500
Action 2	Install 6 low-water crossings or culverts along main tram roads within LWRPSP	# Crossings/culverts	ST or LT	\$42,400

\* 2017 Dollars ST = actions within 2 years LT = actions within 10 years C = long term or short term actions that are continuous or cyclical UFN = currently unfunded need
				· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
NOIE: IH	E DIVISION'S ABILITY TO COMPLETE THE OBJECTIVES OUTLINED BY	THE MANAGEMEN	PLAN IS	
CONTING	ENT ON THE AVAILABILITY OF FUNDING AND OTHER RESOURCES FOR	R THESE PURPOSES	· •	
				Estimated
			Planning	Manpower and
Goal III: Res	tore and maintain the natural communities/ habitats of the park.	Measure	Period	Expense Cost*
				(10-years)
<b>Objective A</b>	Within 10 years have 12,080 acres of the park maintained within optimal fire return	# Acres within fire return	LT	\$1,027,500
	interval.	interval target		
Action 2	Develop/update annual burn plan.	Plan updated	С	\$14,200
Action 2	2 Manage fire dependent communities for ecosystem function, structure and processes by burning	Average # acres burned	С	\$909,900
	between 2,200 -5,900 acres annually, as identified by the annual burn plan.	annually		
Action 3	3 Establish 6.5 miles of new fire breaks	# Miles established	ST or LT	\$103,400
Objective B	Conduct habitat/natural community restoration activities on 750 acres of sandhill, 560	# Acres restored or with	ST or LT	\$1,924,100
	acres of mesic/wet flatwoods, and 156 acres of scrub/scrubby flatwoods natural	restoration underway		
	communities.	-		
Action 2	Develop/update site specific restoration plan	Plan developed/updated	ST	\$58,400
Action 2	2 Implement restoration plan	# Acres with	LT	\$194,700
		restoration underway		
Action 3	3 Conduct hardwood removal on 661 acres			\$1,671,000
Objective C	Conduct habitat/natural community improvement activities on 255 acres of sandhill, 425	# Acres improved or with	ST or LT	\$4,148,900
	acres of flatwoods, and 485 acres of scrub/scrubby flatwoods natural communities.	improvements underway		
Action 2	Thinning of oaks and other hard woods in each of the three parks, (fuel wood reduction, roller-		ST	\$1,765,000
	chopping, and/or mowing) totaling 1,165 acres			
Action 2	2 Removal of 10-20 year stage class sand pines in sandhill and scrub/scrubby flatwoods natural			\$192,700
	communities			
Action 3	Minor roller-chopping/lay down fuels 2 to 3 dozer/tractor widths along 10 miles overgrown edges of			\$1,458,000
	management zones			
Action 4	I Minor roller-chopping/lay down fuels 1 to 2 dozer/tractor widths along 10 miles overgrown edges of			\$733,200
	management zones			

CONTINGENT ON THE AVAILABILITY OF FUNDING AND OTHER RESOURCES FOR THESE PURPOSES.       Estimated         Continue to the availability of funding species populations and habitats in the park.       Measure       Paring       Estimated         Column Continual update baseline imperiled species occurrence inventory lists for plants and animals, as needed.       continual       C       \$105,200         Objective A       Continual update baseline imperiled animal species including Shermars tox avaired. Horida mouse, and Florida burravino owil.       especies monitored       C       \$105,300         Action 1       Implement monitoring protocols for 1 species including those listed in Action 1 above and the gopher lorotise, striped new, gopher lorg, Florida sorub-jay, swallow-tail kite, and imperiled yaire bised in britty and continuing protocols for 7 imperiled plant species including fand ferns, glant       # Species monitored       C       \$105,300         Opjective C       Monitor and document 10 selected imperiled plant species including fand ferns, glant       # Species monitored       C       \$105,300         Action 2       Implement monitoring protocols for 7 imperiled plant species including fand ferns, glant       # Protocols developed       ST       \$12,000         Action 2       Implement monitoring protocols for 7 imperiled plant species including fand ferns, glant       # Protocols developed       ST       \$12,000         Action 2       Implement monitoring protocols for 7 imperiled plant species including maintenance ani	NOTE: TL				•
Goal IV:       Measure       Planning Parind	CONTINC	IL DIVISION S'ABILITY OF FUNDING AND OTHER DESCUDEES FOR		FLANIS	
Goal IV: Maintain, improve or restore imperiled species populations and habitats in the park.         Measure         Planning Period         Mappower and Expense Cost*           Objective A         Continual update baseline imperiled species occurrence inventory lists for plants and animals, as needed.         continual         C         \$105,300           Objective B         Monitor and document 19 selected imperiled animal species including those southers. For the park in an investigation in a species including those listed in Action 1 above and the gopher tortoise, striped newt, gopher frog, Florida scrub-jay, swallow-tall kite, and imperiled waina birds.         # Species monitored         C         \$105,300           Action 3         Conduct regular bird surveys at a monthly or quarterly basis         # Species monitored         C         \$105,300           Objective C         Monitor and document 10 selected imperiled plant species including those listed in Action 1 above and the gopher tortoise, striped newt, gopher frog, Florida scrub-jay, swallow-tall kite, and immeriled waina birds.         # Species monitored         C         \$105,300           Objective C         Monitor and document 10 selected imperiled plant species including thand ferms, glant ground orchid.         # Species monitored         C         \$211,800           Objective C         Monitor and invasive plants and animals from the park. and conduct needed maintenace ground orchid.         * Species monitored         C         \$105,300           Coal Y: Remove exotic and invasive plants and anima	CONTING	ENT ON THE AVAILABILITY OF FUNDING AND OTHER RESOURCES FOR	R THESE PURPOSES	•	Ectimatod
Goal IV:         Measure         Period         Expanse Cost+ (10-vears)           Objective A Action 1         Continual update baseline imperiled species occurrence inventory lists for plants and minutes, as needed.         continual         C         \$105,300           Objective A Action 1         Continual update baseline imperiled animal species in the park.         # Species monitored         C         \$132,800           Action 1         Develop monitoring protocols for 3 selected imperiled animal species including Sterman's fox         # Protocols developed         ST         \$105,300           Action 2         Implement monitoring protocols for 16 imperiled animal species including Sterman's fox         # Species monitored         C         \$105,300           Action 2         Conduct regular bird surveys at a monthly or quarterly basis         # Species monitored         C         \$26,300           Objective C         Monitor and document 10 selected imperiled plant species including fhose listed in Action 1 above incorcical wading birds, and butterfly pea.         # Species monitored         C         \$211,800           Action 2         Implement monitoring protocols for 7 selected imperiled plant species including fhose listed in Action 1 above ground orchid.         Expense Cost*         # Species monitored         C         \$105,300           Objective A         Annually treat 15-35 acres of exotic plant species in the park.         # Species monitored         C </th <th></th> <th></th> <th></th> <th>Planning</th> <th>Mannower and</th>				Planning	Mannower and
Objective A continual update baseline imperiled species occurrence inventory lists for plants and animals, as needed.         Continual         Continual <thcontinual< th="">         Continual         <th< th=""><th>Goal IV: Mai</th><th>ntain, improve or restore imperiled species populations and habitats in the park.</th><th>Measure</th><th>Period</th><th>Expense Cost*</th></th<></thcontinual<>	Goal IV: Mai	ntain, improve or restore imperiled species populations and habitats in the park.	Measure	Period	Expense Cost*
Objective A       Continual update baseline imperiled species occurrence inventory lists for plants and animals. as needed.       continual       C       \$105,300         Objective B       Monitor and document 19 selected imperiled animal species in the park.       # Species monitored       C       \$132,800         Action 1       Develop monitoring protocols for 3 selected imperiled animal species including Sherman's fox sultret. Florida mouse, and Florida burrowing owith a popher toroise, striped newt, gopher frog. Florida scrub-jay, swallow-tall kite, and innorcind wading birds.       # Protocols developed       ST       \$1,200         Action 2       Implement monitoring protocols for 7 selected imperiled plant species including those listed in Action 1 above and the gopher toroise, striped newt, gopher toroise, striped plant species including thand ferns, giant       # Protocols developed       ST       \$105,300         Objective C       Monitor and document 10 selected imperiled plant species including thand ferns, giant       # Protocols developed       ST       \$1,200         Action 1       Develop monitoring protocols for 7 imperiled plant species including thand ferns, giant       # Protocols developed       ST       \$1,200         Action 3       Continue with the monitoring protocols for 7 imperiled plant species including thand fern				renou	(10-vears)
animals, as needed.       C       \$132,800         Objective B       Monitor and document 19 selected imperiled animal species including Sherman's fox sourrel. Florida mouse, and Florida burrowing owl.       # Protocols developed       ST       \$132,800         Action 1       Develop monitoring protocols for 3 selected imperiled animal species including Sherman's fox sourrel. Florida mouse, and Florida burrowing owl.       # Protocols developed       ST       \$12,000         Action 2       Implement monitoring protocols for 16 imperiled animal species including those listed in Action 1 above and the gopher tortolse, striped newt, gopher frog. Florida scrub-jay, swallow-tall kite, and immeriled wadma birds.       # Species monitored       C       \$105,300         Action 3       Conduct regular bird surveys at a monthly or quarterly basis       # Species monitored       C       \$26,300         Objective C       Monitor and document 10 selected imperiled plant species including those listed in Action 1 above protocols for 7 selected imperiled plant species including those listed in Action 1 above       # Species monitored       C       \$105,300         Action 2       Implement monitoring protocols for 7 imperiled plant species including those listed in Action 1 above       # Species monitored       C       \$105,300         Action 3       Continue with the monitoring efforts for hooded pitcher-plants, yellow-flowered butterwort and giant ground orchid.       # Areas treated       C       \$105,300         Objective A	Objective A	Continual update baseline imperiled species occurrence inventory lists for plants and	continual	С	\$105,300
Objective B       Monitor and document 19 selected imperited animal species including Sherman's fox       # Species monitored       C       \$132,800         Action 1       Develop monitoring protocols for 36 elected imperiled animal species including Sherman's fox       # Protocols developed       ST       \$1,200         Action 2       Implement monitoring protocols for 16 imperiled animal species including those listed in Action 1 above and the gopher tortoise, striped newl, gopher frog, Florida scrub-jay, swallow-tail kite, and imperiled variant birds.       # Species monitored       C       \$105,300         Action 3       Conduct regular bird surveys at a monthly or quarterly basis       #       Pecies monitored       C       \$26,300         Objective C       Monitor and document 10 selected imperiled plant species including hand ferns, giant around orchid. bue flower, butterwort, and sand butterfly bea.       #       Protocols developed       ST       \$1,200         Action 1       Develop monitoring protocols for 7 imperiled plant species including those listed in Action 1 above ground orchid.       # Species monitored       C       \$105,300         Action 2       Implement monitoring protocols for 7 imperiled plant species including those listed in Action 1 above ground orchid.       # Species monitored       C       \$105,300         Action 3       Continue with the monitoring protocols for 7 imperiled plant species including those listed in Action 1 above ground orchid.       # Species monitored       C </th <th></th> <th>animals, as needed.</th> <th></th> <th></th> <th></th>		animals, as needed.			
Action 1       Develop monitoring protocols for 3 selected imperiled animal species including Sherman's fox       # Protocols developed       ST       \$1,200         Action 2       Implement monitoring protocols for 16 imperiled animal species including those listed in Action 1 above and the gopher tortolse, striped newt, gopher frog, Florida scrub-Jay, swallow-tall kite, and immeriled wallon blicks.       # Species monitored       C       \$105,300         Action 3       Conduct regular bird surveys at a monthly or quarterly basis       # Species monitored       C       \$26,300         Objective C       Monitor and document 10 selected imperiled plant species including thand ferns, giant around orchid. blue flower butterway, and sand butterfly pera.       # Protocols developed       ST       \$1,200         Action 3       Continue with the monitoring protocols for 7 selected imperiled plant species including those listed in Action 1 above       # Species monitored       C       \$105,300         Action 3       Continue with the monitoring efforts for hooded pilcher-plants, yellow-flowered butterwort and giant ground orchid.       Measure       Planning       Estimated         Mappender       Annually treat 15-35 acres of exotic plant species in park, annually, and continuing maintenance- control.       # Acres treated       C       \$10,39,300         Action 1       Annually treat 15-35 acres of exotic plant species in park, annually, and continuing maintenance- and follow-up treatments, as needed.       S11,800       \$218,000	Objective B	Monitor and document 19 selected imperiled animal species in the park.	# Species monitored	С	\$132,800
Action 2       Implement monitoring protocols for 16 imperiled animal species including those listed in Action 1 above and the gopher tortolse, striped newt, gopher frog, Florida scrub-jay, swallow-tall kite, and imperiled walling birds.       # Species monitored       C       \$105,300         Action 3       Conduct regular birds.       # Species monitored       C       \$26,300         Objective C       Monitor and document 10 selected imperiled plant species including hand ferns, giant       # Protocols developed       ST       \$26,300         Action 1       Develop monitoring protocols for 7 imperiled plant species including those listed in Action 1 above       # Species monitored       C       \$26,300         Action 3       Continue with the monitoring protocols for 7 imperiled plant species including those listed in Action 1 above       # Species monitored       C       \$110,300         Action 3       Continue with the monitoring efforts for hooded pitcher-plants, yellow-flowered butterwort and glant ground orchid.       ************************************	Action	Develop monitoring protocols for 3 selected imperiled animal species including Sherman's fox	# Protocols developed	ST	\$1,200
Action 2       Implement monitoring protocols for 16 imperiled animal species including those listed in Action 1 above and the gopher toroise, striped newt, gopher frog, Florida scrub-jay, swallow-tail kite, and imperiled wading birds.       # Species monitored       C       \$105,300         Action 3       Conduct regular bird surveys at a monthly or quarterly basis       # Species monitored       C       \$26,300         Objective C       Monitor and document 10 selected imperiled plant species including hand ferns, glant around orchid, blue flower butterwort, and sand butterfly bea.       # Protocols developed       ST       \$1,200         Action 3       Continue with the monitoring protocols for 7 imperiled plant species including those listed in Action 1 above ground orchid.       # Species monitored       C       \$105,300         Goal V: Remove exotic and invasive plants and animals from the park and conduct needed maintenance- control.       Measure       Planning Planning Planning       Estimated Manpower and Expesse Cost*         Objective A Action 1       Annually treat 15-35 acres of exotic plant species in the park. Action 2       # Arres treated Plan developed/updated       C       \$1,039,300         Action 3       Conduct surveys of existing infestations along with searching other locations for new infestations       # Acres treated Plan developed/updated       C       \$28,300         Action 1       Annually treat 15-35 acres of part the central Florida Cooperative Invasive Species Management Areas (CISMA)       \$21,039,300		squirrel, Florida mouse, and Florida burrowing owl.			
above and the gopher tortoise, striped newt, gopher frog, Florida scrub-jay, swallow-tail kite, and imperiled wadina birds.       \$260,300         Action 3 Conduct regular bird surveys at a monthly or guarterly basis       \$260,300         Objective C       Monitor and document 10 selected imperiled plant species in the park.       # Species monitored       C       \$221,800         Action 1 Develop monitoring protocols for 7 selected imperiled plant species including hand ferns, giant around orchid, blue flower butterwort, and sand butterfly bea.       # Protocols developed       ST       \$1,200         Action 3 Continue with the monitoring efforts for hooded pitcher-plants, yellow-flowered butterwort and giant ground orchid.       Stotics       \$105,300         Goal V: Remove exotic and invasive plants and animals from the park and conduct needed maintenance- control.       Measure       Planning Period       Manpower and Expense Cost* (10-years)         Objective A       Annually treat 15-35 acres of exotic plant species in the park.       # Acres treated       C       \$103,300         Action 1 Annually develop/update exotic plant management work plan.       Plan developed/updated       C       \$88,300         Action 3 Conduct surveys of existing infestations along with searching other locations for new infestations       \$218,000       \$218,000         Action 1 Annually develop/update exotic plant species in park, annually, and continuing maintenance and follow-up treatments, as needed.       \$218,000       \$218,000 </td <td>Action 2</td> <td>2 Implement monitoring protocols for 16 imperiled animal species including those listed in Action 1</td> <td># Species monitored</td> <td>С</td> <td>\$105,300</td>	Action 2	2 Implement monitoring protocols for 16 imperiled animal species including those listed in Action 1	# Species monitored	С	\$105,300
Action 3 Conduct regular birds. Action 1 Develop monitoring protocols for 7 selected imperiled plant species in the park. Action 1 Develop monitoring protocols for 7 selected imperiled plant species including hand ferns, giant around orchid, blie flower butterwort, and sand butterfly bea. Action 2 Implement monitoring protocols for 7 imperiled plant species including those listed in Action 1 above Species monitored C ST S1,200 C S1 Action 3 Continue with the monitoring efforts for hooded pitcher-plants, yellow-flowered butterwort and giant ground orchid. But the monitoring efforts for hooded pitcher-plants, yellow-flowered butterwort and giant ground orchid. C S105,300 C Action 3 Continue with the monitoring efforts for hooded pitcher-plants, yellow-flowered butterwort and giant ground orchid. C S105,300 C Dejective A Annually treat 15-35 acres of exotic plant species in the park. Action 1 Annually develop/update exotic plant management work plan. Action 2 Implement annual work plan by treating 15-35 acres in park, annually, and continuing maintenance and follow-up treatments, as needed. Action 3 Conduct surveys of existing infestations along with searching other locations for new infestations Action 4 Continue to participate as board members for the Central Florida Cooperative Invasive Species Management Areas (CISMA) C Dijective B Implement control measures on 5 exotic and nuisance animal species in the park. Action 1 Removal of feral hogs, 9-band armadillos, coyotes, and feral cats Action 1 Removal of feral hogs, 9-band armadillos, coyotes, and feral cats Action 1 Removal of feral hogs, 9-band armadillos, coyotes, and feral cats Action 1 Removal of feral hogs, 9-band armadillos, coyotes, and feral cats Action 1 Removal of feral hogs, 9-band armadillos, coyotes, and feral cats Action 1 Removal of feral hogs, 9-band armadillos, coyotes, and feral cats Action 1 Removal of feral hogs, 9-band armadillos, coyotes, and feral cats Action 1 Removal of feral hogs, 9-band armadillos, coyotes, and feral cats Action 1 Re		above and the gopher tortoise, striped newt, gopher frog, Florida scrub-jay, swallow-tail kite, and			
Action 3 Conduct regular bird surveys at a monthly or quarterly basis       \$22,300         Objective C       Monitoring protocols for 7 selected imperiled plant species including hand ferns, giant       # Protocols developed       \$T       \$1,200         Action 1       Develop monitoring protocols for 7 selected imperiled plant species including hand ferns, giant       # Protocols developed       \$T       \$1,200         Action 2       Implement monitoring protocols for 7 imperiled plant species including those listed in Action 1 above       # Species monitored       C       \$105,300         Action 3       Continue with the monitoring efforts for hooded pitcher-plants, yellow-flowered butterwort and giant ground orchid.       # Acres treated       C       \$105,300         Measure       Planning Period For the park and conduct needed maintenance-toot and invasive plants and animals from the park and conduct needed maintenance control.       # Acres treated       C       \$10,39,300         Objective A       Annually treat 15-35 acres of exotic plant species in the park.       # Acres treated       C       \$808,500         Action 1       Annually develop/update exotic plant management work plan by treating 15-35 acres in park, annually, and continuing maintenance and follow-up treatments, as needed.       \$808,500       \$808,500         Action 1       Conduct surveys of existing infestations along with searching other locations for new infestations       \$218,000       \$218,000		imperiled wading birds.			<b>.</b>
Objective C       Monitor and document 10 selected imperiled plant species in the park.       # Species monitored       C       \$211,800         Action 1       Develop monitoring protocols for 7 selected imperiled plant species including hand ferns, giant around orchid, blue flower butterwort, and sand butterfly pea.       # Protocols developed       ST       \$1,200         Action 2       Implement monitoring protocols for 7 imperiled plant species including those listed in Action 1 above ground orchid.       # Species monitored       C       \$105,300         Action 3       Continue with the monitoring efforts for hooded pitcher-plants, yellow-flowered butterwort and giant ground orchid.       Measure       Planning       Festimated         Goal V:       Remove exotic and invasive plants and animals from the park and conduct needed maintenance-control.       # Acres treated       C       \$1,03,300         Objective A       Annually treat 15-35 acres of exotic plant species in the park.       # Acres treated       C       \$8,300         Action 1       Annually develop/update exotic plant management work plan.       Plan developed/updated       C       \$8,300         Action 3       Conduct surveys of existing infestations along with searching other locations for new infestations       Implemented       \$821,000         Action 4       Continue to participate as board members for the Central Florida Cooperative Invasive Species       \$4,500       \$4,500	Action	3 Conduct regular bird surveys at a monthly or quarterly basis			\$26,300
Action 1       Develop monitoring protocols for 7 selected imperiled plant species including hand ferns, giant       # Protocols developed       \$1       \$1,200         Action 2       Implement monitoring protocols for 7 imperiled plant species including those listed in Action 1 above       # Species monitored       C       \$105,300         Action 3       Continue with the monitoring efforts for hooded pitcher-plants, yellow-flowered butterwort and glant ground orchid.       S105,300       \$105,300         Goal V: Remove exotic and invasive plants and animals from the park and conduct needed maintenance-control.       Measure       Planning       Estimated         Objective A       Annually treat 15-35 acres of exotic plant species in the park.       # Acres treated       C       \$103,9300         Action 1       Annually develop/update exotic plant management work plan.       Plan developed/updated       C       \$808,500         Action 2       Implement annual work plan by treating 15-35 acres in park, annually, and continuing maintenance and follow-up treatments, as needed.       Plan implemented       \$808,500         Action 3       Continue to participate as board members for the Central Florida Cooperative Invasive Species       # Species for which control measures on 5 exotic and nuisance animal species in the park.       # Species for which control measures implemented       \$46,900         Action 4       Continue to participate as board members for the Central Florida Cooperative Invasive Species	Objective C	Monitor and document 10 selected imperiled plant species in the park.	# Species monitored	С	\$211,800
Action 2 Implement monitoring protocols for 7 imperiled plant species including those listed in Action 1 above # Species monitored C \$105,300 Action 3 Continue with the monitoring protocols for 7 imperiled plant species including those listed in Action 1 above # Species monitored C \$105,300 Action 3 Continue with the monitoring efforts for hooded pitcher-plants, yellow-flowered butterwort and giant ground orchid. Control. C \$105,300 Planning Period Period Period Period C \$105,300 Period Period Period C \$105,300 Period Period Period C \$105,300 Action 1 Annually treat 15-35 acres of exotic plant species in the park. Action 1 Annually develop/update exotic plant management work plan. Action 2 Implement annual work plan by treating 15-35 acres in park, annually, and continuing maintenance and follow-up treatments, as needed. Action 3 Conduct surveys of existing infestations along with searching other locations for new infestations Action 4 Continue to participate as board members for the Central Florida Cooperative Invasive Species Management Areas (CISMA) Objective B Implement control measures on 5 exotic and nuisance animal species in the park. Action 1 Removal of feral hogs, 9-band armadillos, coyotes, and feral cats Action 2 Removal of feral hogs, 9-band armadillos, coyotes, and feral cats Action 2 Removal of feral hogs, 9-band armadillos, coyotes, and feral cats Action 2 Removal of feral hogs, 9-band armadillos, coyotes, and feral cats Action 2 Removal of feral hogs, 9-band armadillos, coyotes, and feral cats Action 2 Removal of feral hogs, 9-band armadillos, coyotes, and feral cats Action 2 Removal of feral hogs, 9-band armadillos, coyotes, and feral cats Action 2 Removal of feral hogs, 9-band armadillos, coyotes, and feral cats Action 2 Removal of feral hogs, 9-band armadillos, coyotes, and feral cats Action 2 Removal of feral hogs, 9-band armadillos, coyotes, and feral cats Action 2 Removal of feral hogs, 9-band armadillos, coyotes, and feral cats Action 2 Removal of feral hogs,	Action	Develop monitoring protocols for 7 selected imperiled plant species including hand ferns, giant	# Protocols developed	ST	\$1,200
Action 2 implement monitoring protocols for 7 imperied plant species including those insteal in Action 1 above # species monitored       C       \$105,300         Action 3 Continue with the monitoring efforts for hooded pitcher-plants, yellow-flowered butterwort and giant ground orchid.       \$105,300         Goal V: Remove exotic and invasive plants and animals from the park and conduct needed maintenance-control.       Measure       Planning Period       Estimated         Objective A       Annually treat 15-35 acres of exotic plant management work plan.       # Acres treated       C       \$1,039,300         Action 2       Implement annual work plan by treating 15-35 acres in park, annually, and continuing maintenance and follow-up treatments, as needed.       Plan developed/updated       C       \$8,800,500         Action 3       Conduct surveys of existing infestations along with searching other locations for new infestations       Plan implemented       \$218,000         Action 4       Continue to participate as board members for the Central Florida Cooperative Invasive Species for which control measures on 5 exotic and nuisance animal species in the park.       # Species for which control measures implemented       \$46,900         Action 1       Removal of feral hogs, 9-band armadillos, coyotes, and feral cats       # Species for which control measures implemented       \$38,500         Action 2       Reinstate removal olan for Cuban tree frogs       Stational feral cats       \$38,600	Action	ground orchid, blue flower butterwort, and sand butterfly pea.	# Creasian repristored	0	¢105 200
Action 3       Continue with the monitoring efforts for hooded pitcher-plants, yellow-flowered butterwort and giant ground orchid.       \$105,300         Goal V: Remove exotic and invasive plants and animals from the park and conduct needed maintenance-control.       Measure       Planning Period       Estimated         Objective A       Annually treat 15-35 acres of exotic plant species in the park.       # Acres treated       C       \$10,93,300         Action 1       Annually develop/update exotic plant management work plan.       Plan developed/updated       C       \$8,300         Action 2       Implement annual work plan by treating 15-35 acres in park, annually, and continuing maintenance and follow-up treatments, as needed.       Plan implemented       C       \$808,500         Action 3       Continue to participate as board members for the Central Florida Cooperative Invasive Species Management Areas (CISMA)       \$218,000       \$4,500         Objective B       Implement control measures on 5 exotic and nuisance animal species in the park.       # Species for which control measures implemented       C       \$46,900         Action 1       Removal of feral hogs, 9-band armadillos, coyotes, and feral cats       # Species for which control measures implemented       \$38,500         Action 2       Reinstate removal plan for Cuban tree frogs       \$38,500       \$38,400	Action .	<sup>2</sup> Implement monitoring protocols for 7 imperiled plant species including those listed in Action 1 above	# Species monitored	C	\$105,300
Goal V: Remove exotic and invasive plants and animals from the park and conduct needed maintenance- control.MeasurePlanning PeriodEstimated Manpower and Expense Cost* (10-vears)Objective A Action 1Annually treat 15-35 acres of exotic plant species in the park.# Acres treatedC\$1,039,300Action 1Annually develop/update exotic plant management work plan.# Acres treatedC\$1,039,300Action 2Implement annual work plan by treating 15-35 acres in park, annually, and continuing maintenance and follow-up treatments, as needed.Plan implemented\$808,500Action 3Conduct surveys of existing infestations along with searching other locations for new infestationsPlan implemented\$218,000Action 4Continue to participate as board members for the Central Florida Cooperative Invasive Species Management Areas (CISMA)# Species for which control measures implementedC\$46,900Objective BImplement control measures on 5 exotic and nuisance animal species in the park.# Species for which control measures implementedC\$46,900Action 1Removal of feral hogs, 9-band armadillos, coyotes, and feral cats\$38,500Action 2Reinstate removal plan for Cuban tree frogs\$84,500	Action	Continue with the monitoring efforts for hooded pitcher-plants, yellow-flowered butterwort and giant			\$105.300
Goal V: Remove exotic and invasive plants and animals from the park and conduct needed maintenance- control.       Measure       Planning Planning Planning Planoully       Estimated Manpower and Expense Cost* (10-vears)         Objective A       Annually treat 15-35 acres of exotic plant species in the park.       # Acres treated       C       \$1,039,300         Action 1       Annually develop/update exotic plant management work plan.       Plan developed/updated       C       \$8,300         Action 2       Implement annual work plan by treating 15-35 acres in park, annually, and continuing maintenance and follow-up treatments, as needed.       Plan implemented       C       \$808,500         Action 3       Conduct surveys of existing infestations along with searching other locations for new infestations       Plan implemented       \$218,000         Action 4       Continue to participate as board members for the Central Florida Cooperative Invasive Species Management Areas (CISMA)       \$4,500         Objective B       Implement control measures on 5 exotic and nuisance animal species in the park.       # Species for which control measures implemented       C       \$46,900         Action 1       Removal of feral hogs, 9-band armadillos, coyotes, and feral cats       \$4.500       \$88,500         Action 2       Reinstate removal plan for Cuban tree frogs       \$84,500       \$84,600		ground orchid.			+,
Goal V: Remove exotic and invasive plants and animals from the park and conduct needed maintenance- control.       Measure       Planning Period       Manpower and Expense Cost* (10-years)         Objective A       Annually treat 15-35 acres of exotic plant species in the park.       # Acres treated       C       \$1,039,300         Action 1       Annually develop/update exotic plant management work plan.       Plan developed/updated       C       \$8,300         Action 2       Implement annual work plan by treating 15-35 acres in park, annually, and continuing maintenance and follow-up treatments, as needed.       Plan implemented       \$808,500         Action 3       Conduct surveys of existing infestations along with searching other locations for new infestations       Plan implemented       \$218,000         Action 4       Continue to participate as board members for the Central Florida Cooperative Invasive Species Management Areas (CISMA)       \$4,500         Objective B       Implement control measures on 5 exotic and nuisance animal species in the park.       # Species for which control measures implemented       C       \$46,900         Action 2       Removal of feral hogs, 9-band armadillos, coyotes, and feral cats       \$       \$38,500         Action 2       Reinstate removal plan for Cuban tree frogs       \$\$38,500					Estimated
control.       Period       Expense Cost* (10-vears)         Objective A       Annually treat 15-35 acres of exotic plant species in the park.       # Acres treated       C       \$1,039,300         Action 1       Annually develop/update exotic plant management work plan.       Plan developed/updated       C       \$8,300         Action 2       Implement annual work plan by treating 15-35 acres in park, annually, and continuing maintenance and follow-up treatments, as needed.       Plan implemented       \$808,500         Action 3       Conduct surveys of existing infestations along with searching other locations for new infestations Management Areas (CISMA)       \$218,000         Objective B       Implement control measures on 5 exotic and nuisance animal species in the park. Action 1       # Species for which control measures implemented       C       \$46,900         Action 1       Removal of feral hogs, 9-band armadillos, coyotes, and feral cats       # Species for which control measures implemented       \$38,500         Action 2       Reinstate removal plan for Cuban tree frogs       \$38,400       \$38,500	Goal V: Rem	ove exotic and invasive plants and animals from the park and conduct needed maintenance-	Measure	Planning	Manpower and
(10-vears)         Objective A       Annually treat 15-35 acres of exotic plant species in the park.       # Acres treated       C       \$1,039,300         Action 1       Annually develop/update exotic plant management work plan.       Plan developed/updated       C       \$8,300         Action 2       Implement annual work plan by treating 15-35 acres in park, annually, and continuing maintenance and follow-up treatments, as needed.       Plan implemented       \$808,500         Action 3       Conduct surveys of existing infestations along with searching other locations for new infestations       Plan implemented       \$218,000         Action 4       Continue to participate as board members for the Central Florida Cooperative Invasive Species       \$4,500         Objective B       Implement control measures on 5 exotic and nuisance animal species in the park.       # Species for which control measures implemented       \$38,500         Action 1       Removal of feral hogs, 9-band armadillos, coyotes, and feral cats       4       \$38,500       \$38,500         Action 2       Reinstate removal plan for Cuban tree frogs       \$38,500       \$38,500       \$38,500	control.		Medsule	Period	Expense Cost*
Objective A       Annually treat 15-35 acres of exotic plant species in the park.       # Acres treated       C       \$\$1,039,300         Action 1       Annually develop/update exotic plant management work plan.       Plan developed/updated       C       \$\$8,300         Action 2       Implement annual work plan by treating 15-35 acres in park, annually, and continuing maintenance and follow-up treatments, as needed.       Plan implemented       \$\$808,500         Action 3       Conduct surveys of existing infestations along with searching other locations for new infestations       Implemented       \$\$218,000         Action 4       Continue to participate as board members for the Central Florida Cooperative Invasive Species       \$\$4,500         Management Areas (CISMA)       Implement control measures on 5 exotic and nuisance animal species in the park.       # Species for which control measures implemented       \$\$38,500         Action 1       Removal of feral hogs, 9-band armadillos, coyotes, and feral cats       Implemented       \$\$38,500         Action 2       Reinstate removal plan for Cuban tree frogs       \$\$38,500				1	(10-years)
Action 1Annually develop/update exotic plant management work plan.Plan developed/updatedC\$8,300Action 2Implement annual work plan by treating 15-35 acres in park, annually, and continuing maintenance and follow-up treatments, as needed.Plan implemented\$808,500Action 3Conduct surveys of existing infestations along with searching other locations for new infestationsPlan implemented\$218,000Action 4Continue to participate as board members for the Central Florida Cooperative Invasive Species Management Areas (CISMA)\$4,500\$4,500Objective BImplement control measures on 5 exotic and nuisance animal species in the park. measures implemented# Species for which control measures implementedC\$38,500Action 1Removal of feral hogs, 9-band armadillos, coyotes, and feral cats\$38,500\$38,500Action 2Reinstate removal plan for Cuban tree frogs\$38,500	Objective A	Annually treat 15-35 acres of exotic plant species in the park.	# Acres treated	С	\$1,039,300
Action 2       Implement annual work plan by treating 15-35 acres in park, annually, and continuing maintenance and follow-up treatments, as needed.       Plan implemented       \$808,500         Action 3       Conduct surveys of existing infestations along with searching other locations for new infestations       \$218,000         Action 4       Continue to participate as board members for the Central Florida Cooperative Invasive Species       \$4,500         Management Areas (CISMA)       Implement control measures on 5 exotic and nuisance animal species in the park.       # Species for which control measures implemented         Action 1       Removal of feral hogs, 9-band armadillos, coyotes, and feral cats       \$38,500         Action 2       Reinstate removal plan for Cuban tree frogs       \$808,500	Action	1 Annually develop/update exotic plant management work plan.	Plan developed/updated	С	\$8,300
and follow-up treatments, as needed.       and follow-up treatments, as needed.       and follow-up treatments, as needed.         Action 3       Conduct surveys of existing infestations along with searching other locations for new infestations       \$218,000         Action 4       Continue to participate as board members for the Central Florida Cooperative Invasive Species       \$4,500         Management Areas (CISMA)       Implement control measures on 5 exotic and nuisance animal species in the park.       # Species for which control measures implemented       C         Action 1       Removal of feral hogs, 9-band armadillos, coyotes, and feral cats       implement control measures for Cuban tree frogs       \$38,500	Action 2	2 Implement annual work plan by treating 15-35 acres in park, annually, and continuing maintenance	Plan implemented		\$808,500
Action 3       Conduct surveys of existing infestations along with searching other locations for new infestations       \$218,000         Action 4       Continue to participate as board members for the Central Florida Cooperative Invasive Species Management Areas (CISMA)       \$4,500         Objective B       Implement control measures on 5 exotic and nuisance animal species in the park.       # Species for which control measures implemented       C       \$46,900         Action 1       Removal of feral hogs, 9-band armadillos, coyotes, and feral cats        \$38,500         Action 2       Reinstate removal plan for Cuban tree frogs        \$8,400		and follow-up treatments, as needed.			
Action 4       Continue to participate as board members for the Central Florida Cooperative Invasive Species       \$4,500         Management Areas (CISMA)       Implement control measures on 5 exotic and nuisance animal species in the park.       # Species for which control measures       C       \$46,900         Action 1       Removal of feral hogs, 9-band armadillos, coyotes, and feral cats       Implement control measures       Section 1       Section 2       Section 1       Section 2       Section 2       Section 3       Section 4       Section 4 <t< td=""><td>Action</td><td><sup>3</sup>Conduct surveys of existing infestations along with searching other locations for new infestations</td><td></td><td></td><td>\$218,000</td></t<>	Action	<sup>3</sup> Conduct surveys of existing infestations along with searching other locations for new infestations			\$218,000
Management Areas (CISMA)       Management Areas (CISMA)         Objective B       Implement control measures on 5 exotic and nuisance animal species in the park.       # Species for which control measures       C       \$46,900         Action 1       Removal of feral hogs, 9-band armadillos, coyotes, and feral cats       Implement control measures implemented       Section 2       Section 2       Reinstate removal plan for Cuban tree frogs       \$8,400	Action 4	4 Continue to participate as board members for the Central Florida Cooperative Invasive Species			\$4,500
Objective B       Implement control measures on 5 exotic and nuisance animal species in the park.       # Species for which control measures       C       \$46,900         Action 1       Removal of feral hogs, 9-band armadillos, coyotes, and feral cats       Implemented       Implemented       Implemented       \$38,500         Action 2       Reinstate removal plan for Cuban tree frogs       Implemented       \$8,400		Management Areas (CISMA)			
Action 1       Removal of feral hogs, 9-band armadillos, coyotes, and feral cats       measures implemented       \$38,500         Action 2       Reinstate removal plan for Cuban tree frogs       \$8,400	Objective B	Implement control measures on 5 exotic and nuisance animal species in the park.	# Species for which control	С	\$46,900
Action 1 Removal of feral hogs, 9-band armadillos, coyotes, and feral cats Action 2 Reinstate removal plan for Cuban tree frogs \$8,400			measures implemented		
Action 2 Reinstate removal plan for Cuban tree frogs	Action	1 Removal of feral hogs, 9-band armadillos, covotes, and feral cats			\$38.500
	Action	2 Reinstate removal plan for Cuban tree frogs			\$8,400

\* 2017 Dollars ST = actions within 2 years LT = actions within 10 years C = long term or short term actions that are continuous or cyclical UFN = currently unfunded need

NOTE: TH	IE DIVISION'S ABILITY TO COMPLETE THE OBJECTIVES OUTLINED BY	Y THE MANAGEMENT		;
CONTING	ENT ON THE AVAILABILITY OF FUNDING AND OTHER RESOURCES FO	R THESE PURPOSES		Estimated
Goal VI: Prot	ect, preserve and maintain the cultural resources of the park.	Measure	Planning Period	Expense Cost* (10-vears)
Objective A	Assess and evaluate 53 of 82 recorded cultural resources in the park.	Documentation complete	LT	\$20,000
Action	1 Complete 47 assessments/evaluations of archaeological sites. Prioritize preservation and stabilization projects.	Assessments complete	LT, ST	\$10,300
Action 2	2 Complete 6 Historic Structures Reports (HSR's) for historic buildings and cultural landscape. Prioritize stabilization, restoration and rehabilitation projects.	Reports and priority lists completed	LT	\$9,700
Objective B	Compile reliable documentation for all recorded historic and archaeological sites.	Documentation complete	LT	\$35,300
Action	1 Ensure all known sites are recorded or updated in the Florida Master Site File.	# Sites recorded or updated	ST	\$9,300
Action 2	2 Complete a predictive model for high, medium and low probability of locating archaeological sites within the park/Conduct Level 1 archaeological survey for all priority areas identified by predictive model or other previous study.	Probability Map completed	ST	\$26,000
Objective C	Bring 28 of 82 recorded cultural resources into good condition.	# Sites in good condition	LT	\$57,100
Action	1 Design and implement regular monitoring programs for all cultural sites.	# Sites monitored	С	\$9,700
Action 2	2 Create and implement a cyclical maintenance program for each cultural resource.	Programs implemented	С	\$21,400
Action	3 Survey and document all new cultural sites within park boundaries	Projects completed	LT, ST	\$26,000
				Estimated
Goal VII: Pro	ovide public access and recreational opportunities in the park.	Measure	Planning Period	Manpower and Expense Cost* (10-years)
Objective A	Maintain the park's current recreational carrying capacity of 5,108 users per day.	<pre># Recreation/visitor opportunities per day</pre>	С	\$6,450,000
Objective B	Expand the park's recreational carrying capacity by 734 users per day.	# Recreation/visitor	ST or LT	\$927,000
Objective C	Continue to provide the current repertoire of 3 interpretive, educational and recreational programs on a regular basis.	# Interpretive/education programs	С	\$15,000
Objective D	Develop 1 new interpretive, educational and recreational programs.	# Interpretive/education programs	ST or LT	\$7,000

\* 2017 Dollars ST = actions within 2 years LT = actions within 10 years C = long term or short term actions that are continuous or cyclical UFN = currently unfunded need

# NOTE: THE DIVISION'S ABILITY TO COMPLETE THE OBJECTIVES OUTLINED BY THE MANAGE CONTINGENT ON THE AVAILABILITY OF FUNDING AND OTHER RESOURCES FOR THESE PURF

Goal VIII: Develop and maintain the capital facilities and infrastructure necessary to meet the goals and Measure objectives of this management plan.

<b>Objective A</b>	Maintain all public and support facilities in the park.	Facilities maintaine
Objective B	Expand maintenance activities as existing facilities are improved and new facilities are	Facilities maintaine
	developed.	
<b>Objective C</b>	Continue to implement the park's transition plan to ensure facilities are accessible in	Plan implemented
	accordance with the American with Disabilities Act of 1990.	
Objective D	Improve/repair 8 existing facilities and 1.5 miles of road as identified in the Land Use	# Facilities/Miles o
	Component.	Trail/Miles of Road
Objective E	Construct 5 new facilites and 18.5 miles of trail as identified in the Land Use Component.	# Facilities/Miles o
		Trail/Miles of Road

### **Summary of Estimated Costs**

Management Categories	
Resource Management	
Administration and Support	
Capital Improvements	5
Recreation Visitor Services	5
Law Enforcement Activities	Note: Law enforce
	conducted by the I
	local law enforcem

EMENT POSES	PLAN IS	
		Estimated
	Planning	Manpower and
	Period	Expense Cost*
		(10-years)
ed	С	\$2,550,000
ed	С	\$367,000
	ST or LT	\$25,000
f	LT	\$1,138,000
f	LT	\$3,700,000
		1
		Total Estimated
		Manpower and
		Fynense Cost*
		(10-vears)
		\$9 118 100
		\$361,200
		\$301,200
		\$5,230,000
mont acti	vitios in Flori	a State Parks are
-WC Divis	tion of Law Fr	of or cement and hy
ent agen	ries	noi cernent and by
ioni ugon	0.00.	

\* 2017 Dollars ST = actions within 2 years LT = actions within 10 years C = long term or short term actions that are continuous or cyclical UFN = currently unfunded need

Addendum 1—Acquisition History

LAND ACQUISITION HISTORY REPORT								
Park Name	Park Name Wekiwa Springs State Park							
Date Updated	4/13/2016	4/13/2016						
County	Orange, Lake, a	nd Seminole counties						
Trustees Lease Number	Lease No. 2386							
Current Park Size	9,503.90 acres		<u>0</u>					
Purpose of Acquisition	The State of Florida acquired Wekiwa Springs State Park to protect and conserve resources which are critically important to the continued viability of an environmental system in the area.							
Acquisition History								
,,					Instrument			
Parcel Name or Parcel DM-ID	Date Acquired	Initial Seller	Initial Purchaser	Size in acres	Туре			
MDID 2937	4/30/1969	Apopka Sport's Club, Inc.	Trustees of the Internal Improvement Fund of the state of Florida	5944.524	Warranty Deed			
					Warranty			
MDID 358931	10/3/2008	Project Orlando LLC	Trustees	345.278	Deed			
			The Board of Trustees of the		Sppcial			
	6/25/1002	The Nature Concernent:	Internal Improvement Trust Fund	E20 EC2	Warranty			
MDID11686	6/25/1993	The Nature Conservancy	of the state of Fionda (Trutees)	538.562	Trustee's			
					Property			
MDID 347275	2/28/2007	Barn LLP	Trustees	525.455	Deed			
					Special			
MDID 359921	3/24/2009	Expressway Authority	Trustees	307 159	Deed			
	3/24/2003	Expressively Additionary	Hustees	307.135	Deeu			
					County			
MDID 258725	6/25/1993	Seminote Couty, Florida	Trustees	258.725	Deed			
					Warranty			
MDID 15308	11/30/1998	Conway D. Kittredge	Trustees	152.837	Deed			
	11/00/1990	conva) Brattreage	Hastees	102.007	Deed			
					Warranty			
MDID 207	11/1/1994	Dorothy L. Boehme	Trustees	151.301	Deed			
			Trustees of Internal Improvement		Warrantv			
MDID 2940	4/30/1969	Conway D. Kittredge, Inc.	Fund of the state of Florida	102.891	Deed			
			Trustees of Internal Improvement		Warranty			
MDID 2941	4/30/1969	Conway D. Kittredge, Inc.	Fund of the State of Florida	81.625	Deed			
MDID 12807	11/5/1996	Randall E. McCall and Ruth I Crose Trustees	The Board of Trustees of the Internal Improvement Trust Fund of the State of Florida (Trutees)	41.014	Warranty Deed			
		Hollis O. McCall			Marriet			
MDID12806	11/5/1996	and his wife Beverly L McCall	Trustees	41 014	vvarranty Deed			
101012000	11/ 5/ 1550	Deverty J. Wiccall	nustees	41.014	Deeu			
MDID2942	4/21/1971	Loretta M. Edwards	Trustees	40.29	Indenture			
					Quit-Claim			
MDID 11843	10/24/1991	STS Land Associates, L.P.	Trustees	24.99	Deed			
		,						
MDIDOCODO	12/2/2000		Trust	14.54	Warranty			
Management Lease	12/2/2008	Project Orlando L.L.C.	Irustees	14.61	Deed			
wanagement Lease								
Parcel Name or Lease Number	Date Leased	Initial Lessor	Initial Lessee	Term	Date			
a der Hume of Lease Humber	Dute Leased	initial Lesson	The State of Florida Department of	iem	Date			
		The Board of Trustees of the	Environmental Protection for the					
Losso No. 3396	0/15/1000	Internal Improvement Trust	use and benefit of Division of	00.000	0/14/2000			
Lease No. 2386	א א א א א א א א א א א א א א א א א א א							
Outstanding Issue	Instrument	Brief Description of	of the Outstanding Issue		Sue			
There is no known deed			0					
related restriction on use of								
Wekiwa Springs State Park.								

A 1 - 1

LAND ACQUISITION HISTORY REPORT						
Park Name	Rock Springs Ru	in State Reserve				
Date Updated	3/4/2016	3/4/2016				
County	Orange, Lake a	nd Seminole Counties				
Trustees Lease Number	Lease No. 3571					
Current Park Size	14,164.82 acres	5				
Purpose of Acquisition	The Board of Trustees of the Internal Improvement Trust Fund of the State of Florida has acquired Rock Springs Run State Reserve to conserve, protect and enhance the resoruces of the property.					
Acquisition History						
					Instrument	
Parcel Name or Parcel DM-ID	Date Acquired	Initial Seller	Initial Purchaser Board of Trustees of the Internal	Size in acres	Туре	
			Improvement Trust Fund of the		Warranty	
MDID 4063	3/10/1983	Delrando, Inc.	State of Florida (Trustees)	7,593.86	Deed	
					Statutory	
					Warranty	
MDID 11841	10/24/1991	STS Associates, L.P.	Trustees	1825.943	Deed	
MDID 4054	3/21/1990	M. K. Citrus Limited Parnership	Trustees	1750.289	Indenture	
MDID 4053	3/21/1990	B.M.K. Ranches, Inc.	Trustees	898.987	Indenture	
MDID 2097	3/21/1990	B. M. K. Ranches, Inc.	Trustees	627.661	Indenture	
Twelve Different Instruments	various dates	different sellers	Trustees	550.51	Different Instruments	
MDID 4064	3/10/1983	Delrando, Inc.	Trustees	351.349	Warranty Deed	
Management Lease				Commonst	Funimation	
Parcel Name or Lease Number	Date Leased	Initial Lessor	Initial Lessee	Term	Date	
Lease No. 3571	3/7/2006 Type of	The Board of Trustees of the Internal Improvement Trust Fund of the State of Florida	State of Florida Department Of Natural Resources	50 years Term of the	3/6/2056 Outstanding	
There is no known deed- related outstanding issue that restricts the use of Rock Springs Run State Reserve			T THE OULSLANDING ISSUE	155	ри С 	

LAND ACQUISITION HISTORY REPORT						
Park Name	Lower Wekiva F	River Preserve State Park				
Date Updated	3/28/2016					
County	Lake County and	d Seminole Couty, FL				
Trustees Lease Number	Lease No. 2950					
Current Park Size	17,374.83 acres		÷	·		
Purpose of Acquisition	The Board of Trustees of the Internal Improvement Trust Fund of the state of Florida has acquired Lower <b>n</b> Wekiva River Preserve State Park to protect and preserve the upland, the submerged lands and water resources in the area.					
Acquisition History						
Parcel Name or Parcel DM-ID	Date Acquired	Initial Seller	Initial Purchaser	Size in acres	Instrument Type	
		Sydney J. Roche , Jr.	Board of Trustees of the Internal			
	0/7/1005	and	Improvement Trust Fund of the	011.00	Warranty	
MDID 5838	8/7/1995	Kurt E. Grosman	State of Florida (Trustees)	811.93	Deed	
MDID 5839	8/7/1995	Sydney J. Roche , Jr. and Kurt E. Grosman	Trustees	172.031	Warranty Deed	
		St. Johns Water Management			Fee Simple	
MDID 11586	9/26/1990	District	Trustees	162.462	Deed	
MDID 12954	3/20/1997	William V. Beville his wife Nancy I. Bevile	Trustees	51 205	Warranty Deed	
1010 12554	5/20/1557	Nulley J. Devile	indices	51.205	Deca	
MDID 3542	8/19/1976	Barnette Bank of Winter Park	Trustees	24.369	Trustee Deed	
MDID 15527	11/16/1008	and	Trustoos	10 247	Warranty	
10101001	11/10/1998	Marie D Francolino	inustees	10.247	Deeu	
MDID15538	10/6/1998	and Mary Ann Trojano	Trustees	10.017	Warranty Deed	
Management Lease						
				Current	Expiration	
Parcel Name or Lease Number	Date Leased	Initial Lessor	Initial Lessee	Term	Date	
Lease No. 2950	4/4/1977	The Board of Trustees of the Internal Improvement Trust Fund of the State of Florida	The State of Florida Department of Natural Resources	99 years	4/3/2076 Outstanding	
Outstanding Issue	Instrument	nt Brief Description of the Outstanding Issue Issue			sue	
There is no known deed- related issue that affects use of Lower Wekiva River Preserve State Park			-			

Addendum 2—Advisory Group Members and Report

#### Local Government Representatives

The Honorable Timothy Sullivan, Chair, Lake County Board of County Commissioners

The Honorable Teresa Jacobs, Mayor, Orange County Board of County Commissioners

The Honorable John Horan, Chair, Seminole County Board of County Commissioners

#### Agency Representatives

Robert Brooks, Park Manager Division of Recreation and Parks Wekiva River Basin State Parks

Timothy Blevins Orange County Soil and Water Conservation District

Shannon Wright, Regional Director Florida Fish and Wildlife Conservation Commission

John Miklos, Chair St. Johns River Water Management District

Mike Wisenbaker, Archaeologist Florida Department of State Division of Historical Resources

Deborah Shelley, Manager Florida Coastal Office Wekiva River Aquatic Preserve

Michael Edwards Florida Forest Service

Jaime Doubek-Racine, Director National Park Service Wild and Scenic Rivers Laura Kelley, Executive Director Central Florida Expressway Authority

#### Environmental and Conservation Group Representatives

John Pottinger, President Friends of the Wekiva River

Deborah Green, Vice President Orange Audubon Society

#### Recreational User Group Representatives

Rolando Coto, Vice President Florida Trail Blazers

Mitchell Greenberg, Southern Off-Road Bicycle Association, Orlando Chapter

#### Tourism and Economic Development Representative

Adam Sumner Lake County Tourist Development Council

#### Local Private Property Owner

Bob Steinkamp, Local Resident Adjacent Property Owner

#### **Citizen Support Organization**

Don Philpott, President Wekiva Wilderness Trust The advisory group meeting to review the proposed unit management plan for the Wekiva River Basin State Parks was held at the Wekiwa Springs State Park Youth Camp Recreation Hall on March 30, 2017 at 9:00 am.

Wendy Poag represented Chairman Timothy Sullivan. Melanie Brodhead represented Mayor Teresa Jacobs. Brian Baine and Jean Marie Connor represented Shannon Wright. Joseph Bishop represented Michael Edwards. Nancy Prine represented John Pottinger. Mark McDuffie represented Mitchell Greenberg. John Horan, Timothy Blevins, Jaime Doubek-Racine, and Adam Sumner were not in attendance. All other appointed advisory group members were present, as well as Rosi Mulholland, Weegie Henry, Gabrielle Milch, Chuck Shultz, Paul Devel, Debbie LaFreniere, Carol Spelzhc, Judy Spires, and Russell Bryant. Attending staff were Larry Fooks, Robert Brooks, Paul Lammardo, Jacqueline Deming, and Tyler Maldonado.

Mr. Maldonado began the meeting by explaining the purpose of the advisory group and reviewing the meeting agenda. He provided a brief overview of the Division of Recreation and Parks' (DRP) planning process, and Robert Brooks summarized public comments received during the previous evening's public workshop. Mr. Maldonado then asked each member of the advisory group to express his or her comments on the plans.

During the two-week public comment period following the advisory group meeting, the DRP received numerous emails from members of the public about the Wekiva River Basin State Parks unit management plan. The major themes of these emails are as follows:

- Local residents highlighted the entrance and traffic circulation issues experienced at the Wekiwa Springs State Park entrance on busy weekends and holidays. As stated in the management plan, a solution that includes the DRP, Orange County, and Seminole County will be explored.
- Concerns were raised with the proposed redesign and improvement of the springhead area, citing potentially detrimental implications for the ecological carrying capacity of Wekiwa Springs. The clearing of vegetation around the springhead area was also a point of contention.
- A common recommendation received was to increase funding or entrance fees to pay for additional resources to conduct natural resource management activities or personnel for day-to-day management of the entrance and springhead area.

### Summary of Advisory Group Comments

**Brian Baine** (Florida Fish and Wildlife Conservation Commission Law Enforcement) explained that he is with FWC law enforcement and the Wekiva River Basin State Parks fall under their jurisdiction, in cooperation with local law enforcement. He was asked about law enforcement issues at the parks. Mr. Baine stated that the he has

seen a slight change in the law enforcement issues at the parks as a result of population growth. The growth in people living in the surrounding communities and near the park boundaries have stretched resources, making FWC law enforcement more difficult.

**Jean Marie Conner** (Florida Fish and Wildlife Conservation Commission) thanked the DRP for involving FWC in the management plan review process. She stated that FWC will provide written comments.

**Deborah Green** (Orange Audubon Society) complimented park staff on the extraordinary natural habitats, particularly the sandhill, at the Wekiva River Basin State Parks. She commented on the sandhill natural community that is endangered statewide due to development. She expressed her hope that prescribed burning activities and other sandhill restoration efforts would continue. She stated that these activities are resource intensive and should receive the resources needed to implement restoration efforts. Ms. Green suggested the DRP explore higher admission fees in order to generate additional revenue for natural community restoration activities.

**Wendy Poag** (Lake County Parks and Trails) asked about the percentage of the sandhill natural community that is considered to be in maintenance condition. She suggested that the scrub jay should be added to the imperiled species list. She commented on the resource management goals and objectives section, stating that there should be goals and objectives related to on-the-ground monitoring and documenting of rare plant species.

**Don Philpott** (Wekiva Wilderness Trust) recommended clarifying language in the management plan as much as possible. He inquired about the site design details associated with the redesigned entrance and springhead area. It was explained that the management plan is conceptual in nature and does not include specific site designs. Once project funding becomes available, site designs and engineering plans are developed by FDEP's Bureau of Design and Construction.

**John Miklos** (St. Johns River Water Management District) commented that it would be helpful as an illustrative tool to show the previous plan's management goals side-by-side with what has been accomplished since that plan was approved. It was stated that the Implementation Component lists the management accomplishments since the last management plan, but the information is not currently in the format that Mr. Miklos suggested. He expressed that these accomplishments, regarding exotic and nuisance species in particular, are important for developing a functional management plan that incorporates goals and objectives based on a solid foundation of data from previous years. He also asked about the issues associated with using outside contractors for exotic species removal. It was explained that contractors have helped the park with exotic removal in challenging areas of the parks, and the use of contractors allows park staff to focus their attention on treating critical areas of the parks. Rosi Mulholland (St. Johns River Water Management District) began by stating that the water management district experiences similar issues to the Wekiva River Basin State Parks in regard to land management processes. She inquired about how close the DRP is to drafting the final version of the management plan. It was explained that the management plan is close to the end of the plan development process. After gathering public input through the public hearing and advisory group meetings, the DRP will send a revised version of the management plan to the Acquisition and Restoration Council (ARC) for consideration. This council is tasked with approving all Florida State Park management plans, ensuring that management plans are compliant with Florida statute requirements. It was also stated that ARC meetings are held in Tallahassee and are open to the public. Ms. Mulholland suggested the plan should include a resource management objective directly related to the scrub jay and preserving its habitat. She added that scrub jay translocation should be considered as a management technique. She noted the plan does not include language about cattle grazing or an operational plan associated with pasture restoration. She suggested that pasture restoration should be a lower priority when compared to other restoration efforts. She remarked on the exemplary condition of the sandhill natural community at the parks and recommended adding sandhill to the protected areas language in the Land Use Component. Lastly, she advised the DRP to add language outlining the footprint and ecological impact associated with the new training and conference facility proposal.

**Laura Kelley** (Central Florida Expressway Authority) stated she has been tasked with ensuring there are no issues with the current Wekiva Parkway construction phase, which is estimated to be completed within twelve months. This phase of construction is occurring adjacent to the Neighborhood Lakes tract of Wekiwa Springs State Park, and future segments of the Wekiva Parkway will be constructed adjacent to Rock Springs Run State Reserve and Lower Wekiva River Preserve State Park.

**Deborah Shelley** (Florida Department of Environmental Protection – Florida Coastal Office) mentioned that she is appreciative of the partnership with the Wekiva River Basin State Parks and stated that the Florida Coastal Office would be providing written comments based on their management plan for the Wekiva River Aquatic Preserve. She provided the DRP with specific pages where revisions need to be made in order to align the Wekiva River Basin State Parks management plan with the Wekiva River Aquatic Preserve management plan. These revisions will be addressed in the draft of the plan that will be sent to ARC for approval. She also asked for clarification regarding timber management and which specific species are considered for timber activities. It was explained that timbering is a tool for natural community restoration and would be used for restoration activities including the removal of hardwoods from natural communities such as scrub and sandhill.

**Virginia Oros** (Florida Department of Environmental Protection – Florida Coastal Office) added to the comments provided by Ms. Shelley. She inquired about timber management activities occurring during bear denning season. She suggested that best management practices should be included for conducting timber management activities during bear denning season.

**Mark McDuffie** (Southern Off-Road Bicycle Association, Orlando Chapter) thanked the park staff at the Wekiva River Basin State Parks for allowing the Southern Off-Road Bicycle Association (SOBRA) to develop off-road biking trails at the Markham Woods tract of Wekiwa Springs State Park. He stated that in 2016, SOBRA dedicated over 500 hours of volunteer work into developing more than 3 additional miles of trail, as well as new trail maps and signage. He suggested clarifying language in the management plan to make a clear distinction between off-road cycling that takes place on unpaved trails and cycling that occurs on paved surfaces. He commented that the length of proposed new trails seems to be a low figure given the population of the surrounding area and could be increased substantially. He expressed his desire to see between 50-100 miles of new trail developed at the Wekiva River Basin State Parks. He advocated for establishing regional trail connectivity and multi-use trails. Lastly, Mr. McDuffie offered SOBRA's support and assistance in developing new trails at the parks.

**Rolando Coto** (Florida Trail Blazers) stated that the Florida Trail Blazers have been involved with the Wekiva River Basin State Parks for over 25 years and have had a significant influence on the development of the equestrian use area at Lower Wekiva River Preserve State Park. He inquired about the proposed equestrian facility improvements at Rock Springs Run State Reserve. It was explained that given the construction of the Wekiva Parkway, the entrance of Rock Springs Run State Reserve will be relocated and the current equestrian use area will be formalized as the trail hub and parking area for the reserve. He commented on the proposed picnic pavilion and culvert improvements at Lower Wekiva River Preserve State Park and asked about the procedures related to the removal of feral hogs at the parks.

**Joseph Bishop** (Florida Forest Service) commended the DRP on the management plan, noting the difficulty in developing a comprehensive document. He praised the cooperation between Florida State Parks and the Florida Forest Service. He sought clarification on how single-use land management differs from multiple-use land management. It was explained that all land management techniques, including timber management activities, used by the DRP are for the purpose of conservation and resource-based recreation, as opposed to land management techniques used solely for the purpose of revenue generation. He encouraged the implementation of a scrub jay translocation program, citing a successful translocation program that has taken place at the Seminole State Forest. He noted that the management plan is missing a timber assessment addendum. It was stated that a timber assessment will be included in the revised management plan that is sent to ARC.

**Bob Steinkamp** (Local Private Property Owner) stated that as being a first-time participant in the advisory group process, the scope of the management plan seemed overwhelming. He commented on the black bear issue at and around the park, stating black bears are responsible for dragging garbage out of trash cans. He inquired about how residents can acquire bearproof trash cans, and he was directed to the FWC representative. Mr. Steinkamp asked if there is a notification system that residents can sign up for to be notified of when prescribed burning will be conducted at the parks. It was explained that residents can be included on an email list, and an experimental text message notification system could also be implemented in the future. He ended his comments by suggesting that fencing for park boundary security is needed in some areas.

**John Perrone** (City of Orlando) recommended the DRP should consider exploring cloud-based centralized databases for natural community, imperiled species, and exotic species inventorying. He stated this new technology could allow park visitors and local residents to input data into the database, which could then be verified by park staff. This type of open-source, user-based system could incorporate different levels of access to ensure the database is not inadvertently disrupted. He also mentioned that transitioning to a cloud-based database could allow park staff to input data in the field.

**Nancy Prine** (Friends of the Wekiva River) complimented Mr. Brooks and the park staff at the Wekiva River Basin State Parks on their management of the parks and their dedication to sandhill natural community restoration. She expressed her belief that conservation should take priority over recreation, and park users should be aware of issues associated with carrying capacity. She was pleased with the conversion of park facilities from septic systems to the municipal sewer system, citing this conversion as beneficial to protecting Wekiwa Springs and the Wekiva River. She remarked that she is optimistic about the progress being and pleased that different groups are working together for the protection of the parks and surrounding conservation lands. She noted that she remembers when scrub jays were more prevalent at the parks, but understands the management challenges related to sustaining the scrub jay population. She stated that she is excited about the proposed improvements to park facilities around the springhead. She encouraged the DRP to incorporate input from landscape design professionals and lessons from other springhead improvement projects. Lastly, Ms. Prine was concerned with prescribed burning and the potential impact to invertebrate species. She recommended developing a burn schedule that considers where invertebrate species populations are located at the parks.

**Melanie Brodhead** (Orange County) stated that she would like to see more detailed operational plans for resource management be included in the Wekiva River Basin State Parks management plan. It was explained that the management plan is long-range planning document meant to outline the steps that need to be taken over the next 10 years to achieve the stated management goals and objectives. Day-to-day maintenance and operational plans are available at the district level, but these types of plans are not incorporated into the scope of the management plan. She concluded by asking about recreational access to the Neighborhood Lakes tract of Wekiwa Springs State Park. It was stated that the management plan calls for the development of trailheads and trails once the current construction phase of the Wekiva Parkway is completed.

#### Summary of Written Comments from Advisory Group Members

**Deborah Green** (Orange Audubon Society) stated that the endangered sandhill natural community should be highlighted in the Purpose and Significance section of

the Introduction. Ms. Green expressed Orange Audubon Society's (OAS) opposition to conducting timbering activities specifically for revenue, while also acknowledging the role it plays in habitat management. She wrote OAS would like to see the addition of a bird blind at Wekiwa Springs State Park to enhance birding and wildlife viewing opportunities. In regard to the proposed training and conference facility, the OAS urged the DRP to make sure the expanded footprint is minimal and preserves the restored sandhill natural community. The written comments that were submitted can be read in full starting on page A 2 - 11.

**Nancy Prine** (Friends of the Wekiva River) suggested that language using locallyderived names, such as Fechtel and Wekiva Marina, should be replaced in the management plan with common names for those who may be unfamiliar with the Wekiva River Basin. She wrote that timber management and tram removal studies should be conducted as soon as possible in order to develop effective management measures that are needed over the next 10 years. She noted several pages where wording should be reviewed or sentences should be reworded entirely. Ms. Prine expressed her deep concern with the proposed expansion of recreational uses at the Wekiva River Basin State Parks. She urged the DRP to heavily consider the long-term sustainability of the parks' natural resources. The written comments that were submitted can be read in full starting on page A 2 - 13.

**Deborah Shelley** (Florida Department of Environmental Protection – Florida Coastal Office) submitted written comments with specific pages where information should be added, revised, or corrected. These revisions will be reflected in the draft of the management plan that will be considered by ARC for approval. The written comments that were submitted can be read in full starting on page A 2 - 17.

**Mike Wisenbaker** (Florida Department of State – Division of Historical Resources) provided recommendations on areas of the cultural resources section that need to be reviewed and revised. These revisions are related to accurately documenting the archaeological sites at the parks that have been recorded in the Florida Master Site File. The written comments submitted can be read in full starting on page A 2 – 21.

**Wendy Poag** (Lake County Parks and Trails) recommended highlighting the sandhill and scrub natural communities in the Purpose and Significance section of the Introduction, given those natural communities' state and globally threatened status. She advised the DRP to include in-depth data analysis, such as percentages of natural communities within the desired future condition and percent cover of exotic species, to support the plan's resource management goals and objectives. She suggested that all proposed infrastructure development should carefully consider the potential impacts to natural communities, especially the sandhill natural community. The written comments that were submitted can be read in full starting on page A 2 - 23.

**Mitchell Greenberg** (Southern Off-Road Bicycle Association, Orlando Chapter) wrote about SOBRA's accomplishments at the Markham Woods tract of Wekiwa Springs State Park. He recommended clarifying terms in the management plan associated with off-road cycling and trail development. Specific recommendations

on recreational facilities that should be added to the Conceptual Land Use Plan include facilities that support and complement off-road cycling such as boardwalks, on-trail lighting, storm shelters, primitive campsites, multi-use trails, and biking specific amenities at trailheads. In addition to these traditional facilities, Mr. Greenberg would like the DRP to consider the inclusion of man-made features that are appropriate for the rated difficulty of a trail or trail segment. He also suggested increasing the length of proposed new trails from the current proposal of 18.5 miles to 70 miles across the three parks. The written comments that were submitted can be read in full starting on page A 2 - 25.

**Michael Edwards** (Florida Forest Service) recommended seeking additional cooperation and assistance with prescribed burning from the Florida Forest Service. He wrote that a timber assessment is required by statute and offered the Florida Forest Service's assistance if a private contractor is unable to complete the timber assessment. He suggested maintaining a GIS database of exotic species infestation and treatment areas. He offered other suggestions related to recreational facilities and hydrology. The written comments that were submitted can be read in full starting on page A 2 - 35.

### **Summary of Public Comments**

**Russell Bryant** (Wekiwa Swimmers) expressed his concerns with the proposed improvements around the springhead area, stating that the improvements outlined in the management plan would have detrimental impacts to the aesthetics of the springhead area and the water quality of Wekiwa Springs. Mr. Bryant submitted written comments as well, which can be seen in full starting on page A 2 - 37.

**Gabrielle Milch** (Local Resident) stated her concerns with adjacent land uses and environmental impacts to the Wekiva River Basin. She commented this represents an opportunity to educate local residents on the impact that land uses can have on natural and cultural resources. She would like to see the creation of an adult education curriculum used to establish of a common understanding on the connection between development, increased usage of park resources, and impacts on natural resources. It was stated that outreach programs are implemented by the St. Johns River Water Management District, as well as by other volunteer groups such as the Wekiva Wilderness Trust. The Learning in Florida's Environment (LIFE) program was given as an example in which it is the mission of the program to have every state park in the system used as an outdoor classroom to educate the next generation of environmental stewards.

**Catherine Bowman** (Florida Native Plant Society) suggested that the sandhill and scrub natural communities should be specifically highlighted in the Purpose and Significance section of the Introduction. She recommended adding measurable characteristics and attributes to the desired future conditions sub-sections of the Natural Communities section of the Resource Management Component. She stated the action items associated with resource management objectives should include specific and measurable criteria. She advised the DRP to incorporate a discussion of how recreational carrying capacity figures in the Land Use Component are

calculated. In addition to comments provided by Ms. Bowman, representatives from the Lake County and Orange County chapters of the Florida Native Plant Society also submitted written comments. While these comments were largely aligned with Ms. Bowman's comments, the local chapters stressed the importance of protecting the sandhill natural community that has been acknowledged statewide as an ideal example of sandhill restoration and maintenance. It was strongly recommended that any new infrastructure development be done so on land that has been previously disturbed. The written comments that were submitted from both the statewide and local chapters of the Florida Native Plants Society can be read in full starting on page A 2 - 41.

### **Staff Recommendations**

The staff recommends approval of the proposed management plan for the Wekiva River Basin State Parks as presented, with the following significant changes:

- Language will be added to the Purpose and Significance section of the Introduction to highlight the significance of the scrub and sandhill natural communities to the Wekiva River Basin State Parks.
- The Prehistoric and Historic Archaeological Sites sub-section in the Cultural Resources section of the Resource Management Component will be revised to accurately reflect the Florida Master Site File. Table 4 (Cultural Sites Listed in the Florida Master Site File) will be updated accordingly.
- Specific proposed improvements and new developments in the Conceptual Land Use Plan will be revised to clarify the vision and parameters of these projects. The proposed training/conference facility language will be updated to ensure minimal impact to the surrounding sandhill natural community.

#### Notes on Composition of the Advisory Group

Florida Statutes Chapter 259.032 Paragraph 10(b) establishes a requirement that all state land management plans for properties greater than 160 acres will be reviewed by an advisory group:

"Individual management plans required by s. 253.034(5), for parcels over 160 acres, shall be developed with input from an advisory group. Members of this advisory group shall include, at a minimum, representatives of the lead land managing agency, co-managing entities, local private property owners, the appropriate soil and water conservation district, a local conservation organization, and a local elected official."

Advisory groups that are composed in compliance with these requirements complete the review of State park management plans. Additional members may be appointed to the groups, such as a representative of the park's Citizen Support

A 2 - 9

Organization (if one exists), representatives of the recreational activities that exist in or are planned for the park, or representatives of any agency with an ownership interest in the property. Special issues or conditions that require a broader representation for adequate review of the management plan may require the appointment of additional members. The Division's intent in making these appointments is to create a group that represents a balanced cross-section of the park's stakeholders. Decisions on appointments are made on a case-by-case basis by Division of Recreation and Parks staff.

#### Wekiva River Basin State Parks Advisory Group Written Comments



# **Orange Audubon Society**

(A Chapter of National and Florida Audubon Societies) PO Box 941142, Maitland, FL 32794-1142 www.orangeaudubonfl.org

April 14, 2017

Mr. Tyler Maldonado Office of Park Planning Florida Department of Environmental Protection Division of Recreation and Parks 3900 Commonwealth Blvd., MS 525 Tallahassee, Florida 32399 – 3000

Dear Mr. Maldonado:

Orange Audubon Society, with 2000 member households in Central Florida, appreciates the opportunity to have a representative on the Advisory Group for the Wekiva River Basin Parks Ten year Management Plan. The Wekiva River Basin Parks are beloved by large segments of the Central Florida public and by our members. As part of the Wekiva Coalition, Orange Audubon Society has been working toward additional conservation and recreation land purchases in the Wekiva-Ocala Greenway. We also speak up for additions to funding for park management, rather than continued cutbacks. The quality of the parks' habitats takes considerable staff to maintain.

The following are our comments on the Ten-year Management Plan.

- Park Significance (p. 2) should include that Wekiwa Springs State Park encompasses the largest acreage of the endangered sandhill habitat in the Florida State Park system. On p. 52 it states that "at Wekiwa Springs State Park, there are 1,246.45 acres of sandhill located in the western and southern portions of the park. Most of the sandhill is in excellent condition due to the park's active burn program." Before human settlement, vast expanses of longleaf pines covered the coastal plains from Virginia to Florida and as far west as eastern Texas, but only a fraction remains. With the reduction in acreage of this habitat throughout the Southeast, a large number of species specific to this habitat have become imperiled. The Wekiva River Basins Parks protect many imperiled species of the sandhill habitat. Since maintenance of this endangered habitat requires continuation of the active prescribed burn program and this is expensive, we believe it is important to emphasize the value of this endangered habitat at the start of the document.
- On P. 8. It states: "The potential for generating revenue to enhance management was also analyzed. Visitor fees and charges are the principal source of revenue generated by the park. It was determined that timber management utilized as part of the park's natural community management and restoration activities would be appropriate at this park as additional sources of revenue for land management since they are compatible with the park's primary purpose of resource-based outdoor recreation and conservation." Orange Audubon Society joins other environmental groups in opposing timber activities specifically for revenue. However, we understand their role in habitat management, such as the sandhill and scrub communities, particularly where resources have not been available to manage exclusively through prescribed burning.

Donations to Orange Audubon Society, Inc., a Florida nonprofit [501(c)(3)] organization, are tax deductible to the extent allowed by law. A COPY OF THE OFFICIAL REGISTRATION AND FINANCIAL INFORMATION MAY BE OBTAINED FROM THE DIVISION OF CONSUMER SERVICES BY CALLING TOLL-FREE WITHIN FLORIDA 1-800-435-7352. REGISTRATION DOES NOT IMPLY ENDORSEMENT. APPROVAL OR RECOMMENDATION BY THE STATE. REGISTRATION NO. CH2330.

- Though the Plan states that there will not be cattle grazing, will restoration of the pastures to sandhill or other original habitat be included in the ten years covered by this plan?
- Orange Audubon Society supports an increase in entrance fees (for instance \$7 instead of \$6 per carload). We believe that throughout the Florida State Park system a slight increase in entrance fees will be preferable to extractive activities that could damage the parks' natural resources. We believe that the majority of the public will agree. The entrance fees are a bargain, compared to commercial "attractions."
- We would like to see the addition of a bird blind at Wekiwa Springs State Park, to enhance the viewer experience. Birding is excellent in the Wekiva River Basin Parks, thanks to the diversity of habitats, prescribed burning, invasive plant removal, and other habitat management activities. The parks are part of the Great Florida Birding Trail. A bird blind would be a great introduction to new audiences.
- Butterflies are indicators of the health of the ecological communities. Should there be a
  consideration of Management Goals and Actions for any invertebrate species?
- Is the list of Imperiled Species current with recent changes?
- On p. 171 Protected Zones, please add sandhill habitat and please put sandhill and scrub at the front of the list. "At Wekiva River Basin State Park all wetlands and floodplain as well as scrub, baygall, hydric hammock, depression marsh, dome swamp, floodplain swamp, floodplain marsh, flatwood/prairie lake, sandhill upland lake, marsh lake, blackwater stream, spring-run stream natural communities, and known imperiled species habitat have been designated as protected zones."
- p. 186. "Group Camp/Training Area: The group camp and training area to the west of the RV camping area should be improved during the next ten-year planning cycle. This area can be reserved for large group camp programs, but it is also used for Ranger Academy training and Florida Park Service conferences. As such, a new training/conference facility should be constructed to adequately accommodate these events. In addition, the parking situation should be improved to increase the parking capacity of this area." Any expansion and improvement at the Youth Camp Recreation Center for a new training/conference facility must protect and preserve the restored sandhill community. Please make sure the expanded footprint is minimal. We know that putting cultivated grasses in front of buildings is a common request by park managers. Any irrigated lawn is not appropriate in the middle of this prized sandhill community.

Thank you in advance for your consideration of these comments, and I hope you always have support to manage the park as you have for its extraordinary natural resources.

Sincerely,

Deborah Green Orange Audubon Society Past President and Conservation Chair

CC: Robert Brooks



P.O. Box 6196 Longwood, Florida 32791-6196 *FriendsOfWekiva.org* FriendsWekivaRiver@gmail.com

April 14, 2017

Mr. Tyler Maldonado Office of Park Planning Florida Department of Environmental Protection Division of Recreation and Parks 3900 Commonwealth Blvd., MS 525 Tallahassee, Florida 32399 – 3000

Subject: Wekiva River Basin State Parks Advisory Group Draft Unit Management Plan

#### Mr. Maldonado:

Thank you for the opportunity to serve on the Advisory Group for the Wekiva River Basin Parks 10 year Management Plan. The following comments are of the plan and the Advisory Group meeting held March 30, 2017 at the Wekiva Springs State Park Youth Camp Recreation Hall.

#### General Comments:

- The Advisory Group meeting held March 30, 2017 might have been more effective if there had been a presentation as listed on the agenda for the meeting. A presentation would have established a basis for the ensuing comments, particularly since several of the Advisory Group had not read the management plan.
- The plan should be reviewed for typos, punctuation and consistency. It appears that this
  document is an update of a previous one and/or a template. This is understandable; however,
  additional updates are needed. There are also instances where properties are mentioned, such
  as Fechtel and Wekiva Marina, which would not be recognized by a reader unfamiliar with the
  Wekiva basin.
- The goals of the Management Plan are continuations of earlier plans, there is recognition in the Implementation Component section of the accomplishments that have been achieved, how do these relate to the desired future conditions, have they been met? How do the present goals relate to the past and are the current goals intended to further the past actions? Is Table 7 the only place where priorities are scheduled?
- There are several places in the plan where it is noted that studies, such as timber management
  and tram removal should be done and plans developed, shouldn't these be done in the short
  term to indicate what management measures might be appropriate?
- It should be noted that the Wekiva River is a part of the Wekiva River Aquatic Preserve and the
  Preserve manages the resources of the river as well.
- Access to the river for boating and wildlife observation is limited, additional access to the Wekiva and Little Wekiva would allow more recreational use. Such access would require additional monitoring, however.

Mr. Tyler Maldonado Office of Park Planning Florida Department of Environmental Protection Wekiva River Basin State Park Draft UMP April 14, 2017 Page 2 of 3

#### Purpose and Scope of the Plan:

 On page 8 there is a statement regarding timbering, an additional statement of when timbering might occur, as on page 56 in "General Management Measures" where scrub biological community management is mentioned would add to the intent for any timbering.

#### Resource Management Component – Resource Description and Assessment:

- On page 37, the N concentration target listed in the BMAP is 0.286.
- On page 40, there is a comment regarding the effectiveness of tram road removal, hasn't there been sufficient time since removal of several of the tram roads to provide some conclusions as to the effectiveness?
- On page 43 there is a statement that plowed fire line are allowed to fill naturally, has this
  proven to be effective and does the plowed fire line impact the hydrology of the area?
- The black bear is discussed on page 93, should this be updated with the recent population
  numbers and the upcoming additional wildlife bridges provided by the Wekiva Parkway?
- Is the list of Imperiled Species current with recent changes?

#### Resource Management Component – Resource Management Program:

- · Should there be a consideration of Management Goals and Actions for any invertebrate species?
- Is there sufficient planning for management and restoration of sandhill communities (S2) considering the significance of the community and the number of imperiled species found there?
- Though the plan stated that there will not be cattle grazing, will restoration of the pastures be included in the 10 years covered by this plan?

#### Land Use Component

All Comprehensive Land Use Plans of the three counties and cities within the Wekiva River Protection Area, as well as Lake County, noted on page 160, have been modified to be in compliance with the Wekiva River Protection requirements. Wording on page 169 should also be reviewed.

#### Property Analysis - Protected Zones:

 Isn't protection of sandhill and scrub habitat warranted? There are extensive efforts called for in this management plan to restore these communities, why not protect those existing ones?

#### Property Analysis – Existing Facilities:

• Katie's Landing also has restrooms and parking.

#### Conceptual Land Use Plan:

The suggestion of expanding uses of the Wekiva Basin Park system is of deep concern to many who regularly visit (or try to visit) the parks, especially Wekiwa Springs State Park. Great care must be taken to protect the long term sustainability of the natural resources, including imperiled species, and to assure a quality visitor experience as well. However, it is recognized that improvements, updates and modifications are necessary.

Mr. Tyler Maldonado Office of Park Planning Florida Department of Environmental Protection Wekiva River Basin State Park Draft UMP April 14, 2017 Page 3 of 3

Wekiwa Springs State Park – Reorganization of the springhead area will greatly improve the function and the flow of activities. Any expansion and improvement at the Youth Camp Recreation Center for a new training/conference facility must protect and preserve the restored sandhill community. There has been discussion over the years about construction of a Bird Blind; this facility would enhance the enjoyment of wildlife observation. Expansion and upgrading of the Sand Lake area is needed to disperse and expand use at this location.

Lower Wekiva River Preserve State Park – While planning for this area consideration should be given to the access roadway to the launch site. Could there be launch access to Black Water Creek in addition to the Wekiva River for canoeing / kayaking?

#### Implementation Component – Resource Management:

Just a note - the Bio-blitz held in spring of 2012 was organized and directed by Dr. Jay Exum. Dr. Exum was president of Friends of the Wekiva River at that time. Dr. Exum conducted a second Bio-blitz in the fall of 2013. Perhaps Audubon might be replaced by Friends of the Wekiva River.

Sincerely,

Jeampfrine"

Nancy Prine for John Pottinger, President Friends of the Wekiva River, Inc.



## Florida Department of Environmental Protection

Wekiva River Aquatic Preserve 8300 West State Road 46 Sanford, Florida 32771 Rick Scott Governor

Carlos Lopez-Cantera Lt. Governor

> Ryan E. Matthews Interim Secretary

VIA ELECTRONIC MAIL

14 April 2017

Mr. Tyler Maldonado Office of Park Planning Florida Department of Environmental Protection Division of Recreation and Parks 3900 Commonwealth Blvd., MS 525 Tallahassee, Florida 32399 – 3000

Subject: Wekiva River Basin State Parks Advisory Group Draft Unit Management Plan

#### Dear Mr. Maldonado,

Thank you for the opportunity to review the subject draft management plan. As mentioned at the 30 March 2017 Advisory Group meeting, our aquatic preserve team appreciates the partnership we have with state park management and staff, and the FPS has been supportive of aquatic preserve activities for many years.

The comments below are a follow up to my verbal comment provided at the Advisory Group meeting, and generally address aquatic resource management and reference the Wekiva River Aquatic Preserve Management Plan (WRAPMP) which was approved October 2014. Where noted, <u>underlined</u> text is suggested additions, strike is suggested deletions.

Page 2, line 3 – Considering the nutrient enrichment challenges experienced in the Wekiva system, we generally refer to the riverine system as "near-pristine", not "pristine." (WRAPMP, page 44, paragraph 1, line 2)

Page 8, paragraph 3, line 6 – Timber Management: thank you for clarifying that floodplain hardwood trees are not likely to be subject to timber activities unless needed for hydrologic restoration. (WRAPMP, page 92, Wildlife and Habitat Objective 4)

Page 9 – paragraph 3, line 4 – This sentence relates to a freshwater system, so the sentence should read "...from the edge of mean <u>or ordinary high</u> water..." [Chapter 258.39 (30), Florida Statutes - *Wekiva River Aquatic Preserve, the boundaries of which are generally: All the state-owned sovereignty lands lying waterward of the ordinary high-water mark of the Wekiva River...*]

Page 26 – Manage Zones Map: Thank you for clarifying that a management zone has or will be assigned to the 155-acres purchased in 2014 that borders the northern part of the Wekiva River Aquatic Preserve, along the St. Johns River.

Page 27, paragraph 3, line 7 – Florida Coastal Office GIS staff have calculated the length of the Wekiva River as 15.2 miles. As mentioned at the AG meeting, please check this length. A length of 17 miles is also referenced on page 35, paragraph 2, line 2, and page 35, paragraph 5, line 1. (WRAPMP, page 12, Table 1, Rivers of Wekiva River Aquatic Preserve)

Page 35, paragraph 2, line 13 – The sentence discussing aquatic vegetation in the river and springs should be reworded to better clarify the presence and absence of vegetation: "Although the Wekiva River supports a diverse plant community, the diversity of aquatic vegetation in <u>at</u> the springs <u>discharge</u> <u>locations and in the spring swimming area</u> is limited, <u>primarily due to flow</u> <u>regimes and recreational use</u>, and biomass fluctuates seasonally." (WRAPMP, page 26, paragraph 4, line 5)

Page 36, paragraph 6 - The first three sentences in this paragraph references results from several of DEPs Stream Condition Index sampling efforts conducted on the Wekiva River. The last sentence mentions a "a recent study." Consider adding a citation for this recent study.

Page 37, paragraph 1 – This paragraph is a direct quote and should be italicized or put in quotes to distinguish it from the next paragraph which is a summary and to clarify the source. The direct quote is also referenced on the previous page (Page 36, paragraph 6) which discusses the results of several Stream Condition Index surveys and a recent study. Italics or quotes would eliminate any ambiguity about the quote.

Page 37, paragraph 2, line 3 – the portion of the sentence that states "...with some springs having reported concentrations as much as 480% higher than the 2008 Total Maximum Daily Load (TMDL)..." reads as if the higher concentrations were reported *after* the adoption of the TMDL. Wekiwa and Rock Springs were designated as impaired for nitrogen and phosphorous through the TMDL (Gao, 2008) process after a Pollution Load Reduction Goal (PLRG) investigation was conducted (Mattson *et al.*, 2006). The PLRG study documented nutrient enrichment values and recommended target reductions. The adopted TMDL

target reduction goal is .28mg/l and will require reductions in nitrogen ranging from 41 to 81 percent and phosphorus from 23 to 78 percent. (WRAPMP, page 45, paragraph 1)

Page 37, paragraph 2, line 5 – the adopted TMDL target goal for Wekiva system waterbodies is 0.28mg/l, not .25mg/l. (WRAPMP, Table 5, page 24)

Page 37, paragraph 3, bullet section – consider adding the following sentence after the bulleted section: <u>The Wekiva Basin Management Action Plan, adopted</u> in October 2014, provides strategies to reduce nutrient inputs to the Wekiwa <u>Springs and the Wekiva system.</u> (WRAPMP, page 45, paragraph 1, line 2)

Page 38, paragraph 1, line 3 - Consider citing the source of "recent data" considering Wilson, 1988 was cited in the previous sentence.

Page 38, paragraph 3, line 7 - Consider citing the source of "Wekiwa Springs appears to be discharging water that..." or this entire paragraph in general, if it is all from the same reference.

Page 38, paragraph 4, line 2 – consider including a map and definition of the Wekiva Basin springshed.

Page 39, paragraph 2, lines 1 and 2 – To clarify the difference between the Wekiva River surface watershed and the predominantly groundwater springshed, this sentence should be changed to: The Wekiva River surface watershed and the predominantly groundwater springshed have been determined by the SJRWMD and DEP, respectively. Consider including a map of each. (WRAPMP, page 15, paragraph 5, line 1 and page 22, Map 7)

Page 42, paragraph 1, line 6 – While stormwater runoff is known to degrade water quality in Lake Prevatt, nutrient enrichment is the most significant issue in the Wekiva River. This sentence should be changed to: Stormwater runoff is degrading water quality in the Wekiva River and Lake Prevatt. Nutrient enrichment is the most significant challenge in the Wekiva River, with additional impacts from stormwater runoff in some areas. (WRAPMP, page 15, paragraph 5, line 5)

Page 42, paragraph 1, line 13 – Consider adding a sentence that references the adopted Wekiva Basin Management Action Plan (BMAP): <u>The Wekiva Basin</u> <u>Management Action Plan, adopted in October 2014, provides strategies to reduce</u> <u>nutrient inputs to the Wekiwa Springs and the Wekiva system.</u> (WRAPMP, page 45, paragraph 1, line 2). Note: The FPS participates at Wekiva BMAP meetings

and has implemented nutrient reduction projects in the park that are mentioned elsewhere in the unit management plan.

Thank you for the opportunity to review the draft plan and to serve on the Wekiva River Basin State Parks Advisory Group. If you need additional information, please contact me at 407.330.6727 or Deborah.Shelley@dep.state.fl.us.

Sincerely,

Deboah Shilley

Deborah Shelley, Manager Wekiva River Aquatic Preserve

cc:

Mike Shirley, Environmental Administrator, GTM NERR Andrea Noel, Assistant Regional Administrator, GTM NERR Robert Brooks, Park Manager, Wekiva Basin State Parks Paul Lammardo, Park Biologist, Wekiva Basin State Parks
Good morning, Tyler

First of all, we would like to thank the Florida Park Service for giving DHR's Public Lands Archaeology program an opportunity to comment on the Wekiva River Basin state parks (Wekiwa Springs State Park, Rock Springs Run State Reserve and Lower Wekiva River Preserve State Park) draft management plan. This gives up an opportunity to comment on the plan well before it reaches the final draft phases.

In any event, our comments and suggestions on this plan are as follows:

- Although we understand why the Florida Park Service combined the three parks into one plan, this has led to some awkwardness and difficulty on our review of this plan.
- 2) On page 114, the plan states that the parks contain 78 archaeological sites whereas our recent GIS analyses of the three parks indicated that they were 59 archaeological sites. Are analyses revealed 22 sites for Wekiva Springs, 22 for Rock Springs and 15 for the Lower Wekiva River. We are unsure as to why these discrepancies are there but suggest that the park service work directly with the Florida Master Site File to clear this issues up.
- 3) On page 115, the plan states that the Wekiva River Basin is an area little studied by archaeologist therefore large gaps exist in the archaeological and historical record pertaining to the Natives who inhabited the Wekiva River Basin prior to European contact." Perhaps this is true to some extent, but it should be noted that 53 archaeological and historical surveys and studies, albeit piecemeal, have been conducted within the three parks. It also should be acknowledged that extensive archaeological work has been conducted at both Hontoon Island and Blue Springs State Park as well as surveys at Seminole State Forest, the Ocala National Forest and Lake Woodruff National Wildlife Refuge. All of these properties lie just north of the Wekiva River Basin state parks.
- The park service presents a good narrative (pages 114-117) on the three parks prehistory and history.
- 5) On the first paragraph of page 116, although it certainly would be beneficial to do additional survey and research work within the three parks, there must be sound reasoning and the development of solid research designs before any such work should be permitted to occur within the Wekiva basin parks.
- 6) On page 117, the plan indicates that there are 12 historic structures located within the three parks. Again, our recent GIS analyses of the site file is not showing any historic structures currently recorded within any of these three parks. Does the park service have forms that have not been submitted to the site file?
- 7) With regard to Table 4 (pages 120-130), we are not showing any of the following sites found in this table as being partially or wholly located within any of the three parks: Pine Ridge Cemetery (SE567), Oak Grove Missionary Baptist Church (SE568), Overstreet Midden (SE582), Sand Lake borrow pit (OR1048), Apopka Sportsman Club Hunting Tree (OR10849), Mill Pond (OR10846), Sand Funnel for Sand Lake (OR10847), Metal Gutter (OR10851), Tram leading to river (SE2822), Bear Crossing (LA538), Cassia

Station (LA2760), WRMB 6 (LA3542), Pennel's Cabin (OR22228), Lewis House (LA4419), Amphibious Vehicle (OR10856), and WMBK Fenceline (LA4505). On the other hand, our GIS analysis showed the following sites as being within the parks but they do not appear within Table 4: Seaboard Coast Line RR Grade (LA2957), Wekiva Basin Geopark Tram Roads (LA3544), Florida State Road 46 (LA3584), Twin Mounds Archaeological District (OR3230), Wekiva Basin Geopark Tram Roads (OR5974), CSX Railroad (SE2318) and, Wekiwa Submerged Logs (OR10850). Also we have LA 4420 listed as Rock Springs Windmill rather than Ethel Windmill but perhaps it goes by both names?

Lately, these discrepancies of site records seem to be occurring more frequently with Florida Park Service plans. These issues, however, could be easily resolved if the park service would work closely with our Florida Master Site File at the outset of developing these plans. The site file can be reached by phone at (850) 245-6440 or by email at <u>Vincent birdsong@dos.mvflorida.com</u>.

- 8) On page 119, if the park service has any issues with its collections at the Wekiva parks, it should work directly with DHR's Bureau of Archaeological Researches Collections and Conservation Lab who could assist them with most aspects related to artifacts or documents and their curation.
- 9) On page 152, Objective B, Action 1 states that all known sites are recorded and updated. This is very important to follow-up on. We heard informally that some of the rangers within the parks knew of the location of archaeological sites but these sites had not been recorded. We urge all park service personnel to record these sites. In this vein, we continue to encourage as many park service personnel as possible to attend one of our current ARM trainings. As for Objective C on this same page, all archaeological sites within each of the parks should be monitored at least annually, since none of the parks currently have more than 25 sites within their boundaries. This threshold was established by the interagency Land Management and Uniform Accounting Council (LMUAC) more than a decade ago.
- 10) On page 167, the plan states that there are 71 archaeological sites and 12 historic structures within the three parks. These numbers, as previously noted, do not concur with our recent GIS analyses for these parks. Again, the Florida Master Site File should be able to assist the Florida Park Service with reconciling these differences.

Please let us know if you have any questions or concerns regarding our comments.

Regards,

Mike Wisenbaker Public Lands Archaeology Division of Historical Resources

Archaeology Supervisor—Public Lands Bureau of Archaeological Researach Division of Historical Resources 1001 De Soto Park Drive Tallahassee, FL 32301 (850) 245-6318 Wekiva River Basin State Parks Advisory Group Draft Unit Management Plan Review Wendy Poag Lake County Parks and Trails - April 13, 2017

- Purpose of the park statement on page 1 is very limited and says nothing about the conservation of species of concern or the upland ecosystems, the function as a green corridor for animals such as the black bear and plant propagules or for protecting regional ecosystem services
- Park significance statements on page 2 should also include the scrub and sandhill communities themselves which are in really good condition and are state and globally threatened ecosystems
- Under park management goals, page 10, there isn't mention of the restoration of the degraded or disturbed areas and the importance of working on converting them to more biologically diverse systems in order to improve the habitats and the ecosystem services provided by them
- Under public participation, page 10, the plan states that the plan was presented to the Advisory Group and it was not presented to the Advisory Group
- In areas where desired future conditions are described for each community, the desired future conditions should describe measurable characteristics/attributes/goals so that if/when transects are performed to measure such things, one would be able to track changes through time and under certain management activities
- Measurable goals could also include calculations of percentages of each of the communities which fall within the desired burn rotations, percent cover by exotics or population targets for a given species of concern
- Surveys and monitoring are discussed vaguely as needs, but no timeline or expectation of improvement within the next ten years is clear
- Fox squirrel deaths due to traffic were noted, but a plan for minimizing the car/squirrel interaction and mortality was not included (see pages 92-93)
- Sandhills are mentioned, see page 112, as needing protection and yet implementation of protection measures seemed un-specified (possibly add to protected zones, page 171?)
- The group camp/training area improvements, page 186, should consider impact to the sandhills in those areas.
- In several areas, such as page 135, there is reference to the Neighborhood Lakes property, but it isn't
  clear whether or not this includes both the east and west sides of the Expressway (the 160 acres on the
  west side should have its own set of goals and management directives for the next ten years)
- It would be nice to understand how the carrying capacities were derived, page 199
- Use of a private forestry contractor (as opposed to the Florida Forest Service personnel) to conduct forestry assessments should include professionals knowledgeable in plant and animal species stewardship, exotic species management, desired future conditions of Florida's native communities (assessments should not be based on timber harvest alone)
- · All Land Management Review comments and paperwork should be included in the appendices for review
- Individual species which might be of concern need to be listed as well as their sensitivities to fire or other specific needs (perhaps water quality or clarity even) should be in the plan so that it gets passed on in 'print' for the all to see...to lend connectivity through staffing changes

# Wekiva River Basin State Parks

Unit Management Plan Recommendations





Wekiva Wilderness Trust

Prepared for:

Tyler Maldonado Office of Park Planning Florida Dept. of Environmental Protection

Prepared by:

Southern Off-Road Bicycle Association, Orlando Chapter (SORBA Orlando) April 5th, 2017

Mitchell D. Greenberg PA-C (321) 277-7415 <u>mwtrailsystem@gmail.com</u> Jason Lampitt (720) 233-6972

Mark McDuffie (407) 310-0617

Wekiva River Basin State Parks - Unit Management Plan Recommendations Southern Off-Road Bicycle Association, Orlando Chapter	
Introduction	3
2016 Markham Woods/SORBA Orlando Statistics	
General Recommendations	4
Roles and Responsibilities	
Vocabulary	
UMP Conceptual Land Use Recommendations	5
Infrastructure	
Trail Network (Mileage)	
Off-Road Cycling Trail Designation and Features	
Closing Thoughts	7
Appendix A	8
Exhibit A - Trailside Shelters	
Exhibit B - Bike Wash Station	
Exhibit C - Markham Woods Trailhead Kiosk Map	

Page 2 of 9

Southern Off-Road Bicycle Association, Orlando Chapter

### Introduction

At the invitation of the Office of Park Planning, Florida Department of Environmental Protection, the Southern Off-Road Bicycle Association, Orlando Chapter (SORBA Orlando) submits the following written recommendations for the Wekiva River Basin State Parks, Unit Management Plan, currently in development.

SORBA Orlando currently maintains five multi-use trail systems throughout the Central Florida/Orlando Metro region. The organization coordinates trail development, maintenance, and events with several federal, state, and local agencies.

Notable to the Wekiva River Basin State Parks system, SORBA Orlando and our volunteers have established a trail system on the 900 acre, eastern parcel of land associated with Wekiva Springs State Park, known as Markham Woods. The parcel is set on the western edge of unincorporated Lake Mary, FL. The trail system shares a trailhead location with the popular Seminole Wekiva regional bike path and is composed of approximately 8 miles of singletrack trail(s), complimenting a network of service roads and tramways.

As the Markham Woods trail system has become established, the popularity of the area has steadily increased. Although the trail system has been primarily developed for mountain biking, the area is now frequented by cyclists, hikers, trail runners, and families alike.

### 2016 Markham Woods/SORBA Orlando Statistics

- Nearly 500 volunteer hours last year by SORBA Orlando volunteers.
- Built 3+ miles of new, natural surface trail
- Maintained ~8 miles of natural surface trails, signage, interpretive kiosk
- Published trailhead map
- Published digital trail maps on MTBProject.com a free trail map resource wholly funded by REI (Recreational Equipment Incorporated).
- Increased WSSP fiscal-year park attendance numbers by 8%
- Hosted 4 community-oriented gatherings for new mountain bikers Markham's Rookie Ride

Southern Off-Road Bicycle Association, Orlando Chapter

### General Recommendations

#### **Roles and Responsibilities**

 Adoption of a Memorandum of Understanding (MOU) between Wekiva River Basin State Parks/Florida Department of Environmental Protection and SORBA Orlando to spell out the roles, responsibilities, and commitments of all parties involved.

### Vocabulary

SORBA Orlando recommends the adoption of the following terms in the UMP:

- Off-road cycling Differentiates the activity of riding purpose-built bicycles (a.k.a 'mountain bikes') on natural surface trails and man-made features, from 'biking' or 'bicycling' on a developed/paved path.
- Natural surface trail(s) Defines the tread of a path as made from clearing and grading the
  native soil with no foreign surfacing materials. It should be noted that surfacing materials native to the location may be utilized to assist in increasing tread durability. In specific
  reference to off-road cycling, doubletrack and/or singletrack are often synonymous with this
  term.
- Bridge(s)/boardwalk(s) Generally describes a constructed span, designed for the express
  purpose of eliminating or minimizing erosion, providing passage through protected zones<sup>1</sup>,
  and/or crossing seasonal/perennial water flows (e.g. ditch, small stream, etc.)
- Man-made feature(s) Generally describes a structure, designed and constructed in a manner appropriate to the rated difficulty of a trail or trail segment, for the express purpose of enhancing the off-road cycling experience. The term "obstacle(s)" or terms of the same ilk, are highly discouraged.

<sup>1</sup>UMP Draft, Protected Zones, Pg. 177

Page 4 of 9

Southern Off-Road Bicycle Association, Orlando Chapter

### UMP Conceptual Land Use Recommendations

### Infrastructure

SORBA Orlando recommends the addition of the following infrastructure components to promote safety, broader recreational use and demographic reach, as well as promotion of alternative tourism activities in the Central Florida region.

- Addition of on-trail lightning/storm shelters. See Appendix A, Exhibit A Trailside shelters.
- Additional primitive campsite locations supporting the reference to multi-day trips<sup>2</sup>.
- Convert selected single-use trails (hiking only) within WSSP to multi-use trails (include off-road cycling).
- Inclusion of biking specific amenities at new trailheads (e.g. RSRSR) such as bike wash, public work stand with tools, and trailhead kiosk with high-quality maps. See Appendix A, Exhibits B and C.

### Trail Network (Mileage)

SORBA Orlando conveys our highest recommendation that the Office of Park Planning revise the estimated 18.1 miles of trail projected for the time frame outlined in the UMP. SORBA Orlando believes upwards of 70 miles of interconnected, multi-use, natural surface trails, are possible over the next decade, <u>throughout</u> the Wekiva River Basin State Parks system while maintaining ecological balance.

SORBA Orlando acknowledges that there are "protected zones" as defined in the current UMP draft. We believe it is possible to achieve the proposed mileage through careful planning and use of these zones. Proven techniques adopted by other Florida State Parks<sup>3</sup>, the Florida Trail Association, and publications from the International Mountain Bike Association (IMBA) would guide planning and implementation.

SORBA Orlando proposes increasing the "up to..." mileage for the following tracts/parcels; recognizing that the "up to..." term is a projection of what may be possible. SORBA Orlando proposes these mileage figures to allow for a land use plan that is adaptable during the time period when the UMP will be in effect.

- Accounting for <u>possible</u> conversion of former firebreak, abandoned service roads and/or tramways, to recognized double-track or singletrack trail - in addition to new natural surface trails:
  - Increase Markham Woods to 20 miles,
  - Increase the <u>entirety</u> of RSRSR to 40 miles.
- Increase Neighborhood Lakes and Pine Plantation tracts/parcels to 10 miles, combined.

Page 5 of 9

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> UMP Draft, Capital Facilities and Infrastructure, Pg. 185

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> Trail Design Guidelines, Version 5; Florida Greenways and Trails

Southern Off-Road Bicycle Association, Orlando Chapter

### Off-Road Cycling Trail Designation and Features

SORBA Orlando proposes the inclusion of off-road cycling specific features in the UMP to include:

- Adoption of IMBA Trail Difficulty Ratings and Sign standards<sup>4</sup> throughout the Wekiva River Basin State Parks system. This sign system was adapted from the International Trail Marking System used at ski areas throughout the world. Many trail networks use this type of system. The system best applies to off-road cycling, but is also applicable to other visitors such as hikers and equestrians.
- Off-road cycling skills course located at major trailheads.
- Bridges and boardwalks for the purpose of eliminating or minimizing erosion, providing passage through protected zones, and/or crossing seasonal/perennial water flows (e.g. ditch, small stream, etc.) - while enabling trail users to experience the "outstanding character"<sup>5</sup> of the Wekiva River Basin State Parks system.
- Inclusion of man-made features, appropriate to the rated difficulty for a trail or trail segment. These features are found in nearly all off-road cycling areas hosted by several Florida State Parks, State Forests, and local municipalities.
  - Feature(s) would undergo a formal design and approval process.
  - Feature construction would utilize materials designated in the FGTS Trail Design Guidelines or other FGTS guidelines.
  - Feature safety inspection would occur at a designated interval, formally documented, and submitted to park administration.
  - Feature maintenance conducted by SORBA Orlando, documented, and submitted to park administration.

<sup>4 &</sup>lt;u>https://www.imba.com/resources/maps/trail-difficulty-ratings</u>

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>9</sup> UMP Draft, Protected Zones, Pg. 177

Southern Off-Road Bicycle Association, Orlando Chapter

## **Closing Thoughts**

SORBA Orlando would like to thank the Office of Park Planning for the opportunity to provide these recommendations on behalf of current and future residents of the Central Florida/Orlando Metro region. Residents of and visitors to the State of Florida stand to benefit greatly from thoughtfully planned development of recreational facilities and amenities throughout the Wekiva River Basin State Parks system.

Page 7 of 9

Southern Off-Road Bicycle Association, Orlando Chapter

# Appendix A

Exhibit A - Trailside Shelters



Exhibit B - Bike Wash Station



Page 8 of 9

Southern Off-Road Bicycle Association, Orlando Chapter

### Exhibit C - Markham Woods Trailhead Kiosk Map

Digital trail map also available at mtbproject.com



Page 9 of 9

### <u>Comments and Suggestions for the Wekiva River Basin State Park 2017</u> <u>Draft Unit Management Plan</u>

### By: Michael Edwards, Other Public Lands Forester, Florida Forest Service

Most of my comments and suggestions address the Natural Resource Management section of the Unit Management Plan. I have broken the comments up into topics of prescribed fire, timber management, exotic/ invasive species management, cultural resource management, recreational resource/facilities development, and hydrology.

**Prescribed fire:** I recommend that park managers cooperate with FFS when conducting prescribed burns. Specifically contacting the FFS Region 3 Wildfire Mitigation Team for assistance burning in wildland urban interface areas. Specifically mentioned in the plan was WSSP-(WS-20).

- Bunnell District-Volusia County- Julie Maddux, Wildfire Mitigation Specialist: Julie.Maddux@FreshFromFlorida.com
- Withlacoochee Forestry Center-Lake County- Judi Tear, Wildfire Mitigation Specialist: Judith.Tear@FreshFromFlorida.com
- Orland District-Orange & Seminole Counties-Cliff Frazier, Wildfire Mitigation Specialist: <u>Clifford.Frazier@FreshFromFlorida.com</u>
- Additional cooperation and assistance with prescribed burning can be utilized in by contacting
  the FFS COBRA Team Supervisor, Steve Guckian: <u>steven.guckian@freshfromflorida.com</u>. Team's
  main mission is to assist during prescribed fires as extra labor and equipment and to aid in
  establishing or improving burn unit firelines in preparation for future prescribed burning. The
  Team's heavy equipment may be used for vegetation management (drum chopping or harrow
  disking) on upland sites. The Team may also be available to assist in application of herbicide or
  the planting of upland vegetation in restoration activities (equipment and materials supplied by
  host agency).
- Sandhill: LWRPSP-recommend growing season burn, 2-3-year fire return interval to kill oaks.

Timber management: a timber assessment is required (Section 1. Section 253.036, Florida Statutes) to evaluate the potential and feasibility of managing timber resources for conservation and revenue generation purposes. I think currently DEP has a contract with F-4 Tech to conduct a timber assessment of all properties, if not they can contact FFS;

- Michael Edwards Senior Forester, Florida Forest Service Other Public Lands Regions 3 and 4 <u>Michael.Edwards@FreshFromFlorida.com</u>
- Mesic flatwoods; RSRSR-LLP planting recommended, LWRPSP (Jung & Skimmer Tract)- Timber Thinning, basal area reduction recommended.
- Sandhill: LWRPSP-mechanical control or girdle oaks.
- Scrub: WSSP(WS-12)-mature sand pine timber harvest agree, contact forester; F-4 Tech/FFS
- recommend developing a yearlong salvage sale contract to allow quick response to harvesting of timber should damage arise due to hurricane, disease, insects, or fire damage.

Exotic/ invasive species management:

- Keep GIS/ GPS database of infected and treated areas.
- Have park staff involved with local CISMA;
  - use involvement to educate park visitors and park neighbors about threats exotic/invasive species have on park and how they can help prevent (don't move firewood).
  - o Use CISMA to network, "work days" treat areas that may not be able to treat otherwise.
- Contact FFS Forest Biologist, Jeff Eickwort: <u>Jeffrey.Eickwort@FreshFromFlorida.com</u> for information about landowner cogongrass cost-share program and hold harmless agreement to treat invasive plants on private property.
- Pine plantation (WSSP): treat invasive first and make sure they are gone before clear-cut off site pines and replant with longleaf/ restore native ground cover.

Cultural resource management: I recommend all park staff complete the current ARM Training and have an updated GIS data base of all cultural resources at the parks and visit them at least once a year which is the standard.

Recreational resource/facilities development: There are several trails, roads and parking lot additions proposed in this unit management plan. Considering the importance of all three parks hydrological features and the surrounding urban sprawl, I recommend the use of permeable and semi-permeable construction materials for the trails, roads parking lots and other features when available and practical to aid in the natural water cycle.

**Hydrology:** when conducting hydrological restoration projects, I recommend considering what the actual effects will have on surrounding vegetation and have a plan in place before and after to deal with results. The plan mentions construction of storm water pond in WS-01 in 2004. Subsequently a large dieoff of mature longleaf pines resulted in an adjacent area after the project in 2007-2009. These pines could have been harvested before the installation of the pond and the wood utilized, i.e. income generated to the state tax payer. Instead trees were killed and left as a possible source for dieses and insects for surrounding stands of timber, due to lack of planning ahead.

- Unit Plan mentioned Unnamed Creek, east of SR435, water quality problems originate outside park boundary. I suggest trying to engage neighbors and educate them about water quality issues relating to park, try to form volunteer cleanup group. Contact City or County if they have any control and work with them.
- McCall Tract, Unnamed Pond- plug ditch to restore hydro period to pond and Lake Prevatt.

1020 Sheeter Avenue Apopka Florida, 32703, U.S.A. (407) 889-2655 frbrvant@centurylink.net

April 14, 2017

Mr. Tyler Maldonado Office of Park Planning Florida Department of Environmental Protection Division of Recreation & Parks 3900 Commonwealth Boulevard, MS 525 Tallahassee, FL, 32399-3000

Re: WSSP Public Input

Dear Mr. Maldonado:

As someone who attended both meetings you organized at Wekiwa Spring on March 29<sup>th</sup>, 1 wish to put in writing my reaction to the proposed plans described on pp. 183 and 186 in the park's new ten year plan. I do so fully aware that it is probably a waste of time. Your March 29<sup>th</sup> morning session was attended by a large number of people, who appeared not to have read the plan, a law enforcement official who seemed unaware of the rising crime problem in Wekiwa's parking area and a park official who made clear that he had no intention of carrying out some of the proposals. Two pairs of shrubjays were of far greater concern to most there than any serious issues concerning the park for the next decade.

I also found the afternoon advertised public hearing equally disappointing. I arranged for five regular swimmers to come and be prepared to speak on their concerns. After all by British and American definitions a public hearing is "an official meeting where members of the public hear the facts about a planned road, building, etc and give their opinions about it". Having attended public hearings for half a century. I have never been to one where the public only listened and could only respond in writing. Mr. Fooks recently informed me this is a "new format". If so it is one that should not be described as a "public hearing". Since the meeting I have apologized to the five for wasting their time in preparing comments, Frankly, I find your "new format" deplorable. It seems to reflect either a great contempt for public opinion or a fear of criticism for what is being proposed, or both. For what it is worth, I have complained to Governor Scott about this new oxymoron concept of a "public meeting".

Here are my comments on plans for WSSP as concerns its main attraction, the swimming area. I am inspired by two thoughts. The English historian, A.J.P. Taylor, in his history of the First World Wat noted that wars are caused by general reasons and then specific reasons. I have grouped my comments around general observations and then specific ones. My tone has been inspired by the Czech writer, Franz Kauka, who when asked what caused the Great War, answered: "a terrible lack of imagination". I find the

### ~2~

proposals on pp. 183 and 186 reflective of ideas by people who have no familiarity with the issues they seek to solve.

### General Comments

I. WSSP was principally created by two parties in 1968-9 either selling their property below commercial value or donating it without cost. One was the Apopka Sportsman Club and the other was the hotelier, Robert Langford. They did so for preservation reasons and to thwart plans to convert the springs into a subdivision, for which blue prints still exist. I have recently interviewed an eighty-five year old lady, who swam there in 1941 and who reported there were no buildings standing then. Wekiwa had begun the process of reverting to what it had looked like for thousands of years, when it was the home of Native Americans who evolved into the Mayaca Tribe. On the occasion of the twentieth anniversary of their sale to the state, surviving member of the Sportsman Club had a reunion at WSSP. They told the press they were pleased at how the park had been preserved "It looks good" George McClure remarked, "I just like to see it maintained the way it was when we were there." [OS/9/30/91] That is not what he would say of the sprinchead lately. Ignoring its commitment to preserve Wekiwa as it was for thousands of years and when it received the property, the park has begun a process of shredding foliage around the parking lot and around the eastside of the springhead. In doing so, the park is destroying its unique ambience of a beautiful spring surrounded by the Real Florida environment. I fear we will soon be able to see the Publix on Hunt Club road at the rate at which Wekiwa is being transformed into a Walmart parking lot.

II. At a time when crime is on the increase in the parking lot and there is little evidence of law officials patrolling these areas, I find it alarming you want to add three hundred more daily visitors. Recently, at L A Fitness I asked a young friend whether he ever visited Wekiwa? "No, not since the day my parent's car and mine were both broken into". It seems to me unrealistic to suggest that the park make a serious effort to increase attendance without first solving the crime problem. I don't know the actual numbers. I do hear from the rangers about the frequency and am repeatedly warned not to leave valuables in my car.

III. I could find in the ten year plan no realization of the unique heritage the park represents. For thousands of years it was the home of the first Floridians. It has within it fifty middens. I understand from one ranger, that one of their villages was destroyed in laying out the swimming area. Within the park is the 1840 camp of Chief Coachucher during the Second Seminole War. Since it was burned by Federal troops there must be records somewhere of its location. Just as Homosassa has become the place to see Flonda wildlife, WSSP is missing an opportunity to develop its unique heritage of being home to the original inhabitants of Central Florida.

IV. For thousands of years the springs in the park were blue. I have met visitors, who claimed it was still bluish in the early 90s before they turned green from the algae, a by-product of a polluted aquafer. Why has the park no policy of dealing with algae, except to have people to walk on it all summer long? Moreover, there is no policy for removing

-3-

detritus from the water. These recent days I cannot finish a lap without encountering a bandage, bubble-gum or paper. The idea of a kiddie pool under these circumstances is lunacy. I don't doubt that health officials will soon be closing it down because of dangerous bacteria levels. The endless trouble Rock Springs has had with its kiddie pool should be good enough reason not to put one in an alcove on the westside, where there is little current. Here is a prime example of an idea emanating from a Tallahassee bureaucrat, who simply does not understand the consequences of what he/she is naively proposing. Having the springs closed because of bacteria is directly contradictory to the Tallahassee goal of increasing daily attendance and will lead to decreased attendance. Here is a good example of Kafka's suggestion.

V. From now until Labor Day, but especially on the weekends, the swimming area becomes lawless. Every posted park rule will be broken. The solution: return the position of lifeguard, as at Rocks Springs, to see that the rules are observed. As the position is no longer in the budget, it can be financed by a summer supplement to the admission cost, already a bargain. Allowing rules to be flagrantly violated is to create comtempt for the law in the park and elsewhere. The "No Jumping/Diving" notices were put there because two people broke their necks diving in from the bulkhead and are now permanently paraplegics. It is only a matter of time before that number is increased. Unlike Tallahassee bureaucrats, I speak from experience and see the rules broken practically every day.

### Specific Comments

I. On pp. 183 and 186 the plan refers to restoring the springhead area. As construction on restoring the westside bulkhead is now underway, I presume this means something else. I fear it means shredding more of the foliage to placate the concessionaire, who wants vistas from his deck to the springs and the lagoon, as promised last year by Director Forgione to the concessionaire in the presence of the then head of the Wekiva Wilderness, Don Philpott. More springhead shredding jeopardizes the uniqueness of the visit to the springhead, surrounded as it is by the Real Florida. I recently mentioned the park's interest in more shredding to a retired Naval pilot, who has been swimming there twenty-eight years. His response: "Leave it alone!".

II. On pp. 183 and 186 there is an additional hint of more shredding by the plans' reference to opening up the area's various recreational components. I mentioned this recently to a teenage, who lives nearby and swims there regularly. He noted that people know where the swimming area is and the boating area. "They don't need to see both of them together." He too was shocked at the suggestion that Tallahassee wanted the northern end of the springs cut down, just to expose the cance area.

III. Lately I have been seeing increased evidence of alligator coprolite in the springs. Why not restore the barrier under the bridge that used to exist? Daily, I meet visitors who are surprised to learn that there is nothing to stop alligators from coming into the swimming area.

### -4-

IV. As the person who has organized the first three "Restore the Blue" campaigns, i.e. efforts by college and high school students over an April weekend to remove the algae, I find it disappointing that Dr. Brooks should reverse himself and say we cannot do one this year. This raises the question: is the park or the Aquatic Preserve responsible for the well-being of the springs? As I mentioned above, daily I am pulling garbage out of the water. If the park's visitors are trashing the springs and the Aquatic Preserve has no policy for keeping the springs clean, then the park should be given jurisdiction and authorized to assume responsibility. As noted above, the only means of controlling algae is for summer visitors to walk on it. The moment the summer is over, the bottom turns green and it becomes difficult to spot alligators.

V. Two commonly broken rules are (1) people walking their dogs around the springs (invariably with no bags to clean up after their pets) and (2) kids playing on the handicap chair (usually in sight of their parents). Dr. Brooks assures me Tallahassee does not like signs around the springs. Could not an exception be made that all but service dogs (and I've never seen one at the springs) are not allowed in the spring area? Ditto that the handicap chair is exclusively for disabled folks?

VI. I am in no way involved in the proposed new "Serenity Garden". I cannot help noticing it is located next to flush toilets, a twenty-four hour sudio program in the Nature Center, a popular boardwalk and a parking lot where visitors often arrive with their music loud enough to be heard overhead on the International Space Station. Here is another idea that needs rethinking in so far as its present location. Its shredded hillside adds nothing to the park's ambience.

In closing, I would like to remind you, who did not go swimming while you were here, what a unique recreational area the springs are. As I mentioned to you in an earlier email, I hosted in January Lady Edwina Snow, the sister of the present Duke of Westminster. Her family and she found Wekiwa enchanting—so much so that they returned here again to swim hours before flying back to London. Last week I bosted Dr.Massimo Mannelli, a professor of medicine at the University of Florence. He noted that Florence had artworks by Michelangelo and Leonardo Da Vinci but no natural beauty comparable to Wekiwa Springs. In my daily swims, I meet people from around the world who say the same thing. I hope those of you responsible for Wekiwa's future fully appreciate what an asset it is and what care should be taking in preserving its uniqueness—instead of trying to degrade it in an effort to make it more profitable for Tallahassec.

Yours sincere

(Dr.) Russell Bryant, spokesperson Wekiwa Swimmers



### FLORIDA NATIVE PLANT SOCIETY PO Box 278 Melbourne, FL 32902-0278

April 14, 2017

Tyler Maldonado Park Planner Office of Park Planning Florida Department of Environmental Protection Division of Recreation & Parks <u>Tyler.maldonado@dep.state.fl.us</u>

Subject: Wekiva River Basin State Parks Unit Management Plan

#### Dear Mr. Maldonado,

Please accept the following comments for the Wekiva River Basin State Parks Unit Management Plan from the Florida Native Plant Society (FNPS). Wekiwa Springs State Park, Rock Springs Run State Reserve, and Lower Wekiva River Preserve State Park, as page 1 states, are located "in one of the fastest growing and most visited regions in Florida" and therefore require careful and thoughtful consideration on improving and maintaining the health of these wonderful environmental assets.

These comments reflect the high regard that FNPS has for this park system and the environmental importance afforded to this part of Florida.

- Page 2, regarding "park significance," FNPS believes that including the scrub and sandhill communities within Wekiwa Springs State Park (WSSP) which are in exemplary condition, would bring greater focus on these state and globally threatened ecosystems (FNAI 2010).
- Page 54 and other areas where desired future conditions are described for each community, the desired future conditions could describe measurable characteristics/attributes so that if/when surveys are performed to measure, staff would be able to track changes through time and under certain management activities. Measurable goals could also include calculations of percentages of each of the communities which fall within the desired burn rotations and or desired future conditions (biodiversity falling along some continuum of height, species or patchiness variables). This is a ten-year plan for where the park should be in 2027 if management actions are taken toward a set of goals. Staff and the public need to know what the goals/targets are, especially in terms of imperiled species, whether they are plants or wildlife. This would also include measureable goals through a timeline for restoring altered land cover types.
- Pages 138-146, actions are listed for objectives, but do not specify goals or specify measurements to guide staff on what they want to accomplish. We recommend adding specific and measurable criteria. For example, replace "Action 3: Conduct hardwood removal on 661 acres", with a specific and measurable goal: "Reduce hardwood cover by 75-85% on 661 acres."

- Pages 198-199, because recreation and the associated usage and infrastructure impacts populations of native
  vegetation, it would be beneficial to have a discussion within the Plan regarding how the carrying capacities were
  derived to better understand what the impact of 404,651 or more visitors will be for the next 10 years.
- Addendum 8 had a copy of the November 13, 2009 Memorandum of the Response to Draft Land Management Review (LMR) Wekiva River Basin State Parks. It would have been helpful if it had been referenced more in the plan and given a more prominent position in the plan rather than in the back as Addendum 8 and provided to the Advisory Group for discussion. Much of the information listed in the Memorandum as being of concern back in 2009 is still a concern in 2017. How have these issues been addressed the past 8 years? For example "increase burning in the flatwoods, "increase efforts to treat invasive exotic species," how developing a "plan of management for the pasture areas and other disturbed areas" is progressing, etc.

The comments above were derived from members attending the public meeting, advisory meeting and reading the Unit Management Plan. Attendees did not feel that the public participation aspect was an "inviting/educational" process in terms of an overall review by staff of the Plan and questions being taken from the audience. Attendees felt that a group discussion was not promoted or encouraged.

Thank you for your consideration and your dedication to Florida's State Parks.

Sincerely,

there L. Bournan

Catherine L. Bowman President Florida Native Plant Society

References

Florida Natural Areas Inventory (FNAI). 2010. Guide to the natural communities of Florida: 2010 edition. Florida Natural Areas Inventory, Tallahassee, FL.

Via Email

#### April 14, 2017

Tyler Maldonado Park Planner Office of Park Planning Florida Department of Environmental Protection Division of Recreation & Parks



Subject: Wekiva River Basin State Parks Unit Management Plan

Mr. Maldonado:

Please accept the following comments for the Wekiva River Basin State Parks Unit Management Plan from the Florida Native Plant Society (FNPS) Lake County Beautyberry Chapter and Orange County Tarflower Chapter. These comments reflect the high regard that the FNPS Chapters in Central Florida have for this iconic park system and the environmental importance afforded to this part of Florida.

Unit Management Plan Comments:

- Page 1, purpose of the park statement is very limited and says nothing about the conservation of species of
  concern or the upland ecosystems. Allowing the park to function as a green corridor for wildlife such as the
  black bear, plant propagule generation and protecting regional ecosystem services needs to be a significant
  component of the unit management plan.
- Page 2, park significance should also include the scrub and sandhill communities themselves, which are in good very good or even excellent or very good condition as stated on pg 112, FNAI (in their natural communities guide) states that WSSP sandhills are exemplary for the entire state condition and are state and globally threatened ecosystems. Page 112 states that the sandhills at WSSP comprises "approximately 1,246 acres, these are one of the largest holdings of sandhill community in the state park system," and "sandhills at Wekiwa Springs are acknowledged statewide as an example of sandhill restoration and maintenance." The Florida Native Plant Society chapters are concerned and alarmed for this prime example of an imperiled community when it is stated during the public meeting and advisory group meeting, as well as the Draft Conceptual Land Use Map Page 2 that a new training facility (page 186) will be located in a prime sandhill community. This community has taken years of dedicated work and state investment to become an example of what is possible for other land managers. The sandhill performs Aquifer recharge, one of the greatest ecosystem services of importance to Central Florida. We recommend this facility stay in its current footprint is simply ungraded or left alone and another larger facility be built in a ruderal or pasture area, which has already been impacted, creating optimal savings on time and effort for restoration of these non-pristine areas.
- Page 8 stated that "It was determined that timber management utilized as part of the park's natural community
  management and restoration activities would be appropriate at this park as additional sources of revenue for
  land management since they are compatible with the park's primary purpose of resource-based outdoor
  recreation and conservation;" <u>however</u>, on page 86 it also mentioned, "one large population of star anise
  (endangered) was secondarily impacted by logging activities that occurred to ameliorate the effects of southern

pine beetle." With 18 imperiled plant species (page 85) within the state park boundaries, there is concern that lack of population surveys, lack of consistent monitoring and lack of funding recovery efforts will deepen the disruptive impact of logging activities as it has done so before.

- Page 10, under park management goals there is no mention of the restoration of the degraded or disturbed
  areas and the importance of long-term conversion, to more biologically diverse systems in order to improve the
  habitats and the ecosystem services provided by them.
- Page 54 and other areas where desired future conditions are described for each community, the desired future conditions should describe measurable characteristics/attributes so that if/when transects are performed to measure, staff would be able to track changes through time and under certain management activities. This is a ten-year plan for where the park should be in 2027. If management actions are taken towards some set of goals, staff and the public would be aware of what the targets are, especially in terms of imperiled species whether they are plants or wildlife. This would also include measureable goals through a timeline for restoring altered land cover types (page 77 and 80 as example). There does not seem to be a real plan to implement restoration to the desired future community types.
- It is also concerning that the Hand Fern (*Ophioglossum palmatum*) population comments on page 86-87 in the plan rely on pre-2005 observations. Current population counts should be updated to protect this community. Similarly the Hooded Pitcher Plants (*Sarracenia minor*) relying on 2001 state-wide information. Although the plan suggests that staff develop a management plan for the pitcher plants, survey for imperiled plant species and setup monitoring protocols as per the 10-year Implementation Schedule and Cost Estimates of \$200,000+ (Table 7, Sheet 3 of 5), it seems that it would be more realistic and doable to partner with local Florida Native Plant Chapters in Lake, Seminole and Orange Counties to provide assistance with surveying for the imperiled plant species at a minimum to a Tier 2 level as defined on page 100.
- Page 112, sandhills are mentioned as needing protection and yet how would this be implemented? This
  ecosystem should be protected against new trail or road development or any other infrastructure which would
  reduce the acreage of the sandhills or affect integrity and or burning rotation. They should be added to Page 171
  Protected Zones.
- Page 131 mentions that "work plans are reviewed and updated annually. Through this process, the DRP's
  resource management strategies are systematically evaluated to determine their effectiveness," since "the
  information collected is used to refine techniques, methodologies and strategies, "but it is concerning that
  imperiled plant populations have not merited substantial mention in the Plan and lead one to believe that they
  have been left out of the annual work plans for more than 10 years.
- Pages 138-146, actions are listed for objectives, but there are no goals, no measurements to guide staff on what they are "shooting for. For example, percentage canopy, percentage herbaceous, etc. There should be measureable methods, for example, instead of "Action 3: Conduct hardwood removal on 661 acres", how about "Reduce hardwood cover by 75-85% on 661 acres;"
- Page 138 states at the bottom that "In the Wekiva River Basin State Parks, there is a total of 1,466 acres of
  restoration to be scheduled for the 10-year duration until the next management plan revision. This acreage does
  not constitute the entire amount of acreage that would need restoration within the park boundaries." This
  statement is concerning for two reasons 1) this section does not state how well the state park did in its last 10year interval and if it reached its goal and 2) it should state what the "entire amount of acreage" is calculated to
  be so that the public can judge how staff and the budget are keeping up with the restoration demands.
- Use of a private forestry contractor (as opposed to the Florida Forest Service personnel) to conduct forestry
  assessments should guarantee that professionals knowledgeable in plant and animal species stewardship, exotic
  species management, desired future conditions of Florida's native communities be contracted. The assessments
  should not be based on timber harvest alone and funds received from timber harvest activities should be
  recycled into land management activities for WSSP.

- Pages 198-199, because recreation and the associated usage and infrastructure impacts populations of native vegetation, it would be beneficial to have a discussion within the Plan how the carrying capacities were derived to better understand what the impact of 404,651 visitors (page 170) plus more in this growing area for the next 10 years will be.
- Addendum 6, although mentioned in the body of the Plan was missing from the draft copy available to the public.
- Addendum 8 had a copy of the November 13, 2009 Memorandum of the Response to Draft Land Management Review (LMR) Wekiva River Basin State Parks. It should have been referenced more in the plan, given a more prominent position in the plan rather than in the back as Addendum 8 and be provided to the Advisory Group for discussion. Much of the information listed in the Memorandum is of concern back in 2009 as it is in 2017. How have these issues been addressed the past 8 years? For example "increase burning in the flatwoods, "increase efforts to treat invasive exotic species," how developing a "plan of management for the pasture areas and other disturbed areas" is progressing, a more "meatier" discussion in the Plan regarding Scrub and Giant Orchids, etc. The Land Management Review process that takes place every 5 years or so is an important tool to hold all state agencies accountable for their management actions. We feel strongly that the items mentioned in the review have not been adequately addressed in the plan. For example the DRP agreed that a pasture management plan needs to be developed and no such plan is mentioned in the current draft of the Unit Management Plan.

The comments above were derived from members attending the public meeting, advisory meeting and reading the Unit Management Plan. Attendees did not feel that the public participation aspect was an "inviting/educational" process in terms of a overall review by staff of the Plan and questions being taken from the audience. Attendees felt that a group discussion was not promoted or encouraged. Attendees were encouraged during the public meeting to go to a display board and ask one-on-one questions, which in our opinion undermined a good exchange between the public and FDEP staff resulting in a better understanding of where the Wekiva River Basin State Parks were in 2007 versus 2017.

In conclusion, Wekiwa Springs State Park, Rock Springs Run State Reserve, and Lower Wekiva River Preserve State Park, as page 1 states are located "in one of the fastest growing and most visited regions in Florida" and therefore require careful and thoughtful consideration on improving and maintaining the health of these wonderful environmental assets.

Respectfully submitted by:

Patrícia Burgos

Patricia Burgos, President Lake Beautyberry Chapter Lake County Amanda Martín

Amanda Martin, Pres. Tarflower Chapter Orange County

Addendum 3—References Cited

- Ahlgren, C. E. 1974. Introduction. In: Kozlowski, T. T. and C. E. Ahlgren (eds.) *Fire and ecosystems.* pp. 1-44. Academic Press, Inc.: New York, NY. 542pp.
- Barton, P. M. 1981. Comments on aboriginal artifacts from Wekiwa Springs. *Report Number 6 on Wekiwa history.* Workshop on Orlando and Orange County history. University of Central Florida and Orange County Historical Society.
- Blackman, W. F. 1973. *History of Orange County, Florida*. Mickler House Publishers: Chuluota, FL. 226pp.
- Blihovde, W. B. 2000. *Terrestrial Behavior of the Florida gopher frog (Rana capito aesopus)*. M.S. Thesis. University of Central Florida: Orlando, FL. 85pp.
- Blihovde, W. B. 2002. Agonistic behavior in the swallow-tailed kite. *Florida Field Naturalist.* 30(2): 41.
- Bratton, S. P., M. G. Hickler, and J. H. Graves. 1978. Visitor impact on backcountry campsites in the Great Smoky Mountains. *Environmental Management*. 2: 431-442.
- Breininger, D. R., P. A. Schmalzer, D. A. Rydine, and C. R. Hinkle. 1988. Burrow and habitat relationships of the gopher tortoise in coastal scrub and slash pine flatwoods on Merritt Island, Florida. *Nongame Wildlife Program Final Report Project GFC-84-016*. Florida Game and Fresh Water Fish Commission. Tallahassee, FL. 238pp.
- Breininger, D. R., P. A. Schmalzer, and C. R. Hinkle. 1991. Estimating occupancy of gopher tortoise (*Gopherus polyphemus*) burrows in coastal scrub and slash pine flatwoods. *Journal of Herpetology*. 25: 317–321.
- Breininger, D. R., P. A. Schmalzer, and C. R. Hinkle. 1994. Gopher tortoise (*Gopherus polyphemus*) densities in coastal scrub and slash pine flatwoods in Florida. *Journal of Herpetology*. 28: 60–65.
- Breininger, D. R., B. Toland, D. Odday, M. Legare, J. Elseroad, and G. Carter. 2002. Biological criteria for the recovery of the Florida scrub-jay populations on public lands in Brevard County and Indian River County. *Final report to Endangered Species Office*. United States Fish and Wildlife Service: Jacksonville, FL.
- Bryan, D. C. 1992. Limpkin, Aramus guarauna. In: Kale II, H. W., B. Pranty, B. M. Stith, and C. W. Biggs (eds.) The atlas of the breeding birds of Florida: Final Report. Florida Game and Freshwater Fish Commission: Tallahassee, FL. http://www.wildflorida.org/bba/LIMP.htm.
- Brooks, H. K. 1981a. *Physiographic Regions*. Florida Cooperative Extension Service, Institute of Food Agricultural Sciences. University of Florida: Gainesville, FL.

- Brooks, H. K. 1981b. *Geologic Map of Florida*. Florida Cooperative Extension Service, Institute of Food Agricultural Sciences. University of Florida: Gainesville, FL.
- Catesby, Mark. 1754 A Map of Carolina, Florida and the Bahama Islands with the Adjacent Parts. North Carolina Maps. University of North Carolina at Chapel Hill. Call number FVC590 C35n.1

Chapman, H. H. 1932. Is the longleaf type a climax? *Ecology*. 13: 328-334.

- Christensen, N. L. 1981. Fire regimes in southeastern ecosystems. In: Mooney, H.
   A., T. M. Bonnichsen, N. L. Christensen, J. E. Lotan, and W. A. Reiners. Fire regimes and ecosystem properties. United States Department of Agriculture. *Forest Service General Technical Report WO-26.* pp. 112-136.
- Churcher, P. B. and J. H. Lawton. 1989. Beware of well-fed felines. *Natural History*. Vol ? : 40-46.
- Cooke, C. W. 1945. *Geology of Florida: Bulletin 29*. Florida State Department of Conservation and Florida Geological Survey: Tallahassee, FL. 339pp.
- Coumes, S., J. Radz, and T. Ross. 1997. *Wekiva Ferry Site Survey, An Archaeological and Historical Study, Lake County, Florida*. Florida Department of Environmental Protection: Tallahassee, FL.
- Cox, J., R. Kautz, M. MacLaughlin, and T. Gilbert. 1994. *Closing the gaps in Florida's wildlife habitat conservation system.* Florida Game and Freshwater Fish Commission: Tallahassee, FL. 239pp.
- Cox, J. and R. Kautz. 2000. *Habitat conservation needs of rare and imperiled wildlife*. Florida Game and Freshwater Fish Commission: Tallahassee, FL. 239pp.
- Craighead, F.C. 1971. The Trees of South Florida. University of Miami Press, Coral Gables.
- Davis, J.H., Jr. 1943. The natural features of southern Florida, especially the vegetation, and the Everglades. Geological Bulletin No. 25. Florida Geological Survey, Tallahassee, Florida.
- Davis, J. M. and C. M. Sakamoto. 1976. *An atlas and tables of thunderstorm and hail day probabilities in the southeastern United States: Bulletin No. 477.* Auburn University Agricultural Experiment Station. Auburn University: Auburn, AL.
- Diemer-Berish, J. E., L. D. Wendland, and C. A. Gates. 2000. Distribution and prevalence of upper respiratory tract disease in gopher tortoises in Florida. *Journal of Herpetology*. 34: 5–12.

- Dodd, Jr., C. K., R. E. Ashton, Jr., R. Franz, and E. Wester, eds. 1990. *Burrow associates of the gopher tortoise*. Proceedings of the 8th Annual Meeting of the Gopher Tortoise Council. Florida Museum of Natural History: Gainesville, FL. 134pp.
- Eason, T. H. 2003. *Conservation strategy for the black bear in Florida: Final Report.* Florida Fish and Wildlife Conservation Commission: Tallahassee, FL. 46pp.
- Edmisten, J. A. 1963. *The ecology of the Florida pine flatwoods*. Ph. D. Dissertation. University of Florida: Gainesville, FL. 108pp.
- Estabrook, R. W. and L. M. Weant. 1991. *Cultural Resources Reassessment of the Plantation PUD Project Site, Seminole County, Florida.* Bureau of Archaeological Research, Division of Historical Resources: Tallahassee, FL.
- Fitzpatrick, J. W., G. E. Woolfenden, and M. T. Kopeny. 1991. Ecology and development-related habitat requirements of the Florida scrub jay (Aphelocoma coerulescens coerulescens). Nongame Wildlife Program, Technical Report. No. 8. Florida Game and Fresh Water Fish Commission: Tallahassee, FL. 30 pp.
- Florida Department of Environmental Protection. 1998. *Wekiva River Basin GEOPark Unit Management Plan.* Florida Department of Environmental Protection: Tallahassee, FL.
- Florida Department of Environmental Protection. 2005. *Florida State Park System Economic Impact Assessment for Fiscal Year 2002/2003.* Florida Department of Environmental Protection: Tallahassee, FL.
- Florida Department of Environmental Protection. 2013. *Outdoor Recreation in Florida 2013.* Tallahassee, Florida.
- Florida Department of Environmental Protection. 2014. *Wekiva River Aquatic Preserve Management Plan 2014.* Florida Department of Environmental Protection, Florida Coastal Office: Tallahassee, Florida.
- Florida Department of Environmental Protection. 2015. Basin Management Action Plan for the Implementation of Total Maximum Daily Loads for Nutrients by the Florida Department of Environmental Protection in the Middle St. Johns River Basin for Wekiva River, Rock Springs Run, and Little Wekiva Canal 2015. Florida Department of Environmental Protection Division of Environmental Assessment and Restoration Watershed Restoration Program: Tallahassee, Florida.
- Florida Department of Environmental Protection. 2015. *Florida State Park System Economic Impact Assessment for Fiscal Year 2014/2015*. Tallahassee, FL.

### A 2 - 3

Florida Department of State. Florida Master Site File: 8LA193, 8LA471, 8LA510, 8LA532, 8LA538, 8LA539, 8LA540, 8LA541, 8LA542, 8LA1135, 8LA2022, 8LA2023, 8LA2024, 8LA2127, 8OR447, 8OR448, 8OR449, 8OR450, 8OR451, 8OR452, 8OR453, 8OR454, 8OR455, 8OR456, 8OR458, 8OR2089, 8OR2220, 8OR2221, 8OR2222, 8OR2226, 8OR2227, 8OR2228, 8OR3230, 8SE24, 8SE27, 8SE74, 8SE75, 8SE81, 8SE83, 8SE92, 8SE564, 8SE565, 8SE566, 8SE567, 8SE568, 8SE577, 8SE578, 8SE582, 8SE1095, 8SE1096, 8SE1146, 8SE1177, 8SE1686, 8SE??

Florida Forever Five-Year Plan. 2003.

- Florida Natural Areas Inventory. 1990. *Guide to the natural communities of Florida*. Florida Natural Areas Inventory and Florida Department of Natural Resources: Tallahassee, FL. 111pp.
- Florida Springs Task Force. 2000. *Florida Springs: Strategies for protection & restoration*. Florida Springs Task Force Meeting, November 2000. 59pp.
- *Florida Statistical Abstract 2002.* Bureau of Economic and Business Research (BEBR), University of Florida: Gainesville, FL.

Florida Water Resources Act. Chapter 373. Florida Statute.

- Fogarty, M. J. 1978. Florida gopher frog, Rana areolata aesopus (Cope). In: McDiarmid, R. W. (ed.) Rare and endangered biota of Florida: Amphibians and Reptiles. Volume 3, pp. 5–6. University of Florida: Gainesville, FL.
- Fraedrich, S.W., Harrington, T.C., Rabaglia, R.J., Ulyshen, M.D., Mayfield, A.E. III, Hanula, J.L, Eickwort, J.M. and Miller, D.R. 2008. A fungal symbiont of the redbay ambrosia beetle causes a lethal wilt in redbay and other Lauraceae in the southeastern USA. Plant Disease 92: 215-224.Franz, R., J. Bauer, and T. Morris. 1994. Review of biologically-significant caves and their faunas in Florida and south Georgia. *Brimleyana*. 20: 1-109.
- Franz, R. and L. L. Smith. 1999. *Distribution and status of the striped newt and Florida gopher frog in peninsular Florida: Final Report*. Florida Fish and Wildlife Conservation Commission: Tallahassee, FL. 46pp.
- Friends of the Wekiva River. 1985. *The Wekiva River Basin: a resource endangered.* Technical report of the Friends of the Wekiva River, Inc.: Orlando, FL. 36pp.
- Friends of the Wekiva River. 1992. *The Wekiva River Basin: a resource revisited.* Technical report of the Friends of the Wekiva River, Inc.: Orlando, FL. 81pp.
- Gao, Xueqing. 2008. TMDL Report: Nutrient TMDLs for the Wekiva River (WBIDs 2956, 2956A, and 2956C) and Rock Springs Run (WBID 2967). Prepared for Florida Department of Environmental Protection, Tallahassee, Fl.

- Giannotti, A. L. 2004. Personal communication. Park Biologist, Wekiva River Basin State Parks, Apopka, FL.
- Harper, R. M. 1915. *Vegetation types: natural resources in an area in central Florida: Annual Report for Florida State Geological Survey.* Florida Department of Environmental Protection: Tallahassee, FL. 7: 135-188.
- Harrington, D., G. Maddox, and R. Hicks. 2008. Florida Springs Initiative Monitoring Network Report 2008. Prepared for Florida Department of Environmental Protection, Tallahassee, Fl.
- Hem, J. D. 1989. *Study and interpretation of the chemical characteristics of natural water: United States Geological Survey Water-Supply Paper 2254.* United States Department of the Interior: Washington, DC. 263 pp.
- Heyward, F. 1939. The relation of fire to stand composition of longleaf pine forests. *Ecology.* 20: 287-304.
- Hilmon, J. B. 1968. *Autecology of saw palmetto {Serenoa repens (Bartr.) Small}*. Ph. D. Dissertation. Duke University: Durham, NC. 190pp.
- Hipes, D., D. R. Jackson, K. NeSmith, D. Printiss, and K. Brandt. 2001. Field guide to the rare animals of Florida. Florida Natural Areas Inventory: Tallahassee, FL.
- Hobbs, Jr., H. H. 1942. *The crayfishes of Florida: University of Florida Studies Biological Sciences Series.* University of Florida: Gainesville, FL. 3(2) 179pp.
- Hupalo, R. B., C. P. Neubauer, L. W. Keenan, D. A. Clapp, and E. F. Lowe. 1994. Establishment of Minimum Flows and levels for the Wekiva River System: Technical Publication SJ94-1. St. John's River Water Management District: Palatka, FL. 86pp.
- Hyde, L.W. 1965. Principle Aquifers in Florida. U.S. Geological Survey in cooperation with Bureau of Geology, FL Dept. of Natural Resources, Tallahassee, FI.
- Jacqmain, E.I., R.H. Jones, and R.J. Mitchell. 1999. Influences of frequent coolseason burning across a soil moisture gradient on oak community structure in longleaf pine ecosystems. American Midland Naturalist 141:85-100.
- Johnson, E. D. 2001. *Pitcher plants and their habitats in the Florida State Park System: Resource conditions, trends, and management needs. Resource Management Evaluation Report.* Florida Department of Environmental Protection: Tallahassee, FL. 102pp + appendices.
- Johnson, E. 2002. Personal communication. Biologist. Bureau of Natural and Cultural Resources.

- Johnson, S. A. 2004. Personal communication. Biologist, United States Geological Survey. Florida Integrated Science Center, Gainesville, FL.
- Johnson, S. A. 2002. Life history of the striped newt at a north-central Florida breeding pond. *Southeastern Naturalist.* 1(4): 381-402.
- Johnson, S. A., and R. B. Owen. In preparation. *Distribution and status of the striped newt throughout the southeastern United States.* Final Report to United States Fish and Wildlife Service: Atlanta, GA.
- Jordan, C. L. 1984. Florida's weather and climate: implications for water. In: Fernald, E. A. and D. J. Patton (eds.) *Water resources atlas of Florida*. pp. 18-35. Institute of Science and Public Affairs. Florida State University: Tallahassee, FL.
- Kantola, Angela Torres and Stephen R. Humphrey. 1990. Habitat use by sherman's fox squirrel (*Sciurus niger shermani*) in Florida. Journal of Mammalogy 71(3):411-419.
- Karst Environmental Services, Inc. 2004. *Discharge measurement: Island Spring, Seminole County, FL.* Karst Environmental Services, Inc.: High Springs, FL. 22pp.
- Laessle, A. M. 1958. The origin and successional relationships of sandhill vegetation and sand pine scrub. *Ecological Monographs*. 28: 361-387.
- Lake County Planning Division. 1999. *Future Land Use Plan 2005*. Lake County: Tavares, FL.
- Lake County. 2010. Lake County Comprehensive Plan. Lake County, Florida.
- Layne, J. N. 1992. Florida mouse, *Podomys floridanus*. In: Humphrey, S. R. (ed.) *Rare and Endangered Biota of Florida: Mammals.* Volume I, pp. 250-264. University of Florida: Gainesville, FL.
- Layne, J. N. and L. M. Ehrhart. 1970. Digging behavior of four species of deer mice (*Peromyscus*). *American Museum Novitates.* 2429: 16pp.
- Lichtler, W. F., W. Anderson, and B. F. Joyner. 1968. *Water resources of Orange County, Florida.* Florida Division of Geology Report of Investigations No. 50. Florida Geological Survey. Florida Department of Environmental Protection: Tallahassee, FL. 150pp.
- Lobinske, R. J. 1995. *Qualitative and Quantitative Population and Productivity Estimates of Chironomidae (Diptera) and selected physico-chemical parameter in two tributaries of the Wekiva River, Central Florida*. M.S. Thesis. University of Central Florida: Orlando, FL. 56pp.

### A 2 - 6

- Lyon, C. 2004. Personal communication. Graduate student. University of Central Florida, Orlando, FL.
- MACTEC. 2007. Phase I Report: Wekiva River Basin Nitrate Sourcing Study. Newberry, Fl. Mayfield, A.E. III, 2007. Laurel wilt: A serious threat to redbay and other related native plants. The Palmetto (Quarterly Journal of the Florida Native Plant Society) 24(3):8-11.
- Mayfield III, Albert E., Jonathan H. Crane and Jason A. Smith. 2011. Laurel Wilt: A Threat to Redbay, Avocado and Related Trees in Urban and Rural Landscapes. McGurk, B. E. and P. Presley. 2000. Simulation of the effects of groundwater withdrawals on the Floridan aquifer system in east-central Florida: Model expansion and revision. Abstract from Florida Springs Conference: Natural Gems-Troubled Waters (Feb. 2000). Gainesville, FL.
- McGurk, B. E. 2000. *Estimating the potential impacts to springflow in the Wekiva River Basin from projected future ground water withdrawals*. Abstract from Florida Springs Conference: Natural Gems-Troubled Waters (Feb. 2000). Gainesville, FL.
- McGurk, B. E. Unpublished manuscript. *Impacts from groundwater demands upon springflow to the Wekiva River.* Unpublished report given at Annual Meeting of the American Water Resources Association. On file at Wekiva River Basin State Parks, Apopka, FL.
- McMurtray, J. D. 1992. *1992 Scrub-jay Survey and Banding Summary: Wekiva River Basin*. Unpublished report to Florida Department of Natural Resources. On file at Wekiva River Basin State Parks, Apopka, FL. 9pp. +appendices.
- Means, B. D., and G. Grow. 1985. The endangered longleaf pine community. *ENFO*. September 85-4. 12pp.
- Means, D. B., and H. W. Campbell. 1981. Effects of prescribed burning on amphibians and reptiles. In: Wood, G. W. (ed.) *Prescribed fire and wildlife in southern forests*. pp. 89-96. Belle W. Baruch Institute of Coastal Ecology and Forest Science/Experiment Station of Clemson University: Georgetown, SC.
- Meshaka, Jr., W. E. 2001. *The Cuban treefrog in Florida: life history of a successful colonizing species*. University of Florida: Gainesville, FL. 191pp.
- Milanich, J. T. and C. H. Fairbanks. 1980. *Florida Archaeology*. Academic Press, Inc.: New York, NY. 290pp.
- Milanich, J. T. 1994. Archaeology of Precolumbian Florida. University of Florida: Gainesville, FL. 476pp.
- Milanich, J. T. 1995. *Florida Indians and the Invasion from Europe*. University of Florida: Gainesville, FL. 290pp.

- Milanich, J. T. 1996. *The Timucua*. Blackwell Publishers, Inc.: Cambridge, MA. 235pp.
- Melanich, Jerald T. 1998. Florida's Indians from Ancient Times to the Present. A Florida Heritage Publication. Gainesville, Florida.
- Milanich, Jerald T. 2004. Early Groups of Central and South Florida. In Handbook of North American Indians, Volume 14: Southeast, edited by Raymond D. Fogelson, pp.213-218. Smithsonian Institution, WashingtonMiller, Karl 2005. Personal communication. Avian biologist, Florida Fish and Wildlife Conservation Commission, Gainesville, FL.
- Moler, P. E. and R. Franz. 1988. Wildlife values of small, isolated wetlands in the southeastern coastal plain. In: Odom, R. R., K. A. Riddleberger, and J. C. Ozier (eds.) *Proceedings of the 3rd Southeastern Nongame and Endangered Wildlife Symposium.* pp. 234–241. Georgia Department of Natural Resources, Game and Fish Division: Atlanta, GA.
- Moore, J. C. 1957. The natural history of the fox squirrel. *Sciurus niger shermani*. Bull. Amer. Mus. Nat. Hist. 113:1-71.
- Morris, T. 2005. Personal communication. Cave biologist, Karst Environmental Services, Inc. High Springs, FL.
- Musser, W. D. 1995. The relationship between the lowest position of trees along a slope gradient and high water levels for two central Florida sandhill lakes.M.S. Thesis. University of Central Florida: Orlando, FL.
- Orange County Planning Division. 2000. *Comprehensive Policy Plan, Future Land Use 2000-2020*. Orange County: Orlando, FL.
- Orange County. 2015. Orange County Comprehensive Plan. Orange County, Florida.
- O'Reilly, A. M., R.M. Spechler, and B. E. McGurk. 2002. *Hydrogeology and waterquality characteristics of the lower Floridan aquifer in East-Central Florida: Water Resources Investigations Report 02-4193.* United States Geological Survey. United States Department of the Interior: Washington, DC. 60pp.
- Osburn, B. and D. Toth. 2002. *Springs of the St. Johns River Water Management District*. St. Johns River Water Management District: Palatka, FL.
- Owen, R. B. 2004. Personal communication and unpublished data on file at Wekiva River Basin State Parks, Apopka, FL. Park Biologist, Wekiva River Basin State Parks, Apopka, FL.
- Philpott, Don 2008 . Wekiva River Basin State Parks. Wekiva Wilderness Trust. Mediawise Publishing. Altamonte Springs, Florida
Rabatsky, A. and W.B. Blihovde. 2002. Gopher tortoise die-off at Rock Springs Run State Reserve, Lake County, Florida. *Turtle and Tortoise Newsletter*. 6: 27-28.

Randall, Asa R. 2012 Correspondence to Dr. Russel Bryant.

- Rao, D. V. and D. A. Clapp. 1996. Preliminary evaluation of the impacts of spring discharge reductions on the flows of receiving water bodies and natural systems, Central Florida. St. John's River Water Management District: Palatka, FL. 71ppRoberts, M. 1993. Population estimate of the Florida mouse (Podomys floridanus) in the Wekiva River GeoPark. Unpublished report to Wekiva River Basin GeoPark, Apopka, FL.
- Roof, J. and J. Wooding. 1996. Evaluation of State Route 46 wildlife crossing. Florida Cooperative Fish and Wildlife Research Unit, United States Biological Service Technical Report #54, Gainesville, FL. 36pp.
- Rosenau, J. C., G. L. Faulkner, C. H. Hendry, Jr., and R. W. Hull. 1977. Springs of Florida. Florida Department of Natural Resources Geological Bulletin No. 31 (revised): Florida Department of Natural Resources: Tallahassee, FL. 461pp.
- St. Johns River Water Management District (SJRWMD). 2013. Springs of the District Website: <u>http://sjrwmd.com/springs/springslist.html</u> (accessed August 5, 2013)
- Savery, S. 2004. Personal communication. Park Biologist, Edward Ball Wakulla Springs State Park, Wakulla Springs, FL.
- Schurbon, J. M. and J. E. Fauth. 2003. Effects of prescribed burning on amphibian diversity in a southeastern United States national forest. *Conservation Biology.* 17(5): 1338.
- Schwartz, T. S. and S. A. Karl. 2000. *Genetic relationships among gopher tortoise* (*Gopherus polyphemus*) populations in Florida. Unpublished report on file, Wekiva River Basin State Parks, Apopka, FL. 18pp.
- Scott, T.M., G.H. Means, R.C. Means, R.P.Meegan. 2002. First Magnitude Springs of Florida. Open File Report No. 85. Florida Geological Survey, Tallahassee, Fl.
- Scott, T.M., G.H. Means, R.P.Meegan, R.C. Means, S.B. Upchurch, R.E. Copeland, J. Jones, T. Roberts, and A. Willet. 2004. Springs of Florida. Bulletin No. 66. Florida Geological Survey, Tallahassee, Fl.
- Scott, T. M., G. H. Means, R. P. Meegan, R. C. Means, S. B. Upchurch, R. E. Copeland, J. Jones, T. Roberts, and A. Willet. 2004. *Springs of Florida*. Florida Geological Survey. Florida Department of Environmental Protection: Tallahassee, FL. 377 pp.

- Scott, T. M. and M. Hajishafie. 1980. Top of Floridan aquifer in the St. Johns River Water Management District. Florida Bureau Geological Map Series No. 95. 1 sheet.
- Seibert, S. G. 1993. *Status and management of black bears in Apalachicola National Forest.* Florida Game and Freshwater Fish Commission: Tallahassee, FL. 29pp.
- Seibert, S. M., S. Glenn, K. J. Bradford, R. R. Bradick, F. Brummer, V. Garfein, K. Green, III, C. Hanson, P. T. Harden, A. E. Keen, C. S. Lee, W. McKinnon, D. McLain, M. F. Melchiori, M. Snyder, and A. E. Vanek. 2003. Wekiva Basin Area Task Force Final Report: Recomendations for planning and locating the Wekiva Parkway while preserving the Wekiva River Basin ecosystem. Submitted to Governor Jeb Bush.
- Seminole County Planning and Development. 2002. Vision 2020 Comprehensive Plan, Future Land Use Series – Future Land Use Map. Seminole County: Sanford, FL.
- Seminole County. 2011. Seminole County Comprehensive Plan. Seminole County, Florida.
- Shofner, Jerrell H.1982 *History of Apopka and Northwest Orange County, Florida.* Apopka Historical Society. Rose Printing Company, Tallahassee, Florida.
- Small, P. E. 1997. Wekiva River Basin Florida scrub-jay (*Aphelocoma coeruelscens*) population. M.S. Thesis. University of Central Florida: Orlando, FL.
- Soil Conservation Service. 1989a. *Soil survey of Lake County, Florida*. United States Department Agriculture: Washington, DC. 175pp. + maps.
- Soil Conservation Service. 1989b. *Soil survey of Orange County, Florida*. United States Department Agriculture: Washington, DC. 175pp. + maps.
- Soil Conservation Service. 1990. *Soil survey of Seminole County, Florida*. United States Department Agriculture: Washington, DC. 164pp. + maps.
- Stanton, W. 2001. Memo on file. Florida Department of Environmental Protection. Tallahassee, FL.
- Stevenson, R.J., P. Agnieszka, A. Albertin, and J.O. Sickman. 2007. Ecological Condition of Algae and Nutrients in Florida Springs: The Synthesis Report. Submitted to the Florida Department of Environmental Protection. Tallahassee, Fl.

- Stewart, M.C. 1982. Report to the Orange County Planning Department on the Archaeological Significance of the Rock Springs-Wekiva Proposed Purchase under the CARL Program. Ms. on file, Florida Department of Environmental Protection: Tallahassee, FL.
- Stith, B. M. 1999. *Metapopulation dynamics and landscape ecology of the Florida scrub-jay, Aphelocoma coerulescens*. Ph.D. Dissertation. University of Florida, Gainesville, FL.
- Tarver, D. P., J. A. Rodgers, M. J. Mahler, and R. L. Lazor. 1979. Aquatic and wetland plants of Florida. Florida Department Natural Resources: Tallahassee, FL. 127pp.
- Tebeau, C. W. 1971. *A History of Florida*. University of Miami: Coral Gables, FL. 527pp.
- Thompson, F. G. 2005. Personal communication. Malacologist, Florida Museum of Natural History, University of Florida, Gainesville, FL.
- Thompson, F. G. and K Auffenberg. 1994. Wekiwa Hydrobe, *Aphaostracon monas*.
  In: Deyrup, M. and R. Franz. (eds.) *Rare and Endangered Biota of Florida*: *Invertebrates*. Volume IV, pp.155-156. University of Florida: Gainesville, FL.
- Thomson, W. Date unknown. Personal communication. Assistant Director of Field Conservation Services, The Nature Conservancy. Altamonte Springs, FL.
- Toth, D., 1999. Water quality and isotope concentrations from selected springs in the St. John's River Water Management District. Technical Publication SJ99-2. St. Johns River Water Management District: Palatka, FL. 67pp.
- Tysall, T. N. 2004. Personal communication. Founder and President, The Cambrian Foundation, Orlando, FL.
- Tysall, T. N. 2005. Personal communication. Founder and President, The Cambrian Foundation, Orlando, FL.
- United States Department of Commerce, Bureau of the Census. 2000. U. S. Census 2000. United States Department of Commerce: Washington, DC.
- U.S. Department of Commerce, Bureau of Economic Analysis. 2014. 2013 *Personal Income Summary/Per Capital Personal Income.* http://www.bea.gov/itable/.
- United States Fish and Wildlife Service USFWS. 1999. Mesic temperate hammock. South Florida multi-species recovery plan - Ecological communities. United States Fish and Wildlife Service. URL: <u>http://www.fws.gov/southeast/vbpdfs/commun/mth.pdf</u>

## A 2 - 11

- UniVersity of Florida, Bureau of Economic and Business Research (UFL BEBR). 2014. *Florida Statistical Abstract 2013.*
- Vergara, B. A. 2000. *District water supply plan*. Special Publication SJ2000-SP1. St. John's River Water Management District: Palatka, FL. 170pp.

Visit Florida! 2013. 2013 Florida Visitor Survey. Tallahassee, Florida.

- Volusia County Growth Management/Planning. 2000. *The Volusia County Comprehensive Plan*. Volusia County: Deland, FL.
- Wade, D., J. Ewel, and R. Hofstetter. 1980. *Fire in south Florida ecosystems*. United States Department Agriculture Forest Service General Technical Report SE-17. United States Department of Agriculture: Washington, DC. 125pp. Walker, G. and J. Baber. 2003. *Wildlife use and interactions with structures constructed to minimize vehicle collisions and animal mortality along State Route.* 46, Lake County, Florida. Final Report Contract BD-162. Wekiva River Basin State Parks: Apopka, FL.
- Webber, H. J. 1935. The Florida scrub, a fire-fighting association. *American Journal of Botany*. 22: 344-361.
- Weigl, P. D., M. A. Steele, L. J. Sherman, J. C. Ha, and T. L. Sharpe. 1989. The Ecology of the fox squirrel (*Sciurus niger*) in North Carolina: Implications for survival in the southeast> Bull. Of Tall Timbers Research Station. No24: 93pp
- Weisman, Brent R. 1993 An Overview of the Prehistory of the Wekiva River Basin. The Florida Anthropologist Vol. 46 No. 1.
- Weisman, B. R. and C. Newman. 1990. *Archaeological Investigation at Twin Mounds, Rock Springs Run State Reserve.* Ms. on file, Florida Department of Environmental Protection: Tallahassee, FL.
- Weisman, B. R. and C. Newman. 1993. *An Archaeological Assessment of the Wekiva River Buffers Property (Plantation Tract), Seminole County.* Ms. on file, Florida Department of Environmental Protection: Tallahassee, FL.
- White, W. A. 1970. The geomorphology of the Florida peninsula. Florida Department Natural Resources Geological Bulletin No. 51. Florida Geological Survey. Florida Department of Environmental Protection: Tallahassee, FL. 164pp.
- Wilson, W. L. (ed.). 1988. Karst of the Orlando area. A guidebook prepared for the engineering and geology of karst terranes short course. University Central Florida Sinkhole Research Institute Report No. 87-88-5. University of Central Florida: Orlando, FL. 75pp.

- Woolfenden, G. E. and J. W. Fitzpatrick. 1984. *The Florida scrub-jay: a demography of a cooperative-breeding bird.* Princeton University: Princeton, NJ. 406pp.
- Wood, D. A. 1991. *Official lists of endangered and potentially endangered fauna and flora in Florida.* Florida Game and Freshwater Fish Commission: Tallahassee, FL. Unpublished report. 23pp.
- Wooding, J. B., and T. S. Hardisky. 1994. Home range, habitat use, and mortality of black bears in north-central Florida. *International Conference on Bear Research and Management*. 9(1): 349-356.
- Wunderlin, R. P. 2003. *Guide to the vascular plants of Florida*. University of Florida: Gainesville, FL. 472pp.

Addendum 4—Soils Descriptions

## Wekiwa Springs State Park – Soil Description

(2S) -Adamsville-Sparr Fine Sands. These soils are level to nearly level and somewhat poorly drained. They occur on low ridges on uplands and on low knolls on the flatwoods. Slopes are usually less than 2 percent. Both Adamsville and Sparr soils have a seasonal high water table within 12 ot 36 inches of the surface for up to 6 months. Adamsville soil has a rapid permeability. Sparr soil has a rapid permeability in the surface and subsurface latyers and a slow to moderately slow permeability in the subsoil. Water capacity availability is low to very low in Adamsville soil, and is low in the surface and subsurface layers and moderate in the subsoil of Sparr soil. Both Adamsville and Sparr soils have a low natural fertility.

(6L) – Anclote, Delray and Hontoon This consists of level, very poorly drained mineral and organic soils that have not been classified because excess water and dense vegetation have made detailed investigations impractical. Swamp occurs as broad drainage ways, or broad, poorly defined streams, as large depressions having no outlets and as large bayheads. The soils are flooded with water all year except during prolonged periods when rainfall is light. Swamp is usually covered with a dense wetland forest. The vegetation usually is wetland hardwoods, cypress, cabbage palms, shrubs, vines, and grasses.

(2) - Archbold fine sand, 0 to 5 percent slopes. This is nearly level to gently sloping and moderately well drained soil. It is on low ridges and knolls in flatwoods. The slopes are smooth to convex. In most years, a seasonal high water table is at a depth of 42 to 60 inches for 6 months, and it recedes to 69 to 80 inches for the rest of the year. The permeability is very rapid throughout. The available water capacity is very low.

(6S) - Astatula-Apopka fine sands, 0 to 5 percent slopes. They are nearly level to gently sloping and excessively drained and well drained soils. These soils occur on hillsides and ridges on uplands. Astatula soil is excessively drained, and Apopka soil is well drained. The slopes are smooth to convex. These soils have a seasonal high water table at a depth of more than 80 inches. The permeability of Astatula soil is very rapid. The permeability of Apopka soil is rapid to a depth of 64 inches and moderate between depths of 64 and 80 inches. The available water capacity is very low in Astatula soil. In Apopka soil, it is very low to a depth of about 64 inches and is moderate in the subsoil.

(7, 7S) - Astatula-Apopka fine sands, 5 to 8 percent slopes. They are sloping, excessively drained and well drained soils. Astatula soil is excessively drained, and Apopka soil is well drained. These soils occur on hillsides in uplands. The slopes are smooth to convex. These soils have a seasonal high water table at a depth of more than 80 inches. The permeability of Astatula soil is very rapid. The permeability of Apopka soil is rapid to a depth of 65 inches and moderate between depths of 65 and 80 inches. The available water capacity is very low in Astatula soil. In Apopka soil, it is very low to a depth of about 65 inches and is moderate below that depth.

(9S) - Basinger and Delray fine sands. These soils are nearly level and poorly drained to very poorly drained. They occurr in sloughs and poorly defined drainageways. Slopes are less than 2 percent. During most years these soils have a seasonal high water table within 12 inches of the surface for a minimum of 6 months. Permeability of Basinger soil is rapid. Delray soil has a rapid permeability in the upper part and a moderate permeability in the lower part. Basinger soil has a low available water capacity. Available water capacity in Delray soil is moderate in the surface layer and subsoil and low in the subsurface layer. The surface layer of Basinger and Delray soils remains wet for long periods after heavy rains.

(3)- Basinger fine sand, depressional. This is a nearly level and very poorly drained soil. It is in shallow depressions and sloughs and along the edges of freshwater marshes and swamps. Undrained areas are ponded for 6 to 9 months or more each year. The slopes are concave and range from 0 to 2 percent. Under natural conditions, the water table is above the surface for 6 to 9 months or more each year and is within 12 inches of the surface for the rest of the year. The permeability is rapid throughout.

(4) - Candler fine sand, 0 to 5 percent slopes. This is a nearly level to gently sloping and excessively drained soil. It is on uplands. The slopes are nearly smooth to convex. A seasonal high water table is at a depth of more than 80 inches. The permeability is rapid in the surface and subsurface layers, and it is rapid to moderately rapid in the subsoil.

(5) - Candler fine sand, 5 to 12 percent slopes. This is a sloping and strongly sloping and excessively drained soil. It is on uplands. A seasonal high water table is at a depth of more than 80 inches. The permeability is rapid in the surface and subsurface layers, and it is rapid to moderately rapid in the subsoil.

(6) - Candler-Apopka fine sands, 5 to 12 percent slopes. They are sloping and strongly sloping and excessively drained and well drained soils. These soils are on uplands. They occur in a regular repeating pattern. Candler soil is sloping and excessively drained. It is on summits and lower side slopes. Apopka soil is strongly sloping and well drained. It is on the upper side of slopes. A seasonal high water table is at a depth of more than 72 inches in Apopka soil and at a depth of more than 80 inches in Candler soil. The permeability of Apopka soil is rapid in the surface and subsurface layers and moderate in the subsoil. The available water capacity of Candler soil is very low in the surface and subsurface layers and low in the subsoil. The available water capacity of Apopka soil is very low in the subsoil.

(15L) – Candler sand, 0 to 5 percent slope The Candler series consists of nearly level to strongly sloping, excessively drained soils that formed in thick beds of sandy marine deposits. These soils occur as broad areas of the sandy uplands. In a representative profile, the surface layer is dark gray sand about 5 inches thick. It is underlain by 62 inches of yellow sand. The next 42 inches is very pale brown sand that is mottled with white and has thin lamellae of yellowish brown loamy sand. Below this is 6 inches of brownish yellow sandy loam.

A 3 - 2

(18L) - Cassia sand. This soil is nearly level, somewhat poorly drained and has a layer that is stained by organic matter. The surface layer of this soil is gray sand of about 4 inches in thickness. The subsurface layer is light-gray sand to about 25 inches deep. The weakly cemented, 12-inch thick subsoil is dark reddish-brown sand coated with organic matter. Below this layer is a mottled very pale brown sand that reaches a depth of 80 inches This poorly drained, nearly level soil has the water table at a depth of 10 to 40 inches with the exception of extended dry periods where it may recede to a depth of 60 inches. Cassia sand has a very rapid permeability to 25 inches, a moderately rapid permeability in the weakly cemented layer, and a rapid permeability between 37 and 80 inches. There is a very low available water capacity and organic matter content with the exception of the layer at a depth of 25 to 37 inches where the available water capacity is moderate and the organic matter content is moderately high.

(10) – Chobee Fine sandy loam, frequently Flooded. This soil is nearly level and very poorly drained. It is on the floodplain of the St. John's river and its major tributaries. This soil is flooded for very long periods of time following prolonged periods of rain. The slopes are smooth and concaved. They are dominantly less than 1 percent but range to 2 percent. In 90 percent of areas mapped as Chobee fine sandy Loam, frequently flooded Chobee soils and similar soils make up 86 to 99 percent of the mapped areas

Typically, this soil has a surface layer of fine black sand sandy loam, about 7 inches thick. The upper part of the subsoil, to a depth of about 17 inches is very dark gray sandy clay loam. The substratum is is to a depth of 50 inches or more and is a dark grey sandy loam.

(13S) - EauGallie and Immokalee fine sands. This soil is nearly level and poorly drained. They occur on broad plains on the flatwoods. The slopes are generally less than 2 percent. During most years these soils have a seasonally-high water table within 12 inches of the surface for 1 to 4 months. EauGallie soil has a permeability that is rapid in the surface and subsurface layers, moderate or moderately rapid in the sandy part of the subsoil, and moderately slow in the loamy part. Immokalee soil has a permeability that is rapid in the surface and subsurface and subsurface layers and is moderate in the subsoil. Organic matter content is low in Immokalee soil and moderate to moderately low in EauGallie soil.

(12) - Emeralda and Holopaw fine sands. Frequently flooded are nearly level soils which are poorly drained. These soils are on the floodplains of the Wekiva River and its major tributaries. They do not occur in a regular repeating pattern. These soils are flooded for very long periods following prolonged, heavy, intense rains. Excess water ponds in low-lying areas for very long periods after heavy rains. The slopes are smooth to concave and range from 0 to 2 percent. In most years, these soils have a seasonal high water table within 10 inches of the surface for 2 to 6 months in Holopaw soil and 6 to 9 months in Emeralda soil. The permeability of Emeralda soil is rapid in the surface and subsurface layers and slow in the subsoil and substratum. The permeability of Holopaw soil is rapid in the surface and substratum and moderate in the subsoil. The available water capacity of Emeralda soil is medium

in the surface layer, low in the subsurface layer, and medium to high in the subsoil and substratum. The available water capacity of Holopaw soil is very low in the surface and subsurface layers, moderate in the subsoil, and low in the substratum.

(13) - Felda fine sand This soil is nearly level and poorly drained. It is in low, broad, poorly defined drainageways on the flatwoods. The water table is above the surface for brief periods in low-lying areas after a heavy rain. The slopes are smooth to concave and range from 0 to 2 percent.

Typically, this soil has a surface layer of black fine sand about 4 inches thick. The upper part of the subsurface layer to a depth of about 10 inches is grayish brown fine sand. The power part to about 22 inches is light brownish gray fine sands.

In most years a seasonally-high water table is within 10 inches of the surface for 2 to 6 months. The permeability is rapid in the surface and subsurface layers and in the substratum, and it is moderate to moderately rapid in the subsoil. The available water capacity is very low in the sutface and subsurface layers, low to moderate in the subsoil and low in the substratum. Naturally fertility and the organic matter content are low.

(14) - Felda fine sand. Occasionally flooded is a nearly level and poorly drained soil found on the floodplain of the Wekiva River and its major tributaries. This soil is flooded for brief periods following prolonged, intense rains. The slopes are smooth to slightly concave and range from 0 to 2 percent. In most years, a seasonal high water table is within 10 inches of the surface for 2 to 6 months. Flooding is infrequent under normal weather conditions. Duration of flooding is about 2 to 7 days and is directly related to the intensity and duration of rain. The permeability is rapid in the surface and subsurface layers and in the substratum, and it is moderate to moderately rapid in the subsoil. The available water capacity is very low in the surface and subsurface layers and in the substratum, and it is medium in the subsoil.

(28) – Florahome fine sand, 0 to 5 percent slope. This soil is nearly level to gently sloping and moderately well drained. It is on the uplands. The slopes are smooth and convex.

In most years, a seasonally-high water table is at a depth of 48 to 72 inches for 4 to 6 months and recedes to depths of 72 inches or more during extended dry periods. The permeability is rapid throughout. The available water capacity is low in the upper part of the surface layer. Natyral fertility is low. The organic content is moderate to moderately low.

(11) – Florindana and Chobee soils, frequently flooded. The soils in this map unit are nearly level and very poorly drained. These soils are on the floodplains of the St. John's river and its major tributaries. They do not occur in regular repeated patterns. These soils are flooded for very long periods following heavy intense rains. Many areas are isolated by meandering stream channels. Excess water ponds in low-lying areas for very long periods after heavy rain, Slopes are smooth to concave and range from 0 to 2 percent.

A 3 - 4

(16) – Floridana fine sand, frequently flooded. This soil is nearly level and very poorly drained. It is on the floodplains of the St. John's river and its major tributaries. This soil is flooded for brief periods following prolonged, intense rains. The slopes are smooth to slightly concave and range from 0 to 2 percent.

In most years, a seasonal high water table is within 10 inches of the surface for more than 6 months. Flooding occurs frequently during rainy periods. The duration and extent of flooding are variable and are directly related to the intensity and frequency of the rains. Flooding normally lasts from 1 to 4 months. The permeability is rapid in the surface and subsurface layers, slow in the subsoil, and moderate in the substratum. The available water capacity is in medium in the surface layer and subsurface layer and substratum. Natural fertility and organic matter content are medium.

(17) - Floridana mucky fine sand, depressional. This is a nearly level and very poorly drained soil. It is in depressions and poorly defined drainageways. Undrained areas are ponded for 6 to 9 months or more each year. The slopes are smooth to concave and range from 0 to 2 percent. Under natural conditions, this soil is ponded for 6 to 9 months or more each years, seasonal high water table is within 10 inches of the surface for more than 9 months. The permeability is rapid in the surface and subsurface layers, and slow in the substratum and subsoil. The available water capacity is medium to high in the surface layer, subsoil and substratum and low in the subsurface layer.

(20) - Immokalee fine sand. This is a nearly level and poorly drained soil. It is on broad flatwoods. The slopes are smooth and range from 0 to 2 percent. In most years, a seasonal high water table is within 10 inches of the surface for 1 to 3 months, and it recedes to a depth of 10 to 40 inches for more than 6 months. The permeability is rapid in the surface and subsurface layers and in the substratum, and it is moderate in the subsoil. The available water capacity is very low in the surface and subsurface layers and in the subsoil.

(25L, 16S) - Immokalee sand. This is a nearly level and poorly drained soil. It occurs on broad plains in flatwoods. The slopes are predominately less than 2 percent. This soil has a seasonal water table within 12 inches of the surface for 1 to 4 months of the year. The permeability is rapid in the surface and subsurface layers and in the substratum and is moderate in the subsoil. The available water capacity is low in the surface layer, very low in the subsurface layer and substratum and high in the subsoil.

(23) - Malabar fine sand. This is a nearly level and poorly drained soil. It is in narrow to broad sloughs and poorly defined drainageways. The slopes are smooth to concave and range from 0 to 2 percent. In most years, a seasonal water table is within 10 inches of the surface 2 to 6 months and between depths of 10 and 40 inches for most of the year. The permeability is rapid in the surface and subsurface layers and in the upper part of the subsoil, slow to very slow in the loamy part of the subsoil and moderately rapid in the substratum. The available water capacity is low to very low in the surface and subsurface layers and in the upper part of the subsoil,

moderate in the lower part of the subsoil and low in the substratum.

(20S) - Myakka and EauGallie fine sands. These soils are nearly level and poorly drained. They occur on broad plains on the flatwoods. The slopes are generally less than 2 percent. These soils have a seasonal high water table within 12 inches of the surface for 1 to 4 months during most years. Myakka soil has a rapid permeability in the surface and subsurface layers and substratum. It has a moderate to moderately rapid permeability in the subsoil. EauGallie soil has a permeability that is rapid in the subsoil, and moderately slow in the loamy part of the subsoil. Available water capacity is very low in the surface and subsurface layers is noderated to moderately rapid in the subsoil. Available water capacity is very low in the surface and subsurface layers and substratum and moderate to high in the subsoil of both of these soils. Organic matter content is moderate to moderately low.

(35L) - Myakka sand. This is a nearly level and poorly drained flatwoods soil. There is a slope that is dominantly less than 2 percent. There is a black fine sand surface layer that is about 5 inches thick. The subsurface later is light gray fine sand to about 28 inches. The subsoil is black fine sand to about 30 inches and dark brown fine sand to about 45 inches. The brown fine sand substratum is to a depth of about 80 inches. The surface, subsurface, and substratum are rapidly permeable, while the subsoil is moderately to moderately rapidly permeable. Available water capacity is very low in the surface, subsurface, and substratum and moderate to high in the subsoil.

(36L) - Myakka and Placid sands, 0 to 8 percent slopes. These are gently sloping to sloping poorly drained soils. Both of these sands are described separately in this document. The soils occur together without regular pattern and is quit variable. The water table is usually nearer the surface for more extended periods than in Myakka sand.

(23S) - Nittaw, Okeelanta and Basinger soils. Frequently flooded are nearly level poorly drained and very poorly drained soils. These soils occur on floodplains and are frequently flooded following prolonged high intensity rains. Nittaw and Okeelanta soils are very poorly drained, and Basinger soils are poorly and very poorly drained. The slopes are predominantly less than 2 percent. These soils have a seasonal high water table within 12 inches of the surface. In most years, these soils are subject to frequent flooding during heavy rain periods. The duration and extent of flooding are variable and directly related to frequency and intensity of rainfall. The permeability of Nittaw soil is rapid in the surface layer and slow in the subsoil. The permeability of Okeelanta and Basinger soils is rapid. The available water capacity is moderate to high in Nittaw soil. The available water capacity is very low to moderate in Basinger soil. It is very low to moderate in the sandy part of Okeelanta soil and very high in the organic part. If these soils are drained, the organic material shrinks upon drying and then subsides as a result of compaction and oxidation. Losses are more rapid during the first 2 years. The organic material continues to subside at a rate of about 1 inch per year. The lower the water table, the more rapid the loss.

(25) – Okeelanta muck. This soil is nearly level and very poorly drained. It is in

freshwater swamps and in drained areas north of Lake Apopka. Undrained areas are ponded for 6 to 9 months or more each year. The slopes are smooth and less than 1 percent.Typically the upper part of the surface layer isblack muck about 9 inches thick. The lower partsto a depth of 25 inches is dark brown muck. Under natural conditions, this soil is ponded for 6 to 9 months or more each year. In most years, a seasonal high water table is at or near the surface.

(26) - Ona fine sand. This is a flatwoods sand that is poorly drained and nearly level with a 0 to 2 percent slope. It has a black, fine, sandy surface layer that is approximately 6 inches thick. The subsoil is dark reddish brown fine sand to 15 inches. The seasonal high water table is within 10 inches of the surface during a 1-2 month wet period. It then recedes to 10 to 40 inches for six or more months. The water availability is medium in the surface and subsoil layers and low in the substratum. Permeability of Ona soils is rapid in the surface and subsurface layers and moderate in the subsoil layer.

(27) – Ona-urban complex This complex consists of Ona soil that is nearly level and poorly drained and of areas of Urban land. This complex is on the flatwoods. The slopes are smooth and range from 0 to 2 percent. The complex consist of a 53 percent Ona soil and about 40 percent urban land. The individual areas of soil are too mixed or too small to map separately at the scale used for the map. Typically the it has a black, fine, sandy surface layer that is approximately 6 inches thick. The subsoil is dark reddish brown fine sand to 15 inches. The seasonal high water table is within 10 inches of the surface during a 1-2 month wet period. It then recedes to 10 to 40 inches for six or more months. The water availability is medium in the surface and subsoil layers and low in the substratum. Permeability of Ona soils is rapid in the surface and subsurface layers and moderate in the subsoil layer The Urban land part of this complex is covered by concrete, asphalt, buildings or other impervious surfaces that obscure or alter the soils so that their identification is not feasible.

(40L) - Orlando fine sand. The water table in this nearly level to gently sloping, well-drained soil is at a depth of more than 80 inches. The surface layer is about 8 inches of fine sand. Under this, is a very dark brown fine sand to a depth of 30 inches. This layer is followed by a layer of brown fine sand to a depth of 80 inches. This is a rapidly permeable soil throughout its layers. In the first 30 inches the available water capacity is medium and the organic-matter content is moderate. These two characteristics are both very low below 30 inches. Natural fertility is moderately low.

(24S) - Paola-St. Lucie sands 0-5 percent slopes. These soils are nearly level to gently sloping and are excessively drained. They occur on ridges in the uplands. Both of these soils have a seasonally-high water table at a minimum depth of 80 inches. They share a rapid permeability as wellas a very low water capacity availability. Organic content and natural fertility are also very low.

(31) – Pineda fine sand, frequently flooded. This soil is nearly level and poorly drained. It is on the floodplains. Many areas are isolated by dissectedor meandering

stream channels. This soil is flooded for following prolonged, intense rains. The slope is nearly smooth to concave and range from 0 to 2 pecent. In most years the seasonally high water table is within 10 inches of the surface for 1 to 6 months. Flooding occurs frequently during rainy periods. Flooding normally lasts from 1 to 4 months. Natural fertility and the organic matter content are low.

(46L) - Placid sand. This soil is nearly level and poorly drained. The water table, most of the year, is at or above the surface for much of the year. During extended dry periods the water table recedes as far as 15 inches from the surface. This is a rapidly permeable soil throughout it's layers. The available water capacity is medium and the organic matter content is moderately high. The surface layer is black in the first 12 inches and very dark gray mottled with very dark grayish brown and dark grayish brown in the last 6 inches. The next 20 inches is a layer of grayish brown sand and followed by a 42 inch layer that is light brownish gray sand. This is an extremely acidic soil in the first 12 inches and very strongly acid to a depth of 80 inches.

(47L) - Placid and Myakka sands, 0 to 2 percent slopes. These marshy depressional soils are nearly level and poorly to very poorly drained. The profiles are described separately in their own categories. The water table is nearer the surface for longer periods than in Myakka sand with water covering the soil for 4 to 6 months of the year.

(48L) - Pomello sand. This sandy soil is nearly level to gently sloping and is moderately well drained. In the surface and subsurface layers it has very rapid permeability and very low available water capacity and organic matter content. The organic-stained layer has moderately rapid permeability and moderate organic matter content. For about 8 months of the year the water table is at a depth of 40 to 60 inches. During the remaining 4 months the water table is at a depth of 30 to 40 inches.

(34, 27S) - Pomello fine sand, 0 to 5 percent slopes. This is a nearly level to gently sloping and moderately well drained soil. It is on low ridges and knolls in flatwoods. The slopes are smooth to convex. In most years, a seasonal high water table is at a depth of 24 to 40 inches for 1 month to 4 months and recedes to a depth of 40 to 60 inches during dry periods. The permeability is very rapid in the surface and subsurface layers, moderately rapid in the subsoil and rapid in the substratum. The available water capacity is very low in the surface and subsurface layers and in the subsoil.

(28S) - Pompano fine sands, occasionally flooded. This is a nearly level and poorly drained soil with slopes of less than 2 percent. Occurring primarily on the flood plains, tt is occasionally flooded after high intensity rains. Durring most years, this soil has a seasonal high water table within 12 inches of the surface for 2 to 6 months. During rainy periods this soil is subject to occasional periods of flooding. This flooding varies in duration and extent in a direct relationship with the intensity and frequency of rainfall.

A 3 - 8

(51L) – Pompano, Felda and Oklawaha soils, depressional. This is a nearly level to gently sloping, moderately well drained sandy soil. The water table is at a depth of 40 to 60 inches for about 8 months and at a depth of 30 to 40 inches for about 4 months. This soil has very rapid permeability and very low available water capacity and organic matter content in the surface and subsurface horizons. It has an organic stained layer that has moderately rapid permeability and moderate organic content. This soil is very low in natural fertility. The native vegetation usually consists of scrub oaks, scattered pine trees, and a sparse growth of grasses and shrubs.

(50L) - Pompano sand, acid. Poorly drained and nearly level, this sand has low available water capacity and low organic matter content. The water table is at a depth of 10 to 40 inches from 6 to 10 months of the year and within 6 inches the remainder of the year. The lowest areas are under water after heavy rains. This soil may have a black surface layer that is approximately 12 inches thick.

(37) - St. Johns fine sand. This is a nearly level and poorly drained soil. It is on broad flats in flatwoods. The slopes are smooth to concave and range from 0 to 2 percent. In most years, a seasonal high water table is within 10 inches of the surface for 6 to 12 months and between depths of 10 and 40 inches for more than 6 months. In rainy periods, it rises to the surface for brief periods. The permeability is rapid in the surface and subsurface layers and in the substratum and moderately slow to moderate in the subsoil. The available water capacity is medium in the surface layer and substratum, and medium to very high in the subsoil.

(52L) - St. Lucie sand. This excessively drained soil is nearly level to gently sloping. The 4 inch-thick surface layer is composed of gray, loose sand. The next layer runs to about 80 inches is composed of white, loose sand. The water table is more than 80 inches below the surface. This is a very rapidly permeable soil that has very low available water capacity, organic matter content, and natural fertility.

(38) - St. Lucie fine sand, 0 to 5 percent slopes. This is a deep, nearly level to gently sloping and excessively drained soil. It occurs on uplands. The slopes generally are uniform and range from 0 to 5 percent. A seasonal high water table is at a depth of 72 or more. The permeability is very rapid. The available water capacity is very low.

(41) - Samsula-Hontoon-Basinger association, depressional soils are nearly level and very poorly drained. These soils are in freshwater swamps, depressions, sloughs and broad, poorly defined drainageways. They are in a regular repeating pattern. Generally, Samsula soil is in the exterior areas of freshwater swamps and depressions that have a thinner accumulation of organic material. Hontoon soil is in the interior areas of freshwater swamps and depressions that have a thinner accumulation of organic material. Hontoon soil is in the interior areas of freshwater swamps and depressions that have a thicker accumulation of organic material. Basinger soil is along the outer rims of depressions and in sloughs and poorly defined drainageways adjacent to freshwater swamps. Undrained areas are ponded for 6 to 9 months or more each year except during extended dry periods. The water table fluctuates between depths of about 10 inches and the surface for the remainder of the year. The slopes are smooth to concave and range from 0 to 1 percent. If drained, the organic material of the Samsula and

Hontoon soils, when dry, subsides to about half the original thickness. It then subsides further as a result of compaction and oxidation. The loss of the organic material is more rapid during the first 2 years. The lower the water table, the more rapid the loss of organic material. The permeability is rapid in Samsula and Hontoon soils and very rapid in Basinger soil. The available water capacity is very high in the organic material of Samsula and Hontoon soils and very low in the sandy part of Samsula soil. The available water capacity of Basinger soil is very low to low in the surface and subsurface layers, medium in the subsoil and low in the substratum.

(42) - Sanibel muck. This is a nearly level and very poorly drained soil. It is in depressions, freshwater swamps and marshes and in poorly defined drainageways. Undrained areas are ponded for 6 to 9 months or more each year. The slopes are concave and are less than 1 percent. In most years, undrained areas of this soil are ponded for 6 to 9 months or more except during extended dry periods. The water table fluctuates between depths of about 10 inches and the surface for 2 to 6 months. If drained, the organic material, when dry, subsides to about half the original thickness. It subsides further due to compaction and oxidation. The loss of organic material is more rapid during the first 2 years after the soil has been artificially drained. The lower the water table, the more rapid the loss of organic material. The permeability is rapid throughout. Internal drainage is low and is inhibited by the shallow water table. The available water capacity is very high in the organic material and is medium to low in the underlying sandy material.

(43) - Seffner fine sand. This is a nearly level and somewhat poorly drained soil. It is on the rims of depressions and on broad, low ridges in flatwoods. The slopes are smooth to concave and range from 0 to 2 percent. In most years, a seasonal high water table is within 18 to 40 inches of the surface for 2 to 4 months and between depths of 10 to 20 inches for periods of up to 2 weeks during wet periods. It recedes to a depth of less than 60 inches during extended dry periods. The permeability is rapid throughout. The available water capacity is medium in the surface layer and low to very low in the underlying material.

(44) - Smyrna fine sand. This is a nearly level and poorly drained soil. It is on broad flatwoods. The slopes are smooth and range from 0 to 2 percent. In most years, a seasonal high water table is within 10 inches of the surface for 1 month to 4 months. It recedes to a depth of 10 to 40 inches for more than 6 months. The permeability is rapid in the surface and subsurface layers and in the substratum and moderate to moderately rapid in the subsoil. The available water capacity is low to very low in the surface and subsurface layers and in the substratum and medium in the subsoil.

(46) - Tavares fine sand, 0 to 5 percent slopes. This moderately well drained soil is nearly level to gently sloping. It is found on upland ridges and knolls. This soil has a very dark gray fine sand surface layer about 9 inches thick. The underlying material is divided into 3 parts. The first, to a depth of 16 inches, is brown fine sand. The second, to a depth of 41 inches, is pale brown fine sand. The third, to a depth of 80 inches, is white fine sand. For half the year the water table is usually 40 to 80 inches beneath the surface. The other half of the year it recedes to more than 80 inches during the dry season. This soil has a very rapid permeability and a very low available water capacity. The natural fertility and organic matter content are also very low.

(57) - Tavares sand. Nearly level to gently sloping and moderately well drained, Tavares sand has a very dark grayish-brown sand surface layer that is about 7 inches thick. Under this is about 18 inches of a very pale brown sand with faint yellowish-brown mottles. To about 34 inches is a layer of light yellowish-brown sand. Between 34 and 61 inches below the surface is very pale brown sand that has faint yellow mottles. This is underlain with white sand with very pale brown mottles. The water table is found at a depth of 40 to 60 inches for at least 6 months a year. This is a very rapidly permeable sand with very low organic matter content and available water capacity. The natural fertility is low.

(47 31S) - Tavares-Millhopper fine sands, 0 to 5 percent slopes. They are nearly level to gently sloping and moderately well drained soils. These soils are on low ridges and knolls on the uplands and on the flatwoods. They occur in a regular repeating pattern. The slopes are nearly smooth to slightly convex. A seasonal high water table in Tavares soil is at a depth of 40 to 72 inches for more than 6 months, and it recedes to a depth of more than 80 inches during extended dry periods. A seasonal high water table in Millhopper soil is at a depth of 40 to 60 inches for 1 to 4 months, and it recedes to a depth of 60 to 72 inches for 2 to 4 months. During periods of high rainfall, the water table is at a depth of 30 to 40 inches for cumulative periods of 1 to 3 weeks. The permeability of Tavares soil is very rapid. The permeability of Millhopper soil is rapid in the surface and subsurface layers and moderately rapid or moderate in the subsoil. The available water capacity of Tavares soil is very low in the surface and subsurface layers and moderately rapid or moderate in the subsoil.

(54) - Zolfo fine sand. This is a nearly level and somewhat poorly drained soil. It is in broad, slightly higher positions adjacent to flatwoods. The slopes are smooth to convex and range from 0 to 2 percent. In most years, a seasonal high water table is at a depth of 24 to 40 inches for 2 to 6 months. It is at a depth of 10 to 24 inches during periods of heavy rains. It recedes to a depth of about 60 inches during extended dry periods. The permeability is rapid in the surface and subsurface layers and is medium in the subsoil.

## Rock Springs Run State Reserve – Soil Description

(3-O,2L) - Anclote fine sand. Poorly drained and nearly level, this soil has a thick dark colored surface layer. During the wet season the water table is at or near the surface. During the dry season, it recedes to about 20 to 30 inches beneath the surface. Permeability is rapid and available water capacity is medium. Natural fertility and organic matter content are high in the surface layer.

(1-O, 1L) - Arents. Nearly level soil consists of material dug from several areas that have different kinds of soil. This fill material is the result of earth moving operations. The slopes are smooth to concave and range from 0 to 2 percent. In most years, a seasonal high water table is at a depth of 24 to 36 inches for 2 to 4 months. It

recedes to about 60 inches or more during extended dry periods.

(2-O) - Archbold fine sand, 0 to 5 percent slopes. This is nearly level to gently sloping and moderately well drained soil. It is on low ridges and knolls in flatwoods. The slopes are smooth to convex. In most years, a seasonal high water table is at a depth of 42 to 60 inches for 6 months, and it recedes to 69 to 80 inches for the rest of the year. The permeability is very rapid throughout. The available water capacity is very low.

(4L,) - Anclote and Myakka soils. These soils are poorly drained to very poorly drained and nearly level. The water table is at the surface and often above it. This soil mixture is a combination of Felda, Anclote, and Myakka soils that are described in detail under their respective headings. This soil conglomerate is one of large wetland depressions and poorly defined drainages.

(3-O)- Basinger fine sand, depressional. This is a nearly level and very poorly drained soil. It is in shallow depressions and sloughs and along the edges of freshwater marshes and swamps. Undrained areas are ponded for 6 to 9 months or more each year. The slopes are concave and range from 0 to 2 percent. Under natural conditions, the water table is above the surface for 6 to 9 months or more each year and is within 12 inches of the surface for the rest of the year. The permeability is rapid throughout.

(19L) – Bluff and Manatee soils, frequently flooded. This nearly level, very poorly drained, frequently flooded soil is on low terraces bordering the St. Johns River. Natural vegetation must be water tolerant and includes sedges, pickerelweed, cattail and saw grass. Hammock areas include cabbage palms, live oak and cedar. The floodplain marsh and swamp communities along the St. Johns River are dominated by this soil type.

(8-L) – Candler sand, 0 to 5 percent slope The Candler series consists of nearly level to strongly sloping, excessively drained soils that formed in thick beds of sandy marine deposits. These soils occur as broad areas of the sandy uplands. In a representative profile, the surface layer is dark gray sand about 5 inches thick. It is underlain by 62 inches of yellow sand. The next 42 inches is very pale brown sand that is mottled with white and has thin lamellae of yellowish brown loamy sand. Below this is 6 inches of brownish yellow sandy loam.

(4-O) - Candler fine sand, 0 to 5 percent slopes. This is a nearly level to gently sloping and excessively drained soil. It is on uplands. The slopes are nearly smooth to convex. A seasonal high water table is at a depth of more than 80 inches. The permeability is rapid in the surface and subsurface layers, and it is rapid to moderately rapid in the subsoil.

(6-O) - Candler-Apopka fine sands, 5 to 12 percent slopes. They are sloping and strongly sloping and excessively drained and well drained soils. These soils are on uplands. They occur in a regular repeating pattern. Candler soil is sloping and excessively drained. It is on summits and lower side slopes. Apopka soil is strongly

sloping and well drained. It is on the upper side of slopes. A seasonal high water table is at a depth of more than 72 inches in Apopka soil and at a depth of more than 80 inches in Candler soil. The permeability of Apopka soil is rapid in the surface and subsurface layers and moderate in the subsoil. The available water capacity of Candler soil is very low in the surface and subsurface layers and low in the subsoil. The available water capacity of Apopka soil is very low in the surface and subsurface layers and medium to high in the subsoil.

(12L) - Cassia sand. This soil is nearly level, somewhat poorly drained and has a layer that is stained by organic matter. The surface layer of this soil is gray sand of about 4 inches in thickness. The subsurface layer is light-gray sand to about 25 inches deep. The weakly cemented, 12 inch-thick subsoil is dark reddish-brown sand coated with organic matter. Below this layer is a mottled very pale brown sand that reaches a depth of 80 inches This poorly drained, nearly level soil has the water table at a depth of 10 to 40 inches with the exception of extended dry periods where it may recede to a depth of 60 inches. Cassia sand has a very rapid permeability to 25 inches, a moderately rapid permeability in the weakly cemented layer, and a rapid permeability between 37 and 80 inches. There is a very low available water capacity and organic matter content with the exception of the layer at a depth of 25 to 37 inches where the available water capacity is moderate and the organic matter content is moderately high.

(12-O) - Emeralda and Holopaw fine sands. Frequently flooded are nearly level soils which are poorly drained. These soils are on the floodplains of the Wekiva River and its major tributaries. They do not occur in a regular repeating pattern. These soils are flooded for very long periods following prolonged, heavy, intense rains. Excess water ponds in low-lying areas for very long periods after heavy rains. The slopes are smooth to concave and range from 0 to 2 percent. In most years, these soils have a seasonal high water table within 10 inches of the surface for 2 to 6 months in Holopaw soil and 6 to 9 months in Emeralda soil. The permeability of Emeralda soil is rapid in the surface and subsurface layers and slow in the subsoil and substratum. The permeability of Holopaw soil is rapid in the surface, subsurface and substratum and moderate in the subsoil. The available water capacity of Emeralda soil is medium in the surface layer, low in the subsurface layer, and medium to high in the subsoil and substratum. The available water capacity of Holopaw soil is very low in the subsoil and substratum.

(13-O, 15-L) – Felda fine sand. Occasionally flooded is a nearly level and poorly drained soil found on the floodplain of the Wekiva River and its major tributaries. This soil is flooded for brief periods following prolonged, intense rains. The slopes are smooth to slightly concave and range from 0 to 2 percent. In most years, a seasonal high water table is within 10 inches of the surface for 2 to 6 months. Flooding is infrequent under normal weather conditions. Duration of flooding is about 2 to 7 days and is directly related to the intensity and duration of rain. The permeability is rapid in the subsurface layers and in the substratum, and it is moderate to moderately rapid in the subsoil. The available water capacity is very low in the surface and subsurface layers and in the substratum, and it is medium in the subsoil.

(14-O) - Felda fine sand. Occasionally flooded is a nearly level and poorly drained soil found on the floodplain of the Wekiva River and its major tributaries. This soil is flooded for brief periods following prolonged, intense rains. The slopes are smooth to slightly concave and range from 0 to 2 percent. In most years, a seasonal high water table is within 10 inches of the surface for 2 to 6 months. Flooding is infrequent under normal weather conditions. Duration of flooding is about 2 to 7 days and is directly related to the intensity and duration of rain. The permeability is rapid in the surface and subsurface layers and in the substratum, and it is moderate to moderately rapid in the subsoil. The available water capacity is very low in the surface and subsurface layers and in the substratum, and it is medium in the subsoil.

(17-O) - Floridana mucky fine sand, depressional. This is a nearly level and very poorly drained soil. It is in depressions and poorly defined drainageways. Undrained areas are ponded for 6 to 9 months or more each year. The slopes are smooth to concave and range from 0 to 2 percent. Under natural conditions, this soil is ponded for 6 to 9 months or more each year. In most years, seasonal high water table is within 10 inches of the surface for more than 9 months. The permeability is rapid in the surface and subsurface layers, and slow in the substratum and subsoil. The available water capacity is medium to high in the surface layer, subsoil and substratum and low in the subsurface layer.

(20-O) - Immokalee fine sand. This is a nearly level and poorly drained soil. It is on broad flatwoods. The slopes are smooth and range from 0 to 2 percent. In most years, a seasonal high water table is within 10 inches of the surface for 1 to 3 months, and it recedes to a depth of 10 to 40 inches for more than 6 months. The permeability is rapid in the surface and subsurface layers and in the substratum, and it is moderate in the subsoil. The available water capacity is very low in the surface and subsurface layers and in the subsoil.

(25L) - Immokalee sand. This is a nearly level and poorly drained soil. It occurs on broad plains in flatwoods. The slopes are predominately less than 2 percent. This soil has a seasonal water table within 12 inches of the surface for 1 to 4 months of the year. The permeability is rapid in the surface and subsurface layers and in the substratum and is moderate in the subsoil. The available water capacity is low in the surface layer, very low in the subsurface layer and substratum and high in the subsoil.

(23-O) - Malabar fine sand. This is a nearly level and poorly drained soil. It is in narrow to broad sloughs and poorly defined drainageways. The slopes are smooth to concave and range from 0 to 2 percent. In most years, a seasonal water table is within 10 inches of the surface 2 to 6 months and between depths of 10 and 40 inches for most of the year. The permeability is rapid in the surface and subsurface layers and in the upper part of the subsoil, slow to very slow in the loamy part of the subsoil and moderately rapid in the substratum. The available water capacity is low to very low in the surface and subsurface layers and in the upper part of the subsoil and moderate in the lower part of the subsoil and low in the substratum.

(26-L) - Manatee fine sand, depressional. This is nearly level poorly drained soils

that is covered with shallow water during much of the rainy season. The water table is at or near the surface most of the year. During dry periods, it may be as deep as 20 inches. Permeability is moderately rapid in the surface layer and moderate in all other layers. The availability of water, fertility and organic content are high to a depth of about 18 inches. The native vegetation in most areas is grass. In some areas it is native hardwood forest with cabbage palms.

(28-L) - Myakka sand. This is a nearly level and poorly drained flatwoods soil. There is a slope that is dominantly less than 2 percent. There is a black fine sand surface layer that is about 5 inches thick. The subsurface later is light gray fine sand to about 28 inches. The subsoil is black fine sand to about 30 inches and dark brown fine sand to about 45 inches. The brown fine sand substratum is to a depth of about 80 inches. The surface, subsurface, and substratum are rapidly permeable, while the subsoil is moderately to moderately rapidly permeable. Available water capacity is very low in the surface, subsurface, and substratum and moderate to high in the subsoil.

(29-L) - Myakka and Placid sands, 0 to 8 percent slopes. These are gently sloping to sloping poorly drained soils. Both of these sands are described separately in this document. The soils occur together without regular pattern and is quit variable. The water table is usually nearer the surface for more extended periods than in Myakka sand.

(23-S) - Nittaw, Okeelanta and Basinger soils. Frequently flooded are nearly level poorly drained and very poorly drained soils. These soils occur on floodplains and are frequently flooded following prolonged high intensity rains. Nittaw and Okeelanta soils are very poorly drained, and Basinger soils are poorly and very poorly drained. The slopes are predominantly less than 2 percent. These soils have a seasonal high water table within 12 inches of the surface. In most years, these soils are subject to frequent flooding during heavy rain periods. The duration and extent of flooding are variable and directly related to frequency and intensity of rainfall. The permeability of Nittaw soil is rapid in the surface layer and slow in the subsoil. The permeability of Okeelanta and Basinger soils is rapid. The available water capacity is moderate to high in Nittaw soil. The available water capacity is very low to moderate in Basinger soil. It is very low to moderate in the sandy part of Okeelanta soil and very high in the organic part. If these soils are drained, the organic material shrinks upon drying and then subsides as a result of compaction and oxidation. Losses are more rapid during the first 2 years. The organic material continues to subside at a rate of about 1 inch per year. The lower the water table, the more rapid the loss.

(31-L) - Ocoee peat. This organic soil is nearly level and very poorly drained. The water table is at the surface, and shallow water often covers the soil. Ocoee soils have a dark reddish-brown peat surface layer that is approximately 7 inches thick. The following layer is reddish-brown peat that is also about 7 inches thick. Below these two layers, to a depth of 38 inches are layers of dark reddish-brown peat. Grayish-brown sand underlies these peat layers to a depth of 75 inches. This soil is rapidly permeable in the peat layers and very rapidly permeable in the sandy later. There is a very high organic matter content in the peat while this component is very

low in the sandy layer. Available water capacity is very high and natural fertility is moderate.

(32-L) - Oklawaha muck. The Oklawaha series consists of deep, very poorly drained soils that formed in herbaceous organic material and loamy and clayey mineral material. These soils are on floodplain, freshwater marshes, and depressions. Slopes are less than 2 percent. Thickness of the sapric and hemic organic material is 16 to 40 inches. Reaction of the organic material ranges from slightly acid to moderately alkaline. These soils are on flood plains, depressions, and freshwater marshes. These soils are very poorly drained, runoff is very slow, and permeability is slow. Most areas are in natural vegetation of sawgrass, lilies, sedges, cypress, bay, maple, and blackgum.

(26-O) - Ona fine sand. This is a flatwoods sand that is poorly drained and nearly level with a 0 to 2 percent slope. It has a black, fine, sandy surface layer that is approximately 6 inches thick. The subsoil is dark reddish brown fine sand to 15 inches. The seasonally-high water table is within 10 inches of the surface during a 1-2 month wet period. It then recedes to 10 to 40 inches for six or more months. The water availability is medium in the surface and subsoil layers and low in the substratum. Permeability of Ona soils is rapid in the surface and subsurface layers and moderate in the subsoil layer.

(34-L) - Orlando fine sand, 0 to 5 percent slope. The water table in this nearly level to gently sloping, well-drained soil is at a depth of more than 80 inches. The surface layer is about 8 inches of fine sand. Under this, is a very dark brown fine sand to a depth of 30 inches. This layer is followed by a layer of brown fine sand to a depth of 80 inches. This is a rapidly permeable soil throughout it's layers. In the first 30 inches the available water capacity is medium and the organic-matter content is moderate. These two characteristics are both very low below 30 inches. Natural fertility is moderately low.

(46-L) – Orsino sand. This moderately well drained, nearly level and gently sloping sandy soil occurs on low flat ridges and low side slopes of sandhills. The water table is 40 to 60 inches below the soil surface in wet seasons and below 60 inches in the dry seasons. The natural vegetation is a forest of sand pine and an understory of scattered saw palmetto.

(35-L) - Paola sand, 0 to 5 percent slopes. This sand pine scrub soil is nearly level to gently sloping and excessively drained. There is a dark gray sand surface layer that is about 3 inches thick and a light gray sand subsurface layer to about 25 inches. The subsoil is yellowish brown sand and runs to a depth of about 47 inches. This last stratum has subsurface tongues and some weakly cemented very dark gray concretions. The substratum is composed of light yellowish brown sand and runs to a depth of about 80 inches. This soil has a very rapid permeability and a very low available water capacity.

(38-L) - Placid sand. Depressional. This is a nearly level, very poorly drained soil. The water table is at the surface most of the year except during extended dry

periods where it is within a depth of 15 inches. Shallow water covers many areas for 4 to 6 months in wet seasons. Placid sand is rapidly permeable throughout. It has medium available water capacity, moderately high organic content, and moderate natural fertility to a depth of about 18 inches. Below 18 inches it is low for these above characteristics.

(40-L) - Placid and Myakka sands, depressional. These are nearly level, very poorly drained and poorly drained soils in low, marshy depressions. The water table in these soils is nearer the surface for longer periods than in Myakka sand, and the soil is covered with water for 4 to 6 months in most years. The two soils occur together without regular pattern.

(34-O, 41-L) - Pomello fine sand, 0 to 5 percent slopes. This is a nearly level to gently sloping and moderately well drained soil. It is on low ridges and knolls in flatwoods. The slopes are smooth to convex. In most years, a seasonal high water table is at a depth of 24 to 40 inches for 1 month to 4 months and recedes to a depth of 40 to 60 inches during dry periods. The permeability is very rapid in the surface and subsurface layers, moderately rapid in the subsoil and rapid in the substratum. The available water capacity is very low in the surface and subsurface layers and medium in the subsoil.

(28-S,) - Pompano fine sands, occasionally flooded. This is a nearly level and poorly drained soil with slopes of less than 2 percent. Occurring primarily on the flood plains, it is occasionally flooded after high intensity rains. During most years, this soil has a seasonally-high water table within 12 inches of the surface for 2 to 6 months. During rainy periods this soil is subject to occasional periods of flooding. This flooding varies in duration and extent in a direct relationship with the intensity and frequency of rainfall.

(42-L) - Pompano sand, acid. Poorly drained and nearly level, this sand has low available water capacity and low organic matter content. The water table is at a depth of 10 to 40 inches from 6 to 10 months of the year and within 6 inches the remainder of the year. The lowest areas are under water after heavy rains. This soil may have a black surface layer that is approximately 12 inches thick.

(37-O, 37-L) - St. Johns fine sand. This is a nearly level and poorly drained soil. It is on broad flats in flatwoods. The slopes are smooth to concave and range from 0 to 2 percent. In most years, a seasonal high water table is within 10 inches of the surface for 6 to 12 months and between depths of 10 and 40 inches for more than 6 months. In rainy periods, it rises to the surface for brief periods. The permeability is rapid in the surface and subsurface layers and in the substratum and moderately slow to moderate in the subsoil. The available water capacity is medium in the surface layer and substratum, and medium to very high in the subsoil.

(52L) - St. Lucie sand. This excessively drained soil is nearly level to gently sloping. The 4 inch-thick surface layer is composed of gray, loose sand. The next layer runs to about 80 inches is composed of white, loose sand. The water table is more than 80 inches below the surface. This is a very rapidly permeable soil that has very low

A 3 - 17

available water capacity, organic matter content, and natural fertility.

(43-L) - St. Lucie fine sand, 0 to 5 percent slopes. This is a deep, nearly level to gently sloping and excessively drained soil. It occurs on uplands. The slopes generally are uniform and range from 0 to 5 percent. A seasonal high water table is at a depth of 72 or more. The permeability is very rapid. The available water capacity is very low.

(41-0) - Samsula-Hontoon-Basinger association, depressional soils are nearly level and very poorly drained. These soils are in freshwater swamps, depressions, sloughs and broad, poorly defined drainageways. They are in a regular repeating pattern. Generally, Samsula soil is in the exterior areas of freshwater swamps and depressions that have a thinner accumulation of organic material. Hontoon soil is in the interior areas of freshwater swamps and depressions that have a thicker accumulation of organic material. Basinger soil is along the outer rims of depressions and in sloughs and poorly defined drainageways adjacent to freshwater swamps. Undrained areas are ponded for 6 to 9 months or more each year except during extended dry periods. The water table fluctuates between depths of about 10 inches and the surface for the remainder of the year. The slopes are smooth to concave and range from 0 to 1 percent. If drained, the organic material of the Samsula and Hontoon soils, when dry, subsides to about half the original thickness. It then subsides further as a result of compaction and oxidation. The loss of the organic material is more rapid during the first 2 years. The lower the water table, the more rapid the loss of organic material. The permeability is rapid in Samsula and Hontoon soils and very rapid in Basinger soil. The available water capacity is very high in the organic material of Samsula and Hontoon soils and very low in the sandy part of Samsula soil. The available water capacity of Basinger soil is very low to low in the surface and subsurface layers, medium in the subsoil and low in the substratum.

(42-O) - Sanibel muck. This is a nearly level and very poorly drained soil. It is in depressions, freshwater swamps and marshes and in poorly defined drainageways. Undrained areas are ponded for 6 to 9 months or more each year. The slopes are concave and are less than 1 percent. In most years, undrained areas of this soil are ponded for 6 to 9 months or more except during extended dry periods. The water table fluctuates between depths of about 10 inches and the surface for 2 to 6 months. If drained, the organic material, when dry, subsides to about half the original thickness. It subsides further due to compaction and oxidation. The loss of organic material is more rapid during the first 2 years after the soil has been artificially drained. The lower the water table, the more rapid the loss of organic material. The permeability is rapid throughout. Internal drainage is low and is inhibited by the shallow water table. The available water capacity is very high in the organic material and is medium to low in the underlying sandy material.

(44-O) - Smyrna fine sand. This is a nearly level and poorly drained soil. It is on broad flatwoods. The slopes are smooth and range from 0 to 2 percent. In most years, a seasonal high water table is within 10 inches of the surface for 1 month to 4 months. It recedes to a depth of 10 to 40 inches for more than 6 months. The permeability is rapid in the surface and subsurface layers and in the substratum and

moderate to moderately rapid in the subsoil. The available water capacity is low to very low in the surface and subsurface layers and in the substratum and medium in the subsoil.

(44-L) - Swamp. These are unclassified soils that are very poorly drained and of high organic content. They have not been investigated due to excessive water and dense vegetation. They are flooded throughout the year with the exception of prolonged dry periods.

(46-O) - Tavares fine sand, 0 to 5 percent slopes. This moderately well drained soil is nearly level to gently sloping. It is found on upland ridges and knolls. This soil has a very dark gray fine sand surface layer about 9 inches thick. The underlying material is divided into 3 parts. The first, to a depth of 16 inches, is brown fine sand. The second, to a depth of 41 inches, is pale brown fine sand. The third, to a depth of 80 inches, is white fine sand. For half the year the water table is usually 40 to 80 inches beneath the surface. The other half of the year it recedes to more than 80 inches during the dry season. This soil has a very rapid permeability and a very low available water capacity. The natural fertility and organic matter content are also very low.

(45-L) - Tavares sand. 0 to 5 percent slope. This moderately well drained soil is nearly level to gently sloping. It is found on upland ridges and knolls. This soil has a very dark gray fine sand surface layer about 9 inches thick. The underlying material is divided into 3 parts. The first, to a depth of 16 inches, is brown fine sand. The second, to a depth of 41 inches, is pale brown fine sand. The third, to a depth of 80 inches, is white fine sand. For half the year the water table is usually 40 to 80 inches beneath the surface. The other half of the year it recedes to more than 80 inches during the dry season. This soil has a very rapid permeability and a very low available water capacity. The natural fertility and organic matter content are also very low.

(47-O) - Tavares-Millhopper fine sands, 0 to 5 percent slopes. They are nearly level to gently sloping and moderately well drained soils. These soils are on low ridges and knolls on the uplands and on the flatwoods. They occur in a regular repeating pattern. The slopes are nearly smooth to slightly convex. A seasonal high water table in Tavares soil is at a depth of 40 to 72 inches for more than 6 months, and it recedes to a depth of more than 80 inches during extended dry periods. A seasonal high water table in Millhopper soil is at a depth of 40 to 72 inches for 2 to 4 months. During periods of high rainfall, the water table is at a depth of 30 to 40 inches for cumulative periods of 1 to 3 weeks. The permeability of Tavares soil is very rapid. The permeability of Millhopper soil is rapid in the surface and subsurface layers and moderately rapid or moderate in the subsoil. The available water capacity of Tavares soil is very low in the surface and subsurface layers and moderately rapid capacity of Millhopper soil is very low in the surface and subsurface layers and moderately rapid or moderate in the subsoil.

(48-L) - Wabasso sand. Nearly level and poorly drained, this soil has a loamy subsoil below an organic-stained layer. The surface layer is about 5 inches of very

dark gray sand. The subsurface layer is about 13 inches of gray sand. From a depth of 18 inches to 28 inches is a later of black sand that is weakly cemented with organic material. The subsoil extends to a depth of 68 inches and is a mottled sandy clay loam. For 1 to 2 months in the wet season the water table is within 10 inches of the surface. It fluctuates the rest of the year between 10 and 40 inches deep. During very dry periods the water table may go below 40 inches. This is a moderately permeable soil with a medium available water capacity and a low organic matter content. Natural fertility is moderate.

(53-O) – Wauberg fine sand. This soil is nearly level and poorly drained. It is in low areas on the flatwoods. The slopes are nearly smooth to slightly concave and range from 0 to 2 percent. Typically, the upper part of the surface layer of this soil is black fine sand 5 inches thick. In most years, a seasonally-high water table is within 12 inches of the surface for a period of about 6 months, and it recedes to a depth of more than 40 inches during extent dry periods. The water table is above the surface for short periods after heavy rain. Natural fertility is low. The organic matter content is moderately low to moderate.

(49-L) - Wauchula sand. Nearly level and poorly drained, this soil has a loamy subsoil below an organic-stained layer. The surface layer is 6 inches of black sand. The subsurface layer is about 16 inches of light brownish-gray sand. Below the subsurface later is a 6 inch-thick layer of black sand weakly cemented with organic matter. Next, is a layer, about 4 inches thick, of weakly cemented dark reddishbrown sand. Following this is a layer of dark-brown sand that has weakly cemented fragments of dark reddish-brown sand. This layer is found between depths of 32 and 35 inches. The next layer is very pale brown sand about 3 inches thick with mottlings of brown and strong brown sandy loam. Below this, to a depth of 44 inches is very pale brown sandy loam. The final layer, to a depth of 80 inches, is mottled sandy clay loam. The water table is within 10 inches of the surface for approximately 2 months of the year. The remainder of the year it fluctuates between 10 and 40 inches. This sand is rapidly permeable to 22 inches and moderately permeable to 80 inches. The available water capacity is very low to 22 inches deep and medium to 80 inches deep. Both the organic matter content and natural fertility are low.

## Lower Wekiva River Preserve State Park – Soil Description

(2-L, 2-S) - Adamsville-Sparr Fine Sands. These soils are level to nearly level and some- what poorly drained. They occur on low ridges on uplands and on low knolls on the flatwoods. Slopes are usually less than 2 percent. Both Adamsville and Sparr soils have a seasonal high water table within 12 ot 36 inches of the surface for up to 6 months. Adamsville soil has a rapid permeability. Sparr soil has a rapid permeability in the surface and subsurface latyers and a slow to moderately slow permeability in the subsoil. Water capacity availability is low to very low in Adamsville soil, and is low in the surface and subsurface layers and moderate in the subsoil of Sparr soil. Both Adamsville and Sparr soils have a low natural fertility.

(3-L) - Anclote fine sand. Poorly drained and nearly level, this soil has a thick dark

colored surface layer. During the wet season the water table is at or near the surface. During the dry season, it recedes to about 20 to 30 inches beneath the surface. Permeability is rapid and available water capacity is medium. Natural fertility and organic matter content are high in the surface layer.

(17-L) - Arents. Nearly level soil consists of material dug from several areas that have different kinds of soil. This fill material is the result of earth moving operations. The slopes are smooth to concave and range from 0 to 2 percent. In most years, a seasonal high water table is at a depth of 24 to 36 inches for 2 to 4 months. It recedes to about 60 inches or more during extended dry periods.

(4-L) - Anclote and Myakka soils. These soils are poorly drained to very poorly drained and nearly level. The water table is at the surface and often above it. This soil mixture is a combination of Felda, Anclote, and Myakka soils that are described in detail under their respective headings. This soil conglomerate is one of large wetland depressions and poorly defined drainages.

(6-S) - Astatula-Apopka fine sands, 0 to 5 percent slopes. They are nearly level to gently sloping and excessively drained and well drained soils. These soils occur on hillsides and ridges on uplands. Astatula soil is excessively drained, and Apopka soil is well drained. The slopes are smooth to convex. These soils have a seasonal high water table at a depth of more than 80 inches. The permeability of Astatula soil is very rapid. The permeability of Apopka soil is rapid to a depth of 64 inches and moderate between depths of 64 and 80 inches. The available water capacity is very low in Astatula soil. In Apopka soil, it is very low to a depth of about 64 inches and is moderate in the subsoil.

(4-S) - Astatula fine sands, 0 to 5 percent slopes. This is an excessively drained soil that is level to gently sloping. It is an upland ridge and hillside soil. This soil has a very dark gray fine sand surface layer that is about 4 inches thick. The underlying material is very pale brown fine sand in the upper part and yellow fine sand in the lower part. This underlying material extends to about 80 inches below the surface. The seasonal high water table is usually more than 80 inches below the surface. Water permeability and available water capacity are very rapid and very low respectively. Organic matter and natural fertility are low.

(9-S) - Basinger and Delray fine sands. These soils are nearly level and poorly drained to very poorly drained. They occur in sloughs and poorly defined drainageways. Slopes are less than 2 percent. During most years these soils have a seasonally-high water table within 12 inches of the surface for a minimum of 6 months. Permeability of Basinger soil is rapid. Delray soil has a rapid permeability in the upper part and a moderate permeability in the lower part. Basinger soil has a low available water capacity. Available water capacity in Delray soil is moderate in the surface layer and subsoil and low in the subsurface layer. The surface layer of Basinger and Delray soils remains wet for long periods after heavy rains.

(10-S) - Basinger, Samsula and Hontoon soils, depressional. These soils are nearly level and poorly drained. These soils are in swamps and depressions. The slopes are

dominantly less than 2 percent. In most years, the undrained areas are ponded for 6 to 9 months or more. If drained the organic material in the soil initially shrinks and then subsides further as a result of compaction and oxidation. The permeability is rapid and the available water capacity is low. The soils are very high in organic material and very low in the sandy parts.

(11-S) – Basinger and Symrna fine sands, depressional. The soils are nearly level and very poorly drained. These soils are in depressions. The slopes are dominantly less than 2 percent. In most years, the undrained areas are ponded for 6 to 9 months or more. The permeability is rapid and the water capacity is low in the surface and subsurface. Natural fertility and the content of organic matter are low.

(10-V) - Bluff sandy clay loam. This poorly drained soul is nearly level and frequently flooded. The surface layer is about 14 inches of sandy clay loam. The first 8 inches of the surface layer is black, and the last 6 inches is dark gray. The subsoil, a gray sandy clay loam, is found to 68 inches below the surface. The subsoil often has brown and yellow mottles. Gray massive clay is found under the subsoil to a depth o 99 inches. Saturated to the surface for extended periods, this soil is easily flooded during the rainy season. Available water capacity is high, permeability is low, and natural fertility is high. Organic matter content is moderate.

(12-L) - Cassia sand. This soil is nearly level, somewhat poorly drained and has a layer that is stained by organic matter. The surface layer of this soil is gray sand of about 4 inches in thickness. The subsurface layer is light-gray sand to about 25 inches deep. The weakly cemented, 12 inch-thick subsoil is dark reddish-brown sand coated with organic matter. Below this layer is a mottled very pale brown sand that reaches a depth of 80 inches This poorly drained, nearly level soil has the water table at a depth of 10 to 40 inches with the exception of extended dry periods where it may recede to a depth of 60 inches. Cassia sand has a very rapid permeability to 25 inches, a moderately rapid permeability in the weakly cemented layer, and a rapid permeability between 37 and 80 inches. There is a very low available water capacity and organic matter content with the exception of the layer at a depth of 25 to 37 inches where the available water capacity is moderate and the organic matter content is moderately high.

(13 –S) - EauGallie and Immokalee fine sands. This soil is nearly level and poorly drained. They occur on broad plains on the flatwoods. The slopes are generally less than 2 percent. During most years these soils have a seasonally-high water table within 12 inches of the surface for 1 to 4 months. EauGallie soil has a permeability that is rapid in the surface and subsurface layers, moderate or moderately rapid in the sandy part of the subsoil, and moderately slow in the loamy part. Immokalee soil has a permeability that is rapid in the surface and subsurface and subsurface layers and is moderate in the subsoil. Organic matter content is low in Immokalee soil and moderate to moderately low in EauGallie soil.

(27-L) –Everglades muck. Everglades series consists of very deep, very poorly drained, rapid to very rapidly permeable organic soils in freshwater swamps and

marshes that flood for very long periods. They formed in thick deposits of hydrophytic plant remains. They are frequently flooded. Thickness of the organic material is more than 51 inches. Reaction ranges from very strongly acid to slightly alkaline throughout. Very poorly drained; rapid to very rapid permeability. The surface layer may be slightly slower depending on the decomposition of the organic material and degree of wetness.

(15-L) - Felda fine sand. Occasionally flooded is a nearly level and poorly drained soil found on the floodplain of the Wekiva River and its major tributaries. This soil is flooded for brief periods following prolonged, intense rains. The slopes are smooth to slightly concave and range from 0 to 2 percent. In most years, a seasonal high water table is within 10 inches of the surface for 2 to 6 months. Flooding is infrequent under normal weather conditions. Duration of flooding is about 2 to 7 days and is directly related to the intensity and duration of rain. The permeability is rapid in the surface and subsurface layers and in the substratum, and it is moderate to moderately rapid in the subsoil. The available water capacity is very low in the surface and subsurface layers and in the substratum, and it is medium in the subsoil.

(15-S) - Felda and Manatee mucky fine sands, depressional. These soils are almost level and very poorly drained with slopes mostly less than 2 percent. They are found in depressions and are ponded at least 6 months of the year if not drained. Felda soil has a rapid permeability in the surface, subsurface, and substratum and a moderate permeability in the subsoil. Manatee soil has a permeability that is moderately rapid in the surface and subsoil layers. Felda soil has an available water capacity that is low in all layers except the subsoil where it is moderate. Manatee soil's water capacity is high in the surface layer and moderate in the subsoil. The organic matter in Felda soil is moderate while that of Manatee is high.

(16-L) - Fellowship fine sandy loam, ponded. The fellowship soils are nearly level, poorly drained, and have a clayey subsoil. This soil has a 6 inch-thick very dark grayish-brown fine sandy loam surface layer. There is a subsoil with three layers. The first 24 inches is mottled black sandy clay loam. The middle 28 inches is mottled dark-gray and gray clay. The last 4 inches is mottled dark-gray, gray, and very dark gray clay. This soil has a moderately permeable surface layer and a very slowly permeable subsoil. There is high organic matter content and natural fertility. The available water capacity is medium.

(25-V) - Gater muck. This nearly level, poorly drained soil is found in freshwater swamps. The slopes are less than 1 percent and are smooth. This soil usually has a 28 inch-thick black muck surface layer. The underlying material is dark olive gray fine sandy loam to a depth of about 37 inches and light gray sandy clay loam with light gray calcium carbonate accumulations to a depth of 80 inches or more. Except during extended dry periods, the water table is at or above the surface unless it has been artificially drained. Permeability is rapid in the surface layer and moderately slow to slow in the underlying material. The organic surface layer and underlying material have very high and medium available water capacities respectively.

(20L, 16S) - Immokalee sand. This is a nearly level and poorly drained soil. It occurs

on broad plains in flatwoods. The slopes are predominately less than 2 percent. This soil has a seasonal water table within 12 inches of the surface for 1 to 4 months of the year. The permeability is rapid in the surface and subsurface layers and in the substratum and is moderate in the subsoil. The available water capacity is low in the surface layer, very low in the subsurface layer and substratum and high in the subsoil.

(26L) - Manatee fine sand. Depressional. This is nearly level poorly drained soils that is covered with shallow water during much of the rainy season. The water table is at or near the surface most of the year. During dry periods, it may be as deep as 20 inches. Permeability is moderately rapid in the surface layer and moderate in all other layers. The availability of water, fertility and organic content are high to a depth of about 18 inches. The native vegetation in most areas is grass. In some areas, it is native hardwood forest with cabbage palms.

(19S) - Manatee, Floridana, and Holopaw soils, frequently flooded. These soils are nearly level and poorly to very poorly drained. They are found in flood plains that are often flooded for long periods after prolonged rains. These soils have a black surface layer that ranges from 6 to 18 inches thick. The subsoil is a gray sand or sandy loam and may reach to a depth of 80 inches. The seasonal high water table for these soils is within 12 inches of the surface for 6 to 9 months in most years. The permeability of these soils is moderately rapid to rapid in the surface and subsurface layers and very slow to moderate in the subsoil and substratum. The surface layers have a low to high available water capacity while the subsurface layer, subsoil, and substratum have a low to moderate available water capacity. Organic matter content is high in Manatee and Floridana soils and moderate in Holopaw soil.

(18L) – Martel sandy clay loam. Martel soils are in depressions and sloughs of central Peninsular Florida. Slopes are 1 percent or less. They formed in clayey marine sediments. They are very poorly drained; very slow permeability. Most areas remain in native vegetation and are used for wildlife habitat. The native vegetation is dominated by cypress, sweetgum, pond pine, and water tupelo.

(20L) - Myakka and EauGallie fine sands. These soils are nearly level and poorly drained. They occur on broad plains on the flatwoods. The slopes are generally less than 2 percent. These soils have a seasonal high water table within 12 inches of the surface for 1 to 4 months during most years. Myakka soil has a rapid permeability in the surface and subsurface layers and substratum. It has a moderate to moderately rapid permeability in the subsoil. EauGallie soil has a permeability that is rapid in the subsoil, and moderately slow in the loamy part of the subsoil. Available water capacity is very low in the surface and subsurface layers is noderated to moderately rapid in the subsoil. Available water capacity is very low in the surface and subsurface layers and substratum and moderate to high in the subsoil of both of these soils. Organic matter content is moderate to moderately low.

(28L) - Myakka sand. This is a nearly level and poorly drained flatwoods soil. There is a slope that is dominantly less than 2 percent. There is a black fine sand surface

layer that is about 5 inches thick. The subsurface later is light gray fine sand to about 28 inches. The subsoil is black fine sand to about 30 inches and dark brown fine sand to about 45 inches. The brown fine sand substratum is to a depth of about 80 inches. The surface, subsurface, and substratum are rapidly permeable, while the subsoil is moderately to moderately rapidly permeable. Available water capacity is very low in the surface, subsurface, and substratum and moderate to high in the subsoil.

(29L) - Myakka and Placid sands, 0 to 8 percent slopes. These are gently sloping to sloping poorly drained soils. Both of these sands are described separately in this document. The soils occur together without regular pattern and is quit variable. The water table is usually nearer the surface for more extended periods than in Myakka sand.

(31L) - Ocoee peat. This organic soil is nearly level and very poorly drained. The water table is at the surface, and shallow water often covers the soil. Ocoee soils have a dark reddish-brown peat surface layer that is approximately 7 inches thick. The following layer is reddish-brown peat that is also about 7 inches thick. Below these two layers, to a depth of 38 inches are layers of dark reddish-brown peat. Grayish-brown sand underlies these peat layers to a depth of 75 inches. This soil is rapidly permeable in the peat layers and very rapidly permeable in the sandy later. There is a very high organic matter content in the peat while this component is very low in the sandy layer. Available water capacity is very high and natural fertility is moderate.

(46L) - Orsino sand. This moderately well drained, nearly level and gently sloping sandy soil occurs on low flat ridges and low side slopes of sandhills. The water table is 40 to 60 inches below the soil surface in wet seasons and below 60 inches in the dry seasons. The natural vegetation is a forest of sand pine and an understory of scattered saw palmetto

(29L) - Paola sand, 0 to 5 percent slopes. This sand pine scrub soil is nearly level to gently sloping and excessively drained. There is a dark gray sand surface layer that is about 3 inches thick and a light gray sand subsurface layer to about 25 inches. The subsoil is yellowish brown sand and runs to a depth of about 47 inches. This last stratum has subsurface tongues and some weakly cemented very dark gray concretions. The substratum is composed of light yellowish brown sand and runs to a depth of about 80 inches. This soil has a very rapid permeability and a very low available water capacity.

(38L) - Placid sand, depressional. This is a nearly level, very poorly drained soil. The water table is at the surface most of the year except during extended dry periods where it is within a depth of 15 inches. Shallow water covers many areas for 4 to 6 months in wet seasons. Placid sand is rapidly permeable throughout. It has medium available water capacity, moderately high organic content, and moderate natural fertility to a depth of about 18 inches. Below 18 inches it is low for these above characteristics.

(40L) - Placid and Myakka sands, depressional. These are nearly level, very poorly drained and poorly drained soils in low, marshy depressions. The water table in these soils is nearer the surface for longer periods than in Myakka sand, and the soil is covered with water for 4 to 6 months in most years. The two soils occur together without regular pattern.

(48L) - Pomello sand. This sandy soil is nearly level to gently sloping and is moderately well drained. In the surface and subsurface layers, it has very rapid permeability and very low available water capacity and organic matter content. The organic-stained layer has moderately rapid permeability and moderate organic matter content. For about 8 months of the year the water table is at a depth of 40 to 60 inches. During the remaining 4 months, the water table is at a depth of 30 to 40 inches.

(27L) - Pomello sand, 0 to 5 percent slopes. This soil is nearly level to gently sloping and moderately well drained. It is on low ridges and knolls on the flatwoods. In most years the soil has a seasonally-high water table at a depth of 36 to 60 inches from 1 to 4 months. The permeability is rapid in the surface and subsurface layers and moderately rapind in the subsoil and rapid in the substram. The water capacity is very low in the surface and subsurface layers. Natural fertility and the content of organic matter are very low.

(28L, 28S) - Pompano fine sands, occasionally flooded. This is a nearly level and poorly drained soil with slopes of less than 2 percent. Occurring primarily on the flood plains, it is occasionally flooded after high intensity rains. During most years, this soil has a seasonally-high water table within 12 inches of the surface for 2 to 6 months. During rainy periods this soil is subject to occasional periods of flooding. This flooding varies in duration and extent in a direct relationship with the intensity and frequency of rainfall.

(43L) - St. Lucie sand. 0 to 5 percent slope This is a deep, nearly level to gently sloping and excessively drained soil. It occurs on uplands. The slopes generally are uniform and range from 0 to 5 percent. A seasonal high water table is at a depth of 72 or more. The permeability is very rapid. The available water capacity is very low.

(44L) - Swamp. These are unclassified soils that are very poorly drained and of high organic content. They have not been investigated due to excessive water and dense vegetation. They are flooded throughout the year with the exception of prolonged dry periods.

(31S) - Tavares-Millhopper fine sands, 0 to 5 percent slopes. They are nearly level to gently sloping and moderately well drained soils. These soils are on low ridges and knolls on the uplands and on the flatwoods. They occur in a regular repeating pattern. The slopes are nearly smooth to slightly convex. A seasonal high water table in Tavares soil is at a depth of 40 to 72 inches for more than 6 months, and it recedes to a depth of more than 80 inches during extended dry periods. A seasonal high water table in Millhopper soil is at a depth of 40 to 72 inches for 2 to 4 months. During periods of high

rainfall, the water table is at a depth of 30 to 40 inches for cumulative periods of 1 to 3 weeks. The permeability of Tavares soil is very rapid. The permeability of Millhopper soil is rapid in the surface and subsurface layers and moderately rapid or moderate in the subsoil. The available water capacity of Tavares soil is very low. The available water capacity of Millhopper soil is very low in the surface and subsurface layers and medium in the subsoil.

(65V) – Terra Ceia muck. This soil is nearly level and very poorly drained. It is on the floodplains and is frequently flooded for long periods of time following long periods of high intensity rain. The slopes are less that 2 percent. Under natural conditions, this soil has high water table at or above the surface for most of the year except during extended dry periods. The soil is subject to frequent flooding during rainy periods.

(48L) - Wabasso sand. Nearly level and poorly drained, this soil has a loamy subsoil below an organic-stained layer. The surface layer is about 5 inches of very dark gray sand. The subsurface layer is about 13 inches of gray sand. From a depth of 18 inches to 28 inches is a later of black sand that is weakly cemented with organic material. The subsoil extends to a depth of 68 inches and is a mottled sandy clay loam. For 1 to 2 months in the wet season the water table is within 10 inches of the surface. It fluctuates the rest of the year between 10 and 40 inches deep. During very dry periods the water table may go below 40 inches. This is a moderately permeable soil with a medium available water capacity and a low organic matter content. Natural fertility is moderate.

(49L) - Wauchula sand. Nearly level and poorly drained, this soil has a loamy subsoil below an organic-stained layer. The surface layer is 6 inches of black sand. The subsurface layer is about 16 inches of light brownish-gray sand. Below the subsurface later is a 6 inch-thick layer of black sand weakly cemented with organic matter. Next, is a layer, about 4 inches thick, of weakly cemented dark reddishbrown sand. Following this is a layer of dark-brown sand that has weakly cemented fragments of dark reddish-brown sand. This layer is found between depths of 32 and 35 inches. The next layer is very pale brown sand about 3 inches thick with mottlings of brown and strong brown sandy loam. Below this, to a depth of 44 inches is very pale brown sandy loam. The final layer, to a depth of 80 inches, is mottled sandy clay loam. The water table is within 10 inches of the surface for approximately 2 months of the year. The remainder of the year it fluctuates between 10 and 40 inches. This sand is rapidly permeable to 22 inches and moderately permeable to 80 inches. The available water capacity is very low to 22 inches deep and medium to 80 inches deep. Both the organic matter content and natural fertility are low.
Addendum 5—Plant and Animal List

**Common Name** 

Scientific Name

Primary Habitat Codes (for imperiled species)

### ALGAE

Stonewort; Muskgrass ..... *Chara* spp. Stoneworts..... *Nitella* spp.

### LICHENS

Tiny button lichen	Amandinea punctata
Brick-spored fire dot lichen	Brigantiaea leucoxantha
Common button lichen	Buellia stillingiana
C-eyelash lichen	Bulbothrix isidi
Leaf dot lichen	Calopadia fusca
Carolina shield lichen	Canoparmelia caroliniana
Powder-headed Texas	
shield lichen	Canoparmelia cryptochlorophaea
Powder puff deer moss	Cladina evansii
Dixie reindeer lichen	Cladina subtenuis
Pale-fruited funnel lichen	Cladonia beaumontii
Bramble cladonia	Cladonia floridana
Powder-foot British soldiers	Cladonia incrassata
Jester cladonia	Cladonia leporina
Slender ladder cladonia	Cladonia rappii
Short-footed cladonia	Cladonia santensis
Branched turban cladonia	Cladonia simulata
Powdery peg cladonia	Cladonia subradiata
Christmas lichen	Cryptothecia rubrocincta
Green Christmas lichen	Cryptothecia striata
Powdery medallion lichen	Dirinaria picta
Purple-eyed medallion lichen	Dirinaria purpurascens
Pastry script lichen	Graphina peplophora
Powdered-script lichen	Graphis afzelii
Script lichen	Graphis grammatis
Script lichen	Graphis striatula
Tree bloodspot	Haematomma accolens
Bloodspot lichen	<i>Haematomma</i> spp.
Wrinkled loop lichen	Hypotrachyna livida
Grainy loop lichen	Hypotrachyna osseoalba
Bumpy rim-lichen	Lecanora hybocarpa
Mealy rim-lichen	Lecanora strobilina
Dust lichen	<i>Lepraria</i> spp.
Spiral spored lichen	Letrouitia domingensis
Spiral spored lichen	Letrouitia vulpina
Dot lichen	<i>Micarea</i> spp.
Salted ruffle lichen	Parmotrema crinitum
Unwhiskered ruffle lichen	Parmotrema cristiferum
Cracked ruffle lichen	Parmotrema dilatatum

Common Name	Scientific Name	Primary Habitat Codes (for imperiled species)
Yellow-colored ruffle lichen	Parmotrema endosulphure	eum
Ruffle lichen	Parmotrema endosulphure	eum x gardneri
Pd+ powder crown ruffle lichen	Parmotrema gardneri	
Powdered ruffle lichen	Parmotrema hypoleucinun	n
Unperforated ruffle lichen	Parmotrema michauxianul	m
Perforated ruffle lichen	Parmotrema perforatum	
Powder-crown ruffle lichen	Parmotrema praesoredios	um
Powdered long-whisker		
ruffle lichen	Parmotrema rampoddense	Ģ
Long-whiskered ruffle lichen	Parmotrema rigidum	
Palm ruffle lichen	Parmotrema tinctorum	
Wart lichen	Pertusaria pustulata	
Wart lichen	Pertusaria spp.	
Brick-spored script lichen	Phaeographina caesioprui	nosa
Dark-spored script lichen	Phaeographis lobata	
Streaked rosette lichen	Physcia atrostriata	
Tar-spot lichen	Placynthiella uliginosa	
Striped ramalina	Ramalina montagnei	
Ramalina	Ramalina peruviana	
Southern strap lichen	Ramalina stenospora	
Thorny ramalina	Ramalina willeyi	
Cracked ruffle lichen	Rimelia reticulata	
Barnacle lichen	Thelotrema lacteum	
Board lichen	Trapeliopsis flexuosa	
Powder-tipped beard lichen	Usnea dimorpha	
Bloody beard lichen	Usnea mutabilis	
Beard lichen	Usnea perplecta	
Red beard lichen	Usnea rubicunda	
Bushy beard lichen	Usnea strigosa	
	1400050	

#### MOSSES

Amblystegium riparium Amblystegium serpens Anomodon attenuatus Atrichium augustatum Bracythecium acuminatum Calymperes erosum Calymperes nashii Campylopus surinamensis Clasmatodon parvulus Climacium americanum Cryphaea filiformis Cryphaea glomerata Cryphae nervosa Cyclodictyon varians

Common Name	Scientific Name	Primary Habitat Codes (for imperiled species)
	Dicranum condensatum	
	Entodon cladorrhizans	
	Entodon macropodus	
	Entodon seductrix	
	Eurynchium hians	
	Fissidens donnellii	
	Fissidens garberi	
	Fissidens osmundoides	
	Forsstroemia trichomitria	1
	Isopterygium tenerum	
	Leucobryum albidum	
	Leucobryum glaucum	
	Leucodon julaceus	
	Macromitrium richardii	
	Meteoropsis patula	
	Mnium cuspida	
	Neckeropsis disticha	
	Neckeropsis undulata	
	Octoblepharum albidum	
	Papillaria nigrescens	
	Rhizogonium spiniforme	
	Rhyncostegium serrulatu	IM
	Schwetschkeopsis fabror	nia
	Sematophyllum adnatum	ו
	Sematophyllum caespitos	sum
	Sematophyllum demissu	m
	<i>Spagnum</i> spp.	
	Syrrhopodon incompletu	S
	Syrrhopodon parasiticus	
	Syrrhopodon texanus	
	Thelia hirtella	
	Thuidium delicatulum	
	Thuidium minutulum	

Aneura pinguis Aneura multifida Aneura palmata Aphanolejeunea contractiloba Cephalozia lunulifolia Ceratolejeunea rubiginosa Cololejeunea cardiocarpa Cololejeunea minutissima Cololejeunea ornata

Common Name	Scientific Name	Primary Habitat Codes (for imperiled species)
	Calalaiauraa aukariatata	
		liana
		liana
		h c
	Euosmolejeunea polyantr	18
	Fruitania eporacensis	
	Fi ullania lunzai	
	Fruilania kunzei	
	Fruilania openioranaia	
	Frullania ribaria	
	Frullania riparia Frullania cabaliana	
	Fi ullania savarrasa	
	Fruitarita squarrosa Harpalojoupoa	
	Lejeunea autoica	
	Lejeunea clauogyna	
	Lejeunea laetevirens	
	Leucoleieunea conchifolia	
	Lophocolea analachicola	
	Mastigoleieunea auriculat	'a
	Metzgeria furcata	
	Odontoschizma prostratu	m
	Pallavicinia Ivellii	
	Phinceros laevis	
	Plagiochila dubia	
	Plagiochila floridana	
	Plagiochila invisa	
	Plagiochila ludoviciana	
	Radula australis	
	Radula complanata	
	Radula floridana	
	Radula obconica	
	Rectolejeunea brittoniae	
	Rectolejeunea phyllobola	
	Riccardia multifida	

Taxilejeunea obtusangula

**Common Name** 

Scientific Name

Primary Habitat Codes (for imperiled species)

### HORNWORTS

Phaeoceros laevis

#### PTERIDOPHYTES

Giant leather fern	Acrostichum danaeifolium
Ebony spleenwort	Asplenium platyneuron
Carolina mosquito fern	Azolla caroliniana
Toothed midsorus fern;	
Swamp fern	Blechnum serrulatum
Southern grape-fern	Botrychium biternatum
Southern wood fern	Dryopteris ludoviciana
Japanese climbing fern*	Lydodium japonicum
Marianna maiden fern*	Macrothelypteris torresiana
Tuberous sword fern*	Nephrolepis cordifolia
Sword fern; Wild Boston fern	Nephrolepis exaltata
Hand fern	. Ophioglossum palmatumFS, HH
Stalked adder's-tongue	Ophioglossum petiolatum
Cinnamon fern	Osmunda cinnamomea
Royal fern	Osmunda regalis var. spectabilis
Swamp plume polypody	Pecluma ptilodonUMW, FS, HH
Golden polypody	Phlebodium aureum
Bracken fern	Pteridium aquilinum
Tailed bracken	Pteridium aquilinum var. pseudocaudatum
Resurrection fern	Pleopeltis polypodioides var. michauxiana
Whisk-fern	Psilotum nudum
Widespread maiden fern	Thelypteris kunthii
Hottentot fern;	
Willdenow's fern	Thelypteris interrupta
Downy maiden fern	Thelypteris dentata
Marsh fern	Thelypteris palustris var. pubescens
Shoestring fern	Vittaria lineata
Virginia chain fern	Woodwardia virginica
Netted chain fern	Woodwardia areolata

#### **GYMNOSPERMS**

Red cedar	Juniperus silicicola
Sand pine	Pinus clausa
Slash pine	Pinus elliottii
Longleaf pine	Pinus palustris
Pond pine	Pinus serotina
Loblolly pine	Pinus taeda
Bald cypress	Taxodium distichum
Florida arrowroot; Coontie .	Zamia pumila

Common	Name
--------	------

### Scientific Name

Primary Habitat Codes (for imperiled species)

## ANGIOSPERMS

Rosary pea*	Abrus precatorius
Boxelder	Acer negundo
Red maple	Acer rubrum
Sticky jointvetch	Aeschynomene viscidula
Red buckeye	Aesculus pavia
Seminole false foxglove	Agalinis filifolia
Purple false foxglove	Agalinis purpurea
Florida hobblebush; Pipestem	Agarista populifolia
Hammock snakeroot	Ageratina jucunda
Silktree, mimosa*	Albizia julibrissin
Yellow colicroot	Aletris lutea
Golden trumpet*	Allamanda cathartica
Alligatorweed*	Alternanthera philoxeroides
Common ragweed	Ambrosia artemisiifolia
Bastard indigobush	Amorpha fruticosa
Peppervine	Ampelopsis arborea
Blue maidencane	Amphicarpum muhlenbergianum
Pinewoods bluestem	Andropogon arctatusMF, SH
Shortspike bluestem	Andropogon brachystachyus
Purple bluestem	Andropogon glomeratus var. glaucopsis
Bushy bluestem	Andropogon glomeratus
Bushy bluestem	Andropogon glomeratus var. pumilus
Elliott's bluestem	Andropogon gyrans
Splitbeard bluestem	Andropogon ternarius
Chalky bluestem	Andropogon virginicus var. glaucus
Broomsedge bluestem	Andropogon virginicus var. virginicus
Green silkyscale	Anthaenantia villosa
Groundnut	Apios americana
Nodding nixie	Apteria aphylla
Coral ardisia*	Ardisia crenata
Jack-in-the-pulpit	Arisaema triphyllum
Wiregrass	Aristida beyrichiana
Corkscrew threeawn	Aristida gyrans
Arrowfeather threeawn	Aristida purpurascens
Bottlebrush threeawn	Aristida spiciformis
Virginia snakeroot	Aristolochia serpentaria
Florida Indian plantain	Arnoglossum floridanum
Switchcane	Arundinaria gigantea
Curtiss' milkweed	Asclepias curtissiiSH, SC
Pinewoods milkweed	Asclepias humistrata
Swamp milkweed	Asclepias incarnata
Fewflower milkweed	Asclepias lanceolata
Savannah milkweed	Asclepias pedicellata
Butterflyweed;	

### **Primary Habitat Codes** Scientific Name (for imperiled species) **Common Name** Butterfly milkweed ..... Asclepias tuberosa Whorled milkweed..... Asclepias verticillata Bioflower pawpaw ..... Asimina obovata Smallflower pawpaw..... Asimina parviflora Dwarf pawpaw..... Asimina pygmaea Netted pawpaw...... Asimina reticulata Common asparagus-fern\* ...... Asparagus setaceus Elliott's aster ..... Aster elliottii Whitetop aster; Dixie aster..... Aster tortifolius Big carpetgrass..... Axonopus furcatus Groundsel tree; Sea myrtle ..... Baccharis halimifolia Lemon bacopa; Blue waterhyssop...... Bacopa caroliniana Herb-of-grace...... Bacopa monnieri Coastalplain honeycombhead.... Balduina angustifolia Bamboo\* ...... Bambusa sp. White wild indigo ..... Baptisia alba Pineland wild indigo..... Baptisia lecontei Wax begonia; Club begonia\* .... Begonia cucullata Tarflower ...... Bejaria racemosa Alabama supplejack; Rattan vine ..... Berchemia scandens Florida greeneyes..... Berlandiera subacaulis Beggarticks; Romerillo..... Bidens alba Smallfruit beggarticks..... Bidens mitis Crossvine ...... Bignonia capreolata False nettle, Bog hemp ..... Boehmeria cylindrica Pinguin\* ..... Bromelia pinguin Rescuegrass\* ..... Bromus catharticus Paper mulberry\* ..... Broussonetia papyrifera American bluehearts..... Buchnera americana Densetuft hairsedge ..... Bulbostylis ciliatifolia American beautyberry ..... Callicarpa americana Grassleaf roseling ...... Callisia graminea Florida scrub roseling ..... Callisia ornata Manyflowered grasspink......MF, WF Pale grasspink ...... Calopogon pallidus Tuberous grasspink ...... Calopogon tuberosus Florida bellflower..... Campanula floridana Trumpet creeper ..... Campsis radicans Bandanna-of-the-everglades .... Canna flaccida Greenwhite sedge ..... Carex albolutescens Bromelike sedge ..... Carex bromoides Chapman's sedge......HH Longhair sedge ...... Carex comosa Long's sedge ..... Carex longii

		Primary Habitat Codes
Common Name	Scientific Name	(for imperiled species)
False hop sedge	Carex lupuliformis	
Coastalplain chaffhead	Carphephorus corymbosus	5
Vanillaleaf	Carphephorus odoratissim	US
American hornbeam; Bluebeech	Carpinus caroliniana	
Water hickory	Carya aquatica	
Pignut hickory	Carya glabra	
Chinquapin	Castanea pumila	
Sugarberry; Hackberry	Celtis laevigata	
Southern sandbur	Cenchrus echinatus	
Spadeleaf	Centella asiatica	
Sand butterfly pea	Centrosema arenicola	SH, SC
Spurred butterfly pea	Centrosema virginianum	
Common buttonbush	Cephalanthus occidentalis	
Florida rosemary; Sand heath	Ceratiola ericoides	
Coontail	Ceratophyllum demersum	
Eastern redbud	Cercis canadensis	
Partridge pea	Chamaechrista fasciculata	
Sensitive pea	Chamaecrista nictitans var	. aspera
Florida Alicia	Chapmannia floridana	
Wooly sunbonnets	Chaptalia tomentosa	
Slender woodoats	Chasmanthium laxum	
Shiny woodoats	Chasmanthium nitidum	
Mexican tea*	Chenopodium ambrosioide	25
White fringetree;		
Old-man's beard	Chionanthus virginica	
Spotted water hemlock	Cicuta maculata	
Camphortree*	Cinnamomum camphora	
Purple thistle	Cirsium horridulum	
Nuttall's thistle	Cirsium nuttallii	
Sour orange*	Citrus x aurantium	
Jamaica swamp sawgrass	Cladium jamaicense	
Swamp leather-flower	Clematis crispa	
Satincurls	Clematis catesbyana	
Rose glorybower*	Clerodendrum bungei	
Bleeding-heart*	Clerodendrum thomsoniae	)
Atlantic pigeonwings	Clitoria mariana	
Browne's savory	Clinopodium brownei	
Tread-softly; Finger-rot	Cnidoscolus stimulosus	
Carolina coralbead	Cocculus carolinus	
Wild taro; Dasheen; Coco yam*	Colocasia esculenta	
Dayflower	Commelina diffusa	
Whitemouth dayflower	Commelina erecta	
Blue mistflower	Conoclinium coelestinum	
Canadian horseweed	Conyza canadensis	
Spring coralroot	Corallorhiza wisteriana	MH, UMW, HH
Florida tickseed	Coreopsis floridana	

		Primary Habitat Codes
Common Name	Scientific Name	(for imperiled species)
Flowering dogwood	Cornus florida	
Swamp dogwood; Stiff dogwood	Cornus foemina	
May haw; May hawthorn	Crataegus aestivalis	
Yellowleaf hawthorn	Crataegus flava	
Michaux's hawthorn	Crataegus michauxii	
Slender scratchdaisy	Croptilon divaricatum	
Lanceleaf rattlebox	Crotalaria lanceolata	
Smooth rattlebox*	Crotalaria pallida	
Rabbitbells	Crotalaria rotundifolia	
Showy rattlebox*	Crotalaria spectabilis	
Silver croton; Healing croton	Croton argyranthemus	
Woolly croton; Hogwort	Croton capitatus	
Rushfoil; Michaux's croton	Croton michauxii	
Colombian waxweed*	Cuphea carthagenensis	
Florida scrub roseling	Cuthbertia ornata	
Roseling	<i>Cuthbertia</i> sp.	
Leafless swallowwort	Cynanchum scoparium	
Bermudagrass*	Cynodon dactylon	
Jointed flatsedge	Cyperus articulatus	
Umbrella plant*	Cyperus involucratus	
Pinebarren flatsedge	Cyperus ovatus	
Feay's prairieclover	Dalea feayi	
Summer farewell	Dalea pinnata var. pinnata	1
Cowitch vine;		
Climbing hydrangea	Decumaria barbara	
Western tansymustard	Descurainia pinnata	
Florida ticktrefoil	Desmodium floridanum	
Zarzabacoa comun*	Desmodium incanum	
Slimleaf ticktrefoil	Desmodium tenuifolium	
Dixie ticktrefoil*	Desmodium tortuosum	
Threeflower ticktrefoil	Desmodium triflorum	
Velvetleaf ticktrefoil	Desmodium viridiflorum	
Variable witchgrass	Dichanthelium commutatu	Im
Cypress witchgrass	Dichanthelium dichotomur	n
Cypress witchgrass	Dichanthelium ensifolium	
Dwarf cypress witchgrass	Dichanthelium ensifolium	var. <i>breve</i>
Cypress witchgrass	Dichanthelium ensifolium	var. unciphyllum
Erectleaf witchgrass	Dichanthelium erectifolium	7
Openflower witchgrass	Dichanthelium laxiflorum	
Eggleaf witchgrass	Dichanthelium ovale	
Hemlock witchgrass	Dichanthelium portoricens	е
Carolina ponysfoot	Dichondra caroliniensis	
Longleaf crabgrass	Digitaria gracillima	
Poor joe; Rough buttonweed	Diodia teres	
Virginia buttonweed	Diodia virginiana	
Air-potato*	Dioscorea bulbifera	

Common Name	Scientific Name	Primary Habitat Codes
		(for imperfied species)
Common persimmon	Diospyros Virginiana	
Pink sundew	Drosera capiliaris	
Drymary; West Indian		
Chickweed*	Drymaria cordata	
Southern wood fern	Dryopteris Iudoviciana	
Oblongleaf twinflower	Dyschoriste oblongifolia	
Coast cockspur	Echinochloa walteri	
Common water-hyacinth*	Eichhornia crassipes	
Baldwin's spikerush; roadgrass.	Eleocharis baldwinii	
Slim spikerush	Eleocharis elongata	
Carolina elephantsfoot	Elephantopus carolinianus	
Tall elephantsfoot	Elephantopus elatus	
Carolina scalystem	Elytraria caroliniensis var.	caroliniensis
Florida tasselflower*	Emilia fosbergii	
Florida butterfly orchid	Encyclia tampensis	
Earpod tree*	Enterolobium contortisiliqu	ıum
Green-fly orchid	Epidendrum conopseum	
Golden pothos*	Epipremnum pinnatum	
Elliott's lovegrass	Eragrostis elliottii	
Purple lovegrass	Eragrostis spectabilis	
Coastal lovegrass	Eragrostis virginica	
American burnweed; Fireweed	Erechtites hieracifolia	
Oakleaf fleabane	Erigeron guercifolius	
Prairie fleabane	Erigeron strigosus	
Early whitetop fleabane	Erigeron vernus	
Loguat*	Eriobotrva iaponica	
Tenangle pipewort	Eriocaulon decanqulare	
Dogtongue wild buckwheat	Eriogonum tomentosum	
Fragrant ervngo	Ervnaium aromaticum	
Baldwin's ervngo	Ervnaium baldwinii	
Coralbean: Cherokee bean	Ervthrina herbacea	
Wild coco	Eulophia alta	WE ES HH
American strawberrybush	Euonymus americanus	
White thoroughwort	Eupatorium album	
Dogfennel	Eupatorium capillifolium	
Yankeeweed	Eupatorium compositifoliu	m
Oueen-of-the-meadow:		
	Funatorium fistulosum	
Roundleaf thoroughwort	Eupatorium rotundifolium	
Lateflowering thoroughwort	Eupatorium serotinum	
Saltmarsh fingergrass		
Dipowoods fingergrass	Eustachys patraaa	
Slondor flatton goldonrod	Eustacitys pelided	
Croop ach, Dumpkin ach	Eutilallia talulillalla Erovinus poppovivonias	
Carolina ash, Water ash	riaxinus pennsylvanica	
Dep och	Fravinus aaroliniana	
Pop asn	Fraxinus caroliniana	

		Primary Habitat Codes
Common Name	Scientific Name	(for imperiled species)
Southern umbrellasedge	Fuirena scirpoidea	
Elliott's milkpea	Galactia elliottii	
Soft milkpea	Galactia mollis	
Eastern milkpea	Galactia regularis	
Hairy bedstraw	Galium pilosum	
Stiff marsh bedstraw	Galium tinctorium	
Oneflower bedstraw	Galium uniflorum	
Pennsylvania cudweed	Gamochaeta pensylvanica	
Garberia	Garberia heterophylla	SH, SC, SCF
Southern beeblossom	Gaura angustifolia	
Dwarf huckleberry	Gaylussacia dumosa	
Blue huckleberry	Gaylussacia frondosa var.	tomentosa
Yellow jessamine	Gelsemium sempervirens	
Sweet everlasting;		
Rabbit tobacco	Gnaphalium obtusifolium	
Loblolly bay	, Gordonia lasianthus	
Rough hedgehyssop	Gratiola hispida	
Bearded skeletongrass	Gymnopogon ambiguus	
Chapman's skeletongrass	Gymnopogon chapmanian	US
Toothpetal false reinorchid	Habenaria floribunda	
Longhorn false reinorchid	Habenaria quinqueseta	
Waterspider false reinorchid	Habenaria repens	
American witchhazel	Hamamelis virginiana	
English ivy*	Hedera helix	
White gingerlily*	Hedychium coronarium	
Innocence; Roundleaf bluet	Hedyotis procumbens	
Southeastern sneezeweed	Helenium pinnatifidum	
Carolina frostweed	Helianthemum carolinianu	m
Pinebarren frostweed	Helianthemum corymbosu	m
Stiff sunflower	Helianthus radula	
Sweet tanglehead*	Heteropogon melanocarpu	IS
Queen-devil	Hieracium gronovii	
Coastalplain hawkweed	Hieracium megacephalon	
Innocence; Roundleaf bluet	Houstonia procumbens	
Waterthyme; Hydrilla*	Hydrilla verticillata	
Floating marshpennywort	Hydrocotyle ranunculoides	5
Manyflower marshpennywort	Hydrocotyle umbellata	
Indian swampweed*	Hygrophila polysperma	
Coastalplain spiderlily	Hymenocallis crassifolia	
Spring-run spiderlily	Hymenocallis rotata	
Roundpod St. John's-wort	Hypericum cistifolium	
Peelbark St. John's-wort	Hypericum fasciculatum	
Pineweeds; Orangegrass	Hypericum gentianoides	
St. Andrew's-cross	Hypericum hypericoides	
Dwarf St. John's-wort	Hypericum mutilum	
Myrtleleaf St. John's-wort	Hypericum myrtifolium	

O - man an Nieman	Colombific Nome	Primary Habitat Codes
	Scientific Name	(for imperiled species)
Atlantic St. John's-wort	Hypericum tenuifolium	
Fourpetal St. John's-wort	Hypericum tetrapetalum	
Sandweed;		
Yellow stargrass	<i>Hypoxis</i> sp.	
Common yellow stargrass	Hypoxis curtissii	
Fringed yellow stargrass	Hypoxis juncea	
Clustered bushmint;		
Musky mint	Hyptis alata	
Tropical bushmint*	Hyptis mutabilis	
Carolina holly; Sand holly	Ilex ambigua var. ambigua	а
Dahoon holly	llex cassine	
Large gallberry	Ilex coriacea	
Inkberry; Gallberry	Ilex glabra	
Scrub holly	llex opaca var. arenicola	
American holly	<i>Ilex opaca</i> var. <i>opaca</i>	
Yaupon holly	Ilex vomitoria	
Yellow anisetree	Illicium parviflorum	MH, WF, HH
Cogongrass*	Imperata cylindrica	
Carolina indigo	Indigofera caroliniana	
Hairy indigo*	Indigofera hirsuta	
Oceanblue morningglory	Ipomoea indica	
Largeroot morningglory*	Ipomoea macrorhiza	
Man-of-the-earth	Ipomoea pandurata	
Saltmarsh morningglory	Ipomoea sagittata	
Dixie iris; Prairie iris	Iris hexagona	
Virginia iris	Iris virginica	
Virginia willow	Itea virginica	
Leathery rush	Juncus coriaceus	
Soft rush	Juncus effusus spp. solutu	'S
Shore rush; Grassleaf rush	Juncus marginatus	
Lesser creeping rush	Juncus repens	
Needlepod rush	Juncus scirpoides	
Chandelier plant*	Kalanchoe delagoensis	
Virginia saltmarsh mallow	Kosteletzkya virginica	
Sandspur; Ratany	Krameria lanceolata	
Virginia dwarfdandelion	Krigia virginica	
Shortleaf spikesedge*	Kyllinga brevifolia	
Carolina redroot	Lachnanthes caroliniana	
Whitehead bogbutton	Lachnocaulon anceps	
Woodland lettuce	Lactuca floridana	
Grassleaf lettuce	Lactuca graminifolia	
Lantana; Shrubverbena*	Lantana camara	
Nodding pinweed	Lechea cernua	SC
Hairy pinweed	Lechea mucronata	
Piedmont pinweed	Lechea torreyi	
Southern cutgrass;		

		Primary Habitat Codes
Common Name	Scientific Name	(for imperiled species)
Clubhead cutgrass	Leersia hexandra	
Little duckweed	Lemna obscura	
Duckweed	<i>Lemna</i> sp.	
Lion's-ear;		
Coastal doghobble	Leucothoe axillaris	
Christmas candlestick*	Leonotis nepetifolia	
Valdivia duckweed	Lemna valdiviana	
Virginia pepperweed	Lepidium virginicum	
Hairy lespedeza	Lespedeza hirta	
Coastal doghobble	Leucothoe axillaris	
Slender gayfeather	Liatris gracilis	
Fewflower gayfeather	Liatris pauciflora	
Piedmont gayfeather	Liatris secunda	
Shortleaf gayfeather	Liatris tenuifolia	
Shortleaf gayfeather	Liatris tenuifolia var. quad	riflora
Gopher apple	Licania michauxii	
Catesby's lily; Pine lily	Lilium catesbaei	
Canadian toadflax	Linaria canadensis	
Florida yellow flax	Linum floridanum	
Sweetgum	Liquidambar styraciflua	
Smallflower halfchaff sedge	Lipocarpha micrantha	
Tuliptree; Yellow poplar	Liriodendron tulipifera	
Cardinalflower	Lobelia cardinalis	
Glade lobelia	Lobelia glandulosa	
Downy lobelia	Lobelia puberula	
Bay lobelia	Lobelia feayana	
Coral honeysuckle	Lonicera sempervirens	
Anglestem primrosewillow	Ludwigia leptocarpa	
Peruvian primrosewillow*	Ludwigia peruviana	
Creeping primrosewillow	Ludwigia repens	
Shrubby primrosewillow	Ludwigia suffruticosa	
Skyblue lupine	Lupinus diffusus	
Southern watergrass	Luziola fluitans	
Taperleaf waterhorehound	Lycopus rubellus	
Rose-rush	Lygodesmia aphylla	
Fetterbush	Lyonia lucida	
Rusty staggerbush	Lyonia ferruginea	
Coastalplain staggerbush	Lyonia fruticosa	
Maleberry	Lyonia ligustrina var. folio	siflora
Catclawvine*	Macfadyena ungius-cati	
Wild bushbean*	Macroptilium lathyroides	
Southern magnolia	Magnolia grandiflora	
Sweetbay	Magnolia virginiana	
Southern crabapple	Malus angustifolia	
Florida milkvine	.Matelea floridana	MH, UMW, XH, SHF, HH
Angularfruit milkvine	Matelea gonocarpos	MH, UMW, SHF, HH

Common Name	Scientific Name	Primary Habitat Codes (for imperiled species)
Sandhill spiny-pod	.Matelia pubiflora	SH
Axilflower	Mecardonia acuminata	
Chinaberrytree*	Melia azedarach	
Rose Natalgrass*	Melinis repens	
Creeping cucumber	Melothria pendula	
Novau vine*	Merremia dissecta	
Shade mudflower	Micranthemum umbrosum	1
Florida Kevs hempvine	Mikania cordifolia	
Climbing hempvine	Mikania scandens	
Sensitive brier	Mimosa quadrivalvis var.	angustata
Florida sensitive brier	Mimosa quadrivalvis var.	floridana
Partridgeberry: Twinberry	Mitchella repens	
lax hornpod	Mitreola petiolata	
Carolina bristlemallow	Modiola caroliniana	
Balsampear*	Momordica charantia	
Spotted beebalm	Monarda punctata	
Indiannine	Monotropa uniflora	
Red mulberry	Morus rubra	
Southern bayberry: Wax myrtle	Myrica cerifera	
Parrot feather watermilfoil*	Myrionbyllum aquaticum	
Southern waternymph	Najas guadalunensis	
Sacred hamboo:		
Heavenly hamboo*	Nandina domestica	
Furonoan watercross*	Nasturtium officinalo	
Elorida boargrass	Nalina atonocarna	
Crowpoison: Ealso garlic	Nothescerdum bivalve	
Spattordock: Vollow populity	Nunbar advona	
Amorican white waterlify	Numphaca odorata	
Rine floatinghoart	Nymphoidos aquatica	
Swamp tupolo	Nympholaes aqualica	2
Whiteten ester:	Nyssa sylvalica val. Dilloia	d
Dinaharrana astar	Oplamana natioulata	
Pineparrens aster		
Woodsgrass; Basketgrass	Opiismenus nii teilus	
Pricklypear	Opuntia numitusa	
Goldenciub; Neverwet		
	Osmantnus americanus	
Common yellow woodsorrel	Oxalis corniculata	
PINK woodsorrel^	Oxalis debilis var. corymb	osa
Butterweed	Packera glabella	
Sewervine*	Paederia cruddasiana	
Skunkvine*	Paederia foetida	
Feay's palafox	Palafoxia feayi	
Coastalplain palafox	Palafoxia integrifolia	
Beaked panicum	Panicum anceps	
Maidencane	Panicum hemitomon	

### **Primary Habitat Codes** Scientific Name (for imperiled species) **Common Name** Panic grass...... Panicum longifolium Guineagrass\* ..... Panicum maximum Torpedograss\*..... Panicum repens Redtop panicum...... Panicum rigidulum Paronychia ..... Paronychia sp. Virginia creeper; Woodbine ..... Parthenocissus guinguefolia Egyptian paspalidium ..... Paspalidium geminatum Bull crowngrass ..... Paspalum boscianum Field paspalum ..... Paspalum laeve Bahiagrass\* ..... Paspalum notatum Water paspalum...... Paspalum repens Thin paspalum ...... Paspalum setaceum Vasevgrass\*..... Paspalum urvillei Purple passionflower..... Passiflora incarnata Yellow passionflower ..... Passiflora lutea Corkystem passionflower ...... Passiflora suberosa Buckroot ..... Pediomelum canescens White arrow arum; Spoonflower Peltandra sagittifolia Green arrow arum...... Peltandra virginica Elephantgrass; Napiergrass\* .... Pennisetum purpureum Manyflower beardtongue...... Penstemon multiflorus Red bay ..... Persea borbonia var. borbonia Silk bay; scrub bay ..... Persea borbonia var. humilis Swamp bay ..... Persea palustris Savannah panicum...... Phanopyrum gymnocarpon Golden polypody ..... Phlebodium aureum Florida false sunflower ..... Phoebanthus grandiflorus Senegal date palm\* ..... Phoenix reclinata Oak mistletoe ...... Phoradendron leucarpum Red chokeberry ..... Photinia pyrifolia Common reed..... Phragmites australis Turkey tangle fogfruit; Capeweed ..... Phyla nodiflora Cutleaf groundcherry ..... Physalis angulata Husk tomato ..... Physalis pubescens American pokeweed ..... Phytolacca americana Wild pennyroyal ..... Piloblephis rigida Blueflower butterwort ......DM, FPLK, Small butterwort ..... Pinguicula pumila Blackseed needlegrass..... Piptochaetium avenaceum Florida needlegrass ..... Piptochaetium avenacioides Pitted stripeseed ...... Piriqueta cistoides spp. caroliniana Water-lettuce\* ..... Pistia stratiotes Narrowleaf silkgrass ..... Pityopsis graminifolia Resurrection fern ...... Pleopeltis polypodioides var. michauxiana

		Primary Habitat Codes
Common Name	Scientific Name	(for imperiled species)
Stinking camphorweed	Pluchea foetida	
Rose camphorweed	Pluchea baccharis	
Sweetscent	Pluchea odorata	
Rosy camphorweed	Pluchea rosea	
Yew plum pine*	Podocarpus macrophyllus	
Rose pogonia;		
Snakemouth orchid	Pogonia ophioglossoides	DM, WF
Showy milkwort	Polygala grandiflora	
Orange milkwort	Polygala lutea	
Candyroot	Polygala nana	
Yellow milkwort	Polygala rugelii	
Coastalplain milkwort	Polygala setacea	
Showy milkwort	Polygala violacea	
King Solomon's seal	Polygonatum biflorum	
Largeflower jointflower	Polygonella fimbriata var.	robusta
Tall jointweed	Polygonella gracilis	
Denseflower knotweed	Polygonum glabrum	
Hairy smartweed	Polygonum hirsutum	
Dotted smartweed	Polygonum punctatum	
Bog smartweed	Polygonum setaceum	
Rustweed; Juniperleaf	Polypremum procumbens	
Pickerelweed	Pontederia cordata	
Chickasaw plum	Prunus angustifolia	
Carolina laurelcherry	Prunus caroliniana	
Black cherry	Prunus serotina var. serot	ina
Flatwoods plum; Hog plum	Prunus umbellata	
Wild coffee	Psychotria nervosa	
Shortleaf wild coffee	Psychotria sulzneri	
Common hoptree; Wafer ash	Ptelea trifoliata	
Blackroot	Pterocaulon pycnostachyu	m
Giant orchid	Pteroglossaspis ecristata	SH, PP
Mock bishopsweed; Herbwilliam	Ptilimnium capillaceum	
Carolina desertchicory	Pyrrhopappus carolinianus	
Chapman's oak	Quercus chapmanii	
Spanish oak; Southern red oak.	Quercus falcata	
Sand live oak	Quercus geminata	
Bluejack oak	Quercus incana	
Scrub oak	Quercus inopina	
Turkey oak	Quercus laevis	
Laurel oak; Diamond oak	Quercus laurifolia	
Sand post oak	Quercus margaretta	
Dwarf live oak	Quercus minima	
Myrtle oak	Quercus myrtifolia	
Water oak	Quercus nigra	
Running oak	Quercus pumila	
Small post oak	Quercus stellata var. marg	paretta

Common Name	Scientific Name	Primary Habitat Codes (for imperiled species)
Virginia live oak	Quercus virginiana	
Needle palm	Rhapidophyllum hystrix	
Pale meadowbeauty	Rhexia mariana	
Nuttall's meadowbeauty	Rhexia nuttallii	
Fringed meadowbeauty	Rhexia petiolata	
Swamp azalea	Rhododendron viscosum v	ar. <i>serrulatum</i>
Winged sumac	Rhus copallinum	
Brownhair snoutbean	Rhynchosia cinerea	
Doubleform snoutbean	Rhynchosia difformis	
Michaux's snoutbean	Rhynchosia michauxii	
Least snoutbean	Rhynchosia minima	
Dollarleaf	Rhynchosia reniformis	
Baldwin's beaksedge	Rhynchospora baldwinii	
Starrush whitetop	Rhynchospora colorata	
Shortbristle horned beaksedge .	Rhynchospora corniculata	
Swampforest beaksedge	Rhynchospora decurrens	
Fascicled beaksedge	Rhynchospora fascicularis	
Fernald's beaksedge	Rhynchospora fernaldii	
Gray's beaksedge	Rhynchospora grayii	
Pinebarren beaksedge	Rhynchospora intermedia	
Narrowfruit horned beaksedge	Rhynchospora inundata	
Sandyfield beaksedge	Rhynchospora megalocarp	a a a a a a a a a a a a a a a a a a a
Southern beaksedge	Rhynchospora microcarpa	
Bunched beaksedge	Rhynchospora microcepha	la
Millet beaksedge	Rhynchospora miliacea	
Mingled beaksedge	Rhynchospora mixta	
Fragrant beaksedge	Rhynchospora odorata	
Tropical Mexican clover*	Richardia brasiliensis	
Rough Mexican clover*	Richardia scabra	
European watercress*	Rorippa nasturtium-aquat	icum
Swamp rose	Rosa palustris	
Sand blackberry	Rubus cuneifolius	
Sawtooth blackberry	Rubus pensilvanicus	
Blackeyed Susan	Rudbeckia hirta	
Carolina wild petunia	Ruellia caroliniensis	
Ciliate wild petunia	Ruellia ciliosa	
Britton's wild petunia;		
Mexican bluebell*	Ruellia simplex	
Heartwing dock;		
Hastateleaf dock	Rumex hastatulus	
Swamp dock	Rumex verticillatus	
Dwart palmetto; Bluestem palm	Sabal minor	
Cabbage palm	Sabal palmetto	
Snortleat rosegentian	Sabatia brevitolia	
Coastal rosegentian	Sabatia calycina	
Largeflower rosegentian	Sabatia grandiflora	

		Primary Habitat Codes
	Scientific Name	(for imperiled species)
Sugarcane plumegrass	Saccharum giganteum	
American cupscale	Sacciolepis striata	
Leafless beaked ladiestresses	Sacoila lanceolata var. lan	ceolata
Smallflower mock buckthron	Sageretia minutiflora	
Bulltongue arrowhead	Sagittaria lancifolia	
Broadleaf arrowhead	Sagittaria latifolia	
Carolina willow	Salix caroliniana	
Florida willow	Salix floridana	SRST
Lyreleaf sage	Salvia lyrata	
Water spangles*	Salvinia minima	
American elder; Elderberry	Sambucus canadensis	
Pineland pimpernel	Samolus valerandi spp. pa	nrviflorus
Canadian blacksnakeroot	Sanicula canadensis	
Bowstring hemp*	Sansevieria hyacinthoides	
Popcorntree;	-	
Chinese tallowtree *	Sapium sebiferum	
White twinevine	Sarcostemma clausum	
Hooded pitcherplant	Sarracenia minor	
Sassafras	Sassafras albidum	
Lizard's tail	Saururus cernuus	
Little bluestem	Schizachyrium scoparium	
Florida feathershank	Schoenocaulon dubium	
Giant bulrush;		
California bulrush	Schoenoplectus californicu	IS
Cuban bulrush*	Scirpus cubensis	
Giant bulrush;		
Drooping bulrush	Scirpus lineatus	
Tall nutgrass; Whip nutrush	Scleria triglomerata	
Fringed nutrush	Scleria ciliata var. ciliata	
Woolgrass	Scirpus cyperinus	
Littlehead nutrush	Scleria oligantha	
Netted nutrush	Scleria reticularis	
Tall nutgrass; Whip nutrush	Scleria triglomerata	
Sweetbroom; Licoriceweed	Scoparia dulcis	
Florida scrub skullcap	Scutellaria arenicola	
Helmet skullcap	Scutellaria integrifolia	
Common groundsel	Senecio vulgaris	
Coffeeweed; Sicklepod*	Senna obtusifolia	
Coffee senna	Senna occidentalis	
Saw palmetto	Serenoa repens	
Danglepod	Sesbania herbacea	
Giant bristlegrass	Setaria magna	
Yellow bristlegrass;		
Yellow foxtail	Setaria parviflora	
Piedmont blacksenna	Seymeria pectinata	
Gum bully	Sideroxylon lanuginosum	

Common Namo	Scientific Name	Primary Habitat Codes
common Name		(for imperfied species)
Tough bully	Sideroxylon tenax	
Starry rosinweed	Silphium asteriscus	
Narrowleaf blueeyed grass	Sisyrinchium angustifoliun	ז
Earleaf greenbrier	Smilax auriculata	
Saw greenbrier	Smilax bona-nox	
Cat greenbrier;		
Wild Sarsaparilla	Smilax glauca	
Blueridge carrionflower	Smilax lasioneuron	
Laurel greenbrier	Smilax laurifolia	
Sarsaparilla vine	Smilax pumila	
Jackson vine;		
Lanceleaf greenbrier	Smilax smallii	
Bristly greenbrier; Hogbrier	Smilax tamnoides	
Coral greenbrier	Smilax walteri	
American black nightshade	Solanum americanum	
Tropical soda apple*	Solanum viarum	
Pinebarren goldenrod	Solidago fistulosa	
Chapman's goldenrod	Solidago odora var. chapn	nanii
Seaside goldenrod	Solidago sempervirens	
Spiny sowthistle*	Sonchus asper	
Lopsided Indiangrass	Sorghastrum secundum	
Johnsongrass*	Sorghum halepense	
Sand cordgrass	Spartina bakeri	
Woodland false buttonweed	Spermacoce remota	
Creeping oxeye*	Sphagneticola trilobata	
Spring ladiestresses	Spiranthes vernalis	
Smutgrass*	Sporobolus indicus	
Pineywoods dropseed	Sporobolus junceus	
Florida hedgenettle;		
Florida betony	Stachys floridana	
Sweet shaggytuft	Stenandrium dulce	
Crowpoison; Osceola's plume	Stenanthium densum	
Queensdelight	Stillingia sylvatica	
Pineland scalypink	Stipulicida setacea	
Coastalplain dawnflower	Stylisma patens	
Carolina false vervain	Stylodon carneum	
Sidebeak pencilflower	Stylosanthes biflora	
Scaleleaf aster	Symphyotrichum adnatum	1
Climbing aster	Symphyotrichum carolinia	num
Walter's aster	Symphyotrichum walteri	
Yellow hatpins	Syngonanthus flavidulus	
American evergreen*	Syngonium podophyllum	
Bald-cypress	Taxodium distichum	
Scurf hoarypea	Tephrosia chrysophylla	
Florida hoarypea	Tephrosia florida	
Wood sage;		

Common Name	Scientific Name	Primary Habitat Codes (for imperiled species)
	- , ,	
Canadian germander	. Teucrium canadense	
Alligatorflag; Fireflag	. Thalia geniculata	
Carolina basswood	. Tilia americana var. caroli	niana
Bartram's airplant	. Tillandsia bartramii	
Ballmoss	. Tillandsia recurvata	
Southern needleleaf	. Tillandsia setacea	
Florida airplant	. Tillandsia simulata	
Spanish moss	. Tillandsia usneoides	
Giant air-plant;		
Giant wild pine	. Tillandsia utriculataM	F, SH, UMW, WF, FS, HH
Eastern poison ivy	. Toxicodendron radicans	
Poison sumac	. Toxicodendron vernix	
Bluejacket; Ohio spiderwort	. Tradescantia ohiensis	
Forked bluecurls	. Trichostema dichotomum	
Eastern gamagrass	. Tripsacum dactyloides	
Southern cattail	. Typha domingensis	
American elm	. Ulmus americana	
Caesarweed*	. Urena lobata	
Paragrass*	. Urochloa mutica	
Florida yellow bladderwort	. Utricularia floridana	
Leafy bladderwort	. Utricularia foliosa	
Floating bladderwort	. Utricularia inflata	
Little floating bladderwort	. Utricularia radiata	
Zigzag bladderwort	. Utricularia subulata	
Highbush blueberry	. Vaccinium corymbosum	
Darrow's blueberry	. Vaccinium darrowii	
Shiny blueberry	. Vaccinium myrsinites	
Deerberry	. Vaccinium stamineum	
Tapegrass; American eelgrass.	. Vallisneria americana	
Common mullein*	. Verbascum thapsis	
Wand mullein*	. Verbascum virgatum	
White crownbeard: Frostweed.	. Verbesina virginica	
Tall ironweed	. Vernonia angustifolia	
Giant ironweed	. Vernonia gigantea	
Water speedwell*	. Veronica anagallis-aquatio	a
Walter's viburnum	. Viburnum obovatum	
Florida vetch	. Vicia floridana	
Pyamy flower vetch	Vicia minutiflora	
Farly blue violet	Viola palmata	
Common blue violet	Viola sororia	
Summer grape	Vitis aestivalis	
Florida grape	. Vitis cinerea var floridana	3
Muscadine	Vitis rotundifolia	-
Calloose grape	Vitis shuttleworthii	
Chinese wisteria*	Wisteria sinensis	
Columbian watermeal	. Wolffia columbiana	

Common Name	Scientific Name	Primary Habitat Codes (for imperiled species)
Arrowleaf elephantear*	Xanthosoma sagittifolium	
Tallow wood; Hog plum	Ximenia americana	
Coastalplain yelloweyed grass	Xyris ambigua	
Shortleaf yelloweyed grass	Xyris brevifolia	
Carolina yelloweyed grass	Xyris caroliniana	
Elliott's yelloweyed grass	Xyris elliottii	
Fringed yelloweyed grass	Xyris fimbriata	
Savannah yelloweyed grass	Xyris flabelliformis	
Richard's yelloweyed grass	Xyris jupicai	
Oriental false hawksbeard*	Youngia japonica	
Spanish bayonet; Aloe yucca*	Yucca aloifolia	
Adam's needle	Yucca filamentosa	
Florida arrowroot; Coontie	Zamia pumila	
Hercules-club	Zanthoxylum clava-hercul	is
Soldier's orchid; Lawn orchid*	Zeuxine strateumatica	
Annual wild rice; Indian rice	Zizania aquatica	
Viperina	Zornia bracteata	

		Primary Habitat Codes
Common Name	Scientific Name	(for imperiled species)

### **INVERTEBRATES**

## PORIFERA

Freshwater sponge..... Spongillidea sp.

### CNIDARIA

Colonial hydroid	Cordylophora lacustris
Hydroid	<i>Hydra</i> sp.

#### WORMS

Oligochaete	.Bratislavia unidentata
Naiad worm	.Dero botrytis
Naiad worm	.Dero digitata
Naiad worm	.Dero pectinata
Naiad worm	.Dero trifida
Leech	.Desserobdella phalera
Segmented worm	.Eclipidrilus palustris
Worm	.Haber speciosus
Leech	.Gloiobdella elongata
Leech	.Helobdella fusca
Leech	.Helobdella stagnalis
Leech	.Helobdella triserialis
Worm	.Ilyodrilus templetoni
Worm	Limnodrilus hoffmeisteri
Worm	Lumbriculus variegates
Worm	.Mooreobdella tetragon
Naiad worm	. <i>Nais communis</i> complex
Naiad worm	Nais elinguis
Naiad worm	.Nais variabilis
Leech	.Placobdella multilineata
Leech	.Placobdella transluscens
Segmented worm	. <i>Pristina</i> sp.
Worm	.Varichaetadrilus angustipenis

## MUSSELS/SNAILS

Peninsula amnicola	Amnicola dalli
Wekiwa hydrobe	Aphaostracon monasSRST, ACV
Florida apple snail	Pomacea paludosa
Purple-throated campeloma	Campeloma floridense
Asian clam*	Corbicula fluminea
Mussel	Corbicula manilensis
Rasp elimia	Elimina floridensis
Mussel	<i>Elliptio</i> sp.
Southern lance	Elliptio aheanea
Flat spike	Elliptio jayensis
Hidden spike	Elliptio occulta

Wekiwa	Springs	State	Park	Animals
--------	---------	-------	------	---------

Common Name	Scientific Name	Primary Habitat Codes (for imperiled species)
Blackwater ancylid	Ferrissia hendersoni	
Rock Springs siltsnail	Floridobia petrifons	SRST, ACV
Wekiwa siltsnail	Floridobia wekiwae	SRST, ACV
Carib physa	Hatia cubensis	
Excentric ancylid	Hebetancylus excentricus	
Ancylid	<i>Laevapex</i> sp.	
Dusky ancylid	Laevapex fuscus	
Peninsula ancylid	Laevapex peninsulae	
Malaysian trumpet snail*	Melanoides tuberculata	
Fawn melania	Melanoides turricula	
Penny sprite	Micromenetus floridensis	
Alligator siltsnail	Notogilia wetherbyi	
Pea clams	Pisidium sp.	
Mussel*	Planorbella duryi	
Mesa ram's-horn	Planorbella scalaris	
Mimic pondsnail	Pseudosuccinea columella	
Serrate crownsnail	Pyrgophorus platyrachis	
Striated fingernailclam	Sphaerium striatinum	
Iridescent liliput mussel	Toxoplasma paulus	
Smooth-rib hydrobe	Tryonia aequicostata	
Eastern pondhorn	Uniomerus caroliniana	
Paper pondshell	Utterbackia imbecilis	
Florida rainbow mussel	Villosa amygdale	
Banded mysterysnail	Viviparus georgianus	

## SPIDERS/SCORPIONS/TICKS/MITES

Crab-like spiny-orb weaver	Gasteracantha cancriformis
Brown widow spider*	Latrodectus geometricus
Southern black widow spider I	Latrodectus mactans
Orchard orb weaver spider I	Leucauge venusta
Arachnid	Mexecheles hawaiiensis
Arachnid	Micrathena gracilis
Arachnid	Misumenoides formosipes
Golden-silk spider I	Nephila clavipes
Green lynx spider A	Peucetia viridans
Regal jumping spider H	Phidippus regius
Abbot purseweb spider	Sphodros abboti
Jumping spider	Thiodina puerperal
Hentz striped scorpion	Centruroides hentzi
VinegaroonI	Idiogaryops paludis
Giant vinegaroonI	Mastigoproctus giganteus
Lone-star tick	Amblyomma americanum
Gopher tortoise tick	Amblyomma tuberculatum
American dog tick (wood tick) L	Dermacentor variabilis
Black-legged tick (deer tick) I	Ixodes scapularis
Common rodent mite	Androlaelaps fahrenholzi

Common Name	Scientific Name	Primary Habitat Codes (for imperiled species)
House dust mite	Dermatophagoides sp.	
Water mite	<i>Hydrodroma</i> sp.	
Water mite	<i>Lebertia</i> sp.	
Water mite	<i>Piona</i> sp.	
Chigger	Eutrombicula cinnabaris	
Gray fox chewing louse	Felicola quadraticeps	
Chigger	Trombicula alfreddugesi	
ISOPODS		
Florida cave isopod	Caecidotea hobbsi	ACV
Asellid isopod	Caecidotea racovitzai aust	tralis
Isopod	Cassidinidea ovalis	
Hobbs' cave amphipod	Crangonyx hobbsi	ACV
Amphipod	Gammarus cf. tigrinus	
Amphipod	<i>Hyalella</i> sp.	
Amphipod	Hyalella azteca.	
Silverfish	Lepisma saccharina	
Isopod	Lirceus sp.	
SHRIMP		
Eastern grass shrimp	Palaemonetes paludosus	
Shrimp	Palpomyia-Sphaeromias g	roup
CRAYFISH		
Orlando cave cravfish	Procambarus acherontis	ACV
Blue cravfish	Procambarus alleni	
Cravfish	Procambarus fallax	
Crayfish	Procambarus geodytes	
MILLIPEDES/CENTIPEDES (D	)IPLOPODA/CHILOPODA	<i>t</i> )
Florida ivory millipede	Chicobolus spinigerus	
North American millipede	Narceus americanus	
TRUE BUGS/CICADAS/APHIE	DS/HOOPERS	
Leafhopper sp	Acutalis tartarea	
Leafhopper sp	Archasia belfragei	
Wheel bug	Arillus cristatus	
Treehopper sp	Carynota maculata	
Treehopper sp.	Crytolobus ovatus	
Cochineal Bug	Dactylopius sp.	
Treehopper sp.	Stictocephala lutea	
Treehopper sp.	Vanduzea triguttata	
Ankle biter.	Belostoma lutarium	
Alligator flea	Lethocerus uhleri	
Water bug sp	Pelocoris carolinensis	
Water bug sp	Pelocoris femoratus	

O a management and a management of the second	Coloratific Norro	Primary Habitat Codes
		(for imperiled species)
Water bug sp	Pelocoris femoratus	
Drake's water scorpion	Ranatra australis	
Southern water scorpion	Ranatra drakei	
Small water strider sp	Microvelia atrata	
Water strider sp	Neogerris hesione	
Small water strider sp	Platyvelia brachialis	
Small water strider sp	Rhagovelia choreutes	
Velvet water bug	Merragata brunnea	
Stinkbug sp	Mormidea lugens	
Red bay psyllid	Trioza magnoliae	
Metalic wood boring bootle sp	Acmandara sp	
Eved click beetle	Alaus oculatus	
Blind click beetle	Alaus myons	
False flower beetle	Allonoda sn	
Darkling beetle	Alohates sn	
Ambrosia beetle sp	Ambrosiodmus lecontei	
Shining leaf chafer beetle sp	Anomola sp	
Aphodiini dung beetle sp.	Aphodius sp.	
Aphodiini dung beetle sp	Ataenius sp.	
Metalic wood boring beetle sp	Argrilus sp.	
Case-bearing leaf beetle sp	Bassareus sp.	
Darkling beetle	Blapstinus sp.	
Wood boring beetle sp	Buprestis maculipennis	
Metalic wood boring beetle sp	.Brachys sp.	
Tumblebugs dung beetle sp	Canthon sp.	
Water scavenger beetle	.Cercyon sp.	
Tiger beetle	.Cicindela punctulata	
Festive tiger beetle	.Cicindela scutellaris	
Soldier beetle sp	.Chauliognathus sp.	
Bark beetle sp	Cryptocarenus seriatus	
Case-bearing leaf beetle sp	Cryptocephalus sp.	
Metalic wood boring beetle sp	.Chrysobothris sp.	
Cottonwood leaf beetle	.Chrysomela scripta	
lady beetle sp.	.Coccinella sp.	
Click beetle sp.	.Conoderus sp.	
Predaceous diving beetle	Copelatus caelatipennis p	rinceps
Water scavenger beetle	.Cymbiodyta chamberlaini	
I homas's oak borer	Derobrachus thomasi	
Whirligig beetle	Dineutus emarginatus	
Nay & June Deetle	DIPIOLAXIS SP.	
Park bootle on	Diicaea illurata	
Blistor bootlo	Epicauta sp	
Brown bairy darkling bootlo	. Lpicaula Sp. Enitragodos tomontosus	
biowin nali y uai kiling beetle	Lpniayoues tomentosus	

		Primary Habitat Codes
Common Name	Scientific Name	(for imperiled species)
Leaf/bud weevil	Eugnamptus sp.	
Lady beetle	Exochomus sp.	
Scrub beetle	Gerstaekeria hubbardi	
Case-bearing leaf beetle sp	Griburius sp.	
Harlequin flower beetle	Gymnetis casevi	
Bark beetle sp	Hylastes salebrosus	
Comb-clawed beetle sp	Hymenorus sp.	
Eastern five-spined ingraver	Ips grandicollis	
Flea beetle	Kuschelina sp.	
Comb-clawed beetle sp	.Lobapoda sp.	
Flea beetle sp	. Longitarsus sp.	
Flower long-horned beetle	. Lycochoriolaus lateralis	
Wedge-shaped beetle sp	Macrosiagon sp.	
Hardwood stump borer	. Mallodon dasystomus	
Dung beetle	Melanocanthon sp.	
Pine sawyer beetle	Monochamus carolinensis	
Long-horned beetle sp	Methia necydalea	
Tumbling flower beetle	Mordella sp	
Two horned darkling beetle	Neomida bicornis.	
Monoceros beetle	.Notoxous sp.	
Minute Tree-fungus beetle	Octotemnus sp.	
Bess beetle sp.	Odontotaenius <i>sp.</i>	
Click beetle sp.	Orthostethus sp.	
Ground beetle sp	Pasimachus sp.	
Crawling water beetle sp	Peltodytes dietrichi	
Rainbow scarab beetle sp	.Phanaes sp.	
May beetle sp	Phyllophaga sp.	
Whirlabout	.Polites vibex	
Darkling beetle	.Polypleurus sp.	
Palm weevil	Rhynchophorus cruentatus	
Ground beetle sp	.Scarites sp.	
Dusky lady beetle	Scymnus sp.	
Ox beetle	Staegus antacus	
Long-jointed beetle	Statira sp.	
Diving beetle Sp	. Stenelmis sp.	
Diving beetle Sp	. Stenelmis lignicola	
Shining leaf chafers	Strigoderma sp.	
Metalic wood boring beetle sp	Taphrocerus sp.	
Bark-gawing beetle sp	Temnoscheila virescens	
Checkered beetle sp.	. Trichodes sp	
Fruit and flower chafer sp	Trichiotinus sp.	
Death-watch/spider beetle sp.	Tricorynus sp.	
Darkling beetle	Uloma sp.	
Fruit-tree pinhole borer	Xyleborinus saxesenii	
Red ambrosia beetle*	Xyleborus glabratus	
Ambrosia beetle sp	.Xyleborus pubescens	
•		

		Primary Habitat Codes
Common Name	Scientific Name	(for imperiled species)
Horned powder-post beetle sp	.Xylobiops sp.	
Ambrosia beetle sp	Xylosandrus amputates	
Asian ambrosia beetle*	Xylosandrus crassiusculus	
Arrowhead borer	Xylotrechus sagittatus sag	ittatus
FLEAS		
Cat flea	Ctenocephalides felis	
GRASSHOPPERS/CRICKETS/	WALKING STICKS	
Palmetto Walkingstick	Anisomorpha buprestoides	5
Northern Walkingstick	Diapheromera femorata	
Long-headed		
Toothpick grasshopper	Achurum carinatum	
Brown winter grasshopper	Amblytropidia mystecca	
Linear winged grasshopper	Aptenopedes	
Wingless Florida grasshopper	Aptenopedes aptera	
Wingless Florida grasshopper	Aptenopedes sphenariodes	5
Southern yellow-winged		
grasshopper	Arphia granulata	
Autumn yellow-winged		
grasshopper	Arphia xanthoptera	
Robust Shieldback	Atlanticus gibbosus	
Cave cricket	<i>Ceuthophilus</i> sp.	
Southern green-striped		
Grasshopper	Chortophaga australior	
Carolina grasshopper	Dissosteria carolina	
Handsome Florida grasshopper.	Eotettiz signatus	
Obscure slantfaced		
grasshopper	Eritettix obscurus	
Field cricket sp	Eritettix obscurus	
Spur-throated grasshopper	Melanoplus propinquus	
Round-winged spur-throated		
	Melanoplus rotundipennis	
I ootnpick grassnopper	Mermiria sp.	
Common conenead	Neoconocephalus sp.	
Wingless meedew ketydid	Romalea micropiera	
Trop cricket		
Creator meadow katydid sp	Orcholimum sp.	
Spotted winged grasshopper	Orchellindin sp.	
Orango wingod grasshoppor	Pardalophora phooniconto	ra
Atlantic grasshopper	Paroxya atlantica	
I onghorn	ι αι ολγά ατιαπτικά	
Band-wing grasshopper	Psinidia fenestralis	
Mole cricket	Scanteriscus vicinus	
American bird-wing		
,		

Common Name	Scientific Name	Primary Habitat Codes (for imperiled species)
grasshopper Bird grasshopper Crepitating grasshopper Ridgeback sand grasshopper Marbled grasshopper Admirable grasshopper seaside grasshopper	Schistocerca americana Schistocerca damnifica Spharagemon crepitans Spharagemon cristatium Spharagemon marmorata Syrbula admirabilis Trimerotropis maritima	
DAMSELFLIES		
Powdered dancer Powdered dancer Blue-ringed dancer Variable dancer Blue-tipped dancer Ebony jewelwing Sparkling jewelwing Blue damselfly Purple bluet Florida bluet Smoky rubyspot Citrine forktail Fragile forktail Duckweed firetail	Argia iumipennis Argia moesta Argia sedula Argia fumipennis Argia tibialis Calopteryx maculata Calopteryx dimidiata Enallgma civile Enallgma coecum Enallgma pollutum Hetaerina titia Ischnura hastata Ischnura posita Telebasis byersi	
DRAGONFLIES		
Common green darner Comet darner Two-striped forceptail Amanda's pennant Halloween pennant Regal darner	Anax junius Anax longipes Aphylla williamsoni Celithemis amanda Celithemis eponia Corvphaeschna ingens	
Black-shouldered spinyleg Damselfly	Dromogomphus spinosus Enallagma sp.	
Blue dragonlet Eastern pondhawk	Erythrodiplax minuscula Erythemis simplicicollis	
Sandhill clubtail Blackwater clubtail	Gomphus sp. Gomphus cavillaris Gomphus dilatatus	
Cypress clubtail Twilight darner	Gomphus cavillaris Gomphus minutus Gynacantha nervosa	
Smokey rubyspot Golden-winged skimmer Bar-winged skimmer	Hetaerina titia Libellula auripennisi Libellula axilena	
Slaty skimmer	Libellula incesta	

Common Name	Scientific Name	Primary Habitat Codes (for imperiled species)
Needham's skimmer Great blue skimmer Hyacinth glider Eastern pondhawk	Libellula needhami Libellula vibrans Miathyria marcella Pachydiplax longipennis	
Eastern amberwing Carolina saddlebags Red saddlebags	Perithemis tenera Tramea carolina Tramea onusta	
MANTIDS		
Grizzled mantid Little Yucatan mantid Scudder's mantid Carolina mantid Grass-like mantid	Gonatista grisea Mantoida maya Oligonicella scudderi Stagmomantis carolina Thesprotia graminis	
COCKROACHES & TERMITES		
German cockroach Florida wood cockroach American cockroach Smokybrown cockroach Eastern subterrranean termite	Blattella germanica Eurycotis floridana Periplaneta americana Periplaneta fuliginosa Reticulitermes flavipes	
FLIES		
Non-biting midge	Ablabesmyia peleensis	
Midge	Ablabesmyia rhamphe	
Mosquito sp	Anopheles sp.	
Midge (no see-ums)	Atrichopogon sp.	
Mayfly	Acentrella alachua	
Mayfly	Baetis intercalaris	
Large bee fly	Bombylius sp.	
Mayfly	Callibaetis floridensis	
Non-biting midge	Chironomus dectorus grou	р
Non-biting midge	Chironomus sp.	
Non-biting midge	Clinotanypus sp	
Midge(no see-ums)	Bezzia/Palpomyia complex	
Non-biting midge	Chironomus spp.	
Non-biting midge	<i>Cladopelma</i> spp.	
Non-biting midge	Cladotanytarsus cf. davies	i i
Midge	Cricotopus bicinctus	
Midge	Cricotopus sp.	
Non-biting midge	Cryptochironomus sp.	
Mayfly	Caenis amica	
Square-gilled mayfly	Caenis diminuta	
Mayfly	Caenis punctaca	
Midge	Culicoides edeni	
Midge	Culicoides haematopotus	

		Primary Habitat Codes
Common Name	Scientific Name	(for imperiled species)
Midge	Culicoides insignis	
Midge	Culicoides stellifer	
Midge	Culicoides torreryae	
Midge	Culicoides venustus	
Lawn midge	Dicrotendipes modestus	
Lawn midge	Dicrotendipes neomodestu	IS
Midge	Einfeldia natchitocheae	
Non-biting midge	Epoicocladius flavens	
Non-biting midge	<i>Glyptotendipes</i> spp.	
Non-biting midge	Goeldichironomus sp.	
Mayfly	Heptagenia flavescens	
Burrowing mayfly	Hexagenia limbata	
Mayfly	Labiobaetis ephippiatus	
Bee-like robber fly	Laphria saffrana	
Midge	Larsia decolorata	
Louse fly	Lipoptena mazamae	
Mayfly	Maccaffertium exiguum	
Coconut mealybug	Nipaeciccus nipae	
Shore fly sp	Octhera sp.	
Robber fly sp	Ommatius sp.	
Robber fly	Orthogonis stygia.	
Non-biting midge	Orthocladius robacki	
Non-biting midge	Paralauterborniella nigroh	alteralis
Love bug	Plecia nearctica	
Midge	Polypedilum fallax group	
Midge	Polypedilum flavum	
Midge	Polypedilum halterale grou	qu
Midge	Polypedilum illinoense	
Midge	Polypedilum scalaenum gr	oup
Midge	Polypedilum tritum	
Midge (no see-ums)	Probezzia sp.	
Mayfly	Procloeon rufostrigatum	
Spine-tailed robberfly	Proctacanthus gracilis	
Non-biting midge	Pseudochironomus sp.	
Non-biting midge	<i>Pseudosmittia</i> sp.	
Waved light fly sp	<i>Pyrgotidae</i> sp.	
Non-biting midge	Stelechomyia perpulchra	
Mayfly	Stenacron interpunctatum	
Robber fly sp	Stichopogan sp.	
Bee fly	Systoechus sp.	
Striped horse fly	Tabanus lineola	
Non-biting midge	<i>Tanytarsus</i> sp.	
Cypress twig gall midge	Taxodiomya cupressianas	sa
Midge	Thienemanniella sp.	
Non-biting midge	Thienemanniella boltoni	
Crane fly	Tipula abdominalis	

Common Name	Scientific Name	Primary Habitat Codes (for imperiled species)
Midge	Tribelos fusicorne	
Midge	Tribelos jucundum	
Mayfly	Tricorythodes albilineatus	
Mosquito sp	Uranotaenia sapphirina	
Midge	Xestochironomus sp.	
Midge	Zavreliella marmorata	
CADDISFLIES/DOBSONFLIES	/LACEWINGS/ANTLION	IS
Eastern dobsonfly	Corydalus cornutus	
Caddisfly	Cernotina sp.	
Tube maker caddisfly sp	Cyrnellus fraternus	
Tube maker caddisfly sp	Neureclipsis crepuscularis	
Tube maker caddisfly sp	Nvctiophvlax sp.	
Tube maker caddisfly sp	Polycentropis sp.	
Net-spinning caddisfly sp.	Cheumatopsyche sp	
Net-spinning caddisfly sp.	Hydropsyche sp	
Micro-caddisfly sp.	Hydroptila sp.	
Micro-caddisfly sp.	Mavatrichia avama	
Micro-caddisfly sp.	Neotrichia sp.	
Micro-caddisfly sp.	Orthotrichia sp.	
Micro-caddisfly sp.	Oxvethira sp.	
long-horned caddisfly	Nectopsyche candida	
Long-horned caddisfly	Nectopsyche exquisita	
Long-horned caddisfly	Nectopsyche pavida	
Long-horned caddisfly	Oecetis parva	BST SRST
Long-horned caddisfly	Oecetis persimilis	
Long-horned caddisfly	Oecetis persimilis	
Long-horned caddisfly	Triaenodes ignitus	
Spongillafly	Climacia areolaris	
Spottedwinged antlion	Dendoleon obsoletus	
	Dendoleon obsoletas	
MOTHS	Action lung	
Luna moth	Actias iuna	
Polyphemus moth	Antineraea porypriemus	
	Automens io	
Common wood-nymph		
Yellow-collared scape motin		
Regal moth	Citheronia regails	
Southern skipperling	Copaeodes minimus	
Gemmed satyr		
Imperial moth	Eacles imperialis	
Spninx moth	Enyo lugubris	
Eastern Tent caterpillar moth	Malacosoma americanum	
Forest Tent caterpillar moth	Malacosoma disstria	
Little wood satyr	Megisto cymela	
Plume moth	Oidaematophorus balanot	es

Common Name	Scientific Name	Primary Habitat Codes (for imperiled species)
common Name		(Ior imperned species)
Oreander moth	Syntomeida jucundissima	
BUTTERFLIES		
Gulf fritillary	Agraulis vanillae	
Lace-winged roadside-skipper	Amblyscirtes aesculapius	
White peacock	Anartia jatrophae	
Delaware skipper	Anatrytone logan	
Least skipper	Ancyloxpha numitor	
Monk skipper	Asbolis capucinus	
Great southern white	Ascia monuste	
Hackberry emperor	Asterocampa celtis	
Tawny emporer	Asterocampa clyton	
Sachem	Atalopedes campestris	
Great purple hairstreak	Atlides halesus	
Delaware skipper	Atrytone logan	
Pipevine swallowtail	Battus philenor	
Polydamas swallowtail	Battus polydamas	
Brazilian skipper	Calpodes ethlius	
Red-banded hairstreak	Calycopis cecrops	
Southern dogface butterfly	Collas cesonia	
Orange sulphur	Collas eurytheme	
Gemmed satyr	Cyllopsis gemma	
Menarah ar milkwood butterfly	Danaus giilippus	
Southorn poorly ove	Enodia portlandia	
Elorida poarly eye	Enodia portlandia floralao	
Silver spotted skipper	Enoura por tranula noralae.	ип, гз, пп
Sleepy duskywing	Epargyreus clarus Erypnis brizo	
Horace's duskywing	Erynnis boratius	
luvenal's duskywing	Erynnis iuvenalis	
Zarucco duskywing	Erynnis javenans	
Palmetto skinner	Funhves arna	
Berry's skipper	Fuphyes berryi	DM. FM. FS. FPLK. MLK.
Palatka skipper	Euphyes pilatka	
Dun skipper	Euphves vestris	
Variegated fritillary	Euptoieta claudia	
Barred sulphur butterfly	, Eurema daira	
Barred yellow	Eurema daira daira	
Little yellow butterfly	Eurema lisa	
Sleepy orange	Eurema nicippe	
Zebra swallowtail	Eurytides marcellus	
Zebra longwing butterfly	Heliconius charitonius	
Ceraunus blue	Hemiargus ceraunus	
Carolina satyr	Hermeuptychia sosybius	
Dotted skipper	Hesperia atalus	

Common Name	Scientific Name	Primary Habitat Codes (for imperiled species)
Eastern Meske's skipper	Hesperia meskei straton	SH, ABF, PI, PSI, UC
Fiery skipper	Hylephila phyleus	
Common buckeye	Junonia coenia	
Cassius blue	Lepotes cassius	
Clouded skipper	Lerema accius	
Eufala skipper	Lerodea eufala	
Viceroy	Limenitis archippus	
Red-spotted purple	Limenitis arthemis	
Puss caterpillar	Megalopyge opercularis	
Yucca giant-skipper	Megathymus yuccae	
Little wood satyr	Megisto cymela	
Viola's wood satyr	Megisto viola	
Neamathla skipper	Nastra neamathla	
Dainty sulphur	Nathalis iole	
Twin-spot skipper	Oligoria maculata	
Ocola skipper	Panoquina ocola	
Giant swallowtail	Papilo cresphontes	
Eastern tiger swallowtail	Papilio glaucus	
Palamedes swallowtail	Papilio palamedes	
American swallowtail	Papilio polyxenes	
Spicebush swallowtail	Papilio troilus	
White M hairstreak	Parrhasius m-album m-all	bum
Orange-barred sulphur	Phoebis philea	
Cloudless sulfur	Phoebis sennae	
Phaon cresent butterfly	Phyciodes phaon	
Pearl crescent	Phyciodes tharos	
Tawny-edged skipper	Polites themistocles	
Whirlabout	Polites vibex	
Question mark butterfly	Polygonia interrogationis	
Checkered white butterfly	Pontia protodice	
Buckeye butterfly	Precis coenia	
Byssus skipper	Problema byssus	
White checkered-skipper	Pyrgus albescens	
Common checkered-skipper	Pyrgus communis	
Tropical checkered-skipper	Pyrgus oileus	
Oak hairstreak	Satyrium favonius	
Gray hairstreak	Strymon melinus	
Southern cloudywing	Thorybes bathyllus	
Confused cloudywing	Thorybes confusis	
Northern cloudywing	Thorybes pylades	
Dorantes longtail	Urbanus dorantes	
Long-tailed skipper	Urbanus proteus	
Red admiral butterfly	Vanessa atalanta	
American lady butterfly	Vanessa virginiensis	
Northern broken-dash	Wallengrenia egeremet	
Southern broken-dash	Wallengrenia otho	

Common Name	Scientific Name	Primary Habitat Codes (for imperiled species)
BEES & WASPS		
Metallic green bee sp	Agapostemon sp	
Sweat bee sp	Augochlorella sp.	
European honey bee*	Apis mellifera	
Gall wasp	Callirhytis cornigera	
Cuckoo wasp	Chrysis angolensis	
Cellophane bee sp	Colletes sp.	
Velvet ant	Dasymutilla occidentalis	
Brown-legged grass carrier	Isodontia auripes	
Sweat bee sp	Lasioglossum sp.	
Leaf-cutter and resin bee	Megachile sp.	
Square-headed wasp sp	Oxybelus sp.	
Mining bee sp	Perdita sp.	
Paper wasp sp	Polistes sp.	
Tiphiid wasp sp	Tiphia sp.	
Eastern yellow jacket	Vespula maculifrons	
Southern yellow jacket	Vespula squamosa	
Eastern carpenter bee	Xylocopa virginica	
ANTS		
Ant	Aphaenogaster ashmeadi	
Ant	Aphaenogaster carolinens	is
Ant	Aphaenogaster flemingi	
Ant	Aphaenogaster fulva	
Ant	Aphaenogaster lamelliden	S
Florida harvester ant	Aphaenogaster treatae	
Ant	Brachymyrmex depilis	
Ant	Brachymyrmex obscurior	
Florida carpenter ant	Camponotus floridanus	
Ant	Camponotus castaneus	
Ant	Camponotus impressus	
Ant	Camponotus nearcticus	
Carpenter ant	Camponotus socius	
Ant	Cardiocondyla emeryi*	
Acrobat ant	Crematogaster ashmeadi	
Ant	Crematogaster cerasi	
Ant	Crematogaster lineolata	
Ant	Crematogster minutissima	1
Ant	Crytopone gilva	
Ant	Cyphomyrmex rimosus*	
Ant	Discothyrea testacea	
Ant	Dorymyrex bossuta	
Ant	Dorymyrmex bureni	
Ant	Eurhopalothrix floridana	
Ant	Forelius pruinosis	

Ant..... Formica dolosa
		Primary Habitat Codes
Common Name	Scientific Name	(for imperiled species)
Ant	Formica pallidefulva	
Ant	Hypoponera opaciceps	
Ant	Hypoponera opacior	
Cornfield ant	Lasius alienus	
Ant	Leptogenys manni	
Ant	Myrmecina americana	
Ant	Monomorium viride	
Army ant	Neivamyrmex opacithorax	ĸ
Ant	Nylanderia arenivaga	
Ant	Nylanderia bourbonica*	
Ant	Nylanderia concinna	
Ant	Nylanderia faisonensis	
Ant	Nylanderia longicornis*	
Ant	Nylanderia wojciki	
Black house ant	Ochetellus glaber*	
Ant	Odontomachus brunneus	
Ant	Pheidole dentata	
Ant	Pheidole dentigula	
Ant	Pheidole floridana	
Ant	Pheidole obscurithorax*	
Ant	Pheidole moerens*	
Ant	Pheidole morrisi	
Florida harvester ant	Pogonomyrmex badius	
Ant	Proceratium silaceum	
Ant	Pseudomyrmex gracilis*	
Ant	Pseudomyrex ejectus	
Ant	Solenopsis abdita	
Ant	Solenopsis carolinensis	
Ant	Solenopsis geminata	
Ant	Solenopsis globularia	
Red imported fire ant*	Solenopsis invicta*	
Ant	Solenopsis nickersoni	
Ant	Solenopsis picta	
Ant	Solenopsis tennesseensis	
Ant	Stigmatomma pallipes	
Ant	Strumigenys angulata	
Ant	Strumigenys eggersi*	
Emma's bow-jawed pygmy		
snapping ant	Strumigenys emmae*	
Ant	Strumigenys laevinasis	
Miniature trap-jaw ant	Strumigenys louisianae	
Ant	Strumigenys margaritae*	
Miniature trap-jaw ant	Strumigenys membranife	ra*
Ant	Strumigenys ornata	
Ant	Strumigenys pulchella	
Miniature trap-jaw ant	Strumigenys rogeri*	

Common Name	Scientific Name	Primary Habitat Codes (for imperiled species)
Ant Ant Ant Ant Ant	Strumigenys talpa Temnothorax pergandei Temnothorax texanus Trachymyrmex septentrio Xenomyrmex floridanus	nalis
	FISH	
DASYATIDAE Atlantic stingray Sea lamprey	Dasyatis sabina Petromyzon marinus	
<b>LEPISOSTEIDAE</b> Longnose gar Florida gar	Lepisosteus osseus Lepisosteus platyrhincus	
<b>AMI I DAE</b> Bowfin	Amia calva	
ANGUILLIDAE American eel	Anguilla rostrata	
CYPRINIDAE Golden shiner Ironcolor shiner Dusky shiner Taillight shiner Coastal shiner Bluenose shiner Metallic shiner Bluenose shiner Bluenose shiner	Notomigonus chrysoleuca Notropis chalybaeus Notropis cummingsae Notropis maculatus Notropis petersoni Notropis welaka Opsopoeodus emiliae Pteronotropsis hypselopte Pteronotropis metallicus Pteronotropsis welaka	erus BST, SRST
CATUSTUMIDAE		

Lake chubsucker ..... Erimyzon sucetta

### ATHERINOPSIDAE

Brook silverside ..... Labidesthes sicculus

# ICTALURIDAE

Snail bullhead	Ameiurus brunneus
White catfish	Ameiurus catus
Yellow bullhead	Ameiurus natalis
Brown bullhead	Ameiurus nebulosus
Walking catfish*	Clarias batrachus

Common Name	Scientific Name	Primary Habitat Codes (for imperiled species)
Channel catfish Tadpole madtom Speckled madtom	Ictalurus punctatus Noturus gyrinus Noturus leptacanthus	
LORICARIIDAE Orinoco sailfin catfish* Vermiculated sailfin catfish*	Pterygoplichthys multirad Pterygoglichthys disjunct	liatus ivus
CALLICHTHYIDAE Brown hoplo*	Hoplosternum littorale	
ESOCIDAE Chain pickerel	Esox niger	
APHREDODERIDAE Pirate perch	Aphredoderus sayanus	
<b>CLUPEIDAE</b> Gizzard shad	Dorosoma cepedianum	
CYPRINODONTIDAE Golden topminnow Marsh killfish Seminole killifish Bluefin killifish Rainwater killifish	Fundulus chrysotus Fundulus confluentus Fundulus seminolis Lucania goodei Lucania parva	
POECILIIDAE Western mosquitofish* Eastern mosquitofish Least killifish Sailfin molly	Gambusia affinis Gambusia holbrooki Heterandria formosa Poecilia latipinna	
CENTRARCHIDAE Everglades pygmy sunfish Bluespotted sunfish Redbreast sunfish Warmouth Bluegill Dollar sunfish Redear sunfish Spotted sunfish Largemouth bass	Elassoma evergladei Enneacanthus gloriosus Lepomis auritus Lepomis gulosus Lepomis macrochirus Lepomis marginatus Lepomis microlophus Lepomis punctatus Micropterus salmoides	

Common Name	Scientific Name	Primary Habitat Codes (for imperiled species)
<b>CICHLIDAE</b> Blue tilapia*	Oreochromis aureus	
<b>PERCIDAE</b> Brown darter Swamp darter Blackbanded darter	Etheostoma edwini Etheostoma fusiforme Percina nigrofasciata	
MUGILIDAE Striped mullet	Mugil cephalus	
	AMPHIBIANS	
PLETHODONTIDAE Dwarf salamander Southeastern slimy salamander.	Eurycea quadridigitata Plethodon grobmani	
<b>SALAMANDRIDAE</b> Striped newt FPLK, SULK Peninsula newt	Notophthalmus perstriatu Notophthalmus viridescei	ısMF, SH, SC, SCF, DM, ns piaropicola
SIRENIDAE Lesser siren Greater siren	Siren intermedia Siren lacertina	
AMPHIUMIDAE Two-toed amphiuma	Amphiuma means	
<b>PELOBATIDAE</b> Eastern spadefoot	Scaphiopus holbrookii	
BUFONIDAE Oak toad Southern toad	Anaxyrus quercicus Anaxyrus terrestris	
<b>LEPTODACTYLIDAE</b> Greenhouse frog*	Eleutherodactylus planiro	ostris
HYLIDAE Florida cricket frog Southern cricket frog Green treefrog Pine woods treefrog Barking treefrog Squirrel treefrog	Acris gryllus dorsalis Acris gryllus gryllus Hyla cinerea Hyla femoralis Hyla gratiosa Hyla squirella	

Common Name	Scientific Name	Primary Habitat Codes (for imperiled species)
Cuban treefrog*	Osteopilus septentrionalis	5
Southern spring peeper	Pseudacris crucifer	
Florida chorus frog	Pseudacris nigrita verruce	osa
Little grass frog	Pseudacris ocularis	

#### RANIIDAE

Florida gopher frog	Lithobates capito aesopusSC, SCF, DM, FPLK, SULK
Bullfrog	Lithobates catesbeianus
Bronze frog	Lithobates clamitans
Pig frog	Lithobates grylio
Florida leopard frog	Lithobates sphenocephala
Southern leopard frog	Lithobates utricularia

#### MICROHYLIDAE

Eastern narrow-mouthed toad .. Gastrophryne carolinensis

#### REPTILES

#### CROCODYLIDAE

#### KINOSTERNIDAE

Striped mud turtle	Kinosternon bauri
Florida mud turtle	Kinosternon subrubrum steindachneri
Loggerhead musk turtle	Sternotherus minor minor
Common musk turtle	Sternotherus odoratus

### TESTUDINIDAE

Gopher tortoise......MF, SH, SC, SCF, ABF, DV, PP, PI, PSI, UC

#### EMYDIDAE

Florida chicken turtle	Deirochelys reticularia chrysea
Florida redbelly turtle	Pseudemys nelsoni
Peninsula cooter	Pseudemys peninsularis
Florida box turtle	Terrapene carolina bauri
Eastern box turtle	Terrapene carolina carolina
Red-eared slider*	Trachemys scripta elegans

### CHELYDRIDAE

Florida snapping turtle..... Chelydra serpentina

#### TRIONYCHIDAE

Florida softshell ..... Apalone ferox

Common Name	Scientific Name	Primary Habitat Codes (for imperiled species)
CEKKONIDAE		
Indo-Pacific decko*	Hemidactylus garnotii	
African house gecko*	Hemidactylus garnotii Hemidactylus mabouia	
	nennaactylas maboala	
POLYCHRIDAE		
Green anole	Anolis carolinensis caroli	inensis
Brown anole*	Anolis sagrei sagrei	
PHRYNOSOMATIDAE		
Southern fence lizard	Sceloporus undulatus ur	ndulatus
AMPHI SBAENI DAE	Dhinaura floridana	
Florida worm lizard	Rhineura noridana	
ANGUIDAE		
Eastern slender glass lizard	Ophisaurus attenuatus le	ongicaudus
Eastern glass lizard	Ophisaurus ventralis	-
TELLDAE		
Six-lined racerupper	Asnidoscelis sexlineata	
	nspidoscens seximeata	
SCINIDAE		
Peninsula mole skink	Eumeces egregius onocr	repis
Southeastern five-lined skink	Eumeces inexpectatus	
Broad-headed skink	Plestiodon laticeps	
Sand skink	Plestiodon reynoldsi	SC
Ground skink	Scincella lateralis	
COLUBRIDAE		
Florida scarlet snake	Cemophora coccinea coc	ccinea
Southern black racer	Coluber constrictor priap	bus
Southern ringneck snake	Diadophis punctatus pur	nctatus
Eastern indigo snake	Drymarchon corais coup	eriSH, SC, SCF
Eastern mud snake	Farancia abacura abacur	ra internet in
Rainbow snake	Farancia erytrogramma	erytrogramma
Eastern hognose snake	Heterodon platyrhinos	
Common kingsnake	Lampropeltis getula	
Eastern kingsnake	Lampropeltis getula getu	ula
Scarlet kingsnake	Lampropeltis trianglulun	n elapsoides
Eastern coachwhip	Coluber flagellum	-
Florida water snake	Nerodia fasciata pictiver	otris
Brown water snake	Nerodia taxispilota	
Rough green snake	Opheodrys aestivus	
Yellow rat snake	Pantheropsis alleghanier	nsis
Corn snake	Pantherophis guttata	
Florida pine snake	Pituophis melanoleucus	<i>mugitus</i> SH, SC, SCF

SH, SC, XH ni
nti
CULK, MLK
CULJK, FPLK, MLK, LJK, FPLK, MLK, BST,

Common Name	Scientific Name	Primary Habitat Codes (for imperiled species)
Yellow-crowned night-heron	Nyctanassa violaceus	
STORKS Wood stork BST, SRST	<i>Mycteria americana</i> D	M, FS, CULJK, FPLK, MLK,
IBISES White ibis Glossy ibis	Eudocimus albus Plegadis falcinellus	CULK, MLK, BST, SRST
SPOONBILLS Roseate spoonbill	Ajaia ajaja	CULK, MLK
DUCKS & GEESE		
Wood duck	Aix sponsa	
American wigeon	Anas americana	
American black duck	Anas rubripes	
Mottled duck	Anas fulvigula	
Blue-winged teal	Anas discors	
Northern pintail	Anas acuta	
Green-winged teal	Anas crecca	
Redhead	Aythya americana	
Ring-necked duck	Aythya collaris	
Hooded merganser	Lophodytes cucullatus	
Common merganser	Mergus merganser	
Red-breasted merganser	Mergus serrator	
VULTURES, HAWKS, KITES, E	AGLES	
Sharp-shinned hawk	Accipiter striatus	
Cooper's hawk	Accipiter cooperii	
Red-shouldered hawk	Buteo lineatus	
Short-tailed hawk	Buteo brachyurusMF	F, SH, SC, MH, UMW, WF,
FS, HH		
Red-tailed hawk	Buteo jamaicensis	
Turkey vulture	Cathartes aura	
Northern harrier	Circus cyaneus	
Black vulture	Coragyps atratus	
Swallow-tailed kite	Elanoides forficatus	MF, MH, UMW, WF, FS, HH
White-tailed kite	Elanoides leucurus	DM, FPLK, ABF, PI, PSI
Merlin	Falco columbarius	Many
Peregrine falcon	Falco peregrinus	Many
Southeastern American kestrel.	Falco sparverius paulus.	MF, SH, ABF, PI, PSI
Eastern American kestrel	Falco sparverius sparve	rius
Mississippi kite	Ictinia mississippiensis	
Bald eagle	Haliaeetus leucocephalu	15
Osprey	Pandion haliaetus	

Common Name	Scientific Name	Primary Habitat Codes (for imperiled species)
<b>PHEASANTS &amp; ALLIES</b> Northern bobwhite Wild turkey	Colinus virginianus Meleagris gallopavo	
RAILS American coot Common moorhen Purple gallinule Sora King rail Virginia rail	Fulica americana Gallinula chloropus Porphyrula martinica Porzana carolina Rallus elegans Rallus limicola	
<b>LIMPKIN</b> Limpkin	Aramus guarauna	BST, SRST
CRANES Whooping crane PI, PSI Sandhill crane Florida sandhill crane PI, PSI	Grus americana Grus canadensis Grus canadensis pratensi	DM, FPLK, MLK, ABF, sDM, FPLK, MLK, ABF,
<b>PLOVERS</b> Semipalmated plover Killdeer	Charadrius semipalmatus Charadrius vociferus	
<b>STILTS</b> Black-necked stilt	Himantopus mexicanus	
SANDPIPERS Spotted sandpiper Western sandpiper	Actitis macularia Calidris mauri	

Spotted sandpiper	ACTILIS MACUIANA
Western sandpiper	Calidris mauri
Least sandpiper	Calidris minutilla
Common snipe	Gallinago gallinago
Short-billed dowitcher	Limnodromus griseus
American woodcock	Scolopax minor
Lesser yellowlegs	Tringa flavipes
Greater yellowlegs	Tringa melanoleuca
Solitary sandpiper	Tringa solitaria

# **GULLS & TERNS**

Herring gull	Larus argentatus
Laughing gull	Larus atricilla
Ring-billed gull	Larus delawarensis
Bonaparte's gull	Larus philadelphia
Least tern	Sterna antillarum

Common Name	Scientific Name	Primary Habitat Codes (for imperiled species)
Caspian tern Forster's tern Royal tern	Sterna caspia Sterna forsteri Sterna maxima	
<b>DOVES</b> Rock pigeon* Common ground-dove Eurasian collared-dove* Mourning dove	Columba livia Columbina passerina Streptopelia decaocto Zenaida macroura	
CUCKOOS Yellow-billed cuckoo Black-billed cuckoo	Coccyzus americanus Coccyzus erythropthalmu	S
OWLS Florida burrowing owl Great horned owl Eastern screech-owl Barred owl Barn owl	Athene cunicularia florida Bubo virginianus Otus asio Strix varia Tyto alba	<i>na</i> ABF, PSI
GOATSUCKERS Chuck-will's-widow Whip-poor-will Common nighthawk	Caprimulgus carolinensis Caprimulgus vociferus Chordeiles minor	
SWIFTS Chimney swift	Chaetura pelagica	
HUMMINGBIRDS Ruby-throated hummingbird	Archilochus colubris	
KINGFISHERS Belted kingfisher	Ceryle alcyon	
WOODPECKERS Northern flicker Pileated woodpecker Red-headed woodpecker Red-bellied woodpecker Downy woodpecker Hairy woodpecker Yellow-bellied sapsucker	Colaptes auratus Dryocopus pileatus Melanerpes erythrocepha Melanerpes carolinus Picoides pubescens Picoides villosus Sphyrapicus varius	lus
TYRANT FLYCATCHERS Eastern wood-pewee	Contopus virens	

Common Name	Scientific Name	Primary Habitat Codes (for imperiled species)
Alder flycatcher Yellow-bellied flycatcher Least flycatcher Acadian flycatcher Great crested flycatcher Eastern phoebe Eastern kingbird	Empidonax alnorum Empidonax flaviventris Empidonax minimus Empidonax virescens Myiarchus crinitus Sayornis phoebe Tyrannus tyrannus	
SHRIKES Loggerhead shrike	Lanius Iudovicianus	
VIREOS Yellow-throated vireo White-eyed vireo Red-eyed vireo Philadelphia vireo Blue-headed vireo	Vireo flavifrons Vireo griseus Vireo olivaceus Vireo philadelphicus Vireo solitarius	
JAYS & CROWS Florida scrub-jay American crow Fish crow Blue jay	Aphelocoma coerulescens Corvus brachyrhynchos Corvus ossifragus Cyanocitta cristata	<i>s</i> SC, SCF
SWALLOWS Barn swallow Purple martin Northern rough-winged swallow Tree swallow	Hirundo rustica Progne subis Stelgidopteryx serripenni Tachycineta bicolor	'S
<b>TITMICE</b> Tufted titmouse Carolina chickadee	Parus bicolor Parus carolinensis	
NUTHATCHES Red-breasted nuthatch White-breasted nuthatch Brown-headed nuthatch	Sitta canadensis Sitta carolinensis Sitta pusilla	
WRENS Marsh wren Sedge wren Carolina wren House wren	Cistothorus palustris Cistothorus platensis Thryothorus ludovicianus Troglodytes aedon	

Common Name	Scientific Name	Primary Habitat Codes (for imperiled species)
KINGLETS Ruby-crowned kinglet Golden-crowned kinglet	Regulus calendula Regulus satrapa	
<b>GNATCATCHERS</b> Blue-gray gnatcatcher	Polioptila caerulea	
THRUSHES Bicknel's thrush Veery Hermit thrush Gray-cheeked thrush Swainson's thrush Wood thrush Eastern bluebird American robin	Catharus bicknelli Catharus fuscescens Catharus guttatus Catharus minimus Catharus ustulatus Hylocichla mustelina Sialia sialis Turdus migratorius	
MIMIC THRUSHES Gray catbird Northern mockingbird Brown thrasher	Dumetella carolinensis Mimus polyglottos Toxostoma rufum	
<b>STARLINGS</b> European starling*	Sturnus vulgaris	
<b>PIPITS</b> American pipit	Anthus rubescens	
<b>WAXWINGS</b> Cedar waxwing	Bombycilla cedrorum	
WOOD WARBLERS Black-throated blue warbler Bay-breasted warbler Cerulean warbler Yellow-rumped warbler Prairie warbler Yellow-throated warbler Blackburnian warbler Magnolia warbler Palm warbler Chestnut-sided warbler Yellow warbler Pine warbler Blackpoll warbler	Dendroica caerulescens Dendroica castanea Dendroica cerulea Dendroica coronata Dendroica discolor Dendroica dominica Dendroica fusca Dendroica magnolia Dendroica palmarum Dendroica pensylvanica Dendroica petechia Dendroica pinus Dendroica striata	

Common Name	Scientific Name	Primary Habitat Codes (for imperiled species)
Black-throated green warbler Common yellowthroat Worm-eating warbler Yellow-breasted chat Swainson's warbler Black-and-white warbler Connecticut warbler Kentucky warbler Northern parula Prothonotary warbler Ovenbird Northern waterthrush Louisiana waterthrush American redstart Orange-crowned warbler Golden-winged warbler Blue-winged warbler Nashville warbler Canada warbler	Dendroica virens Geothlypis trichas Helmitheros vermivorus Icteria virens Limnothlypis swainsonii Mniotilta varia Oporornis agilis Oporornis formosus Parula americana Protonotaria citrea Seiurus aurocapillus Seiurus noveboracensis Seiurus motacilla Setophaga ruticilla Vermivora celata Vermivora celata Vermivora peregrina Vermivora pinus Vermivora ruficapilla Wilsonia citrina Wilsonia citrina	MH, UMW, FS, HH, SHF
TANAGERS Scarlet tanager Summer tanager	Piranga olivacea Piranga rubra	
CARDINALS Northern cardinal	Cardinalis cardinalis	
GROSBEAKS Painted bunting Indigo bunting Blue grosbeak Rose-breasted grosbeak	Passerina ciris Passerina cyanea Guiraca caerulea Pheucticus ludovicianus	
SPARROWS AND ALLIES Saltmarsh sharp-tailed sparrow Henslow's sparrow LeConte's sparrow Grasshopper sparrow Savannah sparrow Fox sparrow Bachman's sparrow Eastern towhee Vesper sparrow	Ammodramus caudacutus Ammodramus henslowii Ammodramus leconteii Ammodramus savannaru Passerculus sandwichens Passerella iliaca Peucaea aestivalis Pipilo erythrophthalmus Pooecetes gramineus	s m is

Swamp sparrow ...... Melospiza georgiana

Common Name	Scientific Name	Primary Habitat Codes (for imperiled species)
		(ior imperied species)
Song sparrow	Melosniza melodia	
Chipping sparrow	Spizella passerina	
Field sparrow	Spizella pusilla	
White-throated sparrow	Zonotrichia albicollis	
White-crowned sparrow	Zonotrichia leucophrys	
ICTERIDS		
Red-winged blackbird	Agelaius phoeniceus	
Bobolink	Dolichonyx oryzivorus	
Rusty blackbird	Euphagus carolinus	
Baltimore oriole	Icterus galbula	
Orchard oriole	Icterus spurius	
Brown-headed cowbird	Molothrus ater	
Boat-tailed grackle	Quiscalus major	
Common grackle	Quiscalus quiscula	
Eastern meadowlark	Sturnella magna	
Yellow-headed blackbird	Xanthocephalus xanthoc	ephalus
FINCHES		
American goldfinch	Carduelis tristis	
Purple finch	Carpodacus purpureus	
·	, , ,	
OLD WORLD SPARROWS	Dassar domasticus	
nouse sparrow	Passer domesticus	
	MAMMALS	
DIDELPHIDAE		
Virginia opossum	Didelphis virginiana	
SORICIDAE		
Northern short-tailed shrew	Blarina brevicauda	
Least shrew	Cryptotis parva	
TALPIDAE		
Eastern mole	Scalopus aquaticus	
Seminole bat	Lasiurus seminolus	
Fastern ninistrelle	Pinistrellus subflavus	
DASYPODIDAE		
Nine-banded armadillo*	Dasypus novemcinctus	
	Cubildon of floridon	
Eastern cottontall	Sylvilagus floridanus	

Common Name	Scientific Name	Primary Habitat Codes (for imperiled species)
Marsh rabbit	Sylvilagus palustris	
<b>SCIURIDAE</b> Southern flying squirrel Gray squirrel Sherman's fox squirrel	Glaucomys volans Sciurus carolinensis Sciurus niger shermani	SH, MF, PP, SHF
GEOMYIDAE Southeastern pocket gopher	Geomys pinetis	
<b>CRICETIDAE</b> Eastern harvest mouse Cotton mouse Florida mouse Golden mouse Marsh rice rat Hispid cotton rat	Reithrodontomys humulis Peromyscus gossypinus Podomys floridanus Ochrotomys nuttalli Oryzomys palustris Sigmodon hispidus	; SH, SC, SCF
MURIDAE Round-tailed muskrat Eastern woodrat Black rat*	Neofiber alleni Neotoma floridana Rattus rattus	
CANIDAE Red fox* Gray fox Coyote* Feral dog*	Vulpes vulpes Urocyon cinereoargenteu Canis latrans Canis familiaris	S
<b>URSIDAE</b> Florida black bear	Ursus americanus floridai	<i>านร</i> Many
PROCYONIDAE Raccoon	Procyon lotor	
MUSTELIDAE River otter Striped skunk	Lutra canadensis Mephitis mephitis	
FELIDAE Feral cat* Bobcat Florida Panther	Felis catus Lynx rufus Puma concolor coryi	Many
<b>SUIDAE</b> Feral pig*	Sus scrofa	

		Primary Habitat Codes
Common Name	Scientific Name	(for imperiled species)

# CERVIDAE

White-tailed deer ..... Odocoileus virginianus

# TERRESTRIAL

Beach Dune	BD
Coastal Berm	СВ
Coastal Grassland	CG
Coastal Strand	CS
Dry Prairie	DP
Keys Cactus Barren	КСВ
Limestone Outcrop	LO
Maritime Hammock	MAH
Mesic Flatwoods	MF
Mesic Hammock	MEH
Pine Rockland	PR
Rockland Hammock	RH
Sandhill	SH
Scrub	SC
Scrubby Flatwoods	SCF
Shell Mound	SHM
Sinkhole	SK
Slope Forest	SPF
Upland Glade	UG
Upland Hardwood Forest	UHF
Upland Mixed Woodland	UMW
Upland Pine	UP
Wet Flatwoods	WF
Xeric Hammock	XH

# PALUSTRINE

Alluvial Forest	AF
Basin Marsh	BM
Basin Swamp	BS
Baygall	BG
Bottomland Forest	BF
Coastal Interdunal Swale	CIS
Depression Marsh	DM
Dome Swamp	DS
Floodplain Marsh	FM
Floodplain Swamp	FS
Glades Marsh	GM
Hydric Hammock	HH
Keys Tidal Rock Barren	KTRB
Mangrove Swamp	MS
Marl Prairie	MP
Salt Marsh	SAM
Seepage Slope	SSL
Shrub Bog	SHB
Slough	SLO
Slough Marsh	SLM
Strand Swamp	STS

t Prairie

# LACUSTRINE

Clastic Upland Lake	CULK
Coastal Dune Lake	CDLK
Coastal Rockland Lake	CRLK
Flatwoods/Prairie	FPLK
Marsh Lake	MLK
River Floodplain Lake	RFLK
Sandhill Upland Lake	SULK
Sinkhole Lake	SKLK
Swamp Lake	SWLK

## RIVERINE

Alluvial Stream	AST
Blackwater Stream	BST
Seepage Stream	SST
Spring-run Stream	SRST

# SUBTERRANEAN

Aquatic Cave	ACV
Terrestrial Cave	TCV

## ESTUARINE

EAB
ECPS
ECNS
ECR
EMR
EOB
ESGB
ESPB
EUS
EWR

# MARINE

Algal Bed	MAB
Composite Substrate	MCPS
Consolidated Substrate	MCNS
Coral Reef	MCR
Mollusk Reef	MMR
Octocoral Bed	МОВ
Seagrass Bed	MSGB
Sponge Bed	MSPB
Unconsolidated Substrate	MUS
Worm Reef	MWR

# ALTERED LANDCOVER TYPES

Abandoned field	ABF
Abandoned pasture	ABP
Agriculture	AG
Canal/ditch	CD
Clearcut pine plantation	CPP
Clearing	CL
Developed	DV
Impoundment/artificial pond	IAP
Invasive exotic monoculture	IEM
Pasture - improved	PI
Pasture - semi-improved	PSI
Pine plantation	PP
Road	RD
Spoil area	SA
Successional hardwood forest	SHF
Utility corridor	UC

## **MISCELLANEOUS**

Many Types of Communities	MTC
Overflying	OF

Addendum 6—Imperiled Species Ranking Definitions

The Nature Conservancy and the Natural Heritage Program Network (of which FNAI is a part) define an <u>element</u> as any exemplary or rare component of the natural environment, such as a species, natural community, bird rookery, spring, sinkhole, cave or other ecological feature. An <u>element occurrence</u> (EO) is a single extant habitat that sustains or otherwise contributes to the survival of a population or a distinct, self-sustaining example of a particular element.

Using a ranking system developed by The Nature Conservancy and the Natural Heritage Program Network, the Florida Natural Areas Inventory assigns two ranks to each element. The global rank is based on an element's worldwide status; the state rank is based on the status of the element in Florida. Element ranks are based on many factors, the most important ones being estimated number of Element occurrences, estimated abundance (number of individuals for species; area for natural communities), range, estimated adequately protected EOs, relative threat of destruction, and ecological fragility.

Federal and State status information is from the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service; and the Florida Fish and Wildlife Conservation Commission (animals), and the Florida Department of Agriculture and Consumer Services (plants), respectively.

# FNAI GLOBAL RANK DEFINITIONS

G1	Critically imperiled globally because of extreme rarity (5 or fewer occurrences or less than 1000 individuals) or because of extreme
	vulnerability to extinction due to some natural or fabricated factor.
G2	Imperiled globally because of rarity (6 to 20 occurrences or less than
	3000 individuals) or because of vulnerability to extinction due to some
	natural or man-made factor.
G3	Fither very rare or local throughout its range (21-100 occurrences or
	less than 10 000 individuals) or found locally in a restricted range or
	vulnerable to extinction of other factors.
G4	apparently secure globally (may be rare in parts of range)
G5	demonstrably secure globally
GH	of historical occurrence throughout its range may be rediscovered
	(e.g., ivory-billed woodpecker)
GX	believed to be extinct throughout range
GXC	extirpated from the wild but still known from captivity or cultivation
G#?	Tentative rank (e.g.,G2?)
G#G#	range of rank; insufficient data to assign specific global rank (e.g.,
	G2G3)
G#T#	rank of a taxonomic subgroup such as a subspecies or variety; the G
	portion of the rank refers to the entire species and the T portion refers
	to the specific subgroup: numbers have same definition as above
	(e.g., G3T1)
G#0	rank of questionable species - ranked as species but questionable
	whether it is species or subspecies, numbers have same definition as
	abovo (o a C20)

G#T#Qsame	as above,	, but validity	as subspecies	or variety is	questioned.
-----------	-----------	----------------	---------------	---------------	-------------

- GU .....due to lack of information, no rank or range can be assigned (e.g., GUT2).
- G?.....Not yet ranked (temporary)
- S1.....Critically imperiled in Florida because of extreme rarity (5 or fewer occurrences or less than 1000 individuals) or because of extreme vulnerability to extinction due to some natural or man-made factor.
- S2..... Imperiled in Florida because of rarity (6 to 20 occurrences or less than 3000 individuals) or because of vulnerability to extinction due to some natural or man-made factor.
- S3..... Either very rare or local throughout its range (21-100 occurrences or less than 10,000 individuals) or found locally in a restricted range or vulnerable to extinction of other factors.
- S4.....apparently secure in Florida (may be rare in parts of range)
- S5..... demonstrably secure in Florida
- SH .....of historical occurrence throughout its range, may be rediscovered (e.g., ivory-billed woodpecker)
- SX..... believed to be extinct throughout range
- SA.....accidental in Florida, i.e., not part of the established biota
- SE.....an exotic species established in Florida may be native elsewhere in North America
- SN .....regularly occurring but widely and unreliably distributed; sites for conservation hard to determine
- SU .....due to lack of information, no rank or range can be assigned (e.g., SUT2).
- S?.....Not yet ranked (temporary)
- N .....Not currently listed, nor currently being considered for listing, by state or federal agencies.

# LEGAL STATUS

### **FEDERAL**

#### (Listed by the U. S. Fish and Wildlife Service - USFWS)

- LE .....Listed as Endangered Species in the List of Endangered and Threatened Wildlife and Plants under the provisions of the Endangered Species Act. Defined as any species that is in danger of extinction throughout all or a significant portion of its range.
- PE.....Proposed for addition to the List of Endangered and Threatened Wildlife and Plants as Endangered Species.
- LT ..... Listed as Threatened Species. Defined as any species that is likely to become an endangered species within the near future throughout all or a significant portion of its range.
- PT ..... Proposed for listing as Threatened Species.
- C .....Candidate Species for addition to the list of Endangered and Threatened Wildlife and Plants. Defined as those species for which the USFWS currently has on file sufficient information on biological vulnerability and threats to support proposing to list the species as endangered or threatened.
- E(S/A) ..... Endangered due to similarity of appearance.
- T(S/A) ...... Threatened due to similarity of appearance.

EXPE, XE..... Experimental essential population. A species listed as experimental and essential.

EXPN, XN.... Experimental non-essential population. A species listed as experimental and non-essential. Experimental, nonessential populations of endangered species are treated as threatened species on public land, for consultation purposes.

# <u>STATE</u>

#### ANIMALS .. (Listed by the Florida Fish and Wildlife Conservation Commission - FWC)

- FE ..... Federally-designated Endangered
- FT ..... Federally-designated Threatened
- FXN.....Federally-designated Threatened Nonessential Experimental Population
- FT(S/A) ...... Federally-designated Threatened species due to similarity of appearance

- ST..... Listed as Threatened Species by the FWC. Defined as a species, subspecies, or isolated population, which is acutely vulnerable to environmental alteration, declining in number at a rapid rate, or whose range or habitat, is decreasing in area at a rapid rate and therefore is destined or very likely to become an endangered species within the near future.
- SSC..... Listed as Species of Special Concern by the FWC. Defined as a population which warrants special protection, recognition or consideration because it has an inherent significant vulnerability to habitat modification, environmental alteration, human disturbance or substantial human exploitation that, in the near future, may result in its becoming a threatened species.

#### PLANTS .... (Listed by the Florida Department of Agriculture and Consumer Services - FDACS)

- LE ..... Listed as Endangered Plants in the Preservation of Native Flora of Florida Act. Defined as species of plants native to the state that are in imminent danger of extinction within the state, the survival of which is unlikely if the causes of a decline in the number of plants continue, and includes all species determined to be endangered or threatened pursuant to the Federal Endangered Species Act of 1973, as amended.
- LT .....Listed as Threatened Plants in the Preservation of Native Flora of Florida Act. Defined as species native to the state that are in rapid decline in the number of plants within the state, but which have not so decreased in such number as to cause them to be endangered.

Addendum 7—Cultural Information

These procedures apply to state agencies, local governments, and non-profits that manage state-owned properties.

## A. General Discussion

Historic resources are both archaeological sites and historic structures. Per Chapter 267, Florida Statutes, 'Historic property' or 'historic resource' means any prehistoric district, site, building, object, or other real or personal property of historical, architectural, or archaeological value, and folklife resources. These properties or resources may include, but are not limited to, monuments, memorials, Indian habitations, ceremonial sites, abandoned settlements, sunken or abandoned ships, engineering works, treasure trove, artifacts, or other objects with intrinsic historical or archaeological value, or any part thereof, relating to the history, government, and culture of the state."

## B. Agency Responsibilities

Per State Policy relative to historic properties, state agencies of the executive branch must allow the Division of Historical Resources (Division) the opportunity to comment on any undertakings, whether these undertakings directly involve the state agency, i.e., land management responsibilities, or the state agency has indirect jurisdiction, i.e. permitting authority, grants, etc. No state funds should be expended on the undertaking until the Division has the opportunity to review and comment on the project, permit, grant, etc.

State agencies shall preserve the historic resources which are owned or controlled by the agency.

Regarding proposed demolition or substantial alterations of historic properties, consultation with the Division must occur, and alternatives to demolition must be considered.

State agencies must consult with Division to establish a program to location, inventory and evaluate all historic properties under ownership or controlled by the agency.

# C. Statutory Authority

Statutory Authority and more in depth information can be found at: <u>http://www.flheritage.com/preservation/compliance/guidelines.cfm</u>

### D. Management Implementation

Even though the Division sits on the Acquisition and Restoration Council and approves land management plans, these plans are conceptual. Specific information regarding individual projects must be submitted to the Division for review and recommendations.

#### Management Procedures for Archaeological and Historical Sites and Properties on State-Owned or Controlled Properties (revised March 2013)

Managers of state lands must coordinate any land clearing or ground disturbing activities with the Division to allow for review and comment on the proposed project. Recommendations may include, but are not limited to: approval of the project as submitted, cultural resource assessment survey by a qualified professional archaeologist, modifications to the proposed project to avoid or mitigate potential adverse effects.

Projects such as additions, exterior alteration, or related new construction regarding historic structures must also be submitted to the Division of Historical Resources for review and comment by the Division's architects. Projects involving structures fifty years of age or older, must be submitted to this agency for a significance determination. In rare cases, structures under fifty years of age may be deemed historically significant. These must be evaluated on a case by case basis.

Adverse impacts to significant sites, either archaeological sites or historic buildings, must be avoided. Furthermore, managers of state property should make preparations for locating and evaluating historic resources, both archaeological sites and historic structures.

### E. Minimum Review Documentation Requirements

In order to have a proposed project reviewed by the Division, certain information must be submitted for comments and recommendations. The minimum review documentation requirements can be found at: <a href="http://www.flheritage.com/preservation/compliance/docs/minimum\_review\_docum">http://www.flheritage.com/preservation/compliance/docs/minimum\_review\_docum</a> entation\_requirements.pdf .

\* \* \*

Questions relating to the treatment of archaeological and historic resources on state lands should be directed to:

Deena S. Woodward Division of Historical Resources Bureau of Historic Preservation Compliance and Review Section R. A. Gray Building 500 South Bronough Street Tallahassee, FL 32399-0250

Phone:	(850)	245-6425
Toll Free:	(800)	847-7278
Fax:	(850)	245-6435

The criteria to be used for evaluating eligibility for listing in the National Register of Historic Places are as follows:

- **1)** Districts, sites, buildings, structures, and objects may be considered to have significance in American history, architecture, archaeology, engineering, and/or culture if they possess integrity of location, design, setting, materials, workmanship, feeling, and association, and:
  - a) are associated with events that have made a significant contribution to the broad patterns of our history; and/or
  - **b)** are associated with the lives of persons significant in our past; and/or
  - c) embody the distinctive characteristics of type, period, or method of construction, or that represent the work of a master, or that possess high artistic values, or that represent a significant and distinguishable entity whose components may lack individual distinction; and/or
  - **d)** have yielded, or may be likely to yield, information important in prehistory or history.
- 2) Ordinarily cemeteries, birthplaces, or graves of historical figures; properties owned by religious institutions or used for religious purposes; structures that have been moved from their original locations; reconstructed historic buildings; properties primarily commemorative in nature; and properties that have achieved significance within the past 50 years shall not be considered eligible for the *National Register*. However, such properties will qualify if they are integral parts of districts that do meet the criteria or if they fall within the following categories:
  - **a)** a religious property deriving its primary significance from architectural or artistic distinction or historical importance; or
  - **b)** a building or structure removed from its original location but which is significant primarily for architectural value, or which is the surviving structure most importantly associated with a historic person or event; or
  - c) a birthplace or grave of an historical figure of outstanding importance if there is no appropriate site or building directly associated with his productive life; or
  - d) a cemetery which derives its primary significance from graves of persons of transcendent importance, from age, distinctive design features, or association with historic events; ora reconstructed building, when it is accurately executed in a suitable environment and presented in a dignified manner as part of a restoration master plan, and no other building or structure with the same association has survived; or a property primarily commemorative in intent, if design, age, tradition, or symbolic value has invested it with its own exceptional significance; or
  - e) a property achieving significance within the past 50 years, if it is of exceptional importance.

**Restoration** is defined as the act or process of accurately depicting the form, features, and character of a property as it appeared at a particular period of time by means of the removal of features from other periods in its history and reconstruction of missing features from the restoration period. The limited and sensitive upgrading of mechanical, electrical and plumbing systems and other code-required work to make properties functional is appropriate within a restoration project.

**Rehabilitation** is defined as the act or process of making possible a compatible use for a property through repair, alterations and additions while preserving those portions or features that convey its historical, cultural or architectural values.

**Stabilization** is defined as the act or process of applying measures designed to reestablish a weather resistant enclosure and the structural stability of an unsafe or deteriorated property while maintaining the essential form as it exists at present.

**Preservation** is defined as the act or process of applying measures necessary to sustain the existing form, integrity and materials of an historic property. Work, including preliminary measures to protect and stabilize the property, generally focuses upon the ongoing maintenance and repair of historic materials and features rather than extensive replacement and new construction. New exterior additions are not within the scope of this treatment; however, the limited and sensitive upgrading of mechanical, electrical and plumbing systems and other code-required work to make properties functional is appropriate within a preservation project.

Addendum 8—Timber Management Analysis

### 1. Management Context and Best Management Practices

Timber management prescriptions and actions at WRBSP are based on the desired future condition (DFC) of a stand or natural community as determined by guidelines determined by the Division of Recreation and Parks (DRP). In most cases, the DFC will be closely related to the historic natural community. However, where the historic community has been severely altered by past land use practices, the DFC may not always be the same as the historic natural community. All forest/stand/timber management activities undertaken will adhere to the current Florida Silvicultural Best Management Practices and Florida Forestry Wildlife Best Management Practices for State Imperiled Species. DRP is responsible for managing timber resources within corresponding management zones. This timber assessment was conducted by F4 Tech on behalf of DRP.

### 2. Purpose of Timber Management Activities

Timber management activities will be conducted to help restore and/or improve current conditions so that the associated DFC (typically an historic condition) can be achieved or maintained. Timber management will primarily be conducted in pinedominated natural communities. Upland communities typically include mesic flatwoods, sandhill, upland pine, upland mixed woodland, and altered landcover areas such as successional hardwood forest and pine plantations. Other historically hardwood-dominated natural communities will likely have little to no scheduled timber management activities. In some circumstances, actions may be conducted to remove overstory invasive/exotic trees, e.g. Melaleuca, Chinese tallow, Brazilian pepper, occupying contiguous areas of land to help restore or maintain natural communities.

### 3. Potential Silvicultural Treatments

Several silvicultural treatments may be considered and utilized over the next ten years to achieve the long-term DFC for candidate natural communities at the WRBSP. These treatments include timber harvests, timber stand improvement, and reforestation. The various types of timber harvests may include pine thinning, targeted hardwood removal, and clearcutting. Silvicultural treatments should be implemented to minimize disturbance to non-target vegetation, soil, and wildlife.

Thinning is conducted to reduce the basal area (BA) or density of stems in a stand to improve forest health and growth conditions for residual trees. The "opening up" of high density forest stands increases tree and stand vigor, which helps mitigate the potential for damaging insect outbreaks. Thinning also increases sunlight reaching the forest floor, which when combined with routine prescribed fire, can increase groundcover vegetation abundance, species richness, and overall ecological diversity. The disruption of a historic natural fire regime and/or fire return interval can often result in the need to remove undesirable or overstocked hardwood stems that currently occupy growing space in the canopy and subcanopy. Tree removal/harvest also increases groundcover vegetation, ecological diversity, and fine fuels that facilitate consistent fire return intervals and responses. Clearcutting supports restoration goals by removing offsite pine or hardwood species and is a precursor to establishing site-appropriate species. It is also used to control insect infestations that are damaging or threatening forest resources and ecosystem conditions on or off site.

A tangible by-product of conducting timber harvests for restoring or improving forested communities is the generation of revenue.

Stand or natural community improvement activities are often conducted to reduce unwanted hardwood or palmetto competition. Stand improvement treatments reduce fuel or fuel height, which can improve groundcover conditions and aid in maintaining proper prescribed burning return intervals. The two main stand improvement activities used on park property are herbicide treatments and mechanically cutting vegetation. Herbicide may be applied aerially, by mechanized ground-based equipment, or via backpack sprayers. Herbicides are used to reduce the amount of hardwood competition in areas that are unable to carry sufficient prescribed fire due to shading and lack of adequate groundcover fuels. Mechanical cutting is used to reduce the height of smaller shrub and hardwood competition, allowing for the establishment of fire-dependent herbs and grasses. Decreasing fuel loadings and enhancing groundcover allows prescribed fire to be reintroduced safely into a stand that has been unable to carry fire adequately.

Reforestation is used to establish the appropriate southern pine species in areas that have been harvested and lack sufficient natural regeneration in terms of abundance (seedlings/acre) and/or species composition. Reforestation candidate areas can also include those that are fire suppressed or have been recently impacted by natural events such as windthrow, bark beetle attack, or wildfire. The two methods used to reestablish the overstory will be natural and artificial regeneration. Both methods may require site preparation to facilitate survival of the desired species. Site preparation activities may include the use of prescribed fire, herbicides, and/or mechanical treatments such as roller chopping. Site preparation technique(s) will be selected that address the current vegetative cover type and condition, and the need to minimize seedling competition while avoiding/minimizing any long-term impacts to native groundcover species and native wildlife. Where artificial regeneration is not needed, natural regeneration may be used in areas that have an adequate seed source of the desired tree species located on site or in the immediate vicinity. Artificial regeneration may include machine or hand planting. Hand planting is preferred on wetter sites, rougher sites, and/or sites where groundcover protection is a concern and a more natural appearance of randomly spaced trees is desired. Machine planting generally allows for more consistent planting and often allows higher survival rates if the site is properly prepared.

### 4. Inventory Data and Potential Actions per Management Zone

WRBSP comprises 40,854 acres in Lake, Orange, Seminole, and Volusia Counties. A total of 16,797 acres are associated with several upland natural communities that are potential candidates for timber management. For this region, upland natural
communities include mesic flatwoods, mesic hammock, sandhill, scrub, scrubby flatwoods, successional hardwood forest, wet flatwoods, and xeric hammock. In June and July of 2016, a plot-based forest/vegetation inventory was conducted across and within these areas to quantify overstory, midstory and understory conditions. Table 1 below provides general statistics generated by this inventory of the WRBSP. Table 2 below provides current stocking levels and potential management activities of candidate management zones and natural communities

A review and analysis of this data suggests that current ecological conditions for multiple management zones and associated forested communities could benefit from vegetation treatments (non-revenue generating). This assessment was based on a comparison of current conditions and the corresponding natural community analog or target conditions as defined per Florida Natural Areas Inventory (FNAI) Reference Site descriptions. In general, inventory data indicates that upland habitats in several management zones have an average pine BA that is outside the acceptable range for the DFC of the natural community types. Some natural communities considered may need midstory and overstory control to become, or remain, in compliance with FNAI defined ranges for palmetto and non-pine midstory. Stands with low stocking levels or a complete lack of preferred tree species would likely benefit from midstory control and artificial regeneration. In areas where planting is deemed necessary, the site should be assessed for site preparation needs including midstory/understory reduction.

The following contains a general description of each management zone within the WRBSP that contains upland natural communities as well as their general condition and need for restoration and/or improvement actions via timber management.

Number of Management Zones within the Park	202
Number of Management Zones needing timber management	194
Number of unique upland Natural Communities (split by management zone)	480
Number of unique upland Natural Communities potentially needing timber management	480
Upland Natural Community acres	16,797
Acres potentially needing timber management	16,797

Table 1.	General	summarv	statistics	for	WRBSP
1 4 6 1 6 1 1	001101 ai	<b>J</b>	oranonoo		

### Mesic Flatwoods (6,223 acres)

Mesic flatwoods are characterized by an open pine canopy which typically consists of longleaf pine (*Pinus palustris*) and/or South Florida slash pine (*Pinus elliottii*), with the addition of pond pine (*Pinus serotina*) where the flatwoods are found in more hydric conditions, and a dense, low ground layer of low shrubs, grasses and forbs. In this region, the preferred species, as determined by FNAI reference sites, is longleaf pine and should be stocked at a level of 10 to 50 BA while non-pine species should remain between 0 and 26.2 stems per acre. The following management zones contain mesic flatwoods which could be considered for some form of timber management including overstory removal, midstory mitigation, site preparation, and planting of preferred pine species.

Please note that in each of the following summary tables: LW = Lower Wekiva River Preserve State Park; RKS = Rock Springs Run Reserve State Park; and WS = Wekiwa Springs State Park.

Management Zones	Mesic Flatwoods (Acres)	Basal Area (ft²/acre)	Basal Area Preferred Species	Basal Area Non- Preferred Species	Average Diameter at breast height (inches)
LW-03	58	36	9	27	7
LW-05	16	38	11	26	4.5
LW-08	39	37	5	32	6.7
LW-12	81	34	5	28	9.3
LW-13	27	11	8	3	10.7
LW-14	268	58	7	51	8.3
LW-20	163	18	5	13	4.8
LW-21	136	24	0	23	4.2
LW-30	29	57	1	56	9
LW-SK04	65	35	0	35	6.2
RKS-003	25	28	0	27	4.5
RKS-005	50	18	4	13	7.7
RKS-012	321	63	3	60	9.1
RKS-024	335	77	0	76	7.7
RKS-025	66	97	13	84	9
RKS-028	306	28	1	27	7.2
RKS-053	33	45	2	43	11
RKS-072	4	49	2	47	5.8
RKS-073	68	31	16	15	7.7
RKS-075	22	52	7	45	8.8
RKS-077	9	96	18	78	8.4

Management Zones	Mesic Flatwoods (Acres)	Basal Area (ft²/acre)	Basal Area Preferred Species	Basal Area Non- Preferred Species	Average Diameter at breast height (inches)
RKS-080	53	27	0	26	10.9
RKS-081	42	18	1	17	5.9
RKS-089	68	29	8	21	12.2
RKS-090	155	56	1	54	9.5
RKS-092	43	19	10	8	7.4
RKS-104	6	51	5	46	10.7
RKS-105	18	26	2	23	10
RKS-106	23	113	1	111	9.1
WS-01	10	42	7	35	11.4
WS-12	31	30	6	24	11.3
WS-20	47	75	42	33	9.4
WS-27	94	35	2	33	7.4
WS-29	5	37	35	2	12.3
WS-33	138	15	0	14	11.6
WS-34	144	52	1	50	10.9
WS-MW08A	39	24	1	23	8.2
WS-MW10	10	50	13	36	6.8
WS-MW13	7	66	20	46	6.9

### Mesic Hammock (2,158 acres)

Mesic hammocks are characterized by a well-developed evergreen hardwood and/or palm forest which can occur through much of peninsular Florida. The canopy, often dense, will typically be dominated by live oak (*Quercus virginiana*) with cabbage palm (*Sabal palmetto*) mixed into the understory. Southern magnolia (*Magnolia grandiflora*) and pignut hickory (*Carya glabra*) can be common components in the subcanopy. Pine trees, particularly slash pine or loblolly pine (P. taeda), may form a sparse emergent layer. Mesic hammocks can arise in naturally pine-dominated areas when shielded from fire because of human activities and timber management activities can be useful for restoration. There is currently no FNAI recommendations on preferred species or stocking levels for this natural community but in areas where restoration is considered, slash pine and loblolly pine will be considered the preferred species. The following management zones contain mesic hammock which could be considered for some form of timber management including overstory removal, midstory mitigation, site preparation, and planting of preferred pine species.

Management Zones	Mesic Hammock (Acres)	Basal Area (ft²/acre)	Basal Area Preferred Species	Basal Area Non- Preferred Species	Average Diameter at breast height (inches)
LW-12	4	5	5	0	6
LW-14	128	89	25	63	9.5
LW-20	82	70	14	55	5
LW-23	14	45	43	2	8.3
LW-J02	3	10	10	0	10
LW-J03	16	52	6	46	5.8
LW-J07	18	110	110	0	10
RKS-001	74	59	6	52	9
RKS-005	26	47	3	44	9
RKS-012	184	94	11	83	11.2
RKS-014	33	59	5	53	4.8
RKS-016	47	137	86	50	9.9
RKS-017	71	120	16	103	9.7
RKS-018	139	111	21	90	9
RKS-023	12	53	6	47	8.6
RKS-024	99	81	57	24	6.8
RKS-028	109	67	10	57	10.5
RKS-090	66	101	16	84	9.2
RKS-112	38	75	3	72	9.7
RKS-116	34	122	30	92	11.4
RKS-117	32	89	20	69	11.5
WS-23	23	92	3	89	12.6
WS-28	2	140	120	20	18.7
WS-30	50	52	0	51	15

## Sandhill (3,554 acres)

The dominant pine of sandhill, depending on region, will typically be longleaf pine (*Pinus palustris*) and/or South Florida slash pine (*Pinus elliottii*). For sandhill natural communities in this region the preferred pine species is longleaf pine. Overstory removal in this area will be limited to invasive hardwoods and exotics, but mechanical removal of midstory and understory may be required to restore areas to a maintenance level. In this region, the preferred species, as determined by FNAI reference sites, is longleaf pine and should be stocked at a level of 20 to 60 BA while non-pine species should remain between 0 and 78.8 stems per acre. The

following management zones contain sandhill which could be considered for some form of timber management including midstory mitigation, site preparation, and planting of preferred pine species.

Management Zones	Sandhill (Acres)	Basal Area (ft²/acre)	Basal Area Preferred Species	Basal Area Non- Preferred Species	Average Diameter at breast height (inches)
LW-01	17	52	37	14	11.5
LW-02	7	73	66	6	11.4
LW-04	20	78	5	73	5.7
LW-05	126	65	33	32	8
LW-07	37	79	20	58	5.9
RKS-054B	111	103	5	98	22.5
RKS-068	58	54	44	10	9.7
RKS-070	20	100	3	97	7.2
RKS-071	13	77	13	64	6.4
RKS-072	37	66	22	44	8.5
RKS-073	17	65	25	40	7.1
RKS-075	14	69	20	49	8.7
RKS-092	36	25	15	10	8.6
RKS-093	52	45	22	22	8.1
RKS-099	68	63	1	61	13.7
RKS-107	42	58	16	41	10.4
RKS-KT1	63	54	7	47	8.8
RKS-KT2	12	76	33	43	9.7
RKS-KT3	50	47	7	40	9.2
RKS-KT4	19	81	3	78	9.2
WS-01	29	32	20	12	13.9
WS-03	29	62	38	23	11.8
WS-04A	19	76	42	34	11.4
WS-04B	17	37	20	16	13.1
WS-05	39	55	38	17	13.1
WS-06	19	32	22	10	8.8
WS-07A	12	27	25	2	14
WS-11	20	49	47	1	11.2
WS-12	21	57	31	25	13.8

Management Zones	Sandhill (Acres)	Basal Area (ft²/acre)	Basal Area Preferred Species	Basal Area Non- Preferred Species	Average Diameter at breast height (inches)
WS-14A	20	38	36	2	12.9
WS-14B	16	23	15	8	9
WS-15	15	64	54	10	15
WS-16	9	40	20	20	22.8
WS-17	33	37	12	24	8.1
WS-18	27	29	18	11	9
WS-19	23	59	14	45	9.4
WS-21	46	54	46	7	10.8
WS-22	71	71	41	30	9.9
WS-23	64	30	20	10	11.3
WS-25	39	38	20	18	11
WS-30	314	38	26	12	11.9
WS-31	73	28	27	1	13.7
WS-36	57	34	26	8	14.5
WS-37	70	61	47	14	12
WS-38	74	54	52	1	12.3
WS-39	7	56	46	10	8.7
WS-40	18	67	61	6	8.2
WS-41	15	87	26	61	14.8
WS-45	5	31	7	23	7.3
WS-MW01/02	37	27	8	19	5
WS-MW03	44	52	7	44	6.3
WS-MW08B	18	50	1	48	5.9
WS-MW18	12	60	10	50	12.2

### Scrub (725 acres)

Within the scrub community, the dominant plant species include scrub oak (*Quercus inopina*), sand live oak (*Quercus maritime*), myrtle oak (*Quercus myrtifolia*), Chapman's oak (*Quercus chapmanii*), saw palmetto (*Serenoa repens*), and rusty staggerbush (*Lyonia ferruginea*). Sand pine (*Pinus clausa*), where present, will usually not be dominant in abundance, percent cover, or height. Some areas of mature sand pine may occur. In this region, the preferred species, as determined by FNAI reference sites, is sand pine and should be stocked at a level of 0 to 20 BA while non-pine species should remain between 0 and 13.1 stems per

acre. The following management zones contain scrub which could be considered for some form of timber management including overstory removal, midstory mitigation, site preparation, and planting of preferred pine species.

Management Zones	Scrub (Acres)	Basal Area (ft²/acre)	Basal Area Preferred Species	Basal Area Non- Preferred Species	Average Diameter at breast height (inches)
LW-04	15	88	30	57	6.8
LW-J06	12	8	0	8	1.5
LW-J09	18	58	35	22	3.6
RKS-004	25	23	4	19	7.4
RKS-026	290	13	2	11	1.3
RKS-054A	50	89	7	81	13.6
RKS-055	17	45	30	15	6.8
RKS-067	50	29	8	20	4.3
RKS-068	21	87	27	60	8
RKS-070	2	68	31	37	7.6
RKS-079	16	45	5	40	5.5
RKS-081	13	52	18	33	8.5
WS-04B	6	23	10	13	7.1
WS-12	14	94	81	13	7.1
WS-23	17	25	5	19	2.2
WS-45	53	28	15	12	4.3

### Scrubby Flatwoods (983 acres)

The dominant tree species of the interior of scrubby flatwoods will usually be longleaf pine and slash pine in northern and central Florida and South Florida slash pine south of Lake Okeechobee. Mature sand pines will typically not be present. In this region, the preferred species, as determined by FNAI reference sites, is longleaf pine and should be stocked at a level of 20 to 60 BA while non-pine species should remain between 0 and 26.2 stems per acre. The following management zones contain mesic flatwoods which could be considered for some form of timber management including overstory removal, midstory mitigation, site preparation, and planting of preferred pine species.

Management Zones	Scrubby Flatwoods (Acres)	Basal Area (ft²/acre)	Basal Area Preferred Species	Basal Area Non- Preferred Species	Average Diameter at breast height (inches)
LW-29	186	76	1	75	7.8
RKS-003	74	49	1	48	6.1
RKS-058	21	10	10	0	6.6
RKS-073	7	90	35	55	11.9
RKS-077	3	87	10	77	12.5
RKS-080	13	24	1	22	3
RKS-081	28	24	2	22	7.3
RKS-105	3	33	10	23	5.6
WS-19	23	52	26	26	8.3
WS-MW05	26	54	2	52	4.9
WS-MW08B	3	42	5	37	7.2
WS-MW13	2	55	50	5	8.9

### Successional Hardwood Forest (67 acres)

Successional Hardwood Forest is a closed-canopied forest dominated by fast growing hardwoods such as laurel oak, water oak, and/or sweetgum, often with remnant pines. These forests are either invaded natural habitat (i.e., mesic flatwoods, sandhill, upland pine, upland mixed woodland) due to lengthy firesuppression or old fields that have succeeded to forest. There is currently no FNAI recommendations or preferred species or stocking levels for this natural community but in areas where restoration is considered, longleaf pine will be considered the preferred species. The following management zones contain Successional Hardwood Forest which could be considered for some form of timber management including overstory removal, midstory mitigation, site preparation, and planting of preferred pine species.

Management Zones	Successional Hardwood Forest (Acres)	Basal Area (ft²/acre)	Basal Area Preferred Species	Basal Area Non- Preferred Species	Average Diameter at breast height (inches)
WS-02	31	125	13	112	13.2
WS-03	11	100	45	55	10.5
WS-22	4	120	40	80	13.3

### Upland Mixed Woodlands (23 acres)

Upland mixed woodland has an open to partially closed canopy of southern red oak (Quercus falcata), mockernut hickory (Carya alba), post oak (Quercus stellata), blackjack oak (Quercus marilandica), and, black oak (Quercus velutina), mixed with shortleaf and/or longleaf pines (Pinus echinata, P. palustris). Pignut hickory (Carya glabra) and white oak (Quercus alba) may also be present. In this region, the preferred species, as determined by FNAI reference sites, is longleaf pine and should be stocked at a level of 10 to 30 BA while non-pine species should remain between 0 and 26.2 stems per acre.

Management Zones	Upland Mixed Woodlands (Acres)	Basal Area (ft²/acre)	Basal Area Preferred Species	Basal Area Non- Preferred Species	Average Diameter at breast height (inches)
RKS-017	9				
RKS-018	0.25				
RKS-054C	0.20				
RKS-120	13				

\*This area was not inventoried during the normal inventory process. Management Zones that contain this Natural Community type have been included but no overstory data can be provided.

### Wet Flatwoods (2,954 acres)

Within Wet Flatwoods the dominant pines species will usually be longleaf pine, slash pine, pond pine, and/or loblolly pine (*Pinus taeda*). The species composition within a location will be determined by drainage and periods of higher moisture content. Pond cypress (*Taxodium ascendens*) may reach canopy in some locations. The canopy will be open, with pines being widely scattered and of variable age classes. In this region, the preferred species, as determined by FNAI reference sites, is slash pine and should be stocked at a level of 10 to 50 BA while non-pine species should remain at 0 stems per acre. The following management zones contain Wet Flatwoods which could be considered for some form of timber management including overstory removal, midstory mitigation, site preparation, and planting of preferred pine species.

Management Zones	Wet Flatwoods (Acres)	Basal Area (ft²/acre)	Basal Area Preferred Species	Basal Area Non- Preferred Species	Average Diameter at breast height (inches)
LW-20	222	34	16	17	6.2
LW-23	120	74	43	31	9

Management Zones	Wet Flatwoods (Acres)	Basal Area (ft²/acre)	Basal Area Preferred Species	Basal Area Non- Preferred Species	Average Diameter at breast height (inches)
LW-24	179	43	5	38	8.9
LW-J02	47	84	36	47	9.4
LW-J04	40	82	30	52	8.4
LW-J08	4	30	20	10	8.4
LW-J11	17	91	0	91	5.9
LW-SK03	113	38	36	2	9.3
LW-SK06	46	63	63	0	9.4
RKS-012	26	100	20	80	11.2
RKS-023	8	66	20	46	6.1
RKS-025	54	38	11	26	6.8
RKS-027	37	60	14	46	9.4
RKS-053	8	162	12	150	11.7
RKS-054C	492	56	0	55	8.7
WS-28	51	106	45	61	13.2
WS-33	135	58	0	57	9.6
WS-34	130	80	6	73	11
WS-MW07	22	39	5	34	10.9
WS-MW08A	18	58	25	33	8.8
WS-MW08C	105	85	65	20	10.3
WS-MW08D	57	84	60	23	9.4
WS-MW10	53	80	31	49	8.3
WS-MW11	3	204	144	60	8.7

### Xeric Hammock (132 acres)

Typically considered a late successional stage of scrub or sandhill that generally occurs in small isolated patches on excessively well drained soils. Vegetation will consist of a low closed canopy dominated by live oak (*Quercus virginiana*) which provides shady conditions. Typical plant species may also include Chapman's oak, and laurel oak (*Quercus laurifolia*). Sand pine, slash pine, or longleaf pine may also be a minor component. Areas that have been determined to be severely degraded sandhill instead of true xeric hammock should be considered for restoration efforts to return the community to historic conditions. There is currently no FNAI recommendations or preferred species or stocking levels for this natural community but in areas where restoration is considered, longleaf pine will be considered

the preferred species. The following management zones contain Wet Flatwoods which could be considered for some form of timber management including overstory removals, and midstory mitigation.

Management Zones	Xeric Hammock (Acres)	Basal Area (ft²/acre)	Basal Area Preferred Species	Basal Area Non- Preferred Species	Average Diameter at breast height (inches)
LW-05	18	77	15	62	11.2
LW-07	8	102	40	62	11.8
LW-34	11	79	25	54	14.6
RKS-068	5	90	30	60	15.3
RKS-098	5	85	5	80	5.8
WS-22	11	110	20	90	13.9
WS-38	20	94	72	21	14.5

Table 2. Summary of proposed timber management actions for upland natural community (NatCom) types to help restore or improve ecosystem conditions.

Management Zones (MZ)	Candidate NatComs	Candidate NatComs	Current Average	Target Overstory	Current Non-Pine	Target Non-Pine	Pote	ential Actions/Tre	eatment	s
		(acres)	Overstory Pine BA (ft²/AC)	Pine BA (ft²/AC)	Overstory TPA	Overstory TPA	Harvest or Thin	Stand Improvement*	Site Prep	Plant
LW-01	Sandhill	17	37	20 - 60	86	0 - 79	~	~	7	z
LW-02	Sandhill	7	70	20 - 60	ю	0 - 79	7	z	~	z
LW-03	Mesic Flatwoods	58	30	10 - 50	440	0 - 26	7	*	٨	z
LW-04	Sandhill	20	54	20 - 60	584	0 - 79	7	~	7	z
LW-04	Scrub	15	43	0 - 20	1146	0 - 13	٢	٨	λ	z
LW-05	Mesic Flatwoods	16	21	10 - 50	921	0 - 26	7	7	٨	z
LW-05	Sandhill	126	41	20 - 60	492	0 - 79	٢	٨	λ	z
LW-07	Mesic Flatwoods	10	0	10 - 50	663	0 - 26	7	~	~	≻
LW-07	Sandhill	37	33	20 - 60	634	0 - 79	٢	٨	۲	z
LW-08	Mesic Flatwoods	39	26	10 - 50	192	0 - 26	7	٨	٢	z
LW-08	Scrubby Flatwoods	34	9	20 - 60	1276	0 - 26	7	~	٨	7

Management Zones (MZ)	Candidate NatComs	Candidate NatComs	Current Average	Target Overstory	Current Non-Pine	Target Non-Pine	Pote	ential Actions/Tre	atment	S
		(acres)	Overstory Pine BA (ft²/AC)	Pine BA (ft²/AC)	Overstory TPA	Overstory TPA	Harvest or Thin	Stand Improvement*	Site Prep	Plant
LW-10B	Mesic Flatwoods	70	26	10 - 50	361	0 - 26	×	٨	7	z
LW-11	Scrubby Flatwoods	6	9	20 - 60	266	0 - 26	~	٨	۲	۲
LW-12	Mesic Flatwoods	81	31	10 - 50	256	0 - 26	٨	٨	۲	z
LW-14	Mesic Flatwoods	268	50	10 - 50	205	0 - 26	~	٨	٨	z
LW-16	Mesic Flatwoods	43	25	10 - 50	244	0 - 26	٨	٨	٨	z
LW-20	Mesic Flatwoods	163	12	10 - 50	159	0 - 26	7	٨	٢	z
LW-20	Wet Flatwoods	222	25	10 - 50	32	0 - 0	~	٨	٢	z
LW-21	Mesic Flatwoods	136	15	10 - 50	436	0 - 26	~	٨	۲	z
LW-23	Mesic Flatwoods	82	40	10 - 50	130	0 - 26	7	>	~	z

Management Zones (MZ)	Candidate NatComs	Candidate NatComs	Current Average	Target Overstory	Current Non-Pine	Target Non-Pine	Pote	ential Actions/Tre	atment	s
		(acres)	Overstory Pine BA (ft²/AC)	Pine BA (ft²/AC)	Overstory TPA	Overstory TPA	Harvest or Thin	Stand Improvement*	Site Prep	Plant
LW-23	Wet Flatwoods	120	61	10 - 50	74	0 - 0	7	٨	Y	z
LW-24	Wet Flatwoods	179	36	10 - 50	50	0 - 0	٨	٨	٢	z
LW-28	Scrubby Flatwoods	11	20	20 - 60	270	0 - 26	٨	٨	٢	٢
LW-29	Scrubby Flatwoods	186	55	20 - 60	385	0 - 26	٨	٨	٨	z
LW-30	Mesic Flatwoods	29	30	10 - 50	365	0 - 26	٨	٨	٨	z
LW-31	Mesic Flatwoods	26	54	10 - 50	142	0 - 26	٨	٨	٨	Z
LW-J02	Wet Flatwoods	47	43	10 - 50	278	0 - 0	٨	٨	٢	Z
LW-J04	Mesic Flatwoods	10	20	10 - 50	235	0 - 26	7	٨	٢	z
LW-J04	Wet Flatwoods	40	20	10 - 50	372	0 - 0	~	>	~	z

Management Zones (MZ)	Candidate	Candidate	Current Average	Target Overstorv	Current Non-Pine	Target Non-Pine	Pote	ential Actions/Tre	atment	s
		(acres)	Overstory Pine BA (ft²/AC)	Pine BA (ft²/AC)	Overstory TPA	Overstory TPA	Harvest or Thin	Stand Improvement*	Site Prep	Plant
LW-J05	Mesic Flatwoods	37	54	10 - 50	750	0 - 26	~	~	~	z
LW-J06	Scrub	12	0	0 - 20	1275	0 - 13	٢	٨	٢	z
LW-J07	Mesic Flatwoods	14	33	10 - 50	102	0 - 26	٨	٨	٢	z
LW-J08	Mesic Flatwoods	11	40	10 - 50	12	0 - 26	z	z	7	z
LW-J09	Scrub	18	45	0 - 20	911	0 - 13	Υ	٨	γ	Z
LW-J09	Scrubby Flatwoods	6	50	20 - 60	574	0 - 26	٨	٢	٢	z
LW-J10	Mesic Flatwoods	14	26	10 - 50	375	0 - 26	~	7	~	z
LW-J11	Mesic Flatwoods	18	40	10 - 50	628	0 - 26	~	٢	7	z
LW-J11	Wet Flatwoods	17	60	10 - 50	712	0 - 0	~	٢	7	z
LW-SK01	Mesic Flatwoods	34	98	10 - 50	160	0 - 26	≻	~	~	z

Management Zones (MZ)	Candidate NatComs	Candidate NatComs	Current Average	Target Overstorv	Current Non-Pine	Target Non-Pine	Pote	ential Actions/Tre	atment	s
		(acres)	Overstory Pine BA (ft²/AC)	Pine BA (ft²/AC)	Overstory TPA	Overstory TPA	Harvest or Thin	Stand Improvement*	Site Prep	Plant
LW-SK01	Scrubby Flatwoods	17	73	20 - 60	7	0 - 26	~	z	~	z
LW-SK02	Mesic Flatwoods	175	62	10 - 50	122	0 - 26	٨	٨	٢	z
LW-SK03	Mesic Flatwoods	64	44	10 - 50	116	0 - 26	٨	٨	Y	z
LW-SK03	Wet Flatwoods	113	36	10 - 50	41	0 - 0	×	٨	٢	z
LW-SK04	Mesic Flatwoods	65	30	10 - 50	140	0 - 26	Y	٨	Y	z
LW-SK05	Mesic Flatwoods	104	87	10 - 50	23	0 - 26	٨	Z	Y	z
LW-SK06	Wet Flatwoods	46	63	10 - 50	25	0 - 0	٨	٨	Y	z
RKS-001	Mesic Flatwoods	197	34	10 - 50	264	0 - 26	٨	٨	٢	z
RKS-001	Scrubby Flatwoods	39	4	20 - 60	996	0 - 26	٨	7	Y	~

Management Zones (MZ)	Candidate NatComs	Candidate NatComs	Current Average	Target Overstory	Current Non-Pine	Target Non-Pine	Poté	ential Actions/Tre	atment	s
		(acres)	Overstory Pine BA (ft²/AC)	Pine BA (ft²/AC)	Overstory TPA	Overstory TPA	Harvest or Thin	Stand Improvement*	Site Prep	Plant
RKS-002	Mesic Flatwoods	18	30	10 - 50	250	0 - 26	×	٨	۲	z
RKS-002	Scrubby Flatwoods	61	С	20 - 60	1638	0 - 26	٨	٨	Y	۲
RKS-003	Mesic Flatwoods	25	4	10 - 50	1841	0 - 26	7	7	٢	≻
RKS-003	Scrubby Flatwoods	74	20	20 - 60	1398	0 - 26	7	7	٢	z
RKS-004	Scrub	25	18	0 - 20	333	0 - 13	٢	٨	Y	z
RKS-005	Mesic Flatwoods	50	13	10 - 50	105	0 - 26	٨	٨	٢	z
RKS-005	Scrubby Flatwoods	13	20	20 - 60	66	0 - 26	٨	٨	٢	۲
RKS-006	Mesic Flatwoods	42	7	10 - 50	120	0 - 26	٨	٨	Y	۲
RKS-006	Scrubby Flatwoods	16	2	20 - 60	3250	0 - 26	٨	٨	Y	۲
RKS-007	Mesic Flatwoods	47	27	10 - 50	277	0 - 26	~	>	٨	z

Management Zones (MZ)	Candidate NatComs	Candidate NatComs	Current Average	Target Overstory	Current Non-Pine	Target Non-Pine	Pote	ential Actions/Tre	atment	s
-		(acres)	Overstory Pine BA (ft²/AC)	Pine BA (ft²/AC)	Overstory TPA	Overstory TPA	Harvest or Thin	Stand Improvement*	Site Prep	Plant
RKS-011	Scrubby Flatwoods	18	9	20 - 60	340	0 - 26	7	٨	٨	×
RKS-012	Mesic Flatwoods	321	55	10 - 50	240	0 - 26	~	٨	7	Z
RKS-012	Wet Flatwoods	26	55	10 - 50	614	0 - 0	7	٨	٨	Z
RKS-014	Mesic Flatwoods	86	57	10 - 50	402	0 - 26	7	٨	٨	Z
RKS-014	Wet Flatwoods	13	40	10 - 50	33	0 - 0	7	٨	٨	Z
RKS-015	Mesic Flatwoods	62	78	10 - 50	130	0 - 26	7	٨	٨	Z
RKS-016	Mesic Flatwoods	68	56	10 - 50	1061	0 - 26	~	٨	٢	z
RKS-017	Mesic Flatwoods	32	40	10 - 50	148	0 - 26	~	٨	7	z
RKS-018	Mesic Flatwoods	92	69	10 - 50	877	0 - 26	7	>	7	z

Management Zones (MZ)	Candidate NatComs	Candidate	Current Average	Target Overstorv	Current Non-Pine	Target Non-Pine	Pote	intial Actions/Tre	atment	S.
		(acres)	Overstory Pine BA (ft²/AC)	Pine BA (ft²/AC)	Overstory TPA	Overstory TPA	Harvest or Thin	Stand Improvement*	Site Prep	Plant
RKS-023	Mesic Flatwoods	150	42	10 - 50	136	0 - 26	~	Y	٢	z
RKS-023	Wet Flatwoods	8	40	10 - 50	006	0 - 0	٨	٨	Y	z
RKS-024	Mesic Flatwoods	335	65	10 - 50	285	0 - 26	٨	٨	٢	z
RKS-025	Mesic Flatwoods	66	65	10 - 50	336	0 - 26	٨	Y	Y	N
RKS-025	Wet Flatwoods	54	27	10 - 50	166	0 - 0	٨	٨	٢	z
RKS-026	Scrub	290	2	0 - 20	2072	0 - 13	٢	٨	٢	z
RKS-027	Mesic Flatwoods	93	34	10 - 50	170	0 - 26	٨	Y	Y	z
RKS-027	Wet Flatwoods	37	59	10 - 50	133	0 - 0	٨	٨	٢	z
RKS-028	Mesic Flatwoods	306	18	10 - 50	420	0 - 26	٨	٨	٢	z
RKS-028	Scrub	16	£	0 - 20	1000	0 - 13	λ	٨	Y	z

Management Zones (MZ)	Candidate NatComs	Candidate NatComs	Current Average	Target Overstorv	Current Non-Pine	Target Non-Pine	Pote	ential Actions/Tre	atment	S
		(acres)	Overstory Pine BA (ft²/AC)	Pine BA (ft²/AC)	Overstory TPA	Overstory TPA	Harvest or Thin	Stand Improvement*	Site Prep	Plant
RKS-051	Scrubby Flatwoods	31	0	20 - 60	131	0 - 26	۲	٨	۲	γ
RKS-052	Scrubby Flatwoods	17	£	20 - 60	456	0 - 26	۲	~	٢	٢
RKS-053	Mesic Flatwoods	33	42	10 - 50	155	0 - 26	7	٨	۲	z
RKS-053	Wet Flatwoods	8	64	10 - 50	537	0 - 0	٨	7	٨	z
RKS-054A	Scrub	50	۲	0 - 20	670	0 - 13	٢	7	٨	z
RKS-054A	Wet Flatwoods	490	11	10 - 50	515	0 - 0	٨	7	٨	z
RKS-054B	Sandhill	111	5	20 - 60	65	0 - 79	z	Z	٢	٢
RKS-054C	Wet Flatwoods	492	ĸ	10 - 50	679	0 - 0	٨	7	٨	~
RKS-055	Mesic Flatwoods	56	29	10 - 50	125	0 - 26	٨	٨	٨	z
RKS-055	Sandhill	45	8	20 - 60	306	0 - 79	٢	٢	٢	٢
<b>RKS-055</b>	Scrub	17	35	0 - 20	230	0 - 13	Y	٨	٨	z

nagement ies (MZ)	Candidate NatComs	Candidate NatComs	Current Average	Target Overstory	Current Non-Pine	Target Non-Pine	Pote	ential Actions/Tre	atment	S
		(acres)	Overstory Pine BA (ft²/AC)	Pine BA (ft²/AC)	Overstory TPA	Overstory TPA	Harvest or Thin	Stand Improvement*	Site Prep	Plant
)58	Mesic Flatwoods	59	8	10 - 50	163	0 - 26	Y	٢	٢	٨
<b>)58</b>	Scrubby Flatwoods	21	10	20 - 60	100	0 - 26	٨	٢	٢	٨
<b>067</b>	Mesic Flatwoods	18	77	10 - 50	2300	0 - 26	٨	٢	٢	z
267	Scrub	20	8	0 - 20	2725	0 - 13	γ	٢	Υ	z
068	Sandhill	58	46	20 - 60	106	62 - 0	٢	٢	Υ	Z
<b>068</b>	Scrub	21	42	0 - 20	696	0 - 13	٢	٢	Υ	Z
070	Sandhill	20	52	20 - 60	589	0 - 79	٢	٢	Υ	Z
070	Scrub	2	31	0 - 20	1585	0 - 13	٢	٢	Υ	Z
071	Sandhill	13	16	20 - 60	1238	0 - 79	٢	٢	Υ	٢
072	Mesic Flatwoods	4	35	10 - 50	659	0 - 26	۲	7	~	z
072	Sandhill	37	28	20 - 60	763	0 - 79	۲	7	7	z
073	Mesic Flatwoods	68	16	10 - 50	733	0 - 26	٨	٨	۲	z
073	Sandhill	17	28	20 - 60	672	62 - 0	Y	٢	γ	z

gement (MZ)	Candidate NatComs	Candidate NatComs	Current Average	Target Overstory	Current Non-Pine	Target Non-Pine	Pote	ential Actions/Tre	atment	S
		(acres)	Overstory Pine BA (ft²/AC)	Pine BA (ft²/AC)	Overstory TPA	Overstory TPA	Harvest or Thin	Stand Improvement*	Site Prep	Plant
	Scrubby Flatwoods	7	35	20 - 60	647	0 - 26	~	٨	٨	z
	Mesic Flatwoods	22	8	10 - 50	1466	0 - 26	٨	٨	٨	~
	Sandhill	14	20	20 - 60	1384	0 - 79	٢	٨	٢	Υ
	Mesic Flatwoods	6	40	10 - 50	1916	0 - 26	٨	٨	٨	z
	Scrubby Flatwoods	S	10	20 - 60	1368	0 - 26	۲	7	٨	۲
	Mesic Flatwoods	21	Ŋ	10 - 50	200	0 - 26	~	7	~	~
•	Scrub	16	5	0 - 20	2591	0 - 13	۲	~	۲	z
0	Scrubby Flatwoods	13	1	20 - 60	933	0 - 26	٨	٨	٨	٨
1	Mesic Flatwoods	42	12	10 - 50	339	0 - 26	۲	٨	٨	z
	Scrub	13	18	0 - 20	1315	0 - 13	٢	٨	٢	z
	Scrubby Flatwoods	28	8	20 - 60	1608	0 - 26	7	٨	٨	۲

Management Zones (MZ)	Candidate NatComs	Candidate NatComs	Current Average	Target Overstory	Current Non-Pine	Target Non-Pine	Pote	ential Actions/Tre	atment	S
		(acres)	Overstory Pine BA (ft²/AC)	Pine BA (ft²/AC)	Overstory TPA	Overstory TPA	Harvest or Thin	Stand Improvement*	Site Prep	Plant
RKS-089	Mesic Flatwoods	68	28	10 - 50	9	0 - 26	z	z	٢	N
RKS-090	Mesic Flatwoods	155	52	10 - 50	92	0 - 26	٨	٨	٨	Z
RKS-092	Mesic Flatwoods	43	11	10 - 50	1025	0 - 26	٨	٨	٨	z
RKS-092	Sandhill	36	16	20 - 60	613	0 - 79	γ	٨	У	Υ
RKS-093	Sandhill	52	22	20 - 60	761	0 - 79	٢	٨	γ	Ν
RKS-097	Sandhill	21	10	20 - 60	189	0 - 79	γ	٨	У	Υ
RKS-097	Scrubby Flatwoods	14	37	20 - 60	219	0 - 26	٢	٨	٨	Z
RKS-099	Sandhill	68	11	20 - 60	232	0 - 79	γ	٨	У	Υ
RKS-101	Mesic Flatwoods	9	30	10 - 50	81	0 - 26	٢	7	٨	z
RKS-102	Sandhill	4	34	20 - 60	150	0 - 79	γ	٨	У	z
RKS-104	Mesic Flatwoods	9	50	10 - 50	250	0 - 26	Y	*	٢	z
RKS-105	Mesic Flatwoods	18	22	10 - 50	250	0 - 26	٨	٨	٨	z

A 8 - 25

Management Zones (MZ)	Candidate NatComs	Candidate NatComs	Current Average	Target Overstory	Current Non-Pine	Target Non-Pine	Pote	ential Actions/Tre	atment	s
		(acres)	Overstory Pine BA (ft²/AC)	Pine BA (ft²/AC)	Overstory TPA	Overstory TPA	Harvest or Thin	Stand Improvement*	Site Prep	Plant
RKS-105	Scrubby Flatwoods	3	10	20 - 60	728	0 - 26	٨	٢	۲	×
RKS-106	Mesic Flatwoods	23	91	10 - 50	367	0 - 26	Y	٨	Y	N
RKS-107	Mesic Flatwoods	60	24	10 - 50	894	0 - 26	٨	٨	٢	z
RKS-107	Sandhill	42	16	20 - 60	1007	0 - 79	۲	7	۲	~
RKS-110	Scrub	14	15	0 - 20	215	0 - 13	Υ	٨	γ	z
RKS-112	Sandhill	7	20	20 - 60	84	0 - 79	٢	Υ	Υ	Υ
RKS-113	Mesic Flatwoods	27	22	10 - 50	369	0 - 26	۲	×	7	z
RKS-116	Mesic Flatwoods	78	53	10 - 50	351	0 - 26	Y	٨	۲	N
RKS-117	Mesic Flatwoods	59	51	10 - 50	14	0 - 26	٨	z	۲	N
RKS-118	Mesic Flatwoods	66	2	10 - 50	254	0 - 26	×	٨	٢	٢
RKS-KT1	Sandhill	63	11	20 - 60	374	0 - 79	٢	٢	Υ	٢
RKS-KT2	Sandhill	12	35	20 - 60	255	62 - 0	٢	٢	γ	z

A 8 - 26

Management Zones (MZ)	Candidate NatComs	Candidate NatComs	Current Average	Target Overstory	Current Non-Pine	Target Non-Pine	Pote	ential Actions/Tre	atment	s
		(acres)	Overstory Pine BA (ft <sup>2</sup> /AC)	Pine BA (ft²/AC)	Overstory TPA	Overstory TPA	Harvest or Thin	Stand Improvement*	Site Prep	Plant
RKS-KT3	Sandhill	50	7	20 - 60	307	0 - 79	~	٨	~	۲
RKS-KT4	Sandhill	19	∞	20 - 60	830	0 - 79	۲	~	۲	۲
WS-01	Mesic Flatwoods	10	15	10 - 50	70	0 - 26	7	*	~	z
WS-01	Sandhill	29	27	20 - 60	с	0 - 79	z	z	۲	z
WS-03	Sandhill	29	40	20 - 60	58	0 - 79	z	z	۲	z
WS-04A	Sandhill	19	52	20 - 60	584	0 - 79	۲	7	۲	z
WS-04B	Sandhill	17	25	20 - 60	27	0 - 79	z	z	Υ	z
WS-04B	Scrub	9	10	0 - 20	611	0 - 13	٢	٨	Y	z
WS-05	Sandhill	39	45	20 - 60	22	0 - 79	z	z	۲	z
WS-06	Sandhill	19	22	20 - 60	34	0 - 79	z	z	۲	z
WS-07A	Sandhill	12	25	20 - 60	5	0 - 79	z	z	Υ	z
WS-11	Sandhill	20	47	20 - 60	17	0 - 79	z	Z	٢	z
	Mesic									
WS-12	Flatwoods	31	24	10 - 50	33	0 - 26	٢	٢	۲	z
WS-12	Sandhill	21	56	20 - 60	83	0 - 79	٢	٢	Υ	Ν
WS-12	Scrub	14	86	0 - 20	171	0 - 13	Υ	γ	Υ	Z
WS-14A	Sandhill	20	36	20 - 60	1	0 - 79	Z	Z	γ	z

Management Zones (MZ)	Candidate NatComs	Candidate NatComs	Current Average	Target Overstory	Current Non-Pine	Target Non-Pine	Pote	ential Actions/Tre	eatment	s
		(acres)	Overstory Pine BA (ft <sup>2</sup> /AC)	Pine BA (ft²/AC)	Overstory TPA	Overstory TPA	Harvest or Thin	Stand Improvement*	Site Prep	Plant
WS-14B	Sandhill	16	20	20 - 60	30	0 - 79	z	z	7	z
WS-15	Sandhill	15	54	20 - 60	15	0 - 79	z	z	~	z
WS-16	Sandhill	6	20	20 - 60	Э	0 - 79	z	z	7	۲
WS-17	Sandhill	33	14	20 - 60	566	0 - 79	۲	>	7	۲
WS-18	Sandhill	27	18	20 - 60	74	0 - 79	z	z	7	۲
WS-19	Sandhill	23	26	20 - 60	245	0 - 79	٢	٨	۲	z
WS-19	Scrubby Flatwoods	23	26	20 - 60	791	0 - 26	≻	>	~	z
	Mesic									
WS-20	Flatwoods	47	59	10 - 50	1024	0 - 26	≻	>	≻	z
WS-21	Sandhill	46	46	20 - 60	145	0 - 79	۲	٨	٢	z
WS-22	Sandhill	71	41	20 - 60	321	0 - 79	٢	٨	Υ	z
WS-23	Sandhill	64	21	20 - 60	43	0 - 79	z	z	٢	z
WS-23	Scrub	17	5	0 - 20	1900	0 - 13	٢	٨	Υ	z
WS-23	Scrubby Flatwoods	2	11	20 - 60	3560	0 - 26	7	7	7	7
WS-23	Wet Flatwoods	20	17	10 - 50	846	0 - 0	>	>	>	z

A 8 - 28

Management Zones (MZ)	Candidate NatComs	Candidate NatComs	Current Average	Target Overstory	Current Non-Pine	Target Non-Pine	Pote	ential Actions/Tre	atment	S
		(acres)	Overstory Pine BA (ft²/AC)	Pine BA (ft²/AC)	Overstory TPA	Overstory TPA	Harvest or Thin	Stand Improvement*	Site Prep	Plant
WS-24	Mesic Flatwoods	10	12	10 - 50	225	0 - 26	~	>	~	z
WS-25	Sandhill	39	26	20 - 60	102	0 - 79	٢	٨	٢	z
WS-25	Wet Flatwoods	26	10	10 - 50	548	0 - 0	٨	٨	٢	Z
WS-27	Mesic Flatwoods	94	18	10 - 50	213	0 - 26	٨	٨	٨	Z
WS-27	Wet Flatwoods	72	92	10 - 50	63	0 - 0	٨	٨	7	z
WS-28	Wet Flatwoods	51	77	10 - 50	41	0 - 0	~	7	~	z
WS-29	Mesic Flatwoods	5	35	10 - 50	450	0 - 26	٨	٨	7	z
WS-30	Sandhill	314	26	20 - 60	360	67 - 0	٢	٨	٢	z
WS-31	Sandhill	73	27	20 - 60	2	0 - 79	z	Z	٢	z
WS-32	Mesic Flatwoods	98	14	10 - 50	1	0 - 26	z	z	٨	Z
WS-33	Mesic Flatwoods	138	13	10 - 50	13	0 - 26	z	z	~	z

Management Zones (MZ)	Candidate NatComs	Candidate NatComs	Current Average	Target Overstory	Current Non-Pine	Target Non-Pine	Pote	ential Actions/Tre	atment	s
		(acres)	Overstory Pine BA (ft²/AC)	Pine BA (ft²/AC)	Overstory TPA	Overstory TPA	Harvest or Thin	Stand Improvement*	Site Prep	Plant
WS-33	Wet Flatwoods	135	36	10 - 50	154	0 - 0	٨	٢	٢	z
WS-34	Mesic Flatwoods	144	30	10 - 50	114	0 - 26	٨	٨	٨	z
WS-34	Wet Flatwoods	130	49	10 - 50	166	0 - 0	٨	٨	٨	z
WS-36	Sandhill	57	30	20 - 60	17	0 - 79	z	z	۲	z
WS-37	Sandhill	20	47	20 - 60	181	62 - 0	٢	٨	λ	z
WS-38	Sandhill	74	52	20 - 60	191	62 - 0	٢	٨	λ	z
WS-39	Sandhill	7	46	20 - 60	62	62 - 0	Υ	٢	У	z
WS-40	Sandhill	18	61	20 - 60	274	62 - 0	٢	٨	λ	z
WS-41	Sandhill	15	27	20 - 60	500	0 - 79	۲	7	۲	z
	Wet									
WS-42	Flatwoods	110	14	10 - 50	727	0 - 0	٢	٢	≻	z
WS-45	Sandhill	5	30	20 - 60	125	0 - 79	٢	٢	Υ	z
WS-45	Scrub	53	15	0 - 20	1170	0 - 13	٢	٢	Υ	z
WS-MW01/02	Sandhill	37	14	20 - 60	625	0 - 79	٢	٢	Υ	٢
WS-MW03	Sandhill	44	22	20 - 60	972	0 - 79	γ	٢	٨	z

Management Zones (M7)	Candidate NatComs	Candidate	Current Average	Target Overstorv	Current Non-Pine	Target Non-Pine	Pote	ential Actions/Tre	atment	s
		(acres)	Overstory Pine BA (ft²/AC)	Pine BA (ft²/AC)	Overstory TPA	Overstory TPA	Harvest or Thin	Stand Improvement*	Site Prep	Plant
WS-MW04	Mesic Flatwoods	8	1	10 - 50	3163	0 - 26	Х	Y	٢	~
WS-MW05	Scrubby Flatwoods	26	28	20 - 60	1232	0 - 26	٨	٨	٢	z
WS-MW07	Mesic Flatwoods	5	30	10 - 50	006	0 - 26	٨	Y	Y	z
WS-MW07	Wet Flatwoods	22	25	10 - 50	50	0 - 0	٨	٨	٢	z
WS-MW08A	Wet Flatwoods	18	52	10 - 50	181	0 - 0	٨	٨	٢	z
WS-MW08B	Mesic Flatwoods	18	32	10 - 50	17	0 - 26	z	z	~	z
WS-MW08B	Sandhill	18	4	20 - 60	1908	0 - 79	۲	~	≻	۲
WS-MW08B	Scrubby Flatwoods	3	5	20 - 60	1492	0 - 26	٨	٨	٢	≻
WS-MW08B	Wet Flatwoods	20	67	10 - 50	525	0 - 0	٨	٨	٢	z
WS-MW08C	Mesic Flatwoods	4	30	10 - 50	200	0 - 26	٨	٨	٨	z

Management Zones (MZ)	Candidate NatComs	Candidate NatComs	Current Average	Target Overstorv	Current Non-Pine	Target Non-Pine	Pote	intial Actions/Tre	atment	s
		(acres)	Overstory Pine BA (ft²/AC)	Pine BA (ft²/AC)	Overstory TPA	Overstory TPA	Harvest or Thin	Stand Improvement*	Site Prep	Plant
WS-MW08C	Wet Flatwoods	105	79	10 - 50	32	0 - 0	~	Y	~	z
WS-MW08D	Wet Flatwoods	57	78	10 - 50	126	0 - 0	٨	Y	٨	z
60WM-SW	Scrubby Flatwoods	12	2	20 - 60	75	0 - 26	٨	γ	٨	٨
WS-MW10	Mesic Flatwoods	10	46	10 - 50	533	0 - 26	٨	Y	٨	z
WS-MW10	Wet Flatwoods	53	62	10 - 50	184	0 - 0	٨	Y	٨	z
WS-MW11	Wet Flatwoods	ñ	144	10 - 50	217	0 - 0	۶	Y	~	z
WS-MW13	Mesic Flatwoods	7	60	10 - 50	850	0 - 26	٨	γ	٨	z
WS-MW13	Scrubby Flatwoods	2	50	20 - 60	1000	0 - 26	٨	٨	٨	z
WS-MW18	Sandhill	12	10	20 - 60	117	0 - 79	٢	٨	٢	٢
WS-NL2	Mesic Flatwoods	18	15	10 - 50	434	0 - 26	≻	~	~	z

Management Zones (MZ)	Candidate NatComs	Candidate NatComs	Current Average	Target Overstory	Current Non-Pine	Target Non-Pine	Pote	ential Actions/Tre	atment	s
		(acres)	Overstory Pine BA (ft²/AC)	Pine BA (ft²/AC)	Overstory TPA	Overstory TPA	Harvest or Thin	Stand Improvement*	Site Prep	Plant
	Scrubby Elatwoode	, ,	0	20 - 60	1447	9C - 0	>	>	>	>
WS-PP1	Sandhill	151	117	20 - 60	258	0 - 79	- >	- >	- >	- z
WS-PP2	Sandhill	39	168	20 - 60	200	0 - 79	۲	~	٢	z
WS-PP3	Sandhill	02	139	20 - 60	203	0 - 79	γ	٨	Υ	z
WS-PP4	Sandhill	42	144	20 - 60	42	0 - 79	Υ	Z	Υ	z
WS-PP5	Sandhill	29	113	20 - 60	58	0 - 79	٢	z	٢	z

Addendum 9—Land Management Review

## Florida Department of Environmental Protection

#### November 13, 2009

TO:	Marianne Gengenbach, Program Administrator
	Division of State Lands DW In Rs
FROM:	Parks Small, Chief, Bureau of Natural and Cultural Resources Division of Recreation and Parks
	Albert Gregory, Chief, Office of Park Planning A
SUBJECT:	Response to Draft Land Management Review (LMR) Wekiva River Basin State Parks

Memorandum

The Land Management Review draft report provided to DRP determined that management of Wekiva River State Parks by the Division of Recreation and Parks met the two tests prescribed by law. Namely, the review team concluded that the land is being managed for the purposes for which it was acquired and in accordance with the land management plan.

Below are Additional Recommendations and Checklist Findings (items the LMR determined should be further addressed in the management plan update) of the draft LMR report, with our Manager's Response to each. The responses were prepared via a coordinated effort of the park, district office, and our offices.

# The team recommends that continued effort be made to increase burning in the flatwoods to achieve stated desired future conditions. (VOTE: 7+, 0-)

Managing Agency Response: Agree; DRP will continue to decrease the fire return interval in flatwoods communities where appropriate to achieve restoration and maintenance goals.

## The team recommends that the DRP increase efforts to treat invasive exotic species. (VOTE: 7+, 0-)

Managing Agency Response: Agree; DRP will continue to investigate funding sources to obtain funds needed to meet this recommendation.

The recent diminished funding and increased acreage and visitation has impacted the ability for the staff to continue resource management activities. The team recommends that additional funding and staffing be allocated. (VOTE: 7+, 0-)

Managing Agency Response: Agree; If it is determined that additional staff and funding are needed at the time of the next unit management plan revision, it will be included in the plan. However, no new staff or funding can be assigned to this or any other park unit unless they are appropriated by the Legislature or reassigned from other units. Funding is determined annually by the Florida Legislature and funds are allocated to the 160 state parks according to priority needs.

# The team recommends that DRP develop a plan of management for the pasture areas and other disturbed areas. (VOTE: 7+, 0-)

Managing Agency Response: Agree; DRP will continue to focus on intact natural communities at the park while formulating plans for restoration of pasture and disturbed areas. Some or all of these disturbed sites may have a role in future development of the park for visitor use which will be evaluated in the next unit management plan revision.

## The team recommends that DRP investigate reactivation of land use proceeds for restoration of natural areas. (VOTE: 7+, 0-)

Managing Agency Response: Agree; The funds within the land use proceeds accounts of the basin parks are very important to the operation and resource management of the parks.

## Discussion in the management plan regarding Natural Communities, specifically Scrub. (FR)

Managing Agency Response: Disagree; Each natural community is described on pages 21-36 of the unit management plan (UMP) and includes a description of the Scrub community. The map that is included with the UMP illustrates the boundaries and locations of the natural communities of the park. The park will continue to get portions of the scrub mechanically treated in order to reduce fuel heights and initiate safe and effective burning.

# Discussion in the management plan regarding Listed Species, specifically Giant Orchids. (PR)

Managing Agency Response: Agree; Although the giant orchid is listed on the designated species list for the parks, little was known about its habitat requirements and distribution within the parks in order for a detailed section to be written in the listed species section of the UMP. For the UMP update, information and discussion on the giant orchid will be included in the listed species section.

#### Discussion in the management plan regarding Resource Management, specifically Frequency. (FR)

Managing Agency Response: Agree; DRP has always included general community descriptions along with the condition of those communities in the natural communities section of the plan, but has not always identified specific fire return intervals for each management zone of the park in the plan. Each year, an annual burn plan is developed for all the management zones in the park. This plan contains specific information such as, the target fire return interval, mechanical treatment needs, prescription needs, etc. Within in the new DRP UMP boilerplate, DRP will now include target fire return intervals for each community type within the plan.

# Discussion in the management plan regarding Restoration of Ruderal Areas, specifically Pasture Restoration. (FR)

Managing Agency Response: Agree; DRP identifies a description and the need for pasture restoration within the UMP on pages 63 and 70 as well as some cost estimates in Addendum 6. These sections call for a pasture restoration plan to be developed which would spell out the details of the restoration. Once this plan is developed, then restoration can begin on these areas. More specific goals/objectives will be developed during the UMP process.

## Discussion in the management plan regarding Management Resources, specifically Equipment, Staff and Funding. (FR)

Managing Agency Response: Agree; If it is determined that additional staff and funding are needed at the time of the next unit management plan revision, it will be included in the plan. However, no new staff or funding can be assigned to this or any other park unit unless they are appropriated by the Legislature or reassigned from other units. Funding is determined annually by the Florida Legislature and funds are allocated to the 160 state parks according to priority needs.

GK

CC: Larry Fooks, Chief, Bureau of Parks District 3 Cliff Maxwell, Assistant Chief, Bureau of Parks District 3 Warren Poplin, Park Manager, Wekiva River Basin State Parks Jason Depue, Environmental Specialist, Bureau of Parks District 3